ORDERED CYCLE LENGTHS IN A RANDOM PERMUTATION

V. BALAKRISHNAN, G. SANKARANARAYANAN AND C. SUYAMBULINGOM

Let x(t) denote the number of jumps occurring in the time interval [0, t) and $v_k(t) = P\{x(t) = k\}$. The generating function of $v_k(t)$ is given by

$$\exp \left\{ \lambda t [\phi(x)-1]
ight\}, \, \phi(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \, p_k x^k, \, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \, p_k = 1 \; .$$

Lay off to the right of the origin successive intervals of length z^j/j^{α} , $j = 1, 2, \cdots$. Explicitly the end points are

$$egin{aligned} t_1(m{z}) &= 0 \ t_j(m{z}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} m{z}^k / k^lpha, \, m{j} = 2, \, 3, \, \cdots , \, lpha > 0 \ , \end{aligned}$$

and

$$t_{\infty}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z^k / k^{lpha}$$
 .

Following Shepp and Lloyd L_r , the length of the *r*th longest cycle and S_r , the length of the *r*th shortest cycle have been defined for our choice of x(t) and t_j , $j = 1, 2, \cdots$. This paper obtains the asymptotics for the *m*th moments of L_r and S_r suitably normalized by a new technique of generating functions. It is further shown that the results of Shepp and Lloyd are particular cases of these more general results.

Here we consider a problem involving a random permutation which is closely linked with the cycle structure of the permutation. Let S_n be the *n*! permutation operators on *n* numbered places. Let $\alpha(\pi) = \{\alpha_1(\pi), \alpha_2(\pi), \dots, \alpha_n(\pi)\}$ be the cycle class of $\pi \in S_n$. In this permutation π , there are $\alpha_1(\pi)$ cycles of length one, $\alpha_2(\pi)$ cycles of length two, etc. Usually the elements of S_n are assigned a probability 1/n! each. John Riordan has considered a model where he has assigned the probability

1.1
$$P\{\alpha_1 = a_1, \alpha_2 = a_2, \dots, \alpha_n = a_n\} = \prod_{j=1}^n (1/j)^{a_j} a_j! \text{ if } \sum_{j=1}^n ja_j = n,$$
$$= 0 \text{ otherwise },$$

for the cycle class $\alpha(\pi)$, the *a*'s being nonnegative integers. Here α 's would be independent if it were not for the condition $\sum ja_j = n$. Shepp and Lloyd has considered a sequence $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots\}$ of mutually independent nonnegative integral valued random variables where for $j = 1, 2, \dots$ the random variable α_j follows the Poisson distribution

with mean z^j/j , 0 < z < 1, z being same for all values of j. Accordingly

1.2
$$P_z\{lpha_1=a_1, lpha_2=a_2, \cdots\} = (1-z)z^{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}ja_j}\prod_{j=1}^{\infty}(1/j)^{a_j}/a_j!, a_j>0, j=1, 2, \cdots.$$

From this it can be seen that the probability distribution of the random variable $\nu(\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j\alpha_j$ is

1.3
$$P\{\nu(\alpha) = n\} = (1 - z)z^n, n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

Also

1.4
$$P_{z}\{\alpha_{1} = a_{1}, \alpha_{2} = a_{2}, \cdots | \nu(\alpha) = n\} = \prod_{j=1}^{n} (1/j)^{a_{j}} / a_{j}!, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j a_{j} = n$$
$$= 0 \text{ otherwise }.$$

Thus Shepp and Lloyd were able to recover 1.1 assumed in the model. In this paper, for the cycle class $\alpha(\pi)$ we have assigned the probability

Here

1.6
$$I = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} v_{a_j}(z^j/j^{\alpha}), \sum_{j=1}^{n} ja_j = n, a_{n+1} = a_{n+2} = \cdots = 0, (\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} ja_j = n)$$

where $v_{a_j}(z^j/j^{lpha})$ is the coefficient of x^{a_j} in $\exp{\{\lambda(z^j/j^{lpha})[\phi(x) - 1]\}}$,

1.7
$$\phi(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k x^k$$
 and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k = 1$.

On detailed computation

1.8
$$v_{a_j}(z^j/j^{\alpha}) = e^{-\lambda z^j}/j^{\alpha} \sum_{n_1+2n_2+3n_3+\cdots=a_j} \frac{(p_1 z^j/j^{\alpha})^{n_1} (p_2 z^j/j^{\alpha})^{n_2} \cdots}{n_1! n_2! \cdots}$$

In the special case when $\lambda = 1$, $p_1 = 1$, $p_2 = p_3 = \cdots = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$, exp $\{\lambda(z^j/j^{\alpha})[\phi(x) - 1]\}$ reduces to the generating function of the Poisson process with the time parameter equals to z^j/j , which has been considered by Shepp and Lloyd. Also the generating function of II which represents the distribution of $P\{\nu(\alpha) = n\}$, where for our choice of the sequence α_j 's defined by 1.14

1.9
$$\nu(\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j\alpha_j$$

is given by

1.10
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{\nu(\alpha) = n\} x^n = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \exp\{\lambda(z^j/j^{\alpha})[\phi(x^j) - 1]\}.$$

On detailed computation we note that

$$P\{\nu(\alpha) = n\} = \exp\{-\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} z^j / j^{\alpha}\} \times \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{p_1 \lambda z}{1^{\alpha}}\right)^{n_1} \left(\frac{p_1 \lambda z^2}{2^{\alpha}}\right)^{n_2} \cdots \\ & \\ \frac{p_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 + \cdots}{2^{n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 + \cdots}} \\ + 3(n_1' + 2n_2' + \cdots) \\ & + \cdots = n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{p_2 \lambda z}{1^{\alpha}}\right)^{n_1'} \left(\frac{p_2 \lambda z^2}{2^{\alpha}}\right)^{n_2'} \cdots \\ & \\ \frac{p_2 \lambda z}{n_1'! n_2'! \cdots} \end{bmatrix} \times \\ & \\ \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{p_3 \lambda z}{1^{\alpha}}\right)^{n_1''} \left(\frac{p_3 \lambda z^2}{2^{\alpha}}\right)^{n_2'} \cdots \\ & \\ \frac{n_1''! n_2''! \cdots}{n_1''! n_2''! \cdots} \end{bmatrix} \times \cdots \end{bmatrix} \times \cdots \right\}.$$

In particular when $\lambda = 1$, $\alpha = 1$ and $p_1 = 1$, $p_2 = p_3 = \cdots = 0$, the generating function of the distribution of 1.9 reduces to

1.12 $\exp\left[-\sum (z^j/j) + \sum (x^j z^j/j)\right] = (1-z)/(1-zx)$.

Hence

$$P\{ oldsymbol{
u}(lpha)\,=\,n\}\,=\,(1\,-\,z)z^n\;,$$

which is in agreement with that considered by Shepp and Lloyd. In the special case mentioned above

1.13
$$ext{I/II} = \prod_{j=1}^n (1/j)^{a_j}/a_j! \quad ext{if} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n ja_j = n, \\ = 0 \quad ext{otherwise} \;.$$

This is also in agreement with the model discussed by Shepp and Lloyd.

If we take $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots)$ to be a sequence of mutually independent nonnegative integral valued random variables where for $j = 1, 2, \cdots$

1.14
$$P_{z}\{\alpha_{j} = a_{j}\} = v_{a_{j}}(z^{j}/j^{\alpha}), a_{j} = 0, 1, 2, \cdots,$$

by using the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we can easily show that $\nu(\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j\alpha_j$ is finite with probability one. Hence the joint distribution $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \nu(\alpha))$ can be written as

1.15
$$P_{z}\{\alpha_{1} = a_{1}, \alpha_{2} = a_{2}, \dots, \nu(\alpha) = n\} = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} v_{a_{j}}(z^{j}/j^{\alpha}) \text{ if } \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} ja_{j} = n,$$
$$= 0 \quad \text{otherwise }.$$

605

From this we can see that

1.16
$$P_{z}\{\alpha_{1} = a_{1}, \alpha_{2} = a_{2}, \dots, | \nu(\alpha) = n\} = I/II,$$

which we have assumed for the model.

Shepp and Lloyd have considered a Poisson process which takes place on $T = \{-\infty < t < +\infty\}$ at unit rate. That is, for any interval of length $I \subset T$, the probability that p jumps occur in I is

$$\exp[-|I|] |I|^p/p!, p = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

independently of any conditions on the process on T - I. They have taken the following end points for the time intervals

$$egin{aligned} t_1(z) &= 0, \ 1.17 & t_j(z) &= \sum\limits_{k=1}^{j-1} z^k/k, \, j = 2, \, 3, \, \cdots, \ t_\infty(z) &= \sum\limits_{k=1}^\infty z^k/k &= \log \, (1-z)^{-1} \, , \end{aligned}$$

so that the jth interval is

$$t_j(z) < t < t_{j+1}(z), j = 1, 2, \cdots$$
 .

They define $\lambda_z(t)$; $-\infty < t < \infty$, to be a function whose value is 'j' on the *j*th interval, $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ and is zero if t < 0 or $t > t_{\infty}(z)$. Then for each $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ the interval $\{t; \lambda_z(t) = j\}$ has length z^j/j , the probability that a_j jumps of the Poisson process occur in this interval is

1.18
$$\exp(-z^j/j) \cdot (z^j/j)^{a_j}/a_j!, a_j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

and that these various events for $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ are mutually independent. They have taken a sample function of the Poisson process, with jumps in the interval $[0, t_{\infty}(z))$, which are finite in number with probability one, occurring at times $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2 \leq \cdots \leq \tau_{\sigma}$ (σ , random). They take the positive integers $\lambda_z(\tau_1) \leq \lambda_z(\tau_2) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_z(\tau_{\sigma})$ as the lengths of the σ cycles of a permutation on $\nu = \sum_{s=1}^{\sigma} \lambda_z(\tau_s)$ places, and in this class S_{ν} , they choose a permutation at random with uniform distribution. For any given $r = 1, 2, \cdots$ let $S_r = S_r(\alpha)$ be the length of the *r*th shortest cycle in a permutation of the cycle class $\alpha \cdot S_r(\alpha) = 0$ if $\sum \alpha_j < r$. If the *r*th jump of the Poisson process occur at 't', then $S_r = \lambda_z(t)$ according to their model. Hence they have obtained the distribution of S_r . Similarly they have obtained the distribution of $L_r = L_r(\alpha)$, the length of the *r*th longest cycle. They have given asymptotics for the distribution and to all moments of the length of the *r*th longest and *r*th shortest cycles.

In this paper, instead of the Poisson process considered by Shepp and Lloyd, we consider a more general process which can have k(k > 1) jumps at any moment. Let x(t) denote the number of jumps in the interval [0, t) and let

1.19
$$v_k(t) = P\{x(t) = k\}$$
.

Let p_k be the probability of having k jumps at a chosen moment, if it is certain that jumps do occur generally at that moment. It has been shown in Khintchine that

1.20
$$F(t, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_k(t) x^k = \exp \left\{ \lambda t [\phi(x) - 1] \right\},$$

where $\phi(x)$ is given by (1.7) and $\lambda > 0$. In our model, we take the end points of the time intervals to be

$$t_1(z) \,=\, 0$$

1.21 $t_j(z) \,=\, \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} z^k/k^lpha, \, j \,=\, 2,\, 3,\, \cdots,\, lpha \,> 0 \;,$

and

$$t_\infty(z)\,=\,\sum_{k=1}^\infty z^k/k^lpha$$
 .

Here the probability that L_r , the length of the *r*th longest cycle is 'j' is given by

$$egin{aligned} P_{z}\{L_{r}=j\}&=rac{\lambda}{\sum\limits_{k=1}^{r}p_{k}}\int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}}igg\{\sum\limits_{k=1}^{r}p_{k}v_{r-k}(t_{\infty}-t)igg\}dt,\ &=rac{\lambda}{P_{r}}\int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}}igg\{\sum\limits_{k=1}^{r}p_{k}v_{r-k}(t_{\infty}-t)igg\}dt\;, \end{aligned}$$

where

1.22

$$P_r = \sum_{k=1}^r p_k$$

Also the probability that S_r , the length of the *r*th shortest cycle is 'j' is given by

$$P_{z}\{S_{r}=j\}=rac{\lambda}{P_{r}}\int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{r}p_{k}v_{r-k}(t)
ight\}dt$$
 .

Here we use the technique of generating functions to estimate the asymptotics of $E\{L_r\}^m$ and $E\{S_r\}^m$ suitably normalized in a way different from that used by Shepp and Lloyd. While they have considered the case where the jumps occur according to Poisson law, we have considered a more general system of which Poisson process is a special case. By assuming the Poisson law for jumps they were able to recover the model based on the uniform distribution. By assuming a more general law for

jumps we obtain a generalised probability model for the cycle class of which that derived on the basis of the uniform distribution is a special case. Thus we have in this paper discussed a generalization of the one given by Shepp and Lloyd with the help of the new technique.

 $A(z, x) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_r(z) x^r$,

2. A lemma. We now prove a lemma which we use extensively.

LEMMA. Let

and

2.2
$$A(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_r x^r ,$$

with $a_r(z) > 0$, satisfying

2.3
$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_r(z) = c, \, 0 < z < 1$$
 ,

c, a constant. Then for

2.4
$$a_r(z) \longrightarrow a_r, z \longrightarrow 1^-$$
,

it is necessary and sufficient that for 0 < x < 1

2.5
$$A(z, x) \longrightarrow A(x), z \longrightarrow 1^-$$
.

Proof of the lemma. First let us suppose that (2.4) holds. Then for fixed x, (0 < x < 1) and ε , we can choose a number n_0 such that $\{x^{n_0}/(1-x)\} < \varepsilon$. Then,

2.6
$$|A(z, x) - A(x)| < \sum_{r=0}^{n_0} |a_r(z) - a_r| x^r + 2c\varepsilon$$
.

Now each term in the right hand side tends to zero. Hence the necessary part. Now suppose that (2.5) holds. Since $\{a_r(z)\}$ is bounded it is always possible to find a converging subsequence. If (2.4) is not true then we can extract two subsequences converging to two different sequences $\{a_r^*\}$ and $\{a_r^{**}\}$ and the corresponding subsequences of $\{A(z, x)\}$ would converge to $A^*(x) = \sum a_r^* x^r$ and $A^{**}(x) = \sum a_r^* x^r$ which contradicts the assumption that (2.5) holds. Hence $\{a_r^*\} = \{a_r^{**}\} = \{a_r\}$. This proves the sufficiency part.

3. The rth longest cycle. The mth raw moment of the rth longest cycle is

3.1
$$E_{z}\{L_{r}\}^{m} = \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^{m}}{P_{r}} \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{r} p_{k} v_{r-k}(t_{\infty} - t) dt.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} P_r x^{r-1} E_z \{L_r\}^m &= \lambda \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} x^{r-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^m \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \sum_{k=1}^r p_k v_{r-k} (t_{\infty} - t) dt, \\ &= \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^m \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} x^{r-1} \Big\{ \sum_{k=1}^r v_{r-k} (t_{\infty} - t) p_k \Big\} dt, \\ &= \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^m \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} e^{\lambda [\phi(x) - 1](t_{\infty} - t)} \{\phi(x)/x\} dt \,. \end{split}$$

Let $F=F(\lambda)$ denotes the left hand side of (3.2) and $F'=F(\lambda s^{1-lpha})$.

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{F}' = s^{1-\alpha} \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^m \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} e^{\lambda s^{1-\alpha} [\phi(x)-1](t_{\infty}-t)} \{\phi(x)/x\} dt ,\\ \\ = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} P_r x^{r-1} E_z (L'_r)^m , \end{array}$$

where L'_r is the same as L_r with λ replaced by $\lambda s^{1-\alpha}$. Let us now consider some analytical preliminaries regarding $t_j(z)$. With $z = e^{-s}, 0 < s < \infty$. We have

3.4
$$t_{\infty}(e^{-s}) - t_j(e^{-s}) = \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \{e^{-ks}/k^{lpha}\}$$

In the interval $\{y: ks < y < (k+1)s\}$, we have

$$rac{e^{-ks}}{k^lpha s^lpha} > rac{e^{-y}}{y^lpha} > rac{e^{-(k+1)s}}{(k+1)^lpha s^lpha}$$
 ,

•

and

3.5
$$\frac{e^{-ks}s^{1-\alpha}}{k^{\alpha}} > \int_{ks}^{(k+1)s} \frac{e^{-y}}{y^{\alpha}} \, dy > \frac{s^{1-\alpha}e^{-(k+1)s}}{(k+1)^{\alpha}}$$

Summing with respect to k, we have,

3.6
$$s^{1-lpha}\sum_{k=j}^{\infty} (e^{-ks}/k^{lpha}) > \int_{js}^{\infty} (e^{-y}/y^{lpha}) dy$$
.

Let

3.7
$$E(\theta) = \int_{\theta}^{\infty} (e^{-y}/y^{\alpha}) dy$$
.

Then from (3.6) $E(js) < s^{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} e^{-ks}/k^{\alpha}$. Also

$$\int_{(j-1)s}^{\infty} (e^{-y}/y^lpha) dy > s^{1-lpha} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \left\{ e^{-ks}/k^lpha
ight\}$$
 .

Combining the two

$$3.8 \qquad E(js) < s^{\scriptscriptstyle 1-lpha}\sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \left\{ e^{-ks}/k^{lpha}
ight\} < E\{(j\,-\,1)s\} \;.$$

Now consider the equation

3.9
$$s^{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \{e^{-ks}/k^{\alpha}\} = E(X)$$
.

If $X_j(s)$ is the root of the equation (3.9), we have

$$\begin{array}{rll} {\rm 3.10} & {\rm and} & ({\rm \ i\ }) & (j-1)s < X_j(s) < js \ & ({\rm \ i\ }) & X_j(s) \ {\rm \ is\ unique} \ . \end{array}$$

In (3.3) put $E(\theta) = s^{1-\alpha}(t_{\infty} - t)$ so that

$$s^{1-lpha} \, dt = \{e^{- heta}/ heta^{lpha}\} d heta$$
 .

Hence

3.11
$$F' = \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^m \int_{X_j(s)}^{X_{j+1}(s)} \{\phi(x)/x\} \frac{e^{\lambda [\phi(x)-1]E(\theta)-\theta}}{\theta^{\alpha}} d\theta .$$

Let

$$\mu_j = \int_{x_j(s)}^{x_{j+1}(s)} d\mu(heta)$$
 ,

where

3.12
$$d\mu(\theta) = \{e^{\lambda[\phi(x)-1]E(\theta)-\theta}/\theta^{\alpha}\}d\theta.$$

But

$$3.13 \qquad (j-1)s < X_j(s) < js \ \ ext{and} \ \ \ js < X_{j+1}(s) < (j+1)s \ .$$

This implies that

$$X_j(s) < js < X_{j+1}$$
(s) .

Thus

$$s^m F' = rac{\lambda \phi(x)}{x} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (js)^m \int_{x_j(s)}^{x_{j+1}(s)} d\mu(heta)$$
 .

Now

3.14
$$\frac{\lambda\phi(x)}{x}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}X_{j}^{m}(s)\mu_{j}\leq F's^{m}\leq \frac{\lambda\phi(x)}{x}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}X_{j+1}^{m}(s)\mu_{j}.$$

Consider

3.15
$$\int_{x_1(s)}^{\infty} \theta^m d\mu(\theta) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{x_j(s)}^{x_{j+1}(s)} \theta^m d\mu(\theta) .$$

We have

3.16
$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} X_j^m(s) \mu_j \leq \int_{X_1(s)}^{\infty} \theta^m d\mu(\theta) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} X_{j+1}^m(s) \mu_j.$$

i.e.,

$$I_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \leqq I \leqq I_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$$
 (say) ,

610

where

$$I_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} X_j^m(s) \mu_j, I_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} X_{j+1}^m(s) \mu_j$$

and

$$I = \int_{{}^{X_1(s)}}^\infty heta^m d\mu(heta)$$
 .

 I_1 and I_2 are the Darboux sums for the Stieltjes integral based on the above meshes. Also $X_1(s) \to 0$ as $s \to 0^+$. Hence

3.17
$$s^{m}F' \sim \{\phi(x)/x\}\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} \theta^{m-\alpha} e^{\lambda[\phi(x)-1]E(\theta)-\theta} d\theta, s \to 0^{+}, m \ge \alpha,$$
$$\sim \lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} \theta^{m-\alpha} e^{-\theta} d\theta \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} x^{r-1} \{\sum_{k=1}^{r} v_{r-k}[E(\theta)]p_{k}\}, s \to 0^{+}.$$

Now

$$s^{m} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} P_{r} E_{z}(L_{r}')^{m} = \lambda s^{m+1-\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{m} \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} dt = \lambda s^{m+1-\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{m} (t_{j+1} - t_{j})$$

$$= \lambda s^{m+1-\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{m} \{e^{-js}/j^{\alpha}\} = \lambda s^{m+1-\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{e^{-js}/j^{\alpha-m}\} < \infty .$$

Hence using the lemma

3.19
$$s^m P_r E_z(L'_r)^m \sim \lambda \int_0^\infty \left[\sum_{k=1}^r v_{r-k} [E(\theta)] p_k \right] e^{-\theta} \theta^{m-\alpha} d\theta, s \to 0^+$$

Since $s \sim (1 - z)$,

$$(1-z)^m E_z(L'_r)^m \sim (\lambda/P_r) \int_0^\infty \left[\sum_{k=1}^r v_{r-k}[E(\theta)]p_k\right] e^{-\theta} \theta^{m-\alpha} d\theta, \ z \to 1^-.$$

Taking $\lambda = 1$, $\alpha = 1$, $p_1 = 1$, $p_2 = p_3 \cdots = 0$, we now have

$$(1-z)^{m}E_{z}\{L_{r}\}^{m} \sim \int_{0}^{\infty} v_{r-1}[E(\theta)]e^{-\theta}\theta^{m-1}d\theta, z \to 1^{-},$$

$$\sim \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-E(\theta)-\theta}[E(\theta)]^{r-1}\{\theta^{m-1}/(r-1)!\}d\theta, z \to 1^{-}.$$

This is in agreement with Shepp and Lloyd.

4. The rth shortest cycle. Let S_r be the length of the rth shortest cycle. Then

4.1
$$P\{S_r = j\} = (\lambda/P_r) \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \sum_{k=1}^r p_k v_{r-k}(t) dt$$
.

Let

$$F_{1} = F_{1}(\lambda) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} P_{r} x^{r-1} E_{z} \{S_{r}\}^{m}$$
 .

٠

Then

$$egin{aligned} F_1 &= \lambda \sum_{r=1}^\infty x^{r-1} \sum_{j=1}^\infty j^m \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \sum_{k=1}^r p_k v_{r-k}(t) dt \;, \ &= \lambda \sum_{j=1}^\infty j^m \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} e^{\lambda [\phi(x)-1]t} \{\phi(x)/x\} dt \;. \end{aligned}$$

Also

4.2

$$F'_{1} = F_{1}(\lambda s^{1-\alpha}) = \sum P_{r}x^{r-1}E_{z}(S'_{r})^{m}$$

where S'_r is the same as S_r with λ replaced by $\lambda s^{1-\alpha}$. Put $(t_{\infty} - t)s^{1-\alpha} = E(\theta)$ in F'_1 .

4.3
$$F'_{1} = \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{m} \int_{X_{j}(s)}^{X_{j+1}(s)} \left\{ \phi(x)/(x\theta^{\alpha}) \right\} e^{\lambda \left[s^{1-\alpha}t_{\infty}-E(\theta)\right] \left[\phi(x)-1\right]-\theta} d\theta .$$

Let

$$\mu_{j} = \int_{x_{j(s)}}^{x_{j+1}(s)} d\mu(heta)$$
 ,

where

4.4
$$d\mu(\theta) = \{\phi(x)/x\theta^{\alpha}\}e^{\lambda[s^{1-\alpha}t_{\infty}-E(\theta)][\phi(x)-1]-\theta}d\theta$$

Hence

4.5
$$s^m F'_1 = \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (js)^m \int_{X_j(s)}^{X_{j+1}(s)} \{\phi(x)/x\theta^{\alpha}\} e^{\lambda [s^{1-\alpha}t_{\infty}-E(\theta)][\phi(x)-1]-\theta} d\theta$$

Since $(j - 1)s < X_j(s) < js < X_{j+1}(s) < (j + 1)s$,

4.6
$$\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} X_{j}^{m}(s) \mu_{j} < F_{1}'s^{m} < \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} X_{j+1}^{m}(s) \mu_{j}$$

Also

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} X_{j}^{m}(s) \mu_{j} < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{X_{j}(s)}^{X_{j+1}(s)} heta^{m} d\mu(heta) < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} X_{j+1}^{m}(s) \mu_{j} \; .$$

That is

4.7
$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} X_{j}^{m}(s) \mu_{j} < \int_{X_{1}(s)}^{\infty} \theta^{m} d\mu(\theta) < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} X_{j+1}^{m}(s) \mu_{j}$$
.

Hence

4.8
$$s^m F'_1 \sim \lambda \int_0^\infty \theta^{m-\alpha} \{\phi(x)/x\} e^{\lambda [s^{1-\alpha}t_\infty - E(\theta)][\phi(x)-1]-\theta} d\theta, s \to 0^+, m \ge \alpha.$$

Here also $s^m \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} P_r E_z (S'_r)^m = s^{m+1-\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^m (t_{j+1} - t_j) < \infty$ {by (3.18)}. Thus as in 3.17 by equating the coefficient of x^{r-1} on both sides we can obtain $\lim_{s\to 0} s^m P_r E_z (S'_r)^m$.

Now let us consider the particular case of the above when $p_1 = 1$, $p_2 = p_3 = \cdots = 0$ $\lambda = 1$ and $\alpha = 1$. Here

4.9
$$s^{m} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} x^{r-1} E_{z}(S_{r})^{m} \sim \int_{0}^{\infty} \theta^{m-1} e^{(z-1)[\log(1-z)^{-1}] - (z-1)E(\theta) - \theta} d\theta, z \to 1^{-},$$
$$\sim s \int_{0}^{\infty} \theta^{m-1} e^{-z[E(\theta) + \log s] + E(\theta) - \theta} d\theta, s \to 0^{+}.$$

Hence

$$s^{m-1}\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}x^{r-1}E_z(S_r)^m\sim e^{x\log(s^{-1})}\int_0^{\infty}e^{-xE(heta)+E(heta)- heta} heta^{m-1}d heta$$
 .

So

$$4.10 \quad \frac{(1-z)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} x^{r-1} E_z(S_r)^m \sim \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \times \\ \left[\int_0^{\infty} e^{E(\theta) - \theta_{\theta} m - 1} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{[-xE(\theta)]^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} d\theta \right] \times \left[\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{[x \log (1-z)^{-1}]^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \right].$$

Equating coefficient of x^{r-1} on both sides of 4.10

$$\frac{(1-z)^{m-1}}{(m-1)}E_z(S_r)^m \sim \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \sum_{p=0}^{r-1} \left[\{ [\log (1-z)^{-1}]^p/p! \} \\ \times \left\{ \int_0^\infty \frac{[-E(\theta)]^{r-1-p}\theta^{m-1}e^{E(\theta)-\theta}}{(r-1-p)!} d\theta \right\} \right], s \to 0^+$$

4.11
$$\sim \sum_{p=0}^{r-1} (1/p!) [\log (1-z)^{-1}]^p K(r-1-p,m), s \to 0^+,$$

where

4.12
$$K(q, m) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\theta^{m-1}[-E(\theta)]^q e^{E(\theta)-\theta}}{(m-1)! q!} d\theta$$

which is in agreement with Shepp and Lloyd.

References

1. W. Feller, An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications, Vol-1, Asia Pub. Co., 1969.

2. A. Y. Khintchine, Mathematical methods in the theory of Queueing, Griffin Statistical monographs, 7 (1960).

 John Riordan, An Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis, Wiley, New York, 1958.
 L. A. Shepp and S. P. Lloyd, Ordered lengths in a random permutation, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 121 (1966), 340-357.

Received October 23, 1969, and in revised form May 14, 1970.

Annamalai University Annamalainagar (P.O), Tamil Nadu, India