## CONDITIONS FOR ISOMORPHISM IN PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

## H. H. JOHNSON

This paper studies systems of linear homogeneous p. d. e. in two independent variables with constant coefficients. For such systems powerful algebraic tools are available to obtain results which may indicate patterns for more general systems. Linear isomorphism is defined, and necessary and sufficient conditions for linear isomorphism between two systems are found. This result is obtained from the infinite prolongation of the systems, and two systems are isomorphic if and only if their infinite prolongations are isomorphic. One unexpected result is the important role played by lower-order coefficients which do not appear in such classical notions as ellipticity, hyperbolicity or characteristics. The classification problem for these p.d.e. is reduced to a problem in linear algebra involving a finite number of relations.

Usually notions such as jets, exterior forms, linear bundles, etc., in  $C^{\infty}$  or  $C^{\omega}$  categories are used to express our definitions. Since we are concerned here with linear objects we shall use this opportunity to state the definitions in terms of linear algebra.

Let  $z = (z^1, \dots, z^m)$  be a point in the real vector space V. We denote  $\partial_j z = (\partial_j z^1, \dots, \partial_j z^m)$  where j = 1 or 2. Let a and b be real m by m matrices. Consider systems  $\Sigma$  of the form  $\partial_2 z = \partial_1 z a + z b$ .

The total prolongation  $P\Sigma$  of  $\Sigma$  is the system  $\partial_2 z = z_1 a + b$ ,  $z_1 = \partial_1 z$ ,  $\partial_2 z_1 = \partial_1 z_1 a + z_1 b$ , where  $z_1 = (z_1^1, \cdots z_1^m)$ . Let PV denote the real vector space of 2m-tuples  $(z, z_1)$ . Observe that the equation  $\partial_2 z_1 = \partial_1 z_1 a + z_1 b$  is dictated by 'involutiveness' from  $z_1 = \partial_1 z$ , since  $\partial_2 z_1 = \partial_1 z_2 = \partial_1 (\partial_1 z a + z b)$ . If  $z = f(x^1, x^2)$  is any solution of  $\Sigma$  then  $(z, z_1) = (f, \partial f/\partial x^1)$  is a solution of  $P\Sigma$ , and every solution of  $P\Sigma$ arises in this way.

Similarly,  $P^2\Sigma$  is the system on  $P^2V = \{(z, z_1, z_2)\}, \ \partial_2 z = z_1 a + z b, \ \partial_2 z_1 = z_2 a + z_1 b, \ \partial_2 z_2 = \partial_1 z_2 a + z_2 b, \ z_1 = \partial_1 z, \ z_2 = \partial_1 z_1$ . Then  $P^n \Sigma$  is defined similarly on  $P^n V$ . Finally,  $P^{\infty}\Sigma$  is the system on  $z_{\infty} = (z, z_1, z_2, \cdots)$ 

where a, b, 0, I denote m by m real matrices, 0 the zero and I the identity matrices.

We shall denote by  $\Sigma'$  a system  $\partial_2 y = \partial_1 yc + yd$  where y is a point in the real vector space U and dimension (U) = m'.

DEFINITION 1. Two systems  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  are *isomorphic* if: (1) There is a linear transformation  $\pi: P^r V \to U$ ,

$$\pi(z, z_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}, \, \cdots, \, z_{\scriptscriptstyle r}) = zk + z_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}k_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} + \, \cdots + \, z_{\scriptscriptstyle r}k_{\scriptscriptstyle r}$$
 ,

where the  $k_j$  are m by m' matrices so that the 'pull-back' of the equations  $\partial_2 y = \partial_1 yc + yd$  in  $\Sigma'$  are in  $P_r \Sigma$ . That is,

$$\partial_2 zk + \cdots + \partial_2 z_r k_r = (\partial_1 zk + \cdots \ \partial_1 z_r k_r)c + (zk + \cdots + z_r k_r)d$$

are equations in  $P^r\Sigma$ . Now if  $z = f(x^1, x^2)$  is any solution of  $\Sigma$  then  $y = fk + \partial_1 fk_1 + \cdots + \partial_1^r fk_r$  is a solution of  $\Sigma'$ .

(2) Similarly, there is a linear transformation  $\rho: P^s U \to V$  so that the pull-back of  $\partial_2 z = \partial_1 z a + z b$  is in  $P^s \Sigma'$ .

(3) Finally, we require coherence in the following sense. Letting  $p^s \pi \colon P^{r+s} V \to P^s U$  be defined by

$$p^s \pi(z, \, z_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}, \, \cdots, \, z_{r+s}) = (zk + \cdots + z_r k_r, \, z_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}k + \cdots + z_{r+s}k_r, \, \cdots, \, z_s k + \cdots + z_{r+s}k_r) \; ,$$

we require that  $\rho \circ p^s \pi(z, z_1, \dots, z_{r+s}) = z$ . This guarantees that if  $z = f(x^1, x^2)$  is a solution of  $\Sigma$  corresponding to the solution  $y = g(x^1, x^2)$  of  $\Sigma'$  under  $\pi$ , then g will correspond to f under  $\rho$ . We also require that  $\pi \circ p^r \rho(y, \dots, y_{r+s}) = y$ .

It is not difficult to generalize this to nonlinear systems using jet language. One may compare isomorphism with absolute equivalence. Using [2, Prop. 1] absolutely equivalent systems can be proved to be isomorphic.

DEFINITION 2. Two systems  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  are *infinitely isomorphic* if there exists a matrix K with countably infinite columns and rows such that

(1) each column has all but a finite number of elements zero;

(2)  $K^{-1}$  exists satisfying (1);

(3) the transformation  $y_{\infty} = z_{\infty}K$  transforms  $P^{\infty}\Sigma'$  to  $P^{\infty}\Sigma$ , that is,  $P^{\infty}\Sigma$  is the system  $\partial_2 z_{\infty} = z_{\infty}KP'K^{-1}$ ,  $\partial_1 z_{\infty} = z_{\infty}KQ'K^{-1}$  when  $P^{\infty}\Sigma'$ is  $\partial_2 y_{\infty} = y_{\infty}P'$ ,  $\partial_1 y_{\infty} = y_{\infty}Q'$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** If  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  are isomorphic they are infinitely isomorphic.

*Proof.* From  $\pi: P^r V \to U$  in Definition 1 we obtain

$$p^{\infty}\pi: P^{\infty}V \to P^{\infty}U$$

by

 $p^{\infty}\pi(z_{\infty}) = (zk + \cdots + z_rk_r, z_1k + \cdots + z_{r+1}k_r, \cdots, z_ik + \cdots + z_{i+r}k_r, \cdots)$ 

which corresponds to a matrix K with finite columns. Similarly  $p^{\infty}\rho$  corresponds to a matrix H, and HK = KH = I follows from condition (3) in Definition 1.

We now obtain an algebraic condition equivalent to infinite isomorphism.

THEOREM 1. Two systems  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  are infinitely isomorphic if and only if

 $(1) \quad m = m',$ 

(2) there exist m by m matrices  $k, k_1, \dots, k_r$  such that

$$egin{aligned} bk &= kd \;, \ bk_1 + ak &= kc + k_1d \;, \ bk_2 + ak_1 &= k_1c + k_2d \;, \ dots &dots &d$$

and (3) the infinite matrix

$$K=egin{pmatrix} k & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & k_1 \ k & 0 & 0 & \cdots & k_1 \ k & 0 & 0 & \cdots & k_1 \ k & k_1 & k & 0 & \cdots & k_1 \ \ddots & k_1 & k & \cdots & k_1 \ \ddots & k_r & \ddots & k_1 & \cdots & k_r \ 0 & 0 & k_r & \cdot & \cdots & 0 \ 0 & 0 & k_r & \cdot & \cdots & k_r \ \ddots & \ddots & k_r & \cdots & k_r \ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & k_r \end{pmatrix}$$

has a column-finite inverse.

*Proof.* Assume (1), (2) and (3). Then K defines a linear transformation between  $P^{\infty}V$  and  $P^{\infty}U$ , which gives an infinite isomorphism, since the equations in (2) are equivalent to PK = KP', and (1) implies Q = Q' while it is easy to check that QK = KQ.

Conversely, if K is a matrix defining an isomorphism between  $P^{\infty}\Sigma$  and  $P^{\infty}\Sigma'$ , then PK = KP' and QK = KQ'. Let W be the real vector space of countably infinite column vectors whose components are all zero except possibly a finite number. These matrices P, Q, K can be regarded as linear transformations on W to itself.

Then K defines a linear transformation,  $K_0$ , on W/Q'(W) to W/Q(W) by  $K_0(w + Q'(W)) = K(w) + Q(W)$ , since if w = Q'(v) then K(w) = KQ'(v) = QK(v). This  $K_0$  is onto because K is onto (it has an inverse), and  $K_0$  is one-to-one because if

$$K(w) = Q(v) = QK(v_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}) = KQ'(v_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})$$
 ,

then  $w = Q'(v_1)$ .

Since W/Q(W) is isomorphic to W/Q'(W), their dimensions are the same. But the dimension of W/Q(W) is *m*, since and vector  $(v_1, v_2, \dots)^t$ , where the  $v_i$  are 1 by *m*, may be expressed as

$$(v_1, 0, 0, \cdots)^t + Q(v_2, v_3, \cdots)^t$$
.

Similarly for Q'. Hence m = m'.

From QK = Q'K = KQ', if one partitions K into m by m submatrices, it is immediate that K must have the form in (3). Then relations (2) follow at once from PK = KP'.

THEOREM 2. If  $P^{\infty}\Sigma$  and  $P^{\infty}\Sigma'$  are infinitely isomorphic, then  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  are isomorphic.

*Proof.* Let  $k, k_1, \dots, k_r$  be as in Theorem 1. Then  $\pi: P^r V \to U$  defined by  $\pi(z, z_1, \dots, z_r) = zk + z_1k_1 + \dots + z_rk_r$  satisfies

$$egin{aligned} &\partial_2(zk+z_1k_1+\dots+z_rk_r)-\partial_1(zk+\dots+z_rk_r)c\ &-(zk+\dots+z_rk_r)d\ &\equiv(z_1a+zb)k+(z_2a+z_1b)k_1+\dots+(\partial_1z_ra+z_rb)k_r\ &-(z_1k+z_2k_1+\dots+z_rk_{r-1}+\partial_1z_rk_r)c-(zk+z_1k_1\ &+\dots+z_rk_r)d\ &( ext{modulo}\ P^r\mathcal{\Sigma})\ &=z(bk-kd)+z_1(ak+bk_1-kc-k_1d)\ &+\dots+z_r(ak_{r-1}+bk_r-k_{r-1}c-k_rd)+\partial_1z_r(ak_r-k_rc)=0\ . \end{aligned}$$

Thus condition (1) of Definition 1 is satisfied.

If  $H = K^{-1}$  the analogous relations for H define  $\rho: P^s U \to V$ which satisfies condition (2) of Definition 1. Finally, condition (3) follows from HK = KH = I.

COROLLARY. In order that  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  be isomorphic it is necessary that m = m' and b be similar to d.

*Proof.* The matrix  $K^{-1}$  exists only if  $k^{-1}$  exists, so  $k^{-1}bk = d$ .

This last observation shows the importance of the O-order coefficients, which is rather unexpected in light of the classical notions such as characteristics which are invariants but in which b plays no part [3, 4, 5].

Observe that if  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  are isomorphic using the relations in Theorem 2, then from  $ak_r = k_rc$  one sees that for any eigenvector vof c,  $ak_rv = k_rcv = \lambda k_rv$ , so  $k_rv$  is an eigenvector of a belonging to the same eigenvalue provided  $k_rv \neq 0$ . The author conjectures that in all cases the eigenvalues of  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  coincide.

EXAMPLE 1. If  $\Sigma$  is  $\partial_2 z^1 = z^1$ ,  $\partial_2 z^2 = \partial_1 z^1$  and  $\Sigma'$  is  $\partial_2 y^1 = y^1$ ,  $\partial_2 y^2 = 0$ , then taking r = 0 and

$$k=\left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \ 0 & 0 \end{array}
ight)$$

we find  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  isomorphic. The Cauchy problem for  $\Sigma$  with initial data  $z^{i}(x^{i}, 0) = \varphi(x^{i})$  and  $z^{2}(x^{i}, 0) = \psi(x^{i})$  is well-posed, for its solution is  $z^{i} = \varphi(x^{i}) \exp(x^{2}), z^{2} = \varphi'(x^{i}) \exp(x^{2}) + \psi(x^{i}) - \varphi'(x^{i}).$ 

EXAMPLE 2. Now let  $\Sigma$  be  $\partial_2 z^1 = z^2$ ,  $\partial_2 z^2 = \partial_1 z^1$  and  $\Sigma'$  be

$$\partial_{_2}y^{_1}=y^{_2},\;\partial_{_2}y^{_2}=0$$
 .

These systems are not isomorphic, for  $\Sigma'$  has solutions

$$y^{_1} = x^2 \psi(x^1) + arphi(x^1), \,\, y^2 = \psi(x^1)$$
 ,

while  $\Sigma$  is equivalent to  $\partial_{22}z^1 = \partial_1 z^1$ , whose solution for  $z^1(x^1, 0) = 0$ ,  $z^2(x^1, 0) = \psi(x^1)$  requires  $\psi$  that be infinitely differentiable [1, p.27].

## References

1 J. Hadamard, Lectures on Cauchy's Problem in Linear Partial Differential Equations, Dover, New York (1952).

2. H. H. Johnson, Absolute equivalence of exterior differential systems, Illinois J. Math., **10** (1966), 407-411.

3. \_\_\_\_\_, The non-invariance of hyperbolicity for partial differential equations, Pacific J. Math., **22** (1967) 419-430.

## H. H. JOHNSON

4. \_\_\_\_\_, Determination of hyperbolicity by partial prolongations, Pacific J. Math., **30** (1969) 670-695.

5. \_\_\_\_, Partial prolongations and characteristics of differential equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **146** (1969), 231-240.

Received September 21, 1970.

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON