## NONOSCILLATORY SOLUTIONS OF SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

## LYNN H. ERBE

We consider here a generalization of the equation

$$x'' + a(t)x^{2n+1} = 0$$

where a(t) is a continuous non-negative function on  $[0, +\infty)$  and  $n \ge 0$  is an integer. Necessary and sufficient conditions are given for the existence of

- (1) a bounded nonoscillatory solution with prescribed limit at  $\infty$ ;
- (2) a nonoscillatory solution whose derivative has a positive limit at  $\infty$ .

Specifically, we are concerned with the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of the following second order nonlinear differential equation:

(1) 
$$x'' + f(t, x)g(x') = 0.$$

We shall assume the following conditions hold:

f(t, x), g(x'), and the partial derivative function

 $(A_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})$   $f_{\scriptscriptstyle x}(t,\,x)$  are all continuous for  $t \geq 0,\; x' \geq 0,$  and  $\mid x \mid < + \; \infty$  .

$$f(t, 0) = 0, t \ge 0.$$

 $(A_2)$   $f_x(t,x) \ge 0$  and is nondecreasing in x for  $t \ge 0$  and  $x \ge 0$ .

$$(A_3) g(x') > 0 for all x' \ge 0.$$

As a special case we have the equation

$$(2) x'' + a(t)x^{2n+1} = 0, n \ge 0,$$

in which  $a(t) \ge 0$  for  $t \ge 0$  and g(x') = 1 for all x'. Oscillatory and nonoscillatory properties of (2) for the case  $n \ge 1$  were investigated by Atkinson in [1], Moore and Nehari in [5], and Utz in [9]. Generalizations of equation (2) have been considered by Waltman in [7] and [8], Nehari in [6], Wong in [10], and Macki and Wong in [4].

We shall study equation (1) by considering the equation

$$(3) x'' + f_x(t,\alpha)x = 0,$$

where  $\alpha$  is some real constant depending on solutions of (1). To do this we shall need to establish several lemmas concerning the equation

$$(4) x'' + p(t)x = 0,$$

where p(t) is continuous and satisfies  $p(t) \ge 0$  for  $t \ge 0$ .

LEMMA 1.1. Let [a, b] be a compact interval of the reals and suppose there exists a  $\beta(t) \in C^{(2)}$  [a, b] satisfying

$$\beta(t) > 0$$
,  $\beta''(t) + p(t)\beta(t) \leq 0$ ,  $t \in [a, b]$ .

Then [a, b] is an interval of disconjugacy for equation (4). That is, no nontrival solution of (4) has more than one zero on [a, b].

*Proof.* If the conclusion is false, then there is a solution y(t) of (4) satisfying  $y(t_1) = y(t_2) = 0$  and y(t) > 0 on  $(t_1, t_2)$ , where  $a \le t_1 < t_2 \le b$ . It follows that there is a k > 0 such that  $ky(t) \le \beta(t)$  on  $[t_1, t_2]$  and  $ky(t_0) = \beta(t_0)$  for some  $t_1 < t_0 < t_2$ . Therefore,  $ky'(t_0) = \beta'(t_0)$  and for  $t_0 \le t \le t_2$  we have

$$ky'(t) - \beta'(t) \ge \int_{t_0}^t -p(s)\{ky(s) - \beta(s)\}ds \ge 0$$
.

Hence,

$$ky(t_2) - eta(t_2) = \int_{t_0}^{t_2} (ky'(s) - eta'(s)) ds \ge 0$$
 ,

which is a contradiction.

REMARK. If there exists an  $\alpha(t) \in C^{(2)}$  [a, b] satisfying

$$lpha(t) < 0$$
 ,  $lpha''(t) + p(t)lpha(t) \geqq 0$  ,  $t \in [a,b]$  ,

then the conclusion of the lemma again holds. (Set  $\beta(t) = -\alpha(t)$ ,  $t \in [a, b]$ .)

Lemma 1.1 is closely related to a theorem of Wintner (see Hartman [2], p. 362, Th. 7.2) and could be obtained directly by setting  $z=\beta'/\beta$ . Also, a function  $\beta(t) \in C^{(2)}$  [a,b] satisfying  $\beta''(t)+p(t)\beta(t) \leq 0$  on [a,b] is just a special case of an upper solution, as defined by Jackson in [3] for general nonlinear second order differential equations. Likewise  $\alpha(t) \in C^{(2)}$  [a,b] satisfying  $\alpha''(t)+p(t)\alpha(t) \geq 0$  on [a,b] is a special case of a lower solution.

LEMMA 1.2. Let  $\alpha(t)$ ,  $\beta(t) \in C^{(2)}$  [a, b] and satisfy  $\alpha''(t) + p(t)\alpha(t) \geq 0$ ,  $\beta''(t) + p(t)\beta(t) \leq 0$ , and  $0 < \alpha(t) \leq \beta(t)$  on [a, b]. Then for any c, d with  $\alpha(a) \leq c \leq \beta(a)$ ,  $\alpha(b) \leq d \leq \beta(b)$ , there is a unique solution z(t) of (4) satisfying z(a) = c, z(b) = d, and  $\alpha(t) \leq z(t) \leq \beta(t)$  on [a, b].

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.1, [a, b] is an interval of disconjugacy for equation (4) so that the BVP

$$x'' + p(t)x = 0$$
,  $x(a) = c$ ,  $x(b) = d$ 

has a unique solution z(t) (see for example [2], p. 351). Since z(t) cannot have more than one zero on [a,b] and since initial value problems for (4) have unique solutions, it follows that z(t) > 0 on [a,b]. If the conclusion of the lemma is false, then assume, to be specific, that  $z(t_1) - \beta(t_1) = z(t_2) - \beta(t_2) = 0$  and  $z(t) > \beta(t)$  on  $(t_1, t_2)$ , where  $a \le t_1 < t_2 \le b$ . As in Lemma 1.1, there is a k > 0, k < 1, such that  $0 < kz(t) \le \beta(t)$  on  $[t_1, t_2]$ , and  $kz(t_0) = \beta(t_0)$ ,  $kz'(t_0) = \beta'(t_0)$  for some  $t_1 < t_0 < t_2$ . Since  $kz(t_2) < z(t_2) = \beta(t_2)$ , this leads to a contradiction as in Lemma 1.1. Hence,  $z(t) \le \beta(t)$  on [a,b]. A similar argument shows that  $z(t) \ge \alpha(t)$  on [a,b] and this proves the lemma.

LEMMA 1.3. Let  $\alpha(t)$ ,  $\beta(t) \in C^{(2)}$   $[a, +\infty)$  with  $\alpha''(t) + p(t)\alpha(t) \geq 0$ ,  $\beta''(t) + p(t)\beta(t) \leq 0$ , and  $0 < \alpha(t) \leq \beta(t)$  on  $[a, +\infty)$ . Then for any  $\alpha(a) \leq c \leq \beta(a)$  there is a solution  $y(t) \in C^{(2)}$   $[a, +\infty)$  of (4) satisfying y(a) = c and  $\alpha(t) \leq y(t) \leq \beta(t)$  on  $[a, +\infty)$ .

Proof. By Lemma 1.2 for each  $n \ge 1$  there is a solution  $y_n(t) \in C^{(2)}$  [a, a+n] of (4) satisfying  $y_n(a)=c$  and  $\alpha(t)\le y_n(t)\le \beta(t)$  on [a, a+n]. Therefore, for each  $N\ge 1\mid y_n(t)\mid$  and hence  $\mid y_n''(t)\mid$  are uniformly bounded on [a, a+N] for all n=N. Since  $y_n'(t)=y_n'(a)+\int_a^t y_n''$ , the  $\mid y_n'(t)\mid$  are likewise bounded on [a, a+N], uniformly for  $n\ge N$ . Now consider the sequence  $\{y_n(t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . By the Ascoli-Arzela Theorem there is a subsequence  $\{y_n^t(t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converging to a solution  $z_1(t)$  of (4) on [a, a+1]. Inductively, for each  $k\ge 2$  we obtain a subsequence  $\{y_n^k(t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $\{y_n^{k-1}(t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  which converges to a solution  $z_n(t)$  of (4) on [a, a+k]. Therefore, the diagonal sequence  $\{y_n^k(t)\}_{k=1}^\infty$  converges uniformly on each compact subinterval of  $[a, +\infty)$ . That is,

$$z(t) = \lim_{k \to \infty} y_k^k(t)$$
,  $t \in [a, +\infty)$ ,

is the desired solution.

2. After these preliminary lemmas, we are now in a position to establish necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of certain types of solutions of (1).

THEOREM 2.1. Assume  $A_0 - A_3$  hold and let  $\alpha_0 > 0$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

(a) For each  $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$  there is a solution  $u_{\alpha}(t)$  of (1) satisfying  $\lim_{t\to\infty} u_{\alpha}(t) = \alpha$ .

(b) 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t f_y(t, \alpha) dt < +\infty \ \ for \ \ 0 < lpha < lpha_0.$$

*Proof.* (a) implies (b): Assume  $\int_{0}^{\infty} t f_{y}(t, \alpha_{1}) dt = +\infty$  for some  $0 < \alpha_{1} < \alpha_{0}$  and let  $\alpha_{1} < \beta < \alpha_{0}$ . Let  $u_{\beta}(t)$  be the corresponding solution of (1) with  $\lim_{t\to\infty} u_{\beta}(t) = \beta$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be such that  $\alpha_{1} + \delta < \beta$  and let  $T \geq 0$  be such that  $t \geq T$  implies  $u_{\beta}(t) \geq \alpha_{1} + \delta$ . Then for  $t \geq T$ 

$$u_{\beta}^{\prime\prime} = -f(t, u_{\beta})g(u_{\beta}^{\prime}) \leq 0$$

so that  $u'_{\beta}$  decreases to a limit, and this limit clearly must be zero. Therefore,  $u_{\beta}(t) \leq \beta$  for  $t \geq T$  so that applying the Mean Value Theorem we get

$$egin{aligned} f_{y}(t,lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}) & \leq rac{f(t,u_{\scriptscriptstyle eta}(t)) - f(t,lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})}{u_{\scriptscriptstyle eta}(t) - lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}} & \leq rac{f(t,u_{\scriptscriptstyle eta}(t))}{u_{\scriptscriptstyle eta}(t) - lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}} \ & \leq rac{u_{\scriptscriptstyle eta}(t)}{u_{\scriptscriptstyle eta}(t) - lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}} & rac{f(t,u_{\scriptscriptstyle eta}(t))}{u_{\scriptscriptstyle eta}(t)} & \leq rac{eta}{\delta} & rac{f(t,u_{\scriptscriptstyle eta}(t))}{u_{\scriptscriptstyle eta}(t)} \ , \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \ge T$ . Since  $\lim_{t \to \infty} u'_{\beta}(t) = 0$ , there is a  $T_1 \ge T$  such that  $t \ge T_1$  implies  $g(u'_{\beta}(t)) \ge g(0)/2 > 0$ . Hence, for  $t \ge T_1$  we have

$$u_{\beta}^{\prime\prime}(t) = -f(t, u_{\beta}(t))g(u_{\beta}^{\prime}(t)) \leq -kf_{y}(t, \alpha_{1})u_{\beta}(t),$$

where  $k = g(0)(\delta/2\beta)$ . Also,  $\alpha_1'' = 0 \ge -kf_y(t, \alpha_1)\alpha_1$ . Therefore, by Lemma 1.3 there is a solution z(t) of the equation

$$(5) x'' + kf_y(t, \alpha_1)x = 0$$

satisfying  $\alpha_1 \leq z(t) \leq u_{\beta}(t)$  on  $[T_1, +\infty)$ . Let  $w(t) = z(t) \int_{T_1}^t ds/(z(s))^2$  for  $t \geq T_1$ . Then w(t) is a solution of (5). Since  $z''(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \geq T_1$ , we see that

$$w''(t) = z''(t) \int_{T_1}^t ds / (z(s))^2 \le 0$$

for  $t \ge T_1$  and hence w'(t) decreases to a finite nonnegative limit. In fact, we have

$$w'(t) = 1/z(t) + z'(t) \int_{r_1}^t ds/(z(s))^2 \ge 1/z(t) \ge 1/eta$$

for  $t \ge T_1$ . Hence, for sufficiently large t, say  $t \ge T_0 \ge T_1$ , we have  $w(t) \ge t/2\beta$ . Therefore, for  $t \ge T_0$  we have

$$egin{align} w'(t)-w'(T_0)&=-k\int_{T_0}^t f_y(s,lpha_1)w(s)ds\ &\le (-k/2eta)\int_{T_0}^t sf_y(s,lpha_1)ds \le 0 \;. \end{gathered}$$

Therefore,

$$w'(T_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) \geq w'(t) + (k/2eta) \int_{T_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}}^t \!\! s f_{\scriptscriptstyle y}(s,\, lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}) ds$$

for  $t \geq T_0$ , so that

$$\int_{T_0}^{\infty}\!\! s f_y(s,\,lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}) ds < + \infty$$
 ,

which is the desired contradiction.

Conversely, let  $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$  be given and let

$$M = \max \{g(x') : 0 \le x' \le \alpha\}$$
.

Let  $T \ge 0$  be such that

$$\int_{\scriptscriptstyle T}^{\infty} (s-T) f_{\scriptscriptstyle y}(s,\,lpha) ds < 1/M$$
 and  $\int_{\scriptscriptstyle T}^{\infty} f_{\scriptscriptstyle y}(s,\,lpha) ds < 1/M$  .

We shall now define a sequence of functions on  $[T, +\infty)$  in the following manner:

Let  $y_0(t) = \alpha$ ,  $t \ge T$ . Now for  $t \ge T$ 

$$0 \leq \int_{t}^{\infty} (s-t)f(s,\alpha)g(0)ds \leq \alpha \int_{t}^{\infty} (s-t)f_{y}(s,\alpha)g(0)ds \leq \alpha ,$$

so that defining  $y_1(t) = \alpha - \int_t^{\infty} (s-t)f(s,\alpha)g(0)ds$ ,  $t \geq T$ , we have  $0 \leq y_1(t) \leq \alpha$ . Differentiating  $y_1(t)$  we have

$$0 \leq y_1'(t) = \int_t^{\infty} f(s, \alpha)g(0)ds \leq M\alpha \int_t^{\infty} f_y(s, \alpha)ds < \alpha$$
.

Proceeding inductively, we define for all  $k \ge 1$ 

$$y_{k+1}(t) = \alpha - \int_{t}^{\infty} (s-t)f(s, y_{k}(s))g(y'_{k}(s))ds$$
 ,  $t \geq T$  ,

and obtain  $0 \le y_k(t)$ ,  $y_k'(t) \le \alpha$  for all  $k \ge 1$ . It follows that the sequences  $y_k(t)$ ,  $y_k'(t)$ , and  $y_k''(t)$  are uniformly bounded on [T, T+n] for all  $n \ge 1$ . The Ascoli-Arzela Theorem and a diagonalization argument yields a subsequence which converges, uniformly on compact subsets of  $[T, +\infty)$ , to a solution  $u_\alpha(t)$  of (1). Obviously,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} u_\alpha(t) = \alpha$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

REMARK. If f(t,x)=-f(t,-x) and g(x')>0 and is continuous for  $|x'|<+\infty$ , then we see that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}tf_{y}(t,\alpha)dt<+\infty$  for  $0<|\alpha|<\alpha_{0}$  if and only if for each  $0<|\alpha|<\alpha_{0}$  there is a solution  $u_{\alpha}(t)$  of (1) with  $\lim_{t\to\infty}u_{\alpha}(t)=\alpha$ .

COROLLARY 2.2.  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t f_{\nu}(t, \alpha) dt < +\infty$  for all  $\alpha > 0$  if and only if there is a solution  $u_{\alpha}(t)$  of (1) with  $\lim_{t\to\infty} u_{\alpha}(t) = \alpha$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ .

COROLLARY 2.3. If  $f(t, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i(t) x^{2i+1}$  where the  $a_i(t)$  are continuous nonnegative functions for  $t \ge 0$ , then the following statements are equivalent:

(a) There is a solution  $u_{\alpha}(t)$  of (1) with  $\lim_{t\to\infty}u_{\alpha}(t)=\alpha$  for all  $\alpha\neq 0$ .

(b) 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t a_i(t) dt < +\infty$$
.

As examples of equations to which Theorem 2.1 applies but which do not belong to any of the classes of equations considered in references [1], [4] through [8], we have

(6) 
$$x'' + x \left( \exp \left( t(x - \alpha_0) \right) \right) (1 + x') = 0$$

(7) 
$$x'' + x \left( \exp\left(t(x^2 - \alpha_0^2) + cx'\right) \right) (1 + (x')^2) = 0,$$

where c is an arbitrary real number. Then for  $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$  there is a solution  $u_{\alpha}(t)$  of (6) with  $\lim_{t\to\infty}u_{\alpha}(t)=\alpha$ , and for  $0<|\alpha|<\alpha_0$  there is a solution  $y_{\alpha}(t)$  of (7) with  $\lim_{t\to\infty}y_{\alpha}(t)=\alpha$ .

3. In [5] it is shown that equation (2) has solutions for which

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{y(t)}{t}=\alpha>0$$

if and only if

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{2n+1}a(t)dt < +\infty$$
 .

In this final section we will show that an analogous result is true for equation (1) provided f(t, x) satisfies the following additional condition.

(A<sub>4</sub>) There exist real numbers c>0 and  $\lambda>0$  such that  $\lim_{x\to\infty}\inf\frac{f(t,x)}{xf_x(t,\,cx)}\geq \lambda>0$ , for all sufficiently large t.

Note that in the case of equation (2) c and  $\lambda$  may be any positive real numbers with  $\lambda c^{2n} \leq 1/(2n+1)$ . We first establish the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. Assume conditions  $A_0 - A_3$  hold and let there exist a real number  $\beta > 0$  with

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} t f_{v}(t, \beta t) dt < +\infty$$
 .

Then there exist solutions to (1), say y(t), such that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t)/t$  exists and is positive.

*Proof.* Let T > 0 be such that

$$\int_{\scriptscriptstyle T}^{\infty}\! t f_{\scriptscriptstyle y}(t,\,eta t) dt < 1/2M$$
 ,

where  $M = \max\{g(x') : 0 \le x' \le \beta\}$ . We define a solution of (1) by

$$u(T) = 0$$
,  $u'(T) = \beta$ ,

and we assert that the solution satisfies  $u'(t) \ge \beta/2$  for  $t \ge T$ . Assume, on the contrary, that there is a  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\beta/2 > \delta > 0$ , and a  $t_1 > T$  with  $u'(t_1) = \delta$  and u(t) > 0 on  $(T, t_1]$ . Then for  $T \le t \le t_1$  we have

(8) 
$$u'(T) = u'(t) + \int_T^t f(s, u(s))g(u'(s))ds$$
.

Since  $u''(t) \leq 0$  on  $(T, t_1]$  and since u(t) is concave it follows that

$$u'(t) \leq \beta$$
 on  $(T, t_1)$  and  $u(t) \leq \beta(t - T)$  on  $(T, t_1)$ .

Applying the Mean Value Theorem in (8) we have

$$eta = u'(T) < u'(t) + Meta \int_T^t s f_y(s, eta(s-T)) ds$$

$$\leq u'(t) + Meta \int_T^t s f_y(s, eta s) ds < u'(t) + eta/2.$$

Hence,  $u'(t_1) > \beta/2$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $u'(t) \ge \beta/2$  on  $[T, +\infty)$  and hence  $\lim_{t\to\infty} u'(t)$  exists and is positive which implies that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} u(t)/t$  exists and is positive.

THEOREM 3.2. Assume conditions  $(A_0) - (A_4)$  hold. Then (1) has solutions, say y(t), such that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t)/t$  exists and is positive if and only if

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t f_{y}(t,\,eta t) dt < +\infty \;\; ext{for some} \;\; eta_{.} > 0$$
 .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha > 0$  and let y(t) be a solution of (1) with

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{y(t)}{t}=\alpha.$$

Let  $T \ge 0$  be such that  $t \ge T$  implies  $y(t) \ge \alpha t/2$ . Let

$$m_0 = \min \{g(x') : 0 \le x' \le y'(T) \}$$

By condition  $(A_i)$  there is a  $T_1 \ge T$  such that  $t \ge T_1$  implies

$$f(t, y(t)) \ge \lambda y(t) f_y(t, c\alpha t/2) \ge (kt) f_y(t, c\alpha t/2)$$
,

where  $k = \lambda \alpha/2$ . Since  $0 < y'(t) \le y'(T)$  for  $t \ge T$  we have

$$f(t, y(t))g(y'(t)) \ge (m_0kt)f_y(t, c\alpha t/2)$$
,  $t \ge T_1$ .

Therefore,

$$y'(T_1) = y'(t) + \int_{T_1}^t f(s, y(s))g(y'(s))ds$$

$$\geq y'(t) + \int_{T_1}^t (m_0ks)f_y(s, c\alpha s/2)ds.$$

Since  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y'(t) \ge 0$ , this implies that

$$\int_{T_{*}}^{\infty}\!sf_{y}(s,\,clpha s/2)ds<+\infty$$
 ,

and this proves the theorem.

As a simple example of an equation to which the previous theorem applies but which is not considered in references [1], [4] through [8], we have

(9) 
$$x'' + x^2 (\exp(x - \beta t))(1 + x') = 0,$$

where  $\beta > 0$ . Condition  $(A_i)$  holds for any 0 < c < 1 and any  $\lambda > 0$ .

The author wishes to thank Professor Lloyd Jackson and the referee for several helpful comments and suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- F. V. Atkinson, On second order nonlinear oscillations, Pacific J. Math. 5 (1955), 643-647.
- 2. P. Hartman, Ordinary differential equations, Wiley, 1964.
- 3. L. K. Jackson, Subfunctions and second order differential inequalities, Advances in Math., Academic Press (to appear).
- 4. J. W. Macki and J. S. W. Wong, Oscillation of solutions to second order nonlinear differential equations, Pacificic J. Math. 18 (1968) (to appear)
- 5. R. A. Moore and Z. Nehari, Nonoscillation theorems for a class of nonlinear differential equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **92-93** (1959), 30-52.
- 6. Z. Nehari, On a class of nonlinear second order differential equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 94-95 (1960), 101-123.
- 7. P. Waltman, Oscillation of solutions of a nonlinear equation, SIAM Review 5 (1963), 128-130.
- 8. ———, Some properties of solution of u'' + a(t)f(u) = 0, Monat. Math. (1963-64), 50-54
- 9. W. R. Utz, Properties of solutions of  $u'' + g(t)u^{2n-1} = 0$ , Monat. Math. (1961-62), 55-60.

10. J. S. W. Wong, A note on second order nonlinear oscillation, SIAM Review 10 (1968), 88-91.

Received June 19, 1968. This research was supported by a NASA Traineeship at the University of Nebraska.

THE UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA LINCOLN, NEBRASKA, AND THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA EDMONTON, ALBERTA