ON THE DECOMPOSITION OF INFINITELY DIVISIBLE CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTIONS WITH CONTINUOUS POISSON SPECTRUM, II

ROGER CUPPENS

Let f be an infinitely divisible characteristic function whose spectral functions are absolutely continuous functions with almost everywhere continuous derivatives. Some necessary conditions that f belong to the class I_0 of the infinitely divisible characteristic functions without indecomposable factors have been obtained by Cramér, Shimizu and the author and a sufficient condition that f belong to I_0 has been given by Ostrovskiy. In the present work, we prove that the condition of Ostrovskiy is not only a sufficient, but also a necessary condition that f belong to I_0 .

Let f be the function of the variable t defined by

(1)
$$\log f(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} [e^{itu} - 1 - itu(1 + u^2)^{-1}]\varphi(u)du + \int_{0}^{\infty} [e^{itu} - 1 - itu(1 + u^2)^{-1}]\psi(u)du$$

where log means the branch of logarithm defined by continuity from $\log f(0) = 0$ and where φ and ψ are almost everywhere nonnegative and continuous functions which are defined respectively on $]-\infty$, 0[and $]0, +\infty$] and satisfy the condition

$$\int_{-\epsilon}^{0} u^2 arphi(u) du + \int_{0}^{\epsilon} u^2 \psi(u) du < +\infty$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. If we let

$$egin{aligned} M(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^x arphi(u) du & x < 0 ext{ ,} \ N(x) &= -\int_x^{+\infty} & \psi(u) du & x > 0 ext{ ,} \end{aligned}$$

then we see that the conditions of the Lévy representation theorem ([4], Th. 5.5.2) are satisfied, so that f is an infinitely divisible characteristic function. In [3], we have proved the following result.

If the two following conditions are satisfied:

(a)
$$\varphi(u) \ge k$$
 a.e. for $-c(1+2^{-n}) < u < -c$,

(b) $\psi(u) \ge k$ a.e. for $d < u < d(1 + 2^{-n})$,

where k, c and d are positive constants and n is a positive integer,

then the function f defined by (1) has an indecomposable factor. The following theorem completes this result.

THEOREM 1. If

$$\psi(u) \ge k$$
 a.e. for $c < u < c(1 + 2^{-n})$ and $d < u < d(1 + 2^{-n})$

where n is a positive integer and k, c and $d \ge 2c$ are positive constants, then the function f defined by (1) has an indecomposable factor.

This theorem is an immediate consequence of the

LEMMA. Let f be the characteristic function defined by

$$\log f(t) = \int_0^\infty (e^{itu} - 1 - itu(1 + u^2)^{-1})\alpha(u) du$$

where

$$lpha(u) = egin{cases} c & if \ 1 < u < \lambda \ or \ r < u < r\lambda \ 0 \ otherwise \end{cases}$$

c being a positive constant, $\lambda = 1 + 2^{-n}$ (n positive integer) and $r \ge 2\lambda$. Then f has an indecomposable factor.

Proof. Let β be the function defined by

$$eta(u) = egin{cases} c & ext{if } 1 < u < \lambda ext{ or } r < u < r\lambda \ -c arepsilon & ext{if } \gamma < u < \delta \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 $(2<\gamma<\delta<2\lambda)$ and $lpha_m$ and eta_m be the functions defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1(x) &= \alpha(x); \ \alpha_m(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_{m-1}(x-t)\alpha_1(t)dt \\ \beta_1(x) &= \beta(x); \ \beta_m(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \beta_{m-1}(x-t)\beta_1(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

We prove easily by induction that

(2)
$$\beta_m(x) = \alpha_m(x) \ge 0$$
 if $x \notin [A_m, B_m]$

where A_m and B_m are defined by

$$egin{array}{lll} A_m &= m+2^{-n}\ B_m &= mr\lambda-2^{-n} \end{array}$$
 .

We prove now that

522

(3)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{A_m \leq x \leq B_m} |\alpha_m(x) - \beta_m(x)| = 0.$$

Indeed, if $\varepsilon < 1$, we have

$$ert lpha_{\mathtt{m}}(x) ert \leq c^{\mathtt{m}}(r \lambda - 1)^{\mathtt{m}-1} \ ert eta_{\mathtt{m}}(x) ert \leq c^{\mathtt{m}}(r \lambda - 1)^{\mathtt{m}-1}$$

and from these formulae and from

$$\alpha_{m}(x) - \beta_{m}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\alpha_{m-1}(x-t)\alpha_{1}(t) - \beta_{m-1}(x-t)\beta_{1}(t)]dt$$

= $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha_{m-1}(x-t)[\alpha_{1}(t) - \beta_{1}(t)]dt - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [\beta_{m-1}(x-t) - \alpha_{m-1}(x-t)]\beta_{1}(t)dt$

it follows by induction that

$$|lpha_{{\scriptscriptstyle m}}(x)-eta_{{\scriptscriptstyle m}}(x)|\leq arepsilon(2c)^{{\scriptscriptstyle m}}(r\lambda-1)^{{\scriptscriptstyle m-1}}$$

and this implies (3).

Let now $S(\alpha_m)$ be the spectrum of α_m . From the definition of α_m , it follows easily that

$$S(\alpha_m) = \bigcup_{j=0}^m [j + (m-j)r, (j + (m-j)r)\lambda].$$

This implies that $S(\alpha_m)$ is all the interval $[m, mr\lambda]$ if

$$m > K = [(r-1)(2^n + 1)]$$

(here [x] means the integer part of x) and therefore

(4)
$$\inf_{A_m \leq x \leq B_m} \alpha_m(x) > 0 \qquad m = K+2, K+3, \cdots$$

From (3) and (4), it follows that

(5)
$$\beta_m(x) \ge 0$$
 $m = K + 2, K + 3, \dots, 2K + 3$

if ε is small enough. But, from the definition of $\beta_{\rm m},$ we have for k < m

$$\beta_m(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \beta_{m-k}(x-t)\beta_k(t)dt$$

so that, from (5)

(6)
$$\beta_m(x) \ge 0 \qquad m \ge K+2$$

if ε is small enough.

We consider now β_m for $m \leq K + 1$. β_m can be negative only on intervals of the kind

$$I = [j + kr + l\gamma, (j + kr)\lambda + l\delta]$$

where j and k are nonnegative integers and l a positive integer satisfying

$$j+k+l=m$$

and on I we have

$$|eta_{\scriptscriptstyle m}(x)| \leq arepsilon c^{\scriptscriptstyle m} (r \lambda - 1)^{\scriptscriptstyle m-1}$$
 .

But we have

$$j+2l+kr < j+kr+l\gamma < (j+kr)\lambda+l\delta < (j+2l+kr)\lambda$$

so that α_{m+l} is positive on *I*. Therefore, using (3), we have

$$\sum_{\substack{1\leq j\leq k+1\ j\neq m+l}}rac{eta_j(x)}{j!}+rac{eta_j(x)}{(m+l)!}>0$$

for $x \in I$ if ε is small enough. This implies that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2K+2} rac{eta_j(x)}{j!} \ge 0$$

for any x and therefore from (6)

(7)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_j(x)}{j!} \ge 0$$

for any x if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough.

Let now g be the function defined by

$$\log g(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{itu} - 1 - itu(1 + u^2)^{-1}) eta(u) du$$
.

Then

$$g(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} dG(x)$$

where G is the function

$$G(x) = e^{-\lambda} \Big\{ \chi(x+\eta) + \int_{-\infty}^{x} \Big[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_n(y+\eta)}{n!} \Big] dy \Big\} .$$

Here χ is the degenerate distribution and λ and η are defined by

$$egin{aligned} \lambda &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty eta(u) du \ \eta &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty u (1+u^2)^{-1} eta(u) du \ . \end{aligned}$$

From (7), it follows that g is a characteristic function if ε is small enough. Since g is not infinitely divisible, from the Khintchine's theorem ([4], Th. 6.2.2), g has an indecomposable factor and since g divides f, the lemma is proved.

As consequences of the Theorem 1, we obtain the following results which are respectively the results of Cramér [1] and Shimizu [6] quoted in the introduction.

COROLLARY 1. If in an interval [0, r] (r > 0), $\psi(u) \ge c > 0$ almost everywhere, then the function f defined by (1) has an indecomposable factor.

COROLLARY 2. If in an interval [r, s] (s > 2r > 0), $\psi(u) \ge c > 0$ almost everywhere, then the function f defined by (1) has an indecomposable factor.

The characterization announced in the introduction is the following.

THEOREM 2. A necessary and sufficient condition that the function f defined by (1) has no indecomposable factor is the existence of an r > 0 such that one of the two following conditions is satisfied:

(a) $\varphi(u) \equiv 0$ a.e.; $\psi(u) = 0$ a.e. if $u \notin [r, 2r]$; (b) $\psi(u) \equiv 0$ a.e.; $\varphi(u) = 0$ a.e. if $u \notin [-2r, -r]$.

Proof. The sufficiency is a consequence of the Theorem 1 of Ostrovskiy [4] (see also [2], Th. 8.2), while the necessity follows immediately from the preceding theorem and from the Theorem 1 of [3] stated above.

References

1. H. Cramér, On the factorization of certain probability distributions, Arkiv för Mat. 1 (1949), 61-65.

2. R. Cuppens, Décomposition des fonctions caractéristiques des vecteurs aléatoires, Publ. Inst. Statist. Univ. Paris (1967), 63-153.

3. _____, On the decomposition of infinitely divisible characteristic functions with continuous Poisson spectrum (to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.)

4. E. Lukacs, Characteristic functions, Charles Griffin and Co., Ltd, London, 1960.

5. I. V. Ostrovskiy, On the decomposition of infinitely divisible laws without gaussian factor (in Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **161** (1965), 48-51.

6. R. Shimizu, On the decomposition of infinitely divisible characteristic functions with a continuous Poisson spectrum, Ann. Inst. Statist. Math. 16 (1964), 384-407.

Received May 27, 1968. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under grant NSF-GP-6175.

THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES, MONTPELLIER