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#### Abstract

Let $\mathscr{S}$ be a Hilbert space, $\mathscr{P}$ a closed subspace of $\mathscr{S}$, $L$ an orthogonal projection operator on $\mathscr{S}$. The "imitation problem" consists of finding the solutions $p \in \mathscr{P}$ of the equation $$
p-s=L(p-s)
$$ for given $s \in \mathscr{S}$. If $\bar{W}$ is a compact bordered Riemann surface, $A$ a boundary neighborhood, $s$ a "singularity differential" defined on $\bar{A}, p$ will be a harmonic exact differential which imitates $s$ on $\bar{A}$ in a sense precised by $L$ (hence the name "imitation problem"). Existence and uniqueness theorems are given for the solution. Some concrete applications are described. The paper ends with a constructive method of solution in the case of $L^{2}$-normal operators.


0. Introduction. The "imitation problem" has originally been formulated by L. Sario (see for instance [1]). It is fundamental in the construction of harmonic functions on a Riemann surface with given singularities and given boundary behavior. It can be formulated as follows: given a "singularity function" $s$ defined in a boundary neighborhood, and a "normal operator $L$ ", construct a harmonic function $p$ defined on the whole Riemann surface and satisfying in the given boundary neighborhood the equation

$$
p-s=L(p-s)
$$

Sario's original solution uses the sup norm. For problems involving harmonic differentials, the $L^{2}$ norm is introduced somewhat more naturally and progress has been made in various directions. (see [5]). In §1 we study the abstract "imitation problem" for an arbitrary Hilbert space and give an existence and uniqueness theorem for the solution. In §2 we consider some decompositions of the vector space $\mathscr{S}_{\mathrm{C}}(\bar{A})$ of harmonic exact differentials defined on a boundary neighborhood $A$ of a compact bordered Riemann surface $\bar{R}$ and continuous in $\bar{A}$, and study some corresponding "imitation problems". In §3 we return to the $L^{2}$ case and give a constructive method of solution when the operator $L$ is $L^{2}$-normal. The method may be applied to the case of harmonic differentials on a Riemannian manifold of dimension $>2$, and also to open manifolds.

1. The abstract imitation problem in a Hilbert space. Let
$\mathscr{S}$ be a Hilbert space, $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{S})$ the algebra of bounded linear operators on $\mathscr{S}$. We are given a closed subspace $\mathscr{P} \subset \mathscr{S}$ corresponding to the orthogonal projection $F$. Given the orthogonal projection $L$ on $\mathscr{S}$ we want to solve the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
p-s=L(p-s) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p \in \mathscr{P}$ given the "singularity" $s \in \mathscr{S}$. We assume moreover that $p=T s$ where $T \in \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{S})$.

We are going to prove the theorem:
Theorem. Let $\mathscr{P}$ be a closed subspace of the Hilbert space and let $F$ denote orthogonal projection on $\mathscr{P}$. Let $L$ be an arbitrary orthogonal projection operator on a subspace of $\mathscr{S}$. Then the imitation problem

$$
p-s=L(p-s)
$$

admits a unique solution in $\mathscr{P}$ of the form

$$
p=T s
$$

(where $T$ is a bounded linear operator on $\mathscr{S}$ ) if and only if

$$
\operatorname{Im} L+\operatorname{Im} F=\mathscr{S}
$$

Proof. Observe that (*) may be written as:

$$
(I-L)(I-T) s=0
$$

which is true for each $s \in \mathscr{S}$.
It follows that $I-T$ belongs to the right annihilator of $I-L$. Now $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{S})$ being a Baer ring [4] it follows that there exists $X \in$ $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{S})$ such that
(**)

$$
I-T=L X
$$

Moreover, since $p \in \operatorname{Im} F$ we have $T s \in \operatorname{Im} F$ hence

$$
(I-F) T s=0
$$

We conclude that $T$ belongs to the right annihilator of $I-F$ hence there exists $Y \in \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{S})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=F Y \tag{***}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding up (**) and (***) we get the equation

$$
I=L X+F Y
$$

where, we recall $L, F$ are given orthogonal projection operators and $X$,
$Y$ are unknown elements of $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{S})$. Clearly, if $\operatorname{Im} L+\operatorname{Im} F \subsetneq \mathscr{S}$, the last equation has no solution. We show conversely that if $\operatorname{Im} L+$ $\operatorname{Im} F=\mathscr{S}$ the problem has always a solution. We need the:

Lemma. Let $A, B$ be closed subspaces of a Hilbert space $\mathscr{S}$ such that $A+B=\mathscr{S}$ (vector sum). Then, there exist closed subspaces $A_{m} \subset A, B_{m} \subset B$ such that

$$
A_{m}+B_{m}=\mathscr{S} \quad \text { (direct sum) }
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{e_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a basis for $A,\left\{e_{\beta}\right\}$ a basis for $B$. Then, $\left\{e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}\right\}$ is a set of generators for $\mathscr{S}$. It contains a basis $\left\{e_{\alpha_{i}}, e_{\beta_{j}}\right\}$ where $\left\{e_{\alpha_{i}}\right\} \subset\left\{e_{\alpha}\right\}$ and $\left\{e_{\beta_{j}}\right\} \subset\left\{e_{\beta}\right\}$. Let then $A_{m}$ be the closed span of $\left\{e_{\alpha_{i}}\right\}, B_{m}$ be the closed span of $\left|e_{\alpha_{i}}\right|$. Then $A_{m}+B_{m}=\mathscr{S}$ and $A_{m} \cap B_{m}=\{0\}$.

We apply the lemma to $A=\operatorname{Im} L, B=\operatorname{Im} F$. There exist subspaces $A_{m} \subset \operatorname{Im} L, B_{m} \subset \operatorname{Im} F$ such that

$$
A_{m}+B_{m}=\mathscr{S}
$$

Let $X_{0}$ and $Y_{0}$ be orthogonal projections on $A_{m}$ and $B_{m}$ respectively. Then

$$
I=L X_{0}+F Y_{0}
$$

and $\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)$ is a solution of $(\dagger)$. To study uniqueness, let $(X, Y)$ be another solution of ( $\dagger$ ). One must have:

$$
L\left(X-X_{0}\right)=F\left(Y_{0}-Y\right)
$$

So if $\operatorname{Im} F \cap \operatorname{Im} L=\{0\}$ then necessarily $X=X_{0} \quad Y=Y_{0}$. If $\operatorname{Im} F \cap$ $\operatorname{Im} L \neq\{0\}$ then, the operators of the form $L\left(X-X_{0}\right)=F\left(Y_{0}-Y\right)$ are the elements of the right annihilator of the set $\{I-L, I-F\}$ hence of the form $G \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{S})$ for some orthogonal projection $G$. The $T$ 's we are looking for are of the form $F Y=F Y_{0}-F\left(Y_{0}-Y\right)=$ $F Y_{0}-G \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{S}) . G \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{S})$ is non void: if $\operatorname{Im} F \cap \operatorname{Im} L=\operatorname{Im} M$ where $M$ is a projection, $M$ satisfies $L M=F M$. In that case uniqueness is lost and we have proved the theorem.

Notes. (1) there is actually no restriction when dealing with operators $L$ which are projections: if $L$ denotes any element of $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{S})$, (*) becomes $(I-L)(I-T)=0$. So $I-T$ belongs to the right annihilator of $I-L$ and therefore $I-F=\Lambda U$ where $\Lambda$ is the orthogonal projection generating the right annihilator of $I-L$.
(2) The preceding proof can be applied to the Baer ring of linear endomorphisms of a vector space. Orthogonal projections should be replaced by projection operators.

As an example we apply the previous theory to the construction of harmonic differentials on a Riemann surface which "imitate" some singularity differential in the neighborhood of the ideal boundary (whence the name "imitation problem").
2. Vector space decompositions and the corresponding "imitation problems". Let $\bar{R}$ be any compact bordered Riemann surface. We consider the space $\mathscr{S}_{( }(\bar{R})$ consisting of harmonic exact differentials on Int $(\bar{R})$, which are continuous on $\bar{R}$. Let $\gamma$ be a cycle on $\bar{R},[\gamma]$ the corresponding homology class. We introduce the space

$$
H_{[r]}(\bar{R})=\left\{\omega \in \mathfrak{g}(\bar{R}): \int_{T} * \omega=0\right\}
$$

(see [1]).
Let now $\bar{W}$ be a compact bordered Riemann surface, $\bar{A}$ the complement of a regularly embedded domain $\Omega$. We use the standard notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha & =B d \bar{\Omega} \\
\beta & =B d \bar{W} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the vector space $\mathscr{S}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\bar{A})$ we consider the subspaces

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0\{ }(\bar{A})=\left\{\omega \in \mathfrak{g}(\bar{A}), \omega=d f,\left.d f\right|_{\beta}=0\right\} \\
& H_{0 \alpha}(\bar{A})=\left\{\omega \in \mathfrak{g}(\bar{A}), \omega=d f,\left.d f\right|_{\alpha}=0\right\} \\
& H_{0, \bar{\Sigma}(\bar{A})}=\left\{\omega \in \mathfrak{S}(\bar{A}) ; \omega=d f,\left.* d f\right|_{\beta}=0, \int_{a_{i}} * d f\right. \\
& \left.=0 \text {, for each component } \alpha_{i} \text { of } \alpha\right\} \\
& H_{o \alpha}^{\star}(\bar{A})=\left\{\omega \in \mathfrak{F}(\bar{A}) ; \omega=d f,\left.* d f\right|_{\alpha}=0, \int_{\beta_{i}} * d f\right. \\
& \left.=0 \text {, for each component } \beta_{i} \text { of } \beta\right\} \\
& H_{0 \beta}^{\prime}(\bar{A})=H_{0 \Omega}(\bar{A}) \cap H_{[\beta]}(\bar{A}) \\
& H_{o \alpha}^{\prime}(\bar{A})=H_{0 \alpha}(\bar{A}) \cap H_{[\beta]}(\bar{A}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that:

Another important subspace will be

$$
H_{e x t}(\bar{A})=\{\omega \in \mathfrak{F g}(\bar{A}): \omega=\hat{\omega} \mid \bar{A} \quad \text { where } \hat{\omega} \in \mathfrak{I}(\bar{W})\} .
$$

Clearly $H_{e x t}(\bar{A}) \subset H_{[\beta]}(\bar{A})$.
Let now $\Gamma(\bar{A})$ be the space of square integrable harmonic differentials on $\bar{A}$. We denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{[\beta]}(\bar{A})=\text { closure in } \Gamma(\bar{A}) \text { of } H_{[\beta]}(\bar{A}) \\
& h_{0 r}^{\prime}(\bar{A})=\text { closure in } \Gamma(\bar{A}) \text { of } H_{0 r}^{\prime}(\bar{A}) \\
& h_{0 \bar{i}}^{\stackrel{ }{\prime}(\bar{A})}=\text { closure in } \Gamma(\bar{A}) \text { of } H_{0 \gamma}^{\grave{\Sigma}}(\bar{A})
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma$ stands for $\alpha$ or $\beta$. We have the following vector space decompositions:

## Proposition.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{[\beta]}(\bar{A})=h_{0 \alpha}^{\prime}(\bar{A}) \oplus h_{0 \beta}^{\circ}(\bar{A}) \\
& h_{[\beta]}(\bar{A})=h_{0 \alpha}^{\star}(\bar{A}) \oplus h_{0 \beta}^{\prime}(\bar{A}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We prove the first equality. The second is obtained by symmetry. First, we show

$$
h_{[\beta]}(\bar{A})=H_{0 \alpha}^{\prime}(\bar{A}) \oplus H_{0 \beta}^{\dot{2}}(\bar{A}) .
$$

Observe that $H_{0 \alpha}^{\prime}(\bar{A})$ is orthogonal to $h_{0 \hat{\beta}}^{\stackrel{( }{\prime}}(\bar{A})$ : let $d f \in H_{0 \alpha}^{\prime}(\bar{A}), d g \in H_{0 \beta}^{\downarrow}(\bar{A})$. The innner product on the Hilbert space $\Gamma(\bar{A})$ induces an inner product on $H_{[\beta]}(\bar{A})$. So,

$$
(d f, d g)_{\bar{\alpha}}=\int_{\beta-\alpha} f * \overline{d g}=\int_{\beta} f * \overline{d g}=0 .
$$

Let now $d k$ be an element of $H_{[\beta]}(\bar{A})$. We want to find $d f \in H_{0 \alpha}^{\prime}$ and $d g \in H_{0,5}^{\square}(\bar{A})$ such that

$$
d k=d f+d g
$$

We must have $\left.d g\right|_{\alpha}=\left.d k\right|_{\alpha},\left.* d g\right|_{\beta}=0, \int_{\alpha_{i}} * d g=0$ for each component $\alpha_{i}$ of $\alpha$. Also $\left.d f\right|_{\alpha}=0,\left.* d f\right|_{\beta}=\left.* d k\right|_{\beta}$ and $\int_{\alpha_{i}} * d f=\int_{\alpha_{i}} * d h$ for each component $\alpha_{i}$ of $\alpha$. Such a problem has a unique solution.

We now take closures in $\Gamma(\bar{A})$. Observe that $h_{0 \alpha}^{\prime}(\bar{A})$ and $h_{0 \beta}^{\perp}(\bar{A})$ are orthogonal since $H_{0 \alpha}^{\prime}(\bar{A})$ and $H_{0 \beta}^{\prime}(\bar{A})$ are dense and orthogonal. It follows that

$$
h_{[\beta]}(\bar{A})=h_{c \alpha}^{\prime}(\bar{A})+h_{0 \hat{\beta}}^{\sim}(\bar{A}) .
$$

We now consider some orthogonal projections in the space $h_{[\beta]}(\bar{A})$, which may be used as operators $L$ of $\S 1$.
(1) Let $\Lambda_{0}$ be orthogonal projection on $h_{0, \tilde{F}}^{\times}(\bar{A})$. We have

$$
\operatorname{ker} \Lambda_{0}=h_{0 \alpha}^{\prime}(\bar{A}) .
$$

In particular $* \Lambda_{0} d f \in h_{0 \beta}(\bar{A})$ and hence $\Lambda_{0} d f$ has "vanishing normal derivative" on $\beta$. Moreover $\left(I-\Lambda_{0}\right) d f \in h_{0 \alpha}^{\prime}$. So $\left.\Lambda_{0} d f\right|_{\alpha}=\left.d f\right|_{\alpha}$ and $\Lambda_{0}$ has the property of Sario's " $L_{0}$ operator".
(2) Let $\Lambda_{1}$ be orthogonal projection on $h_{0 \beta}^{\prime}(\bar{A})$. We have

$$
\operatorname{ker} \Lambda_{1}=h_{0 \alpha}(\bar{A})
$$

So $\left.\Lambda_{1} d f\right|_{\beta} \in h_{0 \beta}^{\prime}$ and " $\Lambda_{1} d f$ vanishes on $\beta$ " However $\left.*\left(I-\Lambda_{1}\right) d f\right|_{\alpha} \in h_{0 \alpha}^{\prime}$ hence

$$
\left.* \Lambda_{1} d f\right|_{\alpha}=\left.* d f\right|_{\alpha}
$$

and $\Lambda_{1}$ differs from Sario's " $L_{1}$ operator" by its behavior on $\alpha$. Some other vector space decompositions will be of interest:

Proposition. $\quad H_{[\beta]}(\bar{A})=H_{e x t}(\bar{A}) \oplus H_{0 \beta}^{\prime}(\bar{A})$.
Proof. Observe that $H_{e x t}(\bar{A}) \cap H_{0 \beta}^{\prime}(A)=\{0\}$. This is a consequence of the fact that on $\bar{W}, \Gamma_{h s e}^{*} \cap \Gamma_{h e}$ is orthogonal to $\Gamma_{h e} \cap \Gamma_{h o}$.

Now consider any $d f \in H_{[\beta]}(\bar{A})$. Let $\widehat{d f}$ be the unique harmonic exact differential on $\bar{W}$ which has same boundary values as $d f$. Now:

$$
d f=\left.\widehat{d f}\right|_{\bar{A}}+\left.(d f-\hat{d f})\right|_{\bar{A}}
$$

and

$$
\left.\widehat{d f}\right|_{\bar{A}} \in H_{e x t}(\bar{A}),\left.(d f-\widehat{d f})\right|_{\bar{A}} \in H_{0 \beta}^{\prime}
$$

which proves the validity of the direct sum decomposition.
We shall denote by $K_{1}$ the corresponding projection on $H_{e x t}(\bar{A})$ and by $L_{1}$ the corresponding projection on $H_{0 \beta}^{\prime}(\bar{A})$.

Proposition. $\quad H_{[\beta]}(\bar{A})=H_{e x t}(\bar{A}) \oplus H_{0 \bar{\downarrow}}^{\downarrow}(\bar{A})$.
Proof. $\quad H_{\text {ext }}(\bar{A}) \cap H_{0 \beta}^{\curlywedge}(\bar{A})=\{0\}$. Thus assume $\omega=d f \in H_{\text {ext }}(\bar{A})$ and $* d f \mid \beta=0$. By the uniqueness of the solution to the Neumann problem $d f=0$. Consider now any $d f \in H_{[\beta]}(\bar{A})$. Let $d f$ be the harmonic exact differential on $\bar{W}$ such that $\left.*(\widehat{d f})\right|_{\beta}=\left.* d f\right|_{\beta}$ and $\int_{\alpha_{i}} * \widehat{d f}=\int_{\alpha_{i}} * d f$ for each component $\alpha_{i}$ of $\alpha$. We can write

$$
d f=\left.\widehat{d f}\right|_{\bar{A}}+\left.(d f-(\widehat{d f}))\right|_{\bar{A}}
$$

where

$$
\left.\widehat{d f}\right|_{\bar{A}} \in H_{e x t}(\bar{A}), \quad\left(d f-\left.(\widehat{d f})\right|_{\bar{A}} \in H_{0 \hat{\beta}}^{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\lambda}}(A),\right.
$$

which proves the validity of the direct sum decomposition. We denote by $K_{0}$ the projection on $H_{e x t}(\bar{A})$ and by $L_{0}$ the projection on $H_{0, \beta}^{\star}(\bar{A})$.

Application. Solution to the "imitation problem" for harmonic differentials in $H_{[\beta]}(\bar{A})$. (cf. §1. note 2).

Assume that we have a decomposition:

$$
H_{[\beta]}(\bar{A})=H_{e x t}(\bar{A}) \oplus \breve{H}(\bar{A}) . \quad \text { (direct sum) }
$$

We denote by $L$ the corresponding projection onto $\bar{H}(\bar{A})$ and by $K$ the corresponding projection onto $H_{e x t}(\bar{A})$.

The "imitation problem" consists in studying the solutions $\omega \in$ $H_{e x t}(\bar{A})$ of the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega-s=L(\omega-s), \quad s \in H_{[\beta]}(\bar{A}) . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can apply the existence and uniqueness theorem of $\S 1$, or check directly.

Uniqueness of the solution: let $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ be two solutions of (*) then

$$
\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}=L\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right)
$$

or

$$
(I-L)\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right)=0
$$

$$
\text { i.e. } \quad \omega_{1}-\omega_{2} \in \operatorname{Ker}(I-L)=\operatorname{Im} L
$$

Now $\omega_{1}-\omega_{2} \in \operatorname{Im} K$ and $\operatorname{Im} K \cap \operatorname{Im} L=\{0\}$. It follows that $\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}=$ 0 and the solution is unique.

Existence of the solution. To solve $(I-L)(\omega-s)=0$ set $\omega=$ $K s$. We then get:

$$
(I-L)(I-K) s=0
$$

which is verified for all $s \in H_{[\beta]}(\bar{A})$ since

$$
\operatorname{Im}(I-K)=\operatorname{Ker} L ;
$$

from the direct sum decomposition.

## Examples.

(1) $L_{1}$ and $K_{1}$. The unique solution to

$$
\omega-s=L_{1}(\omega-s)
$$

is given by $\omega=K_{1} s$. Such a $\omega$ has the same boundary behavior as $s$.
(2) $L_{0}$ and $K_{0}$. The unique solution to

$$
\omega-s=L_{0}(\omega-s)
$$

is given by $\omega=K_{0} s$ and $* \omega$ and $* s$ have same boundary behavior.
3. $-L^{2}$-normal operators and the "imitation problem". We
now return to the $L^{2}$ theory and show a constructive method of solution. We consider the Hilbert space $\mathscr{S}_{1}$ defined as the closure in the $L^{2}$-norm on $\bar{A}$ of the space of harmonic exact differential on $\bar{A}$. We are considering operators

$$
L: \mathfrak{S}_{1} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{K}_{1}
$$

such that
(i) $L$ is an orthogonal projection operator. (in particular $L^{2}=$ $L$ and $\|L\|=1$ )
(ii) $\operatorname{Im}(I-L) \cap H_{e x t}(\bar{A})=\{0\}$.

Such operators will be called $L^{2}$-normal.
We consider in particular the operator

$$
K: \mathfrak{S}_{1} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{1}
$$

where $K$ denotes orthogonal projection onto the subspace $\Re$ of exact harmonic differentials in $\mathscr{K}_{1}$ which admit a harmonic extension to all of $W$. The next generalized $q$-lemma shows that $\Re$ is closed.

Generalized $q$-Lemma. There exist numbers $q(\bar{A})$ and $q^{\prime}(\bar{A})$ lying between 0 and 1 such that for each $\omega \in \Gamma_{h e}(\bar{W}) . \quad q^{\prime}(\bar{A})\|\omega\|_{\bar{W}} \leqq\|\omega\|_{\bar{A}} \leqq$ $q(\bar{A})\|\omega\|_{\bar{w}}$.

Proof. We know that $\Gamma_{h e}(\bar{W})$ has the Montel property. Consider the subset $S \subset \Gamma_{h_{e}}(\bar{W})$ defined as

$$
S=\left\{\omega \in \Gamma_{h e}(\bar{W}):\|\omega\|_{\bar{w}}=1\right\}
$$

We first want to show that then exists $q(\bar{A}), 0<q(\bar{A})<1$ such that

$$
\|\omega\|_{\bar{A}} \leqq q(\bar{A})
$$

for each $\omega \in S$.
If this is not the case, there is a sequence $\left(\omega_{n}\right)$ from $S$ such that $\left\|\omega_{n}\right\|_{-} \rightarrow 1$.

By the Montel property, $\left(\omega_{n}\right)$ has a convergent subsequence ( $\omega_{n_{i}}$ ) and $\omega_{n_{i}} \rightarrow \hat{\omega} \in S$. (since $S$ is closed). Now $\left\|\omega_{n_{i}}\right\|_{\bar{A}} \rightarrow 1$ and hence $\|\hat{\omega}\|_{\bar{A}}=1$ and so supp $\hat{\omega} \subseteq \bar{A}$. But no element of $\Gamma_{h e}(\bar{W})$ has support contained in $\bar{A}$ a proper subset of Int $\bar{W}$. ([3] p. 186).
Hence there exists $q(\bar{A}), 0<q(\bar{A})<1$ such that

$$
\|\omega\|_{\bar{A}} \leqq q(\bar{A})\|\omega\|_{\bar{w}}
$$

To get the second inequality, consider $\bar{\Omega}$ :

$$
\|\omega\|_{\bar{\Omega}} \leqq q(\bar{\Omega})\|\omega\|_{\bar{W}}
$$

hence

$$
\|\omega\|_{W}-\|\omega\|_{\bar{A}} \leqq q(\bar{\Omega})\|\omega\|_{\bar{W}}
$$

or

$$
(1-q(\bar{\Omega}))\|\omega\|_{\bar{W}} \leqq\|\omega\|_{\bar{A}}
$$

and we have $q^{\prime}(\bar{A})=1-q(\bar{\Omega})$. Which proves the lemma.
Note. We have $1-q(\bar{\Omega}) \leqq q(\bar{A})$. So $q(\bar{A})+q(\bar{W}-\bar{A}) \geqq 1$.
Corollary. $\Re$ is a closed subspace of $\mathfrak{K}_{1}$.
Proof. We show $\Re$ contains all the limits of its Cauchy sequences. Let $\left(\omega_{n}\right)$ be Cauchy in $\Re$. Let $\left(\hat{\omega}_{n}\right)$ be the corresponding sequence in $\Gamma_{h e}(\bar{W})$ (such that $\left.\widehat{\omega}_{n}\right|_{\bar{A}}=\omega_{n}$ ). Now $\left(\widehat{\omega}_{n}\right) \rightarrow \hat{\omega} \in \Gamma_{h e}(\bar{W})$ in the $L^{2}$ norm on $\Gamma_{h e}(\bar{W})$. Since the $L^{2}$-norms on $\Gamma_{h e}(\bar{W})$ and $\Re$ are equivalent. It follows that

$$
\left.\left(\omega_{n}\right) \longrightarrow \hat{\omega}\right|_{A}
$$

in the $L^{2}$ norm on $\Re$ and hence $\Re$ is closed. We now prove:
Theorem. Let $L$ be a $L^{2}$-normal operator on $\mathfrak{K}_{1}$. Then the equation $\omega-s=L(\omega-s)$ admits a solution $\omega \in \Re$. The solution is unique provided $\Re \cap \operatorname{Im} L=(0)$.

Proof. Assume there exists $p \in \mathscr{S}_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-K p-s=L(p-s) \tag{+}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then have

$$
L(-K p-s)=L^{2}(p-s)=L(p-s)=-K p-s
$$

Setting $\omega=-K p$ we obtain an element of $\Re$ such that

$$
\omega-s=L(\omega-s) .
$$

It then suffices to solve $\left(^{+}\right)$. We rewrite it as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[I-(I-(K+L))] p=-(I-L) s \tag{}
\end{equation*}
$$

The latter admits a solution $p \in \mathfrak{W}_{1}$ (which can be written as a Neumann series) if

$$
\|I-(K+L)\|<1
$$

or, what is the same, if the aperture

$$
\theta(\operatorname{Im}(I-K), \operatorname{Im}(K))<1
$$

(For the definition and properties of the aperture see [2] p. 69.) Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta(\operatorname{Im}(I-L), \operatorname{Im}(K)) \\
& \quad=\max \{\operatorname{dist}[S(\operatorname{Im}(I-L)), \operatorname{Im} K], \operatorname{dist}[S(\operatorname{Im} K), \operatorname{Im}(I-L)]\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(where $S(V)$ denotes the unit sphere in the subspace $V$ ).
Now the unit spheres in $\operatorname{Im}(I-L)$, im $K$ are closed and bounded hence compact since $\mathscr{S}_{1}$ has the Montel property.

Assume that the max is given by the first term; let $x \in S(\operatorname{Im}(I-$ $L)$ ). The projection of $x$ on $\operatorname{Im} K$ lies in the unit ball of $\operatorname{Im} K$ which is compact. Hence we can consider in the computation of $\theta$ the distance from $S(\operatorname{Im}(I-L))$ to the unit ball of $\operatorname{Im} K$ and the distance is thus attained.

Let

$$
d f \in S(\operatorname{Im}(I-L)), \quad d g \in \operatorname{Im} K
$$

be corresponding points. One has

$$
\theta=\frac{|(d f, d g)|}{\|d f\|\|d g\|}
$$

If now $\theta=1$, then $|(d f, d g)|=\|d f\|\|d g\|$ and hence $d f=\lambda d g$ where $\lambda$ is a constant, and also $d f=(I-L) d h$.

Now $d g$ is extendable and $d f \in \operatorname{Im}(I-L)$. It follows that $d f=$ 0 , a contradiction.
(A similar reasoning is valid in case the max in the definition of $\theta$ is given by the second term.)

It follows that $\theta<1$ and $\left({ }^{++}\right)$has the solution.

$$
\omega=-K p=K \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}[I-(K+L)]^{n}(I-L) s
$$

Note. Instead of $\mathscr{K}_{1}$ one could work in a closed subset of $\mathscr{K}_{1}$ e.g. $h_{[\beta]}(\bar{A})$.

The uniqueness is discussed as before: we get uniqueness provided

$$
\operatorname{Im} K \cap \operatorname{Im} L=\{0\}
$$

i.e. no differential in the image of $L$ is extendable to $\bar{W}$.

If $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ are solutions, then

$$
(1-L)\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right)=0
$$

Now

$$
\omega_{i}=-K p_{i} \quad i=1,2
$$

$$
(I-L) K\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)=0
$$

Hence if

$$
\operatorname{Im} K \cap \operatorname{Im} L=\{0\}, \quad p_{1}=p_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \omega_{1}=\omega_{2}
$$

Conversely, if there is a differential $\tau \in \mathscr{S}_{1}$ such that

$$
\tau=L \mu=K \nu
$$

then if $\omega$ is a solution in $\Re$ of

$$
\omega-s=L(\omega-s)
$$

we have

$$
\omega+\tau-s=\tau+L(\omega-s)=L(\mu+\omega-s)=L(\tau+\omega-s)
$$

and uniqueness is lost.
As examples we could take:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\Lambda_{0} \quad \text { orthogonal projection on } h_{0 \beta}^{\dot{2}}(\bar{A}) . \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\operatorname{Im}(I-L)=h_{0 \alpha}^{\prime}(\bar{A})
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Im}(I-L) \cap H_{e x t}(\bar{A})=\{0\} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Im} L \cap H_{e x t}(\bar{A})=\{0\} ;
$$

(ii) $L=\Lambda_{1}$ orthogonal projection on $h_{0 \beta}^{\prime}(\bar{A})$. Similar results are valid.
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