# UPPER AND LOWER BOUNDS FOR EIGENVALUES BY FINITE DIFFERENCES 

J. R. Kuttler

Upper and lower bounds for the eigenvalues of elliptic partial differential equations associated with fixed membranes and clamped plates are given in terms of corresponding eigenvalues of their finite difference analogues. The upper bounds are found by interpolating piecewise polynomials through the solutions to the difference equations and substituting into the variational principle associated with the differential equations. The lower bounds are found by averaging the solutions to the differential equations and substituting into the discrete variational principle.

In this paper we are concerned with the following eigenvalue problems:
the vibration of a fixed membrane,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u+\lambda u=0 \text { in } R, u=0 \text { on } \partial R ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the vibration of a clamped plate,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{2} v-\Omega v=0 \text { in } R, v=\frac{\partial v}{\partial n}=0 \text { on } \partial R ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the buckling of a clamped plate,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{2} w+\Lambda \Delta w=0 \text { in } R, w=\frac{\partial w}{\partial n}=0 \text { on } \partial R . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $R$ is a bounded region of Euclidean $n$-space with boundary $\partial R$, $\Delta$ is the Laplacian, $\partial / \partial n$ the normal derivative.

Each of these problems has a positive sequence of eigenvalues having no finite accumulation point:

$$
0<\lambda^{(1)} \leqq \lambda^{(2)} \leqq \cdots, 0<\Omega^{(1)} \leqq \Omega^{(2)} \leqq \cdots, 0<\Lambda^{(1)} \leqq \Lambda^{(2)} \leqq \cdots
$$

These eigenvalues may be characterized by the following minimax principles:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{(k)}=\min \max _{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{R}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(a_{1} u_{1}+\cdots+a_{k} u_{k}\right)\right]^{2} d x}{\int_{R}\left[a_{1} u_{1}+\cdots+a_{k} u_{k}\right]^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the minimum is over linearly independent sets of functions
$u_{1}, \cdots, u_{k}$ which are continuous, have piecewise continuous first ${ }_{-}^{-}$derivatives, and have support in $R$;

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Omega^{(k)}=\min \max _{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}} \frac{\int_{R}\left[\Delta\left(a_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+a_{k} v_{k}\right)\right]^{2} d x}{\int_{R}\left[a_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+a_{k} v_{k}\right]^{2} d x},  \tag{5}\\
\Lambda^{(k)}=\min \max _{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}} \frac{\int_{R}\left[\Delta\left(a_{1} w_{1}+\cdots+a_{k} w_{k}\right)\right]^{2} d x}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{R}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(a_{1} w_{1} \top \cdots+a_{k} w_{k}\right)\right]^{2} d x}, \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the minima are over linearly independent sets of functions $v_{1}, \cdots, v_{k}$ and $w_{1}, \cdots, w_{k}$, respectively, which are continuous, have continuous first derivatives, piecewise continuous second derivatives, and have support in $R$.

We will obtain explicit upper and lower bounds for these eigenvalues in terms of the corresponding eigenvalues of the finite difference analogues:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{h} U+\lambda_{h} U=0 \text { on } R_{h}, U=0 \text { off } R_{h}  \tag{7}\\
& \Delta_{h}^{2} V-\Omega_{h} V=0 \text { on } R_{h}, V=0 \text { off } R_{h}  \tag{8}\\
& \Delta_{h}^{2} W+\Lambda_{h} \Delta_{h} W=0 \text { on } R_{h}, W=0 \text { off } R_{h} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $R_{h}$ is a bounded subset of the mesh

$$
S_{h} \equiv\left\{\left(i_{1} h, \cdots, i_{n} h\right): i_{1}, \cdots, i_{n} \text { are integers }\right\}
$$

for $h>0$, and $\Delta_{h} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{i} \bar{\partial}_{i}$ is the $(2 n+1)$-point approximation of the Laplacian, where $\partial_{i}, \bar{\partial}_{i}$ are forward and backward $i$-th difference operators:
$\partial_{i} U\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=h^{-1}\left[U\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{i}+h, \cdots, x_{n}\right)-U\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{i}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)\right]$, $\bar{\partial}_{i} U\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=h^{-1}\left[U\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{i}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)-U\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{i}-h, \cdots, x_{n}\right)\right]$.

Each difference problem has a finite positive sequence of eigenvalues:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0<\lambda_{h}^{(1)} \leqq \lambda_{h}^{(2)} & \leqq \cdots \leqq \lambda_{h}^{(2)}, 0<\Omega_{h}^{(1)} \leqq \Omega_{h}^{(2)} \\
& \leqq \cdots \leqq \Omega_{h}^{(2)}, 0<\Lambda_{h}^{(1)} \leqq \Lambda_{h}^{(2)} \leqq \cdots \leqq \Lambda_{h}^{(2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\nu$ is the number of points in $R_{h}$. These eigenvalues also may be characterized by minimax principles:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{h}^{(k)}=\min \max _{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} h^{n} \sum_{S_{h}}\left[\partial_{i}\left(a_{1} U_{1}+\cdots+a_{k} U_{k}\right)\right]^{2}}{h^{n} \sum_{S_{h}}\left[a_{1} U_{1}+\cdots+a_{k} U_{k}\right]^{2}},  \tag{10}\\
& \Omega_{h}^{(k)}=\min \max _{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}} \frac{h^{n} \sum_{S_{h}}\left[\Delta_{h}\left(a_{1} V_{1}+\cdots+a_{k} V_{k}\right)\right]^{2}}{h^{n} \sum_{S_{h}}\left[a_{1} V_{1}+\cdots+a_{k} V_{k}\right]^{2}},  \tag{11}\\
& \Lambda_{h}^{(k)}=\min \max _{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}} \frac{h^{n} \sum_{S_{h}}\left[\Delta_{h}\left(a_{1} W_{1}+\cdots+a_{k} W_{k}\right)\right]^{2}}{h^{n} \sum_{S_{h}}\left[\partial_{i}\left(a_{1} W_{1}+\cdots+a_{k} W_{k}\right)\right]^{2}}, \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where the minima are over linearly independent sets of mesh functions $U_{1}, \cdots, U_{k}$ and $V_{1}, \cdots, V_{k}$ and $W_{1}, \cdots, W_{k}$, respectively, which vanish off $R_{h}$.
2. The lower bounds. To obtain lower bounds we take the continuous eigenfunctions of problems (1), (2), (3), and average them over cubes of sides $h$ about mesh points. The resulting mesh functions are then admissible candidates for the minimax principles (10), (11), (12). The technique is due to Weinberger [4], who applied it to problems (1) and (3), among others.

To simplify notation, let $x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$, let $e_{i}$ be the unit vector in the $i$-th coordinate direction, and let

$$
C_{h}(x)=\left\{\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right):\left|y_{i}-x_{i}\right| \leqq \frac{1}{2} h, i=1, \cdots, n\right\}
$$

be the cube of side $h$ about $x$.
If $u$ is a continuous and piecewise differentiable function with support in $R$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x)=h^{-n} \int_{C_{h}(x)} u(y) d y, \quad x \in S_{h} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a mesh function which vanishes off $R_{h}$, the subset of $S_{h}$ consisting of points $x$ for which $C_{h}(x) \cap R$ is not empty. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R} u^{2} d x-h^{n} \sum_{R_{h}} U^{2}=\sum_{x \in R_{h}} \int_{C_{h}(x)}[u(y)-U(x)]^{2} d y \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now since

$$
\int_{C_{h}(x)}[u(y)-U(x)] d y=0
$$

each integral on the right of (14) is bounded by the integral of the square of the gradient of $u$ times the reciprocal of the second free membrane eigenvalue for the cube of side $h$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R} u^{2} d x-h^{n} \sum_{R_{h}} U^{2} \leqq \frac{h^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{i} \int_{R}\left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right]^{2} d x \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have, by integration by parts,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{i} U(x)=h^{-n-1} \int_{C_{h}\left(x+e_{i} h\right) \cup c_{h}(x)} \psi\left(y_{i}-x_{i}\right) \frac{\partial u(y)}{\partial y_{i}} d y \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\psi(\xi)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\xi+\frac{1}{2} h, & -\frac{1}{2} h \leqq \xi \leqq \frac{1}{2} h \\
\frac{3}{2} h-\xi, & \frac{1}{2} h \leqq \xi \leqq \frac{3}{2} h \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R}\left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right]^{2} d x-h^{n} \sum_{S_{h}}\left[\partial_{i} U\right]^{2} \\
= & h^{-1} \sum_{x \in S_{h}} \int_{C_{h}\left(x+e_{i} h\right) \cup C_{h}(x)} \psi\left(y_{i}-x_{i}\right)\left[\frac{\partial u(y)}{\partial y_{i}}-\partial_{i} U(x)\right]^{2} d y,  \tag{17}\\
& i=1, \cdots, n .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, since the right side is positive,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} h^{n} \sum_{S_{h}}\left[\partial_{i} U\right]^{2} \leqq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{R}\left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right]^{2} d x . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the function $u$ is continuous, has continuous first derivatives and piecewise continuous second derivatives, each integral on the right side of (17) is bounded by the integral of the square of the gradient of $\partial u / \partial y_{i}$ times the reciprocal of the second eigenvalue $\eta_{2}$ of the weighted free membrane problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\Delta \varphi(y)+\eta \psi\left(y_{i}-x_{i}\right) \varphi(y)=0, & y \in C_{h}\left(x+e_{i} h\right) \cup C_{h}(x)  \tag{19}\\
\frac{\partial \varphi(y)}{\partial n}=0, & y \in \partial\left[C_{h}\left(x+e_{i} h\right) \cup C_{h}(x)\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

The eigenvalue here is the second one because

$$
\int_{C_{h}\left(x+e_{i} h\right) \cup C_{h}(x)} \psi\left(y_{i}-x_{i}\right)\left[\frac{\partial u(y)}{\partial y_{i}}-\partial_{i} U(x)\right] d y=0
$$

Since $\psi\left(y_{i}-x_{i}\right) \leqq h$, a lower bound for $\eta_{2}$ is the second eigenvalue of the problem obtained by replacing $\psi$ with $h$ in (19), i.e.,

$$
\eta_{2} \geqq \frac{1}{4} \pi^{2} h^{-3}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{1=i}^{n} h^{n} \sum_{S_{h}}\left[\partial_{i} U\right]^{2} \geqq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{R}\left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right]^{2} d x-8 \frac{h^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \int_{R}[\Delta u]^{2} d x \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Still assuming $u$ is continuous, has continuous first derivatives, and piecewise continuous second derivatives, we have, by integration by parts,

$$
\partial_{i} \bar{\partial}_{i} U(x)=h^{-n-2} \int_{C_{h}\left(x-e_{i} h\right) \cup c_{h}(x) \cup C_{h}\left(x+e_{i} h\right)} \widetilde{\psi}\left(y_{i}-x_{i}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u(y)}{\partial y_{i}^{2}} d y, \quad i=1, \cdots, n,
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{\psi}(\xi)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi+\frac{3}{2} h\right)^{2}, & -\frac{3}{2} h \leqq \xi \leqq-\frac{1}{2} h \\
\frac{3}{4} h^{2}-\xi^{2}, & -\frac{1}{2} h \leqq \xi \leqq \frac{1}{2} h \\
\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi-\frac{3}{2} h\right)^{2}, & \frac{1}{2} h \leqq \xi \leqq \frac{3}{2} h \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}\right]^{2} d x-h^{n} \sum_{S h}\left[\partial_{i} \bar{\partial}_{i} U\right]^{2} \\
&=h^{-2} \sum_{x \in S_{h}} \int_{C_{h}\left(x-e_{i} h\right) \cup c_{h}(x) \cup c_{h}\left(x+e_{i} h\right)} \tilde{\psi}\left(y_{i}-x_{i}\right)\left[\frac{\partial^{2} u(y)}{\partial y_{i}^{2}}-\partial_{i} \bar{\partial}_{i} U(x)\right]^{2} d y \geqq 0,  \tag{21}\\
& i=1, \cdots, n .
\end{align*}
$$

We also have, for $i \neq j$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right]^{2} d x-h^{n} \sum_{x \in S h}\left[\partial_{i} \partial_{j} U\right]^{2} \\
= & h^{-2} \sum_{x \in S h} \int_{C_{h}(x) \cup c_{h}\left(x+e_{i} h\right) \cup C_{h}\left(x+e_{j} h\right) \cup c_{h}\left(x+e_{i} h+e_{j} h\right)} \psi\left(y_{i}-x_{i}\right) \Psi\left(y_{j}-x_{j}\right)  \tag{22}\\
& \times\left[\frac{\partial^{2} u(y)}{\partial y_{i} \partial y_{j}}-\partial_{i} \partial_{j} U(x)\right]^{2} d y \geqq 0, \quad i, j=1, \cdots, n .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (21) and (22), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{n} \sum_{S_{\boldsymbol{k}}}\left[\Delta_{h} U\right]^{2} \leqq \int_{R}[\Delta u]^{2} d x \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we obtain the desired lower bounds. Let $u^{(j)}$ be the eigenfunction associated with $\lambda^{(j)}$ in (1). We may assume

$$
\int_{R} u^{(i)} u^{(j)} d x=\delta(i, j),
$$

where $\delta(i, j)$ is the Kronecker delta. Let

$$
U_{j}(x)=h^{-n} \int_{c_{h}(x)} u^{(j)}(y) d y, \quad x \in R_{h}
$$

We employ (15) and (18) with $u=a_{1} u^{(1)}+\cdots+a_{k} u^{(k)}, U=a_{1} U_{1}+$ $\cdots+a_{k} U_{k}$ in (10) and see that

$$
\lambda_{h}^{(k)} \leqq \frac{\lambda^{(k)}}{1-\frac{h^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \lambda^{(k)}},
$$

or, what is the same thing,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda_{h}^{(k)}}{1+\frac{h^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \lambda_{h}^{(k)}} \leqq \lambda^{(k)} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, let $v^{(j)}$ be the eigenfunction associated with $\Omega^{(j)}$ in (2), also such that

$$
\int_{R} v^{(i)} v^{(j)} d x=\delta(i, j)
$$

Let

$$
V_{j}(x)=h^{-n} \int_{C_{h}(x)} v^{(j)}(y) d y, \quad x \in R_{h}
$$

Employing (15) and (23) with $u=a_{1} v^{(1)}+\cdots+a_{k} v^{(k)}, U=a_{1} V_{1}+$ $\cdots+a_{k} V_{k}$ in (11), we see that

$$
\Omega_{h}^{(k)} \leqq \frac{\Omega^{(k)}}{1-\frac{h^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \Omega^{(k)}}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Omega_{h}^{(k)}}{1+\frac{h^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \Omega_{h}^{(k)}} \leqq \Omega^{(k)} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Inequalities (24) and (25) correspond to (2.25) and (8.10) of [4].) Next, let $w^{(j)}$ be the eigenfunction associated with $\Lambda^{(j)}$ in (3), such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{R} \frac{\partial w^{(j)}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial w^{(l)}}{\partial x_{i}} d x=\delta(j, l)
$$

Let

$$
W_{j}(x)=h^{-n} \int_{C_{h}(x)} w^{(j)}(y) d y, \quad x \in R_{h}
$$

Employing (20) and (23) with $u=a_{1} w^{(1)}+\cdots+a_{k} w^{(k)}, U=a_{1} W_{1}+$ $\cdots+a_{k} W_{k}$ in (12), we see that

$$
\Lambda_{k}^{(k)} \leqq \frac{\Lambda^{(k)}}{1-8 \frac{h^{2}}{\pi^{2}} 厶^{(k)}},
$$

or,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Lambda_{k}^{(k)}}{1+8 \frac{h^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \Lambda_{h^{(k)}}} \leqq \Lambda^{(k)} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality is new.
3. The upper bounds. To obtain upper bounds we take the mesh eigenfunctions of problems (7), (8), (9) and interpolate to obtain admissible candidates for the minimax problems (4), (5), (6).

Pólya [3] has applied this technique to problem (1) using piecewise linear interpolation. Specifically, he considered the mesh domain $R_{h}$ consisting of points $x$ in $S_{h}$ such that $C_{2 h}(x) \subset R$. Each mesh square with vertices at points of $S_{h}$ he divided into two triangles by a diagonal through two vertices. Given a mesh function $U$ which vanishes off $R_{h}$, he interpolated a function $u$, linear on each triangle and agreeing with $U$ at the vertices. He then proved the estimates

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{R} u^{2} d x \geqq h^{2} \sum_{x \in R_{h}} U^{2}-\frac{1}{4} h^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} h^{2} \sum_{x \in R_{h}}\left[\partial_{i} U\right]^{2}, \\
\sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{R}\left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial v_{i}}\right]^{2} d x=\sum_{i=1}^{2} h^{2} \sum_{x \in S_{h}}\left[\partial_{i} U\right]^{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

from which it follows that, for $n=2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{(k)} \leqq \frac{\lambda_{h}^{(k)}}{1-\frac{1}{2} h^{2} \lambda_{k}^{(k)}} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Weinberger [4] indicates how this may be extended to higher dimensions.

For the problems (2) and (3), however, piecewise linear functions are not smooth enough to be admissible in (5) and (6). We must interpolate with functions which are cubic polynomials in each space variable in each mesh cube, and such that the function is continuous with continuous first derivatives across the sides of the cube.

Let us first consider the one-dimensional case ( $n=1$ ). Given a mesh function $U$, we uniquely define the interpolating function, $P_{h} U$, by requiring that for $x \in S_{h}$

$$
P_{h} U(x)=U(x), \frac{d}{d x}\left[P_{h} U(x)\right]=\frac{1}{2}[\partial U(x)+\bar{\partial} U(x)] .
$$

By linearity,

$$
P_{h} U(x)=\sum_{y \in S_{h}} U(y) P_{h} \delta(x, y)
$$

so it suffices to define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{h}(x-y) \equiv P_{h} \delta(x, y) \\
= & \left\{\begin{array}{cl}
1-\frac{5}{2}\left|\frac{x-y}{h}\right|^{2}+\frac{3}{2}\left|\frac{x-y}{h}\right|^{3} & , \\
2-4|x-y| \leqq h \\
2-y \\
2 & +\frac{x}{2}\left|\frac{x-y}{h}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{x-y}{h}\right|^{3} \\
0 & h \leqq|x-y| \leqq 2 h \\
& , 2 h \leqq|x-y|
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

For general $n$, then, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{h} U(x) & =P_{h, x_{1}} P_{h, x_{2}} \cdots P_{h, x_{n}} U\left(x_{1}, \cdots, \dot{x}_{n}\right) \\
& =\sum_{y \in R_{h}} U(y) \prod_{i=1}^{n} k_{h}\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us assume $R_{h}$ consists of point $x$ of $R_{h}$ such that $C_{4 n}(x) \subset R$. Then, for $U$ vanishing off $R_{h}, P_{h} U$ will vanish off $R$. We now wish to estimate

$$
\int_{R}\left[P_{h} U\right]^{2} d x
$$

Let us again first do the case $n=1$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[P_{h} U\right]^{2} d z= & \sum_{x, y \in S h} U(x) U(y) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_{h}(x-z) k_{h}(y-z) d z \\
= & \sum_{x, y \in S_{h}} U(x) U(y) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_{h}(z) k_{h}(z+x-y) d z \\
= & \sum_{x \in S_{h}} U(x)\left\{U(x) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left[k_{h}(z)\right]^{2} d z+[U(x-h)+U(x+h)]\right. \\
& \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_{h}(z) k_{h}(z+h) d z+[U(x-2 h)+U(x+2 h)] \\
& \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_{h}(z) k_{h}(z+2 h) d z+[U(x-3 h)+U(x+3 h)] \\
& \left.\times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k(z) k(z+3 h) d z\right\} \\
= & h \sum_{x \in S_{h}} U(x)\left\{\frac{57}{70} U(x)+\frac{71}{560}[U(x-h)+U(x+h)]\right. \\
& -\frac{1}{28}[U(x-2 h)+U(x+2 h)] \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{560}[U(x-3 h)+U(x+3 h)]\right\} \\
= & h \sum_{x \in S_{h}} U(x)\left\{I-\frac{1}{40} h^{4} \partial^{2} \bar{\partial}^{2}+\frac{1}{560} h^{6} \partial^{3} \bar{\partial}^{3}\right\} U(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, for general $n$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{R}\left[P_{h} U\right]^{2} d z & =\sum_{x, y \in S_{h}} U(x) U(y) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_{h}\left(x_{i}-z_{i}\right) k_{h}\left(y_{i}-z_{i}\right) d z_{i} \\
& =h^{n} \sum_{z \in S_{h}} U(x) \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left[I-\frac{1}{40} h^{4}{ }^{4} \bar{\partial}_{i}^{2} \bar{\partial}_{i}^{2}+\frac{1}{560} h^{6}{ }^{6} \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}_{i}^{z}\right] U(x) . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{R}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}} P_{h} U\right]^{2} d z= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{x, y \in S_{h}} U(x) U(y) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_{h}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}-z_{i}\right) k_{h}^{\prime}\left(y_{i}-z_{i}\right) d z \\
& \times \prod_{j=1}^{n} \int_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} k_{h}\left(x_{j}-z_{j}\right) k_{h}\left(y_{j}-z_{j}\right) d z  \tag{29}\\
= & -\sum_{i=1}^{n} h^{n} \sum_{x \in S_{h}} U(x)\left[\partial_{i} \bar{\partial}_{i}-\frac{1}{12} h^{2} \partial_{i}^{2} \bar{\partial}_{i}^{2}-\frac{1}{120} h^{4} b_{i}^{3} \bar{\partial}_{i}^{3}\right.
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Also,
(30)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{R}\left[U P_{h} U\right]^{2} d z= & \sum_{x, y \in S h} U(x) U(y)\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_{h}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}-z_{i}\right) k^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{i}-z_{i}\right) d z\right. \\
& \times \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_{h}\left(x_{j}-z_{j}\right) k_{h}\left(y_{j}-z_{j}\right) d z_{j} \\
& +\sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
i \neq j}}^{n} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_{h}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}-z_{i}\right) k_{h}^{\prime}\left(y_{i}-z_{i}\right) d z_{i} \\
& \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_{h}^{\prime}\left(x_{j}-z_{j}\right) k_{h}^{\prime}\left(y_{j}-z_{j}\right) d z_{j} \\
& \left.\times \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\
l \neq i, j}}^{n} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_{h}\left(x_{l}-z_{l}\right) k_{h}\left(y_{l}-z_{l}\right) d z_{l}\right] \\
= & h^{n} \sum_{x \in S_{h}} U(x)\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\partial_{i}^{2} \bar{\partial}_{i}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} h^{2} \partial_{i}^{3} \bar{\partial}_{i}^{3}\right]\right. \\
& \times \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n}\left[I-\frac{1}{40} h^{4} \partial_{j}^{2} \bar{\partial}_{j}^{2}+\frac{1}{560} h^{6} \partial_{j}^{3} \bar{\partial}_{j}^{3}\right] \\
& +\sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
i \neq j}}^{n}\left[\partial_{i} \bar{\partial}_{i}-\frac{1}{12} h^{2} \partial_{i}^{2} \bar{\partial}_{i}^{2}-\frac{1}{120} h^{4} \partial_{i}^{3} \bar{\partial}_{i}^{3}\right] \\
& \times\left[\partial_{j} \bar{\partial}_{j}-\frac{1}{12} h^{2} \partial_{j}^{2} \bar{\partial}_{j}^{2}-\frac{1}{120} h^{4} \partial_{j}^{3} \bar{\partial}_{j}^{3}\right] \\
& \left.\times \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\
l \neq i, j}}^{n}\left[I-\frac{1}{40} h^{4} \partial_{l}^{2} \bar{\partial}_{l}^{2}+\frac{1}{560} h^{6} \partial_{l}^{3} \bar{\partial}_{l}^{3}\right]\right\} U(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

The desired inequalities are obtained from (28), (29), (30) by using the summation by parts formula

$$
\sum_{s_{h}} U \bar{\partial}_{i} V=-\sum_{S_{h}} V \partial_{i} U
$$

for functions with compact support. We consider the case $n=2$. From (28) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{R}\left[P_{h} U\right]^{2} d x= & h^{2} \sum_{S_{h}}\left\{U^{2}-\frac{1}{40} h^{4}\left(\left[\partial_{1}^{2} U\right]^{2}+\left[\partial_{2}^{2} U\right]^{2}\right)\right. \\
& -\frac{1}{560} h^{6}\left(\left[\partial_{1}^{3} U\right]^{2}+\left[\partial_{2}^{3} U\right]^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{1600} h^{8}\left[\partial_{1}^{2} \partial_{2}^{2} U\right]^{2} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{22400} h^{10}\left(\left[\partial_{1}^{2} \partial_{2}^{3} U\right]^{2}+\left[\partial_{1}^{3} \partial_{2} U\right]^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{313600} h^{12}\left[\partial_{1}^{3} \partial_{2}^{3} U\right]^{2}\right\} \\
\geqq & h^{2} \sum_{S_{h}}\left\{U^{2}-\frac{1}{40} h^{4}\left(\left[\partial_{1}^{2} U\right]^{2}+2\left[\partial_{1} \partial_{2} U\right]^{2}+\left[\partial_{2} U\right]^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{560} h^{6}\left(\left[\partial_{1}^{3} U\right]^{2}+3\left[\partial_{1}^{2} \partial_{2} U\right]^{2}+3\left[\partial_{1} \partial_{2}^{2} U\right]^{2}+\left[\partial_{2}^{3} U\right]^{2}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R}\left[P_{h} U\right]^{2} d x \geqq h^{2} \sum_{S_{h}} U\left[U-\frac{1}{40} h^{2} \Delta_{h}^{2} U+\frac{1}{560} h^{6} \Delta_{h}^{3} U\right] \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, from (29), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{R}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} P_{h} U\right]^{2} d x \geqq h^{2} \sum_{S_{h}} U\left[-\Delta_{h} U+\frac{1}{120} h^{4} \Delta_{h}^{3} U-\frac{1}{2240} h^{6} \Delta_{h}^{4} U\right]  \tag{32}\\
& \sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{R}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} P_{h} U(x)\right]^{2} d x \leqq h^{2} \sum_{S_{h}} U\left[-\Delta_{h} U+\frac{1}{12} h^{2} \Delta_{h}^{2} U\right. \\
&\left.-\frac{1}{168000} h^{8} \Delta_{h}^{5} U+\frac{1}{1334000} h^{10} \Delta_{h}^{6} U\right]
\end{align*}
$$

and from (30), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R}\left[\Delta P_{h} U\right]^{2} d x \leqq h^{2} \sum_{S_{h}} U\left[\Delta_{h}^{2} U-\frac{1}{2} h^{2} \Delta_{h}^{3} U\right] \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we obtain the upper bounds. Let $U_{h}^{(j)}$ be the eigenfunction associated with $\lambda_{h}^{(j)}$ in (7) such that

$$
h^{n} \sum_{S_{h}} U_{h}^{(i)} U_{h}^{(j)}=\delta(i, j)
$$

Let $u_{j}=P_{h} U_{h}^{(j)}$. We use (31) and (33) in (4) with $U=a_{1} U_{h}^{(1)}+\cdots$ $+a_{k} U_{h}^{(k)}$ to see that, for $n=2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{(k)} \leqq \frac{\lambda_{h}^{(k)}+\frac{1}{12} h^{2} \lambda_{h}^{(k)^{2}}+\frac{1}{168000} h^{8} \lambda_{h}^{(k)}+\frac{1}{1334000} h^{10} \lambda_{h}^{(k)^{6}}}{1-\frac{1}{40} h^{4} \lambda_{h}^{(k)^{2}}-\frac{1}{560} h^{6} \lambda_{h}^{(k)^{3}}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=\lambda_{h}^{(k)}+\frac{1}{12} h^{2} \lambda^{(k)^{2}}+0\left(h^{4} \lambda_{h}^{(k)^{3}}\right),
$$

which, for $h$ sufficiently small, is a better bound than (27). Let $V_{h}^{(j)}$ be the eigenfunction associated with $\Omega_{h}^{(j)}$ in (8) such that

$$
h^{n} \sum_{S_{h}} V_{h}^{(i)} V_{h}^{(j)}=\delta(i, j) .
$$

Let $v_{j}=P_{h} V_{h}^{(j)}$. Use (31) and (34) in (5) with $U=a_{1} V_{h}^{(1)}+\cdots+$ $a_{k} V_{h}^{(k)}$ to see that, for $n=2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{(k)} \leqq \frac{\Omega_{h}^{(k)}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2} \Omega_{h}^{(k) 3 / 2}}{1-\frac{1}{40} h^{4} \Omega_{h}^{(k)}-\frac{1}{560} h^{6} \Omega_{h}^{(k) 3 / 2}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where the Schwarz inequality was employed).
Finally, let $W_{h}^{(j)}$ be the eigenfunction associated with $\Lambda_{h}^{(j)}$ in (9) such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} h^{n} \sum_{S_{h}} \partial_{i} W_{h}^{(j)} \partial_{i} W_{h}^{(l)}=\delta(j, l) .
$$

Let $w_{j}=P_{h} W_{h}^{(j)}$. Use (32) and (34) in (6) with $U=a_{1} W_{h}^{(1)}+\cdots+$ $a_{k} W_{h}^{(k)}$ to see that, for $n=2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{(k)} \leqq \frac{\Lambda_{h}^{(k)}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2} \Lambda_{h}^{(k)^{2}}}{1-\frac{1}{120} h^{4} \Lambda_{h}^{(k)^{2}}-\frac{1}{2240} h^{6} \Lambda_{h}^{(k)^{3}}} . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Explicit upper bounds for higher dimensions may be obtained in the same fashion from (28), (29), and (30). It is clear that, in general,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{(k)} \leqq \lambda_{h}^{(k)}+0\left(h^{2} \lambda_{h}^{\left.(k)^{2}\right)}\right), \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Omega^{(k)} \leqq \Omega_{h}^{(k)}+0\left(h^{2} \Omega_{h}^{(k) 3 / 2}\right),  \tag{39}\\
\Lambda^{(k)} \leqq \Lambda_{h}^{(k)}+0\left(h^{2} \Lambda_{h}^{\left.(k)^{2}\right)}\right) . \tag{40}
\end{gather*}
$$

4. Conclusion. We notice that the lower bounds (24), (25), (26) are in terms of difference problems on an $R_{h}$ such that

$$
R \subset \bigcup_{x \in R_{h}} C_{h}(x),
$$

while the upper bounds (38), (39), (40) are in terms of difference problems on an $R_{h}$ such that

$$
\bigcup_{x \in R_{h}} C_{4 h}(x) \subset R
$$

However, the problems (1), (2), (3) depend continuously on the domain
$R$ in such a way that if $R, R^{\prime}$ are domains whose boundaries are within $0(h)$, then, for each $k$, the eigenvalues $\lambda^{(k)}, \Omega^{(k)}, \Lambda^{(k)}$ for $R$ are within $0(h)$ of the eigenvalues $\lambda^{\prime(k)}, \Omega^{\prime(k)}, \Lambda^{\prime(k)}$ for $R^{\prime}$, respectively. With this consideration, we can combine the bounds (24) and (38), (25) and (39), (26) and (40), to say that if $R_{h}$ is such that $\mathbf{U}_{x \in R_{h}} C_{h}(x)$ has boundary within $O(h)$ of the boundary of $R$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\lambda^{(k)}-\lambda_{h}^{(k)}\right| & =O(h),  \tag{41}\\
\left|\Omega^{(k)}-\Omega_{h}^{(k)}\right| & =O(h),  \tag{42}\\
\left|\Lambda^{(k)}-\Lambda_{h}^{(k)}\right| & =O(h) . \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Estimates like (41), (42), (43) can be used in proving convergence of more accurate finite difference schemes which may be regarded as perturbations of the schemes (7), (8), (9). See the paper [2] for details.

Upper and lower bounds for eigenvalues of free membranes by similar techniques may be found in [1]. Further references may be found in [1], [2] and [4].
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