

## INTERPOLATION SETS FOR UNIFORM ALGEBRAS

ARNE STRAY

Let  $A$  be a uniform algebra on a compact Hausdorff space  $X$  and let  $E \subset X$  be a closed subset which is a  $G_\delta$ . Denote by  $B_E$  all functions on  $X \setminus E$  which are uniform limits on compact subsets of  $X \setminus E$  of bounded sequences from  $A$ .

It is proved that a relatively closed subset  $S$  of  $X \setminus E$  is an interpolation set and an intersection of peak sets for  $B_E$  if and only if each compact subset of  $S$  has the same property w. r. t.  $A$ . In some special cases the interpolation sets for  $B_E$  are characterized in a similar way. A method for constructing infinite interpolation sets for  $A$  and  $B_E$  whenever  $x \in E$  is a peak point for  $A$  in the closure of  $X \setminus \{x\}$ , is presented.

With  $X$  as above let  $S \subset X$  be a topological subspace. Then  $C_b(S)$  denotes all bounded continuous complexvalued functions on  $S$  and we put  $\|f\| = \sup\{|f(x)|: x \in S\}$  if  $f \in C_b(S)$ .

A subset  $S$  of  $X \setminus E$  closed in the relative topology is called an interpolation set for  $B_E$  if any  $f \in C_b(S)$  has an extension to  $X \setminus E$  which belongs to  $B_E$ . If there exists  $f \in B_E$  such that  $f = 1$  on  $S$  and  $|f| < 1$  on  $(X \setminus E) \setminus S$ , we call  $S$  a peak set for  $B_E$ . If  $S$  has both this properties it is called a peak interpolation set for  $B_E$ . Peak and interpolation sets for  $A$  are defined in the same way.

It is easy to see that  $B_E$  is a Banach algebra with the norm  $N(f) = \inf\{\sup_n \|f_n\|: \{f_n\} \subset A, f_n \rightarrow f \text{ uniformly on compact subsets of } X \setminus E\}$ . It is an interesting problem in itself when this norm coincides with sup norm on  $X \setminus E$ .

In case  $X = \{z: |z| \leq 1\}$  and  $A$  is the classical disc algebra of all continuous functions on  $X$  which are analytic in  $D = \{z: |z| < 1\}$  the interpolation sets for  $B_E$  (where  $E$  is a closed subset of  $\partial X$ ) are characterized by that  $S \cap \partial X$  has zero linear measure and that  $S \cap D$  is an interpolation set for  $H^\infty(D)$ , the algebra of all bounded analytic functions on  $D$ . This result was obtained in [8] by E. A. Heard and J. H. Wells.

Their work has been generalized in different ways. Various authors have considered more general subsets  $E$  of  $\{z: |z| \leq 1\}$  and more general algebras of analytic functions. ([2], [3], [4], [6], [9] and [10]).

In this note we wish to generalize the results of Heard and Wells to the setting of uniform algebras. We start with an extension of Theorem 2 in [8].

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $S \subset X \setminus E$  be closed in the relative topology. Assume  $X$  is the maximal ideal space of  $A$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *Given  $g \in C_b(S)$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and an open set  $U \supset S$ , there exists  $f \in B_E$  such that  $f = g$  on  $S$ ,  $\|f\| = \|g\|$ ,  $|f| < \varepsilon$  on  $(X \setminus E) \setminus U$  and  $N(f) \leq \|g\|(1 + \varepsilon)$ .*

(ii) *There exists a constant  $M$  such that if  $g \in C_b(S)$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $U \supset S$  is open we can find  $f \in B_E$  such that  $f = g$  on  $S$ ,  $|f| < \varepsilon$  on  $(X \setminus E) \setminus U$  and  $N(f) \leq M\|g\|$ .*

(iii) *Each compact subset of  $S$  is an interpolation set and an intersection of peak sets for  $A$ .*

*Proof.* That (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is trivial.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Choose  $g \in C(K)$  with  $\|g\| = 1$ .

Let  $K \subset S$  be compact,  $U$  and  $W$  open sets such that  $K \subset W \subset \bar{W} \subset U \subset \bar{U} \subset X \setminus E$  and choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By hypothesis there exists  $g_1 \in B_E$  equal to  $g$  on  $K$  such that  $|g_1| < \varepsilon/2$  on  $\bar{U} \setminus W$  and  $N(g_1) \leq M$ .

Hence we can find  $g_2 \in A$  with  $\|g_2\| \leq M$ ,  $|g - g_2| < \varepsilon$  on  $K$ ,  $|g_2| < \varepsilon$  on  $\bar{U} \setminus W$  and  $\|g_2\| \leq M$ . By ([8], Lemma 2) applied to the restriction map  $B_E \rightarrow C(K)$  we get that any  $g \in C(K)$  we get that any  $g \in C(K)$  has an extension  $f$  to  $X$  such that  $f \in A$ ,  $\|f\| \leq M/(1 - \varepsilon)$  and  $|f| < \varepsilon/(1 - \varepsilon)$  on  $\bar{U} \setminus W$ . Essentially by Bishops "1/4 - 3/4-Theorem" (See [5], Th. 11.1 p. 52) we can use what is proved until now to find a compact set  $K_1$  and  $f_1 \in A$  such that  $f_1 = 1$  on  $K_1$ ,  $|f_1| < 1$  on  $U \setminus K_1$  and  $K \subset K_1 \subset W$ . By "Rossis Local Peak Set Theorem" ([5], p. 91)  $K_1$  is a peak set for  $A$  and (iii) is proved.

It remains to prove (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). We only indicate how to modify our proof of Lemma 2.1 in [10] to apply to the present situation. As in that lemma we construct a sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset A$  with the properties listed there. Let  $t \in (0, 1)$ . The sum  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n = f \in B_E$  and the proof of Lemma 2.1 gives (i) if we can show that  $N(f) \leq 1 + t$ . This is obtained by constructing  $\{f_n\}$  such that  $\|f_n + f_{n+1}\| \leq 1 + 1/2 \cdot t$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ .

This can be obtained if when constructing  $f_{n+1}$  we arrange it so that  $|f_n + f_{n+1}| = |f_n| + |f_{n+1}|$  on  $K_{n+1} \cup K_{n+2}$  ( $K_{n+1}, K_{n+2}$  as in [10]) and then if needed, modify  $f_{n+1}$  to  $h \cdot f_{n+1}$  where  $h \in A$  equals  $1 = \|h\|$  on  $K_{n+1} \cup K_{n+2} \cup K_{n+3}$ , is small where  $|f_n + f_{n+1}|$  may be large and has a small imaginary part.

We now state a lemma which is due to A. M. Davie:

**LEMMA 1.** *There exists a sequence  $\{Q_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of polynomials with the following properties:*

- (1)  $\sum_{k=1}^n Q_k(z) \rightarrow 1$  uniformly on compact subset of  $\{z: |z| < 1\}$
- (2)  $Q_k(1) = 0$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty |Q_k(z)| \leq 3$  if  $|z| \leq 1$ .

For a construction of  $\{Q_k\}$  see the proof of Theorem 2.4 in [1].  
 We now have:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $E$  be a peak set for  $A$  and let  $S \subset X \setminus E$  be closed in the relative topology. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $S$  is an interpolation set for  $B_E$ .
- (ii) There exists  $M > 0$  such that if  $K \subset S$  is compact and  $g \in C(K)$  we can find  $f \in A$  equal to  $g$  on  $K$  and with  $\|f\| \leq M\|g\|$ .

*Proof.* (ii) follows from (i) as in the first part of the proof that (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) in Theorem 1. For the converse an argument used by Davie in [1] works: Choose  $h \in A$  peaking on  $E$  and put  $E_k = S \cap \{x: |Q_k \circ h(x)| \geq \varepsilon \cdot h^{-k}\}$  where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is given in advance. Let  $g \in C_b(S)$  with  $\|g\| = 1$ . Choose by hypothesis  $g_k \in A$  equal to  $g$  on  $E_k$  with  $\|g_k\| \leq M$  and put  $G = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (Q_k \circ h) \cdot g_k$ . Then by Lemma 1  $G \in B_E$ ,  $\|G\| \leq 3M$  and if  $x \in S$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |G(x) - g(x)| &= \left| \sum_1^{\infty} (g_k(x) - g(x))Q_k \circ f(x) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_1^{\infty} \varepsilon 2^{-k} = \varepsilon . \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2 in [8] (i) follows.

The hypothesis that  $E$  is a peak set for  $A$  seems unnecessary, but we needed it to apply Lemma 1. It would be of interest to get some examples where Theorem 2 holds without assuming  $E$  to be a peak set.

A case which deserves investigation is when  $A$  is an algebra of generalized analytic functions ([5], Ch VII) viewed as a uniform algebra on its maximal ideal space. Then  $B_E$  is very easy to describe whenever  $E$  is a closed subset of the Šilov boundary of  $A$ . In particular the norm  $N(f)$  coincides with sup norm on  $X \setminus E$  in this case.

We want to give two examples where a more detailed description of the interpolation sets for  $B_E$  can be given.

(a) Let  $U \subset C^n$  be a strictly pseudoconvex domain with  $C^2$  boundary and let  $X$  be the closure of  $U$ . Let  $A$  be the algebra  $A(U) = \{f \in C(X): f|_U \text{ is analytic}\}$ .

In this case Theorem 2 is valid if  $E$  is any closed subset  $\partial U$  and the interpolation set  $S$  can then also be characterized by the following:

(I): Each compact subset of  $S \cap \partial U$  is a peak interpolation set for  $A$ ,

and

(II):  $S \cap U$  is an interpolation set for  $H^\infty(U)$ , the algebra of all bounded analytic functions in  $U$ .

For a proof of this note that (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) in Theorem 2 holds whenever  $E$  is a closed  $G_\delta$ . That (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (I) is a simple normal family argument and I also follows from (ii) by a result of N. H. Varopoulos [11] and since each  $x \in \partial U$  is a peak point for  $A(U)$  in this special case.

To obtain (i) from (I) and (II) one can argue as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [10]. To use that proof one needs an approximation result similar to Theorem 2.1 in [10]. This nontrivial result is contained in a recent work of R. M. Range [9].

(b) Assume  $A$  is a Dirichlet algebra on its Šilov boundary  $Y$ .

Let  $E$  be a peak interpolation set for  $A$  and let  $S \subset X \setminus E$  be closed in the relative topology and assume  $S \setminus Y$  countable. Then one can prove that  $S$  is an interpolation set for  $B_E$  if each compact subset of  $S \cap Y$  is an interpolation set for  $A$  and if for some constant  $C$  the following result holds: If  $P$  is a nontrivial Gleason part for  $A$  and  $S \cap P = z_1, z_2, \dots$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$  are numbers such that  $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  there exists  $f \in H^\infty(P)$  such that  $f(z_k) = \alpha_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $|f| \leq C$  on  $P$ . (For the necessary definitions see [5] on page 34, 142 and 161).

Using this hypothesis and the Wermer-Glicksberg decomposition ([5], Thm. 7.11, p. 45) we can prove that  $S \cup E$  is an interpolation set for  $A$ . This is done in the same way as Glicksberg proves Theorem 4.1 in [7]. But then  $S$  is an interpolation set for  $B_E$  by Theorem 2.

In [8] Heard and Wells described an explicit method for constructing infinite interpolation sets for  $B_{\{x\}}$  if  $x \in X$  is a non-isolated peak point for  $A$ . Their method didn't depend on Carleson's characterization of the interpolating sequences for  $H^\infty(D)$ .

We indicate here how the polynomials  $\{Q_k\}$  can be used for a similar construction avoiding an unnecessary hypothesis about connectedness which Heard and Wells assumed. ([8], Theorem 3).

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $x \in X$  be a peak point for  $A$  and  $P \subset X \setminus \{x\}$  a set which contains  $x$  in its closure. Then an infinite interpolation set for  $B_{\{x\}}$  contained in  $P$  can be constructed.*

*Proof.* Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $f \in A$  peaking at  $x$ . For  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  choose numbers  $n_k$  and  $m_k$  such that  $n_k < m_k < n_{k+1}$  and put  $H_k = \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} Q_j \circ f$ . Using Lemma 1 it is easy to see that we can arrange it such that the sets  $E_k = \{x: |H_k(x)| \geq \varepsilon 2^{-k}\}$  and

$$B_k = P \cap \{x: |H_k(x) - 1| < \varepsilon 2^{-k}\}$$

are nonempty for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  and that  $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ .

If we choose  $x_k \in B_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  then  $S = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is an interpolation set for  $B_{\{x_k\}}$ . For if  $g \in C_b(S)$  and we put  $G = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g(x_k)H_k$  then  $G \in B_{\{x_k\}}$ ,  $\|G\| \leq 3\|g\|$  by Lemma 1 and  $|G - g| < \varepsilon\|g\|$  on  $S$ .

Comments on Theorem 2:

We want to point out that the hypothesis that  $E$  be a peak set cannot be omitted. If  $A$  is any uniform algebra for which there exists an infinite interpolation set  $F$  not meeting the Šilov boundary, one obtains a counterexample by taking  $E$  to be a limit point of  $F$  and  $S = F \setminus E$ . For an example of such an algebra  $A$  we refer to Theorem 2.8. in [1]. On the other hand A. M. Davie has recently proved (private communication) that in case  $A$  is the algebra  $R(X)$  and  $X$  is a compact plane set, Theorem 2 is valid without assuming  $E$  to be a peak set.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. M. Davie, *Linear extension operators for spaces and algebras of functions*, Amer. J. Math., **94** (1972), 156-172.
2. A. M. Davie and A. Stray, *Interpolation sets for analytic functions*, (To appear in Pacific J. of Math.).
3. A. M. Davie and B. K. Øksendal, *Peak interpolation sets for some algebras of analytic functions*, (To appear).
4. J. Detraz, *Algebres de fonctions analytique dans le disque*. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **3** (1970) 313-352.
5. T. W. Gamelin, *Uniform Algebras*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J. 1969.
6. T. W. Gamelin and J. Garnett, *Uniform approximation to bounded analytic functions*, (To appear in the volume in honor of Professor González Domínguez, Revista de la Union Matematica Argentina).
7. I. Glicksberg, *Dominant representing measures and rational approximation*, T.A.M.S., **130** (1968), 425-462.
8. E. A. Heard and J. H. Wells, *An interpolation problem for subalgebras of  $H^\infty$* , Pacific J. Math., **28**, (1969), 543-553.
9. R. M. Range, *Approximation to bounded holomorphic functions on strictly pseudoconvex domains*, (To appear).
10. A. Stray, *Approximation and interpolation*, Pacific J. Math., **40** (1972), 463-475.
11. N. T. Varopoulos, *Ensembles pics et ensembles d'interpolation pour les algèbres uniformes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Serie A, **272** (1971), 592.

Received July 26, 1971 and in revised form September 22, 1971.

UNIVERSITY OF OSLO

