

## REGULAR ELEMENTS IN P.I.-RINGS

I. N. HERSTEIN AND LANCE W. SMALL

**It follows from the proof of Posner's theorem that half-regular elements are regular in prime rings satisfying a polynomial identity (prime P. I.-rings). In this paper we extend these results to semi-prime rings and present counter-examples to several avenues of further generalization.**

Throughout this paper all rings will be algebras over a commutative ring. We further assume that the polynomial identities which occur have at least one invertible coefficient. If  $T$  is a subset of a ring  $R$  then  $l(T)$  ( $r(T)$ ) will denote the left (right) annihilator of  $T$ . The word "ideal" will mean two-sided ideal. Finally, we recall that if  $R$  is semi-prime and if  $U$  is an ideal of  $R$  then  $l(U) = r(U)$ . In this case we write  $l(U)$ , unambiguously, as  $\text{Ann}(U)$ .

2. We begin with a mild generalization of a result due to Amitsur [1].

LEMMA 1. *Let  $R$  be a ring such that  $Ra$  satisfies a polynomial identity; then, if  $l(a) = 0$ ,  $Ra$  contains a nonzero ideal of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Among the left ideals  $Ra^i$  suppose that  $Ra^k$  satisfies an identity of lowest degree. We may assume that this identity is multilinear and has form

$$q(x_1 \cdots, x_n) = q_1(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1})x_n + q_2(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$$

where  $q_1$  is of lower degree than  $q$  and where  $x_n$  does not occur as the last variable of any monomial of  $q_2$ . Substitute  $r_j a^{2k}$  for  $x_j$  for  $j=1, \cdots, n-1$  and  $r_n a^k$  for  $x_n$ , where  $r_1, \cdots, r_n$  are arbitrary elements of  $R$ , in  $q(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ . Since  $Ra^{2k} \subset Ra^k$ ,  $Ra^{2k}$  satisfies  $q$  and, by our choice of  $k$ , no identity of lower degree. Therefore there exist  $r_1, \cdots, r_{n-1}$  in  $R$  such that  $q_1(r_1 a^{2k}, \cdots, r_{n-1} a^{2k}) \neq 0$ . Freeding this into our identity  $q$  we obtain

$$q_1(r_1 a^{2k}, \cdots, r_{n-1} a^{2k}) r_n a^k = -q_2(r_1 a^{2k}, \cdots, r_{n-1} a^{2k}, r_n a^k)$$

which is contained in  $Ra^{2k}$  from the form of  $q_2$ . Since  $l(a) = 0$  this yields  $q_1(r_1 a^{2k}, \cdots, r_{n-1} a^{2k}) r_n \in Ra^k$ . In short,  $q_1(r_1 a^{2k}, \cdots, r_{n-1} a^{2k}) R \subset Ra^k$ , hence the nonzero ideal  $Rq_1(r_1 a^{2k}, \cdots, r_{n-1} a^{2k})R$  is contained in  $Ra^k$ , and so, in  $Ra$ . This proves the result.

The plan now is to study  $Ra$  by looking at the ideals of  $R$  contained in it. The crucial step is

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that  $R$  is a semi-prime ring; if  $a \in R$  is such that  $l(a) = 0$  and  $Ra$  satisfies a polynomial identity then  $Ra$  contains an ideal of  $R$  whose annihilator is zero.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be the sum of the ideals of  $R$  which are contained in  $Ra$ . We claim that  $\text{Ann}(U) = 0$ . If not, let  $W = \text{Ann}(U) \neq 0$ , and  $V = \text{Ann}(W)$ . Pass to the ring  $\bar{R} = R/V$ . If  $\bar{x}\bar{a} = 0$  in  $\bar{R}$  then  $xa \in V$  hence  $Wxa = 0$ ; since  $l(a) = 0$  this gives  $Wx = 0$ , and so,  $x \in V$ ,  $\bar{x} = 0$ . Thus  $l(\bar{a}) = 0$ . Clearly  $\bar{R}\bar{a}$  satisfies a polynomial identity. Therefore  $\bar{R}\bar{a}$  contains a nonzero ideal  $\bar{T}$  of  $\bar{R}$ ; the inverse image  $T$  of  $\bar{T}$  thus lies in  $Ra + V$ . Since  $\bar{T} \neq 0$ ,  $T \not\subset V$  therefore  $0 \neq WT \subset Ra + WV$ . But  $WV = 0$ . Consequently  $WT$  is a nonzero ideal of  $R$  lying in  $Ra$ . As such, it must be contained in  $U$ . But  $WU = 0$ , so  $(WT)^2 \subset W^2T = 0$ . Thus semi-primeness of  $R$  then forces the contradiction  $WT = 0$ . With this, the theorem is proved.

From Theorem 2 many good things flow.

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose that  $R$  is a semi-prime P.I.-ring. If  $a \in R$  satisfies  $l(a) = 0$  then*

1.  $r(a) = 0$
2.  $Ra$  is essential.

*Proof.* 1. Let  $U$  be the ideal in  $Ra$  of Theorem 2. If  $ax = 0$  then  $Ux = 0$ , which is not possible unless  $x = 0$ . Thus  $r(a) = 0$ .

2. If  $I$  is a nonzero left ideal then  $0 \neq UI \subset U \cap I \subset Ra \cap I$ .

A ring  $R$  is said to be *von Neumann finite* if for  $x, y \in R$ ,  $xy = 1$  implies  $yx = 1$ . If  $R_n$  is v. N. finite for all  $n$ , we call  $R$  *N-finite*.

**COROLLARY.** *A P. I.-ring is N-finite.*

*Proof.* The result follows easily from the following two observations:

1. if  $R$  is a P. I.-ring then  $R_n$  is a P. I.-ring [3].
2.  $R$  is v. N. finite if and only if  $R/J(R)$  is, where  $J(R)$  is the Jacobson radical of  $R$ .

Hence we can reduce to the semi-simple (and so, semi-prime) case. If  $xy = 1$  then  $l(x) = 0$  where, by Theorem 3,  $r(x) = 0$ . Since  $x(1 - yx) = 0$  we get  $yx = 1$ .

Theorem 2 also tells us something about the nature of the identities satisfied by  $R$  and  $Ra$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $R$  is a semi-prime ring and if  $a \in R$  satisfies  $l(a) = 0$  then  $R$  satisfies any polynomial identity satisfied by  $Ra$ .*

*Proof.* The argument follows one by Goldie [2]. Since  $R$  is semi-prime,  $0 = \cap P_\alpha$  where  $P_\alpha$  are prime ideals. Let  $U \subset Ra$  be an ideal of  $R$  such that  $\text{Ann}(U) = 0$ . Now  $U \not\subset P_\beta$  for some prime ideal  $P_\beta$ . Divide the prime ideals of  $R$  into two parts: those which contain  $U$  and those which do not. The intersection of the primes in the first part contains  $U$  and is annihilated by the intersection of the primes in the second part. But  $\text{Ann}(U) = 0$ , so this latter intersection must be 0. Hence  $0 = \cap P_\gamma$  where the  $P_\gamma$  are prime ideals and  $U \not\subset P_\gamma$  for each  $\gamma$ . We find, then, that  $R_\gamma = R/P_\gamma$  has a nonzero ideal  $(U + P_\gamma)/P_\gamma$  which satisfies an identity. Since  $R_\gamma$  is prime, it satisfies the same identity as  $(U + P_\gamma)/P_\gamma$  [1]. To finish up, we note that  $R$  is a sub-direct sum of the  $R_\gamma$ , hence satisfies any identity of  $U$ , therefore any identity of  $Ra$ .

3. In this section we present several counter-examples to possible generalizations of the results in §2. We begin with examples to show that “semi-prime” is needed in Theorem 3.

Let  $F$  be a field and  $F[x]$  the polynomial ring in  $x$  over  $F$ . Form the ring  $S^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} F[x] & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $F[x]$  acts on  $F$  in the usual way (identifying  $F = F[x]/(x)$  as an  $F[x]$ -module).  $S^{(1)}$  satisfies the identity  $(ab - ba)^2 = 0$ . It is easy to see that  $l\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$ , but  $r\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$ .

Now form the ring  $S^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} F[x] & F[x] \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$  with the obvious actions on  $F[x]$ .  $S^{(2)}$  satisfies the same identity as  $S^{(1)}$ . The element  $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is regular in  $S^{(2)}$  but  $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} S^{(2)} \cap \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$ —that is, the right ideal  $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} S^{(2)}$  is not essential. We pause to note that this implies that  $S^{(2)}$  does not satisfy the right Ore condition. Yet  $S^{(2)}$  possesses a ring of left quotients which even is Artinian.

We conclude this section with a simple example of a right Noetherian ring which lacks a right ring of quotients. Let  $R$  be any commutative Noetherian ring with the following property: there exists an element  $a \in R$  which is not regular but its image,  $\bar{a}$ , is regular in  $\bar{R} = R/N$  where  $N$  is the nil radical of  $R$ . (An example of such is  $\frac{F[x, y]}{(x^2, xy)}$  where  $a = y + (x^2, xy)$ .) Our example is  $S^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{R} & \bar{R} \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$ .

The element  $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is quickly seen to be regular in  $S^{(2)}$ . If the right Ore condition were valid we would have an equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{d} & \bar{c} \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{r} & \bar{s} \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{r} & \bar{s} \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}$  was regular. This forces  $t$  to be regular in  $R$ . Writing the relations out explicitly, we have  $\bar{a}\bar{c} = \bar{t}$ , which means that  $ac = t + n$  where  $n \in N$ . But  $t$  is regular, hence  $t + n$  is and so  $ac$  is regular. This contradicts our choice of  $a$ .

4. To finish up, we present a result on the rank of free modules over P. I.-rings which, for commutative rings, is a well-known theorem on homogeneous systems of linear equations. The proof we give may be of additional interest in that we cannot, of course, use determinants.

Denote by  ${}_R R^{(n)}$  the external direct sum of  $n$  copies of  ${}_R R$ , that is, the free module on  $n$  basis elements.

**THEOREM 5.** *If  $R$  is a P. I.-ring, then  $R^{(n)} \subset R^{(m)}$  implies  $n \leq m$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $n > m$ . First note that this forces  $R^{(t)} \subset R^{(m)}$  for arbitrary  $t$ . To see this, write  $R^{(n)} = R^{(m)} \oplus R^{(n-m)}$ . We can find a copy of  $R^{(n)}$  in the first summand, so  $R^{(n)} \oplus R^{(n-m)} \subset R^{(m)}$ . We now repeat the process on the "new"  $R^{(n)}$ . In particular, we obtain  $R^{(2m)} \subset R^{(m)}$ . This means that  $R^{(m)}$  contains a set,  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2m}$ , of  $2m$  linearly independent elements. We can consider the  $\alpha$ 's as  $1 \times m$  row vectors and form the  $m \times m$  matrices  $X$  and  $Y$  where the rows of  $X$  are  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  and those of  $Y$  are  $\alpha_{m+1}, \dots, \alpha_{2m}$ . In  $R_m$  it is immediate that  $l(X) = 0$  and  $l(Y) = 0$  since  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2m}$  are independent. But  $R_m$  is a P. I.-ring, so by Lemma 1  $R_m X$  contains a nonzero ideal  $U$ . Now, since  $l(Y) = 0$ ,  $UR_m Y \neq 0$  and is contained in  $R_m X$ . This yields nonzero matrices  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $AX = BY$ . Writing this out explicitly gives a dependence relation among the  $\alpha$ 's, a contradiction. The proof is complete.

## REFERENCES

1. S. A. Amitsur, *Prime rings satisfying polynomial identities with arbitrary coefficients*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **17** (1967), 470-486.
2. A. W. Goldie, *A note on prime rings with polynomial identities*, London Math. Soc. (2), **1** (1969), 606-608.
3. C. Procesi and L. Small, *Endomorphism rings of modules over P. I.-algebras*, Math. Zeit. **106** (1968), 178-180.

Received September 8, 1970. The research of the second named author was supported by an NSF grant at the University of Southern California.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO,  
 UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
 and  
 UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO.