# THE PRINCIPLE OF SUBORDINATION APPLIED TO FUNCTIONS OF SEVERAL VARIABLES 

T. J. Suffridge

In this paper we consider univalent maps of domains in $C^{n}(n \geqq 2)$. Let $P$ be a polydisk in $C^{n}$. We find necessary and sufficient conditions that a function $f: P \rightarrow C^{n}$ be univalent and map the polydisk $P$ onto a starlike or a convex domain. We also consider maps from

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{p} & =\left\{z:|z|_{p}<1\right\} \subset C^{n} \\
|z|_{p} & =\left|\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)\right|_{p}=\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|z_{j}\right|^{p}\right]^{1 / p}, \quad p \geqq 1 \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

into $C^{n}$ and give necessary and sufficient conditions that such a map have starlike or convex image.

In [4] Matsuno has considered a similar problem for the hypersphere $D_{2} \subset C^{n}$. His definition of starlikeness is different from that used in this paper, but the results show that the two definitions are equivalent. However, his definition of convex-like is not equivalent to geometrically convex.

1. Preliminary lemmas. For $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)=z \in C^{n}$, define $|z|=$ $\max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left|z_{j}\right|$. Let $E_{r}=\left\{z \in C^{n}:|z|<r\right\}$ and $E=E_{1}$. Let $\mathscr{P}$ be the class of mappings $w: E \rightarrow C^{n}$ which are holomorphic and which satisfy $w(0)=0$, Re $\left[w_{j}(z) / z_{j}\right] \geqq 0$ when $|z|=\left|z_{j}\right|>0,(1 \leqq j \leqq n)$ where $w=$ $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \cdots, w_{n}\right)$. The following lemmas are generalizations of Theorems $A$ and $B$ of Robertson [5, p. 315-317].

Lemma 1. Let $v(z ; t): E \times I \rightarrow C^{n}$ be holomorphic for each $t \in I=$ $[0,1], v(z ; 0)=z, v(0, t)=0$ and $|v(z ; t)|<1$ when $z \in E$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left[(z-v(z ; t)) / t^{\rho}\right]=w(z) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists and is holomorphic in $E$ for some $\rho>0$, then $w \in \mathscr{P}$.
Proof. The hypothesis (2) implies that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} v_{j}(z ; t)=z_{j}$ (here $v(z ; t)=\left(v_{1}(z ; t), v_{2}(z ; t), \cdots, v_{n}(z ; t)\right)$ so

$$
\frac{2 z_{j}\left(z_{j}-v_{j}(z ; t)\right)}{z_{j}+v_{j}(z ; t)} \equiv \psi_{j}(z ; t)
$$

is holomorphic for $z \in E, z_{j} \neq 0 \quad(1 \leqq j \leqq n)$. By Schwarz lemma, $|v(z ; t)| \leqq|z|$ and hence $\operatorname{Re}\left[\psi_{j}(z ; t) / z_{j}\right] \geqq 0$ when $|z|=\left|z_{j}\right|>0$. Setting $\psi(z ; t)=\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \cdots, \psi_{n}\right),\left(z \in E, z_{1} z_{2} \cdots z_{n} \neq 0\right)$ we observe that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \psi(z ; t) / t^{\rho}=w(z)
$$

for these values of $z$ and using continuity of $w$ we conclude $w \in \mathscr{P}$.
Lemma 2. Let $f: E \rightarrow C^{n}$ be holomorphic and univalent and satisfy $f(0)=0$. Let $F(z ; t): E \times I \rightarrow C^{n}$ be a holomorphic function of $z$ for each $t \in I=[0,1], F(z ; 0)=f(z), F(0, t)=0$ and suppose $F(z ; t) \prec f$ for each $t \in I($ i.e., $F(E ; t) \subset f(E)$ for each $t \in I)$. Let $\rho>0$ be such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} F(z ; 0)-F(z ; t) / t^{\rho}=F(z)$ exists and is holomorphic. Then $F(z)=J w$ where $w \in \mathscr{P}$. Here $F$ and $w$ are written as column vectors and $J$ is the complex Jacobian matrix for the mapping $f$.

Proof. Since $F(z ; t) \prec f$ for each $t \in I$, there exists $v: E \times I \rightarrow E$ such that $f(v(z ; t))=F(z ; t)$ where $|v(z ; t)| \leqq|z|$. Writing $f$ as a column vector we have $f(v(z ; t))=f(z)+J(v(z ; t)-z)+R(v(z ; t), z)$ where $|R(\zeta, z)| /|\zeta-z| \rightarrow 0$ as $|\zeta-z| \rightarrow 0$. Hence

$$
\frac{F(z ; 0)-F(z ; t)}{t^{\rho}}=J\left(\frac{z-v(z ; t)}{t^{\rho}}\right)-\frac{R(v(z ; t), z)}{t^{\rho}}
$$

and the lemma follows from Lemma 1.
2. Starlike and convex mappings of the polydisk.

Definition. A holomorphic mapping $f: E \rightarrow C^{n}$ is starlike if $f$ is univalent, $f(0)=0$ and $(1-t) f \prec f$ for all $t \in I$.

Theorem 1. Suppose $f: E \rightarrow C^{n}$ is starlike and that $J$ is the complex Jacobian matrix of $f$. There exists $w \in \mathscr{P}$ such that $f=J w$ where $f$ and $w$ are written as column vectors.

Proof. Apply Lemma 2 with $F(z ; t)=(1-t) f(z)$. Then

$$
f(z)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f(z)-(1-t) f(z)}{t}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{F(z ; 0)-F(z ; t)}{t}
$$

and the theorem follows from Lemma 2.
We now consider the conclusion of Theorem 1 in component form. Let $J_{j}$ be the matrix obtained by replacing the $j$ th column in $J$ by the column vector $f, 1 \leqq j \leqq n$. Then the $j$ th component $w_{j}$ of $w$ is $\operatorname{det}\left(J_{j}\right) / \operatorname{det} J$. Theorem 1 therefore says that if $f$ is starlike then $\operatorname{Re}\left[\operatorname{det}\left(J_{j}\right) / z_{j} \operatorname{det} J\right] \geqq 0$ when $|z|=\left|z_{j}\right|>0$. Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{j}=\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial z_{1}} w_{1}+\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial z_{2}} w_{2}+\cdots+\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial z_{n}} w_{n}, \quad 1 \leqq j \leqq n \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equating coefficients in the power series using (3) we find

$$
w_{j}(z)=z_{j}+\text { terms of total degree } 2 \text { or greater } .
$$

Now suppose $\left|z^{(0)}\right|=\left|z_{j}^{(0)}\right|>0$ and let $\alpha_{k},(1 \leqq k \leqq n)$ be such that $z_{k}^{(0)}=$ $\alpha_{k} z_{j}^{(0)}$. Then $\left|\alpha_{k}\right| \leqq 1$, $(1 \leqq k \leqq n)$. Consider $w_{j}(z) / z_{j}=u\left(z_{j}\right)$ where $z$ is restricted to the set,

$$
z=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right) z_{j}, \quad\left|z_{j}\right|<1
$$

Then $\operatorname{Re} u\left(z_{j}\right) \geqq 0,0<\left|z_{j}\right|<1$ and $u\left(z_{j}\right) \rightarrow 1$ as $z_{j} \rightarrow 0$. Since $\operatorname{Re} u\left(z_{j}\right)$ is a harmonic function of $z_{j}$, we conclude $\operatorname{Re} u\left(z_{j}\right)>0,\left|z_{j}\right|<1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[w_{j}(z) / z_{j}\right]>0 \quad \text { when } \quad|z|=\left|z_{j}\right|>0 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now prove the converse of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. Suppose $f: E \rightarrow C^{n}$ is holomorphic, $f(0)=0, J$ is nonsingular and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=J w, w \in \mathscr{P} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f$ is starlike.

Proof. Since det $J \neq 0$ when $z=0, f$ is univalent in a neighborhood of 0 . It is clear that $\left\{r: 0 \leqq r \leqq 1\right.$ and $f$ is univalent in $\left.E_{r}\right\}=A$ is a closed subset of $[0,1]$. We will show that $A$ is also open and that if $f$ is univalent in $E_{r}$ then $f\left(E_{r}\right)$ is starlike with respect to 0 .

Let $r>0$ be such that $f$ is univalent in $E_{r},(0<r<1)$. Let $z$ be fixed, $|z| \leqq r$ and let $v(z ; t)$ be such that $f(v(z ; t))=(1-t) f(z)$, $-\varepsilon<t<t_{0}$ where $\varepsilon$ is small and positive and $t_{0}>0$. This is possible since $\operatorname{det} J \neq 0$.

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
v(z ; t) & =v(z ; 0)+J^{-1} \cdot(-f(z)) \cdot t+g(t) \\
& =z-J^{-1} \cdot J \cdot w \cdot t+g(t)  \tag{6}\\
v(z ; t) & =z-t w+g(t)
\end{align*}
$$

by (5). Here $|g(t)| / t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Using (4), we conclude $|v(z ; t)|$ is a strictly decreasing function of $t$. Hence each point of the ray $(1-t) f(z), 0<t \leqq 1$ is the image of a point $v(z ; t) \in E_{r}$ for each $z$ such that $|z| \leqq r$. We conclude that $f\left(E_{r}\right)$ is starlike with respect to 0 . We now show $A$ is open. Observe that $f$ is one-to-one in the closed polydisk $\bar{E}_{r}$ for if $|z| \leqq|\zeta|=r, z \neq \zeta$ and $f(z)=f(\zeta)$ then by (6) and (4) we can conclude that for $t$ positive and sufficiently small there are functions $v(\zeta ; t), v(z ; t)$ such that $v(\zeta ; t), v(z, t) \in E_{r}, v(\zeta ; t) \neq v(z ; t)$ and
$f(v(z ; t))=(1-t) f(z)=(1-t) f(\zeta)=f(v(\zeta, t))$ which is a contradiction.

We now define a continuous nonnegative function $\phi: E \times E \rightarrow R$ ( $R$ is the real numbers) such that $\phi(z, \zeta)=0$ if and only if $f(z)=f(\zeta)$, $z \neq \zeta$. We show that $\phi$ is positive on the closed set $\bar{E}_{r} \times \bar{E}_{r}$ and hence has a positive minimum on this set. This will imply $f$ is univalent in $E_{r+\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon>0$ and hence $A$ is open. For $z, \zeta \in E$, define $G(z, \zeta)=\operatorname{det}\left(a_{i j}\right)$ where

$$
a_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{f_{i}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{j}, \zeta_{j+1} \cdots, \zeta_{n}\right)-f_{i}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{j-1}, \zeta_{j}, \cdots, \zeta_{n}\right)}{z_{j}-\zeta_{j}},\left(z_{j} \neq \zeta_{j}\right) \\
\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial z_{j}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{j}, \zeta_{j+1}, \cdots, \zeta_{n}\right), \quad\left(z_{j}=\zeta_{j}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)$.
Now set $\phi(z, \zeta)=|G(z, \zeta)|+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|f_{j}(z)-f_{j}(\zeta)\right|$. Then $\phi(z, z)=$ $\mid \operatorname{det}(J(z) \mid>0$ while

$$
\phi(z, \zeta)>0 \quad \text { when } \quad f(z) \neq f(\zeta) .
$$

If $f(z)=f(\zeta)$ for some $z, \zeta \in E, z \neq \zeta$ then the columns of $G(z, \zeta)$ are not linearly independent so $G(z, \zeta)=0$ and $\phi(z, \zeta)=0$. The proof is now complete.

Theorem 3. Suppose $f: E \rightarrow C^{n}$ is holomorphic, $f(0)=0$ and that $J$ is nonsingular for all $z \in E$. Then $f$ is a univalent map of $E$ onto a convex domain if and only if there exist univalent mappings $f_{j}(1 \leqq j \leqq n)$ from the unit disk in the plane onto convex domains in the plane such that $f(z)=T\left(f_{1}\left(z_{1}\right), f_{2}\left(z_{2}\right) \cdots, f_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right)$ where $T$ is a nonsingular linear transformation.

Proof. It is clear that if $f$ satisfies the conditions given in the theorem, then $f$ is univalent and $f(E)$ is convex. We will prove the converse.

Suppose $f$ is a univalent map of $E$ onto a convex domain. Let $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots, A_{n}\right)$ where $A_{j} \geqq 0(1 \leqq j \leqq n)$ and let

$$
A_{t}(z)=\left(z_{1} e^{i A_{1} t}, z_{2} e^{i A_{2} t}, \cdots, z_{n} e^{i A_{n} t}\right)
$$

where $-1 \leqq t \leqq 1$. Then

$$
F(z ; t)=1 / 2\left[f\left(A_{t}(z)\right)+f\left(A_{-t}(z)\right)\right] \prec f \quad 0 \leqq t \leqq 1
$$

and $F(z ; t)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2 with $\rho=2$. Usingthe same notation as in Lemma 2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
F(z)= & \left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \cdots, F_{n}\right) \\
2 F_{j}= & \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k}^{2}\left(z_{k}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{j}}{\partial z_{k}^{2}}+z_{k} \frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial z_{k}}\right)  \tag{7}\\
& +2 \sum_{k=2}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} A_{k} A_{l} z_{k} z_{l} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{j}}{\partial z_{l} \partial z_{k}}
\end{align*}
$$

and also $F=J w, w \in \mathscr{P}$. Hence we find that $w_{j}=\operatorname{det} J^{(j)} / \operatorname{det} J$ where $J^{(j)}$ is obtained from $J$ by replacing the $j$ th column by $F$ written as a column vector. Fix $k, 1 \leqq k \leqq n$ and choose $A_{k}=1, A_{l}=0, l \neq k$, $1 \leqq l \leqq n$. Suppose $|z|=\left|z_{j}\right|>0, j \neq k$ and $z_{k}=0$. Then $w_{j} / z_{j}=0$ and since $\operatorname{Re}\left(w_{j} / z_{j}\right) \geqq 0$ when $|z|=\left|z_{j}\right|>0$ we must have $w_{j} \equiv 0$. We have therefore shown that for $1 \leqq j \leqq n$ and $1 \leqq k \leqq n$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{k}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{j}}{\partial z_{k}^{2}}+z_{k} \frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial z_{k}}=\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial z_{k}} \psi_{k} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Re}\left[\psi_{k}(z) / z_{k}\right] \geqq 0$ when $|z|=\left|z_{k}\right|>0$. With $k$ as before, fix $l$, $1 \leqq l \leqq n, l \neq k$ and choose $A_{k}=1, A_{l}=\varepsilon>0$ and $A_{m}=0,1 \leqq m \leqq n$, $m \neq k$, $l$.

Using (8) we conclude

$$
w_{j}=\varepsilon \frac{z_{k} z_{l} G_{j}}{\operatorname{det} J}+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \quad(j \neq k)
$$

where $G_{j}$ is obtained from det $J$ by replacing the $j$ th column by the column $\partial^{2} f_{m} / \partial z_{l} \partial z_{k}(1 \leqq m \leqq n)$. Hence $\operatorname{Re}\left[z_{k} z_{l} / z_{j} \cdot G_{j} / \operatorname{det} J\right] \geqq 0$ when $|z|=\left|z_{j}\right|>0$. Since $\operatorname{Re}\left[z_{k} z_{l} / z_{j} \cdot G_{j} / \operatorname{det} J\right]=0$ when $z_{k} z_{l}=0$ we see that $G_{j} \equiv 0$ for each $j, 1 \leqq j \leqq n$.

Since the system of equations

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial z_{j}} \dot{\phi}_{j}=\frac{\partial^{2} f_{m}}{\partial z_{l} \partial z_{k}}
$$

$$
1 \leqq m \leqq n
$$

has solution

$$
\dot{\phi}_{j}=\frac{G_{j}}{\operatorname{det} J}=0 \quad 1 \leqq j \leqq n
$$

we conclude

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} f_{m}}{\partial z_{l} \partial z_{k}}=0 \quad 1 \leqq m \leqq n
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{m}(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j, m} \phi_{j, m}\left(z_{j}\right) \quad 1 \leqq m \leqq n \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{j, m}$ is analytic on the unit disk in the complex plane. Using
(8) we conclude $\phi_{j, m}=\phi_{j, k}(1 \leqq m, k \leqq n)$ provided the constants $a_{j, m}$ in (9) are appropriately chosen. The theorem now follows readily from (8).

Example 1. Let $f: E \rightarrow C^{2}$ be given by $f(z)=\left(z_{1}+a z_{2}^{2}, z_{2}\right)$ where $a$ is a complex number, $a \neq 0$. Clearly $f$ is univalent. Letting $f=J w$, we find $w_{1}=z_{1}-a z_{2}^{2}, w_{2}=z_{2}$ so $f$ is starlike provided $|a|<1$. Note that Theorem 3 implies the suprising result that none of the sets $f\left(E_{r}\right)$ is convex ( $1>r>0$ ).

Example 2. Let $f: E \rightarrow C^{2}$ be given by $f(z)=\left(z_{1} g(z), z_{2} g(z)\right), g: E \rightarrow$ $C$ where $g$ is holomorphic, $0 \notin g(E)$. Then $f=J w$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1} / z_{1}=w_{2} / z_{2}=1+\left[z_{1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial z_{1}}+z_{2} \frac{\partial g}{\partial z_{2}}\right] / g \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $f$ is starlike if and only if $\operatorname{Re}\left(w_{1}(z) / z_{1}\right) \geqq 0, z \in E$. Conversely, one can show that if $f: E \rightarrow C^{2}$ is holomorphic, $f=J w$ where $w \in \mathscr{P}$ and $w_{1} / z_{1}=w_{2} / z_{2}$ then there exists $g: E \rightarrow C, g$ holomorphic, $0 \notin g(E)$ such that (10) holds and $f=\left(\left(a_{1} z_{1}+a_{2} z_{2}\right) g,\left(b_{1} z_{1}+b_{2} z_{2}\right) g\right),\left(a_{1} b_{2} \neq a_{2} b_{1}\right)$. In these cases the intersection of the polydisk $E$ with an analytic plane $\alpha z_{1}+\beta z_{2}=0$ maps into an analytic plane $\delta f_{1}+\gamma f_{2}=0$. Interesting choices of $g$ are $g(z)=\left(1-z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{-1}$ and $g(z)=\left[\left(1-z_{1}\right)\left(1-z_{2}\right)\right]^{-1}$.
3. Extension to convex and starlike maps of $D_{p}$. Since the details of the proofs for the results in this section are similar to those in §'s 2 and 3 , we omit the details. We wish to find lemmas which apply to $D_{p}$ ( $D_{p}$ is defined in equation (1)) in the same way that Lemmas 1 and 2 apply to the polydisk. The crucial point is that given equation (6) with $0 \neq z \in D_{p}$ we wish to conclude

$$
|v(z ; t)|_{p} \leqq|z|_{p} \quad \text { when } \quad 0<t<\varepsilon
$$

for some $\varepsilon>0$. This will be true provided $\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|z_{j}-t w_{j}\right|^{p}<\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|z_{j}\right|^{p}$ for $t$ sufficiently small. That is

$$
\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ z_{j} \neq 0}}^{n}\left|z_{j}\right|^{p}\left(1-2 t \operatorname{Re} w_{j} / z_{j}+t^{2}\left|w_{j} / z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 2}+\sum_{z_{j}=0} t^{p}\left|w_{j}\right|^{p}<\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|z_{j}\right|^{p}
$$

or

$$
t\left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ z_{j} \neq 0}}^{n}-p \operatorname{Re}\left|z_{j}\right|^{p} \operatorname{Re}\left(w_{j} / z_{j}\right)+\sum_{z_{j}=0} t^{p-1}\left|w_{j}\right|\right)<0
$$

when $t$ is sufficiently small, $t>0$. Hence we define $\mathscr{P}_{p}$ for $p \geqq 1$ by $w \in \mathscr{P}_{p}$ if $w: D_{p} \subset C^{n} \rightarrow C^{n}, w(0)=0, w$ holomorphic and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} \cdot\left|z_{j}\right|^{p} / z_{j} \geqq 0 \quad \text { if } p>1  \tag{11}\\
& \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
z_{j} \neq 0}}^{n} w_{j} \cdot\left|z_{j}\right|\left|z_{j}-\sum_{z_{j}=0}\right| w_{j} \mid \geqq 0 \quad \text { if } p=1,
\end{align*}
$$

$z \in D_{p}, w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \cdots, w_{n}\right)$.
We now have the following lemmas and theorems which correspond to the lemmas and theorems of $\S \S 2$ and 3.

Lemma 3. Let $v(z ; t): D_{p} \times I \rightarrow C^{n}$ be holomorphic for each $t \in I$, $v(z, 0)=z, v(0, t)=0$ and $|v(z ; t)|_{p}<1$ when $z \in D_{p}$. If

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left[(z-v(z ; t)) / t^{\rho}\right]=w(z)
$$

exists and is holomorphic in $D_{p}$ for some $\rho>0$, then $w \in \mathscr{P}_{p}$.
Lemma 4. Let $f: D_{p} \rightarrow C^{n}$ be holomorphic and univalent and satisfy $f(0)=0$. Let $F(z ; t): D_{p} \times I \rightarrow C^{n}$ be a holomorphic function of $z$ for each $t \in I, F(z, 0)=f(z), F(0 ; t)=0$ and suppose $F(z ; t) \prec t$ for each $t \in I$. Let $\rho>0$ be such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}+(F(z ; 0)-F(z ; t)) / t^{\rho}=F(z)$ exists and is holomorphic. Then $F(z)=J w$ where $w \in \mathscr{P}_{p}$.

Theorem 4. If $f: D_{p} \rightarrow C^{n}$ is starlike then there exists $w \in \mathscr{P}_{p}$ such that $f=J w$. Conversely, if $f: D_{p} \rightarrow C^{n}, f(0)=0, J$ is nonsingular and $f=J w, w \in \mathscr{P}_{p}$ then $f$ is starlike.

Theorem 5. Let $f: D_{p} \rightarrow C^{n}, f(0)=0$ and suppose $J$ is nonsingular. Then $f\left(D_{p}\right)$ is convex if and only if $F=$ Jw where $w \in \mathscr{P}_{p}$ for each choice of $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots, A_{n}\right), A_{j} \geqq 0(1 \leqq j \leqq n)$ and $F$ is given by (7) with $z \in D_{p}$.

Now set $p=2$. It is easy to see that Theorem 4 above is equivalent to Matsuno's Theorem 1 [4, p. 91]. Consider $f: D_{2} \rightarrow C^{2}$ given by $f(z)=\left(z_{1}+a z_{2}^{2}, z_{2}\right)$. Theorem 5 shows that $f\left(D_{2}\right)$ is convex if and only if $|a| \leqq 1 / 2$ while Matsuno's Lemma 3 [4, p. 94] implies $f$ is convexlike if and only if $|a| \leqq 3 \sqrt{3} / 4$. This shows that convex-like is not equivalent to geometrically convex.
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