# COEFFICIENT MULTIPLIERS OF $H^{p}$ AND $B^{p}$ SPACES 

P. L. Duren and A. L. Shields

This paper describes the coefficient multipliers of $H^{p}(0<p<1)$ into $\iota^{q}(p \leqq q \leqq \infty)$ and into $H^{q}(1 \leqq q \leqq \infty)$. These multipliers are found to coincide with those of the larger space $B^{p}$ into $\ell^{q}(1 \leqq q \leqq \infty)$ and into $H^{q}(1 \leqq q \leqq \infty)$. The multipliers of $H^{p}$ and $B^{0}$ into $B^{q}(0<p<1,0<q<1)$ are also characterized.

A function $f$ analytic in the unit disk is said to be of class $H^{p}(0<p<\infty)$ if

$$
M_{p}(r, f)=\left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta\right\}^{1 / p}
$$

remains bounded as $r \rightarrow 1$. $H^{\infty}$ is the space of all bounded analytic functions. It was recently found ([2], [4]) that if $p<1$, various properties of $H^{p}$ extend to the larger space $B^{p}$ consisting of all analytic functions $f$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(1-r)^{1 / p-2} M_{1}(r, f) d r<\infty
$$

Hardy and Littlewood [8] showed that $H^{p} \subset B^{p}$.
A complex sequence $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ is called a multiplier of a sequence space $A$ into a sequence space $B$ if $\left\{\lambda_{n} a_{n}\right\} \in B$ whenever $\left\{a_{n}\right\} \in A$. $A$ space of analytic functions can be regarded as a sequence space by identifying each function with its sequence of Taylor coefficients. In [4] we identified the multipliers of $H^{p}$ and $B^{p}(0<p<1)$ into $\ell^{1}$. We have also shown ([2], Th. 5) that the sequence $\left\{n^{1 / q-1 / p}\right\}$ multiplies $B^{p}$ into $B^{q}$. We now extend these results by describing the multipliers of $H^{p}(0<p<1)$ into $\ell^{q}(p \leqq q \leqq \infty)$, of $B^{p}$ into $\ell^{q}(1 \leqq q \leqq \infty)$, and of both $H^{p}$ and $B^{p}$ into $B^{q}(0<q<1)$. We also extend a theorem of Hardy and Littlewood (whose proof was never published) by characterizing the multipliers of $H^{p}$ and $B^{p}$ into $H^{q}(0<p<1 \leqq q \leqq \infty)$. In almost every case considered, the multipliers of $B^{p}$ into a given space are the same as those of $H^{p}$.
2. Multipliers into $\ell^{q}$. We begin by describing the multipliers of $H^{p}$ and $B^{p}$ into $\ell^{\infty}$, the space of bounded complex sequences.

Theorem 1. For $0<p \leqq 1$, a sequence $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ is a multiplier of $H^{p}$ into $\iota^{\infty}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}=O\left(n^{1-1 / p}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $p<1$, the condition (1) also characterizes the multipliers of $B^{p}$ into $\ell^{\infty}$.

Proof. If $f(z)=\sum a_{n} z^{n}$ is in $B^{p}$, then by Theorem 4 of [2],

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}=o\left(n^{1 / p-1}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f \in H^{1}$, then $a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ by the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma. This proves the sufficiency of (1). Conversely, suppose $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ is a multiplier of $H^{p}$ into $\iota^{\infty}$. Then the closed linear operator

$$
\Lambda: f \longrightarrow\left\{\lambda_{n} a_{n}\right\}
$$

maps $H^{p}$ into $\iota^{\infty}$. Thus $\Lambda$ is bounded, by the closed graph theorem (which applies since $H^{p}$ is a complete metric space with translation invariant metric; see [1], Chapter 2). In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n}\left|\lambda_{n} a_{n}\right|=\|\Lambda(f)\| \leqq K\|f\| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let

$$
g(z)=(1-z)^{-1-1 / p}=\sum b_{n} z^{n}
$$

where $b^{n} \sim B n^{1 / p}$; and choose $f(z)=g(r z)$ for fixed $r<1$. Then by (3)

$$
\left|\lambda_{n}\right| n^{1 / p} r^{n} \leqq C(1-r)^{-1} .
$$

The choice $r=1-1 / n$ now gives (1). Note that $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ multiplies $H^{p}$ or $B^{p}$ into $\iota^{\infty}$ if and only if it multiplies into $c_{0}$ (the sequences tending to zero).

As a corollary we may show that the estimate (2) is best possible in a rather strong sense. For functions of class $H^{p}$, this estimate is due to Hardy and Littlewood [8]. Evgrafov [6] later showed that if $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ tends monotonically to zero, then there is an $f \in H^{p}$ for which $a_{n} \neq O\left(\delta_{n} n^{1 / p-1}\right)$. A simpler proof was given in [5]. The result may be reformulated: if $\alpha_{n}=O\left(d_{n}\right)$ for all $f \in H^{p}$, then $d_{n} n^{1-1 / p}$ cannot tend monotonically to zero. We can now sharpen this statement as follows.

Corollary. If $\left\{d_{n}\right\}$ is any sequence of positive numbers such that $a_{n}=O\left(d_{n}\right)$ for every function $\sum a_{n} z^{n}$ in $H^{p}$, then there is an $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
d_{n} n^{1-1 / p} \geqq \varepsilon>0, \quad n=1,2, \cdots .
$$

Proof. If $\alpha_{n}=O\left(d_{n}\right)$ for every $f \in H^{p}$, then $\left\{1 / d_{n}\right\}$ multiplies $H^{p}$ into $\ell^{\infty}$. Thus $1 / d_{n}=O\left(n^{1-1 / p}\right)$, as claimed.

We now turn to the multipliers of $H^{p}$ and $B^{p}$ into $\iota^{q}(q<\infty)$, the space of sequences $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ with $\sum\left|c_{n}\right|^{q}<\infty$. The following theorem generalizes a previously known result [4] for $\ell^{1}$.

Theorem 2. Suppose $0<p<1$.
(i) A complex sequence $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ is a multiplier of $H^{p}$ into $\iota^{q}(p \leqq q<\infty)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{N} n^{q / p}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{q}=O\left(N^{q}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If $1 \leqq q<\infty,\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ is a multiplier of $B^{p}$ into $\iota^{q}$ if and only if (4) holds.
(iii) If $q<p$, the condition (4) does not imply that $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ multiplies $H^{p}$ into $\iota^{q}$; nor does it imply that $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ multiplies $B^{p}$ into $\iota^{q}$ if $q<1$.

Proof. (i) A summation by parts (see [4]) shows that (4) is equivalent to the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=N}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{q}=O\left(N^{q(1-1 / p)}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume without loss of generality that $\lambda_{n} \geqq 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}^{q}=1$. Let $s_{1}=0$ and

$$
s_{n}=1-\left\{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_{k}^{q}\right\}^{1 / \beta}, \quad n=2,3, \cdots,
$$

where $\beta=q(1 / p-1)$. Note that $s_{n}$ increases to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By a theorem of Hardy and Littlewood ([8], p. 412), $f \in H^{p}(0<p<1)$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}(1-r)^{\beta-1} M_{1}^{q}(r, f) d r<\infty, \quad p \leqq q<\infty . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus if $f(z)=\sum a_{n} z^{n}$ is in $H^{p}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ satisfies (4) with $p \leqq q<\infty$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\infty & >\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{s_{n}}^{s_{n+1}}(1-r)^{\beta-1} M_{1}^{q}(r, f) d r \\
& \geqq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{q} \int_{s_{n}}^{s_{n+1}}(1-r)^{\beta-1} r^{n q} d r \\
& \geqq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{q}\left(s_{n}\right)^{n q} \int_{s_{n}}^{s_{n+1}}(1-r)^{\beta-1} d r \\
& =\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{q}\left(s_{n}\right)^{n q}\left\{\left(1-s_{n}\right)^{\beta}-\left(1-s_{n+1}\right)^{\beta}\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{q}\left(s_{n}\right)^{n q} \lambda_{n}^{q},
\end{aligned}
$$

by the definition of $s_{n}$. But by (5),

$$
\left\{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_{k}^{q}\right\}^{1 / \beta} \leqq \frac{C}{n}
$$

which shows, by the definition of $s_{n}$, that

$$
\left(s_{n}\right)^{n q} \geqq(1-C / n)^{n q} \longrightarrow e^{-C q}>0 .
$$

Since these factors $\left(s_{n}\right)^{n q}$ are eventually bounded away from zero, the preceding estimates show that $\sum\left|a_{n}\right|^{q} \lambda_{n}^{q}<\infty$. In other words, $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ is a multiplier of $H^{p}$ into $\iota^{q}$ if it satisfies the condition (4).
(ii) The above proof shows that $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ multiplies $B^{p}$ into $\ell^{1}$ under the condition (4) with $q=1$. (This was also shown in [4].) The more general statement (ii) now follows by showing that if $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ satisfies (4), then the sequence $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ defined by

$$
\mu_{n}=\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{q} n^{(1 / p-1)(q-1)}
$$

satisfies (4) with $q=1$. Hence $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ is a multiplier of $B^{p}$ into $\ell^{1}$, and in view of (2), $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ is a multiplier of $B^{p}$ into $\ell^{q}$. Alternatively, it can be observed that $f \in B^{p}$ implies (6) for $1 \leqq q<\infty$, so that the foregoing proof applies directly. Indeed, if $f \in B^{p}$, then (as shown in [2], proof of Theorem 3)

$$
M_{1}(r, f)=O\left((1-r)^{1-1 / p}\right) ;
$$

hence, if $1 \leqq q<\infty$,

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(1-r)^{q(1 / p-1)-1} M_{1}^{q}(r, f) d r \leqq C \int_{0}^{1}(1-r)^{1 / p-2} M_{1}(r, f) d r<\infty
$$

(iii) That (4) does not imply $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ multiplies $H^{p}$ into $\ell^{q}(q<p)$ or $B^{p}$ into $\ell^{q}(q<1)$, follows from the fact [4] that the series

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-1 / p)-1}\left|a_{n}\right|^{q}
$$

may diverge if $f \in H^{p}$ and $q<p$, or if $f \in B^{p}$ and $q<1$.
To show the necessity of (4), we again appeal to the closed graph theorem. If $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ multiplies $H^{p}$ into $\ell^{q}(0<p<\infty, 0<q<\infty)$, then

$$
\Lambda: f \longrightarrow\left\{\lambda_{n} a_{n}\right\}
$$

is a bounded operator:

$$
\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{n} a_{n}\right|^{q}\right\}^{1 / q} \leqq C\|f\|, \quad f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in H^{p}
$$

Choosing $f(z)=g(r z)$ as in the proof of Theorem 1, we now find

$$
\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q / p}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{q} r^{n q}\right\}^{1 / q} \leqq C(1-r)^{-1}
$$

and (4) follows after terminating this series at $n=N$ and setting $r=1-1 / N$. Note that the argument shows (4) is necessary even if $p^{\prime} \geqq 1$ or $q<p$.

Corollary 1. If $\left\{n_{k}\right\}$ is a lacunary sequence of positive integers $\left(n_{k+1} / n_{k} \geqq Q>1\right)$, and if $f(z)=\sum a_{n} z^{n}$ is in $H^{p}(0<p<1)$, then

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_{k}^{q(1-1 / p)}\left|a_{n_{k}}\right|^{q}<\infty, \quad p \leqq q<\infty
$$

COROLLARY 2. If $f(z)=\sum a_{n} z^{n}$ is in $H^{p}(0<p<1)$, then $\sum n^{p-2}\left|a_{n}\right|^{p}<\infty$.

The first corollary extends a theorem of Paley [13] that $f \in H^{1}$ implies $\left\{\alpha_{n_{k}}\right\} \in \ell^{2}$. The second is a theorem of Hardy and Littlewood [7]. It is interesting to ask whether the converse to Corollary 1 (with $q=p$ ) is valid. That is, if $\left\{c_{k}\right\}$ is a given sequence for which

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_{k}^{p-1}\left|c_{k}\right|^{p}<\infty
$$

then is there a function $f(z)=\sum a_{n} z^{n}$ in $H^{p}$ with $a_{n_{k}}=c_{k}$ ? We do not know the answer.

Hardy and Littlewood [9] also proved that $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ multiplies $H^{1}$ into $H^{2}$ (alias $\ell^{2}$ ) if (and only if)

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N} n^{2}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{2}=O\left(N^{2}\right)
$$

From this it is easy to conclude that (4) characterizes the multipliers of $H^{1}$ into $\ell^{q}, 2 \leqq q<\infty$. Indeed, let $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ satisfy (4) and let $\mu_{n}=$ $\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{q / 2}$. Then, by the Hardy-Littlewood theorem, $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ multiplies $H^{1}$ into $\ell^{2}$ (see [3], p. 253). Hence $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ multiplies $H^{1}$ into $\ell^{q}$. (See also Hedlund [12].)

On the other hand, the condition (4) is not sufficient if $p=1$ and $q<2$. This may be seen by choosing a lacunary series

$$
f(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{n_{k}}, \quad n_{k+1} / n_{k} \geqq Q>1
$$

with $\sum\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}<\infty$ but $\sum\left|c_{k}\right|^{q}=\infty$ for all $q<2$. The sequence $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ with $\lambda_{n}=1$ if $n=n_{k}$ and $\lambda_{n}=0$ otherwise then satisfies (4) but does not multiply $H^{1}$ into $\iota^{q}, q<2$.
3. Multipliers into $B^{q}$. The following theorem may be regarded
as a generalization of our previous result ([2], Th. 5) that if $f \in B^{p}$, then its fractional integral of order $(1 / p-1 / q)$ is in $B^{q}$. (A fractional integral of negative order is understood to be a fractional derivative.)

Theorem 3. Suppose $0<p<1$ and $0<q<1$. Let $\nu$ be the positive integer such that $(\nu+1)^{-1} \leqq p<\nu^{-1}$. Then $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ is a multiplier of $H^{p}$ or $B^{p}$ into $B^{q}$ if and only if $g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} z^{n}$ has the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}\left(r, g^{(\nu)}\right)=O\left((1-r)^{1 / p-1 / q-\nu}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ satisfy (7), let $f(z)=\sum a_{n} z^{n}$ be in $B^{p}$, and let $h(z)=\sum \lambda_{n} a_{n} z^{n}$. Then

$$
h(\rho z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f\left(\rho e^{i t}\right) g\left(z e^{-i t}\right) d t, \quad 0<\rho<1
$$

Differentiation with respect to $z$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{\nu} h^{(\nu)}(\rho z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f\left(\rho e^{i t}\right) g^{(\nu)}\left(z e^{-i t}\right) e^{-i \nu t} d t \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\nu} M_{1}\left(r \rho, h^{(\nu)}\right) & \leqq M_{1}\left(r, g^{(\nu)}\right) M_{1}(\rho, f) \\
& \leqq C(1-r)^{1 / p-1 / q-\nu} M_{1}(\rho, f),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r=|z|$. Taking $r=\rho$, we now see that $f \in B^{p}$ implies $h^{(\nu)} \in B^{s}$, $1 / s=1 / q+\nu$. Thus $h \in B^{q}$, by Theorem 5 of [2].

Conversely, let $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ multiply $H^{p}$ into $B^{q}$. Then by the closed graph theorem,

$$
\Lambda: \sum a_{n} z^{n} \longrightarrow \sum \lambda_{n} a_{n} z^{n}
$$

is a bounded operator from $H^{p}$ to $B^{q}$. If $(\nu+1)^{-1} \leqq p<\nu^{-1}$, let

$$
f(z)=\nu!z^{\nu}(1-z)^{-\nu-1}=\sum_{n=\nu}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}
$$

where $a_{n}=n!/(n-\nu)!$, and observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\sum_{n=\nu}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} a_{n} z^{n}=z^{\nu} g^{(\nu)}(z) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f_{r}(z)=f(r z)$ and $h_{r}(z)=h(r z)$. Since $\Lambda$ is bounded, there is a constant $C$ independent of $r$ such that

$$
\left\|h_{r}\right\|_{B^{q}}=\left\|\Lambda\left(f_{r}\right)\right\| \leqq C\left\|f_{r}\right\|_{H^{p}}
$$

In other words,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1}(1-t)^{1 / q-2} M_{1}(t r, h) d t & \leqq C M_{p}(r, f) \\
& =O\left((1-r)^{1 / p-\nu-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
M_{1}\left(r^{2}, h\right) \int_{r}^{1}(1-t)^{1 / q-2} d t=O\left((1-r)^{1 / p-\nu-1}\right),
$$

or

$$
M_{1}\left(r^{2}, h\right)=O\left((1-r)^{1 / p-1 / q-\nu}\right) .
$$

But in view of (9), this proves (7).

Corollary. The sequence $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ multiplies $B^{p}$ into $B^{p}$ if and only $i f$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}\left(r, g^{\prime}\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $p=q$, the condition (10) is equivalent to (7). (see [8], p. 435.) This corollary is essentially the same as a result of Zygmund ([14], Th. 1), who found the multipliers of the Lipschitz space $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ or $\lambda_{\alpha}$ into itself. Because of the duality between these spaces and $B^{p}$ (see [2], §§ 3, 4), the multipliers from $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ to $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ and from $\lambda_{\alpha}$ to $\lambda_{\alpha}$ $(0<\alpha<1)$ are the same as those from $B^{p}$ to $B^{p}$. Similar remarks apply to the spaces $\Lambda_{*}$ and $\lambda_{*}$, also considered in [14].
4. Multipliers into $H^{q}$. By combining Theorem 3 with the simple fact that $f^{\prime} \in B^{1 / 2}$ implies $f \in H^{1}$, it is possible to obtain a sufficient condition for $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ to multiply $H^{p}$ into $H^{q}, 0<p<1 \leqq q \leqq \infty$. However, this method leads to a sharp result only in the case $q=1$. The following theorem provides the complete answer.

Theorem 4. Suppose $0<p<1 \leqq q \leqq \infty$, and let $(\nu+1)^{-1} \leqq p<\nu^{-1}$, $\nu=1,2, \cdots$. Then $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ is a multiplier of $H^{p}$ or $B^{p}$ into $H^{q}$ if and only if $g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} z^{n}$ has the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{q}\left(r, g^{(\nu+1)}\right)=O\left((1-r)^{1 / p-\nu-2}\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hardy and Littlewood ([9], [10]) stated in different terminology that (11) implies $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ is a multiplier of $H^{p}$ into $H^{q}(0<p<1 \leqq q<\infty)$, but they never published the proof. Our proof will make use of the following lemma.

Lemma. Let $f$ be analytic in the unit disk, and suppose

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(1-r)^{\alpha} M_{q}\left(r, f^{\prime}\right) d r<\infty
$$

where $\alpha>0$ and $1 \leqq q \leqq \infty$. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(1-r)^{\alpha-1} M_{q}(r, f) d r<\infty .
$$

Proof of Lemma. Without loss of generality, assume $f(0)=0$, so that

$$
f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)=\int_{0}^{r} f^{\prime}\left(s e^{i \theta}\right) e^{i \theta} d s
$$

The continuous form of Minkowski's inequality now gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{q}(r, f) \leqq \int_{0}^{r} M_{q}\left(s, f^{\prime}\right) d s \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence an interchange of the order of integration shows that

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(1-r)^{\alpha-1} M_{q}(r, f) d r \leqq \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{\alpha} M_{q}\left(s, f^{\prime}\right) d s
$$

which proves the lemma.
Proof of Theorem 4. Suppose first that $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ satisfies (11). Given $f(z)=\sum a_{n} z^{n}$ in $B^{p}$, we are to show that $h(z)=\sum \lambda_{n} a_{n} z^{n}$ belongs to $H^{q}$. By (8), with $\nu$ replaced by $(\nu+1)$, we have

$$
\rho^{\nu+1}\left|h^{(\nu+1)}(\rho z)\right| \leqq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(\rho e^{i t}\right)\right|\left|g^{(\nu+1)}\left(z e^{-i t}\right)\right| d t .
$$

Since $q \geqq 1$, it follows from Jensen's inequality ([11], § 6.14) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\nu+1} M_{q}\left(r \rho, h^{(\nu+1)}\right) & \leqq M_{1}(\rho, f) M_{q}\left(r, g^{(\nu+1)}\right) \\
& \leqq C(1-r)^{1 / p-\nu-2} M_{1}(\rho, f),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r=|z|$ and (11) has been used. Now set $r=\rho$ and use the hypothesis $f \in B^{p}$ to conclude that

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(1-r)^{\nu} M_{q}\left(r, h^{(\nu+1)}\right) d r<\infty .
$$

But by successive applications of the lemma, this implies

$$
\int_{0}^{1} M_{q}\left(r, h^{\prime}\right) d r<\infty .
$$

Thus, in view of the inequality (12), it follows that $h \in H^{q}$, which was to be shown.

Conversely, suppose $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ is a multiplier of $H^{p}$ into $H^{q}$ for arbitrary $q(0<q \leqq \infty)$. Then by the closed graph theorem,

$$
\Lambda: \sum a_{n} z^{n} \longrightarrow \sum \lambda_{n} a_{n} z^{n}
$$

is a bounded operator from $H^{p}$ to $H^{q}$. An argument similar to that used in the proof of Theorem 3 now leads to the estimate (11).

Corollary. If $0<p<1 \leqq q \leqq \infty$ and $f \in B^{p}$, then its fractional integral $f_{\alpha} \in H^{q}$, where $\alpha=1 / p-1 / q$. This is false if $q<1$.

This corollary can also be proved directly. Indeed, since ([2], Th. 5) the fractional integral of order $(1 / p-1 / s)$ of a $B^{p}$ function is in $B^{s}$ $(0<s<1)$, and since ([8], p. 415) the fractional integral of order ( $1-1 / q$ ) of an $H^{1}$ function is in $H^{q}(1 \leqq q \leqq \infty)$, it suffices to show that $f^{\prime} \in B^{1 / 2}$ implies $f \in H^{1}$. But this is easy; it follows from (12) with $q=1$. That the corollary is false for $q<1$ is a consequence of the fact ([2], Th. 5) that the fractional derivative of order ( $1 / p-1 / q$ ) of every $B^{q}$ function is in $B^{p}$.

The converse is also false. That is, if $f \in H^{q}$, its fractional derivative of order ( $1 / p-1 / q$ ) need not be in $B^{p}(0<p<1 \leqq q \leqq \infty)$. As before, this reduces to showing that $f \in H^{1}$ does not imply $f^{\prime} \in B^{1 / 2}$. To see this, let $f(z)=\sum c_{k} z^{n_{k}}$, where $\left\{n_{k}\right\}$ is lacunary, $\left\{c_{k}\right\} \in \ell^{2}$, and $\left\{c_{k}\right\} \notin \iota^{1}$. Then $f \in H^{2} \subset H^{1}$, but $f^{\prime} \notin B^{1 / 2}$, since it was shown in [4] (Th. 3, Corollary 2) that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_{k c}^{1-1 / p}\left|a_{n_{k}}\right|<\infty
$$

whenever $\sum a_{n} z^{n} \in B^{p}$ and $\left\{n_{k}\right\}$ is a lacunary sequence.
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