

A CLASS OF OPERATORS ON EXCESSIVE FUNCTIONS

MICHAEL J. SHARPE

Let $X = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, X_t, \theta_t, P^x)$ be a special standard Markov process with state space (E, \mathcal{E}) and transition semigroup (P_t) . We emphasize here that the \mathcal{F}_t are the usual completions of the natural σ -fields for the process. In this paper, we associate with certain multiplicative functionals of X operators on the class of excessive functions which are related to the operators P_M but which are a bit unusual in probabilistic potential theory in that they are not generally determined by kernels on $E \times \mathcal{E}$. An application is given to a problem treated by P.-A. Meyer concerning natural potentials dominated by an excessive function.

2. The operator associated with a natural multiplicative functional.¹ By a multiplicative functional of X , we mean a progressively measurable process M which satisfies, in addition to the standard conditions ([1], III, (1.1)) the following condition:

(2.1) almost surely, $M_t = 0, t \rightarrow M_t$ is decreasing on $[0, \infty)$ and if $S = \inf \{t > 0: M_t = 0\}$, then $t \rightarrow M_t$ is right continuous on $[0, S)$, and $M_t M_S \circ \theta_t = M_{t+S \circ \theta_t}$ a.s. for all $t \geq 0$.

A simple example which illustrates some possibilities is obtained by considering X to be uniform motion to the right on the real line and $M_t = f(X_t)/f(X_0)$ on $\{f(X_0) > 0\}$, $M_t = 0$ for all t on $\{f(X_0) = 0\}$, where f is a decreasing positive function on the line, $f(0+) = 0$, f is right continuous on $(-\infty, 0)$ and $f(0) \leq f(0-)$.

If M is a multiplicative functional, then S is a terminal time and so $M_t 1_{[0, S)}(t)$ is a multiplicative functional which is right continuous. For a given M , the modified functional will be denoted \tilde{M} . Let us denote by E_M the set $\{x \in E: P^x\{S > 0\} = 1\} = E_{\tilde{M}}$ and call M exact if \tilde{M} is exact. Note that M and \tilde{M} generate the same resolvent, but not necessarily the same semigroup.

It should be emphasized that one will not have the freedom to replace M by an equivalent multiplicative functional, for the operator to be associated with M will not respect equivalence.

Let M be a given MF; for almost all ω , let $(-dM_t(\omega))$ denote the measure on $(0, \zeta(\omega))$ generated by the increasing function $t \rightarrow 1 - M_{t \wedge S}(\omega)$. Care should be taken when computing with $(-dM_t)$, since $(-dM_t)$ is generally not the restriction of $(-d\tilde{M}_t)$ to $(0, S]$.

¹ The reader is referred to the books of Blumenthal and Gettoor [1] and Meyer [2] for unexplained terminology.

DEFINITION 2.2. A multiplicative functional M is called natural if, almost surely, the trajectories $t \rightarrow M_t$ and $t \rightarrow X_t$ have no common discontinuity on $[0, S)$, and $X_S = X_{S-}$ on $\{M_S < M_{S-}, S < \zeta\}$.

We now associate with a natural MF M an operator \bar{P}_M^α on the class \mathcal{S}^α of α -excessive functions for X .

DEFINITION 2.3. If M is a natural MF and $f \in \mathcal{S}^\alpha$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}_M^\alpha f(x) &= E^x \left\{ \int_{(0, \zeta)} e^{-\alpha t} f(X_t) (-dM_t) + e^{-\alpha S} f(X_S) M_S \right\}, \quad x \in E_M \\ &= f(x), \quad x \notin E_M. \end{aligned}$$

By $f(X_t)_-$ is meant the left limit of the trajectory $s \rightarrow f(X_s)$ at t if $t > 0$, and $f(X_0)$ if $t = 0$. Recall that if M is a right continuous MF, $\alpha \geq 0$ and \mathcal{E}_+^* , one defines $P_M^\alpha f$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (2.4) \quad P_M^\alpha f(x) &= E^x \int_{(0, \zeta)} e^{-\alpha t} f(X_t) (-dM_t), \quad x \in E_M \\ &= f(x), \quad x \notin E_M. \end{aligned}$$

One obtains $P_M^\alpha U^\alpha f + V^\alpha f = U^\alpha f$, where (V^α) is the resolvent for the subprocess (X, M) and it follows that if M is exact, $P_M^\alpha g \in \mathcal{S}^\alpha$ for all $g \in \mathcal{S}^\alpha$. If $f \in \mathcal{S}^\alpha$ is regular, in particular if $f = U^\alpha g$ for some $g \in \mathcal{E}_+^*$, then for M natural, $\bar{P}_M^\alpha f = P_M^\alpha f$. In general though, the trajectory $t \rightarrow f(X_t)$ can jump at the same time as does the trajectory $t \rightarrow M_t$ and $\bar{P}_M^\alpha f$ will differ from $P_M^\alpha f$. Because of the assumption that X is special standard, it follows from [1], IV, (4.21) that $f(T_\tau)_- \geq f(X_\tau)$ for any accessible stopping time T , and therefore

$$(2.5) \quad \bar{P}_M^\alpha f(x) \geq P_M^\alpha f(x) \text{ for all } x \text{ if } f \in \mathcal{S}^\alpha.$$

We shall show that $\bar{P}_M^\alpha f \leq f$ and $\bar{P}_M^\alpha f \in \mathcal{S}^\alpha$ if $f \in \mathcal{S}^\alpha$. The fact that the action of \bar{P}_M^α on α -potentials is the same as that of P_M^α , but that \bar{P}_M^α may differ from P_M^α shows that generally, \bar{P}_M^α is not determined by a kernel on $E \times \mathcal{E}$.

The first lemma shows that although it may not be determined by a kernel, \bar{P}_M^α does respect certain increasing limits. Obviously $\bar{P}_M^\alpha f \leq \bar{P}_M^\alpha g$ if $f, g \in \mathcal{S}^\alpha$ and $f \leq g$.

LEMMA 2.6. If $f \in \mathcal{S}^\alpha$, $\bar{P}_M^\alpha(f \wedge n)$ increases to $\bar{P}_M^\alpha f$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that $(f \wedge n)(X_t)_-$ increases to $f(X_t)_-$ for all $t \in (0, \zeta)$, almost surely. If the trajectory $s \rightarrow f(X_s)$ is right continuous and has left limits on $(0, \zeta)$, then for each $t < \zeta$, if $f(X_t)_- > \beta$, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $f(X_s) > \beta$ on $[t - \varepsilon, t)$. Therefore, if $n > \beta$, $(f \wedge n)(X_s) > \beta$ on $[t - \varepsilon, t)$ and hence $(f \wedge n)(X_t)_- \geq \beta$.

We remark at this point that $\alpha \rightarrow \bar{P}_M^\alpha f(x)$ is right continuous for every fixed choice of M, f and x .

THEOREM 2.7. *If M is an exact natural MF, $0 \leq \alpha < \infty$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}^\alpha$, then $\bar{P}_M^\alpha f \leq f$ and $\bar{P}_M^\alpha f \in \mathcal{S}^\alpha$.*

Proof. Because of (2.6) it may be assumed that f is bounded. We may also assume $\alpha > 0$, since the case $\alpha = 0$ will follow by a trivial limit argument. Let

$$\begin{aligned} N_t &= M_t, \quad t < S \\ &= M_s, \quad t \geq S \text{ on } \{S < \zeta\} \\ &= M_{\zeta-}, \quad t \geq \zeta \text{ on } \{S = \zeta\}. \end{aligned}$$

One then has $-dN_t = -dM_t$ almost surely, and for $x \in E_M$, $\bar{P}_M^\alpha f(x) = E^x \left\{ \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} f(X_t) (-dN_t) + e^{-\alpha S} f(X_S) M_S \right\}$. Define a family $\{T_s; 0 < s < 1\}$ of (\mathcal{F}_t) stopping times by

$$T_s = \inf \{u > 0: 1 - N_u > s\}.$$

It is clear that $s \rightarrow T_s$ is almost surely increasing and right continuous, $T_s = \infty$ a.s. on $\{T_s > S\}$, $\{T_s = 0 \text{ for some } s\} = \{M_{0+} = 0\}$ and $\{T_s \leq S\} = \{T_s < \zeta\}$ almost surely. By the change of variable formula,

$$\int_{(0, \zeta)} e^{-\alpha t} f(X_t) (-dM_t) = \int_0^1 e^{-\alpha T_s} f(X_{T_s}) -1_{\{T_s < \zeta\}} ds.$$

Let $Z_t = e^{-\alpha(t \wedge S)} f(X_{t \wedge S})$. Since $\alpha > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 Z_{T_s-} ds &= \int_0^1 Z_{T_s-} 1_{\{T_s \leq S\}} ds + \int_0^1 Z_{T_s-} 1_{\{T_s = \infty\}} ds \\ &= \int_0^1 e^{-\alpha T_s} f(X_{T_s}) -1_{\{T_s \leq S\}} ds + \int_0^1 e^{-\alpha S} f(X_S) 1_{\{T_s = \infty\}} ds \\ &= \int_{(0, \zeta)} e^{-\alpha t} f(X_t) (-dM_t) + e^{-\alpha S} f(X_S) M_S. \end{aligned}$$

Upon checking separately the case $x \notin E_M$, one finds

$$(2.8) \quad \bar{P}_M^\alpha f(x) = E^x \int_0^1 Z_{T_s-} ds, \quad x \in E.$$

We now need a fact which will be of use at a subsequent point in the proof.

(2.9) For any initial measure μ , the set of $s \in (0, 1)$ for which T_s is a.s. P^μ equal to an accessible stopping time has full Lebesgue measure.

To demonstrate (2.9), we let

$$I(\omega) = \{\infty\} \cup [0, \zeta(\omega)) - \{t \in (0, \zeta(\omega)): N_{t+\varepsilon}(\omega) < N_t(\omega) \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ and } N_{t-\varepsilon}(\omega) = N_t(\omega) \text{ for some } \varepsilon > 0\}.$$

Obviously $[0, \zeta) - I$ is countable and $\int_{[0, \zeta) - I} (-dM_t) = 0$ a.s., and consequently $\int_0^1 1_{\{T_s \notin I\}} ds = 0$ a.s., by the change of variable formula. If we prove that T_s is accessible on $\{T_s \in I\}$, we shall have proven (2.9), for by Fubini,

$$0 = E^\mu \int_0^1 1_{\{T_s \notin I\}} ds = \int_0^1 P^\mu \{T_s \notin I\} ds.$$

On $\{T_s = 0\} \cup \{T_s = \infty\}$, T_s is trivially accessible. It is easy to check that $\{T_s \in I, 0 < T_s < \zeta\} = \{0 < T_s = T_{s-} < \zeta\}$, and on $\{T_s \in I, 0 < T_s < \zeta\} \cap \{X_{T_s} = X_{T_{s-}}\}$, T_s is accessible by the famous theorem of Meyer, whilst on $\{T_s \in I, 0 < T_s < \zeta\} \cap \{X_{T_s} \neq X_{T_{s-}}\}$, $N_{T_s} = N_{T_{s-}}$ since M is natural, and it follows that a.s., $T_{s-\varepsilon} < T_s$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0, s)$. The accessibility of T_s on $\{T_s \in I\}$ is now evident.

To obtain $\bar{P}_M^\alpha f \leq f$, we invoke (2.8) to see that $\bar{P}_M^\alpha f(x) = \int_0^1 E^x Z_{T_{s-}} ds$, and conclude by observing that $(Z_t, \mathcal{F}_t, P^x)$ is a bounded non-negative right-continuous supermartingale and that for almost all $s \in (0, 1)$, T_s is a.s. P^x accessible to find $E^x Z_{T_{s-}} \leq E^x Z_0 = f(x)$ for almost all s .

We prove next that $\bar{P}_M^\alpha f$ is α -super-mean-valued. It is enough to give a proof in case $\alpha > 0$. From (2.8) we see that

$$P_t^\alpha \bar{P}_M^\alpha f(x) = E^x e^{-\alpha t} E^{X_t} \int_0^1 Z_{T_{s-}} ds = \int_0^1 E^x e^{-\alpha t} Z_{T_{s-} \circ \theta_t} ds.$$

Our first step is to show

$$(2.10) \quad P_t^\alpha \bar{P}_M^\alpha f(x) \leq \int_0^1 E^x (Z_{t+T_s \circ \theta_t})_- ds, \quad x \in E.$$

On $\{S \geq t + T_s \circ \theta_t\}$, either $S > t$ or $S = t$ and $T_s \circ \theta_t = 0$. It is a matter of checking cases to see that

$$e^{-\alpha t} Z_{T_{s-} \circ \theta_t} = (Z_{t+T_s \circ \theta_t})_- \text{ on } \{S > t\},$$

and a.s. on $\{S = t, T_s \circ \theta_t = 0\}$,

$$e^{-\alpha t} Z_{T_{s-} \circ \theta_t} = e^{-\alpha t} f(X_t) = e^{-\alpha t} f(X_{t-}) \leq e^{-\alpha t} f(X_t)_- = (Z_{t+T_s \circ \theta_t})_-.$$

Hence $e^{-\alpha t} Z_{T_{s-} \circ \theta_t} \leq (Z_{t+T_s \circ \theta_t})_-$ a.s. on $\{S \geq t + T_s \circ \theta_t\}$. On $\{S < t + T_s \circ \theta_t\}$, $(Z_{t+T_s \circ \theta_t})_- = e^{-\alpha S} f(X_S)$, while

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\alpha t} Z_{T_{s-} \circ \theta_t} &\leq e^{-\alpha(t+T_s \circ \theta_t)} f(X_{t+T_s \circ \theta_t})_- \text{ on } \{S < t + T_s \circ \theta_t, T_s \circ \theta_t \leq S \circ \theta_t\}, \\ &= e^{-\alpha(t+S \circ \theta_t)} f(X_{t+S \circ \theta_t})_- \text{ on } \{S < t + T_s \circ \theta_t, T_s \circ \theta_t > S \circ \theta_t\}. \end{aligned}$$

One sees readily from (2.9) that for fixed $x, t + T_s \circ \theta_t$ is a.s. P^x equal to an accessible stopping time for almost all s and so for almost all choices of s , there exists an increasing sequence $\{R_n\}$ of stopping times with limit $t + T_s \circ \theta_t$ such that $P^x\{R_n < t + T_s \circ \theta_t\} = 1$ for every n . Then $L_n = R_n \wedge (t + S \circ \theta_t)$ increases to $t + T_s \circ \theta_t$ strictly from below (a.s. P^x) on $\{S < t + T_s \circ \theta_t, T_s \circ \theta_t \leq S \circ \theta_t\}$ and R_n is eventually equal to $t + S \circ \theta_t$ on $\{S < t + T_s \circ \theta_t, T_s \circ \theta_t > S \circ \theta_t\}$. One then has

$$\begin{aligned} E^x e^{-\alpha t} Z_{T_s-} \circ \theta_t &= E^x \{e^{-\alpha t} Z_{T_s-} \circ \theta_t [1_{\{S \geq t + T_s \circ \theta_t\}} + 1_{\{S < t + T_s \circ \theta_t\}}]\} \\ &\leq E^x \{(Z_{t+T_s \circ \theta_t})_- 1_{\{S \geq t + T_s \circ \theta_t\}} + \lim_n e^{-\alpha L_n} f(X_{L_n}) 1_{\{S < t + T_s \circ \theta_t\}}\}. \end{aligned}$$

But $t + S \circ \theta_t \geq S$ a.s. and so $L_n \geq S$ eventually, a.s., on $\{S < t + T_s \circ \theta_t\}$ and it follows from the fact that $\{e^{-\alpha t} f(X_t), \mathcal{F}_t, P^x\}$ is a bounded nonnegative right-continuous supermartingale that $E^x e^{-\alpha t} Z_{T_s-} \circ \theta_t \leq E^x (Z_{t+T_s \circ \theta_t})_-$ for almost all $s \in (0, 1)$. This proves (2.10).

Now observe that a.s., $T_s \leq t + T_s \circ \theta_t$ on $\{T_s \leq S\}$ and $t + T_s \circ \theta_t > S$ on $\{T_s > S\}$. For, on $\{T_s \leq S\} \cap \{M_t > 0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} t + T_s \circ \theta_t &= \inf \{u + t: u > 0, N_u \circ \theta_t < 1 - s\} \\ &\geq \inf \{u + t: u > 0, M_u \circ \theta_t < 1 - s\} \\ &= \inf \{v > t: M_v < (1 - s)M_t\} \\ &\geq \inf \{v > 0: M_v < 1 - s\} = T_s, \end{aligned}$$

and on $\{T_s \leq S\} \cap \{M_t = 0\}$, $t \geq S$ so $T_s \leq S \leq t \leq t + T_s \circ \theta_t$. On $\{T_s > S\} \cap \{M_t > 0\}$, the same calculation as above gives $t + T_s \circ \theta_t \geq \inf \{v > 0: M_v < 1 - s\}$ a.s., and so $t + T_s \circ \theta_t \leq S$ would imply $T_s \leq S$. On $\{T_s > S\} \cap \{M_t = 0\}$, $M_s > 0$ so $t > S$ and $t + T_s \circ \theta_t > S$ almost surely.

For almost all $s \in (0, 1)$, T_s and $t + T_s \circ \theta_t$ are (a.s. P^x) accessible stopping times and it follows simply from the order relation observed above and the fact that $(Z_t, \mathcal{F}_t, P^x)$ is bounded nonnegative supermartingale that $E^x (Z_{t+T_s \circ \theta_t})_- \leq E^x Z_{T_s-}$ for almost all $s \in (0, 1)$, whence $P_t^\alpha \bar{P}_M^\alpha f(x) \leq \bar{P}_M^\alpha f(x)$.

It remains to show $P_t^\alpha \bar{P}_M^\alpha f(x) \rightarrow \bar{P}_M^\alpha f(x)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. If $x \in E_M$, then $X_t \in E_M$ a.s. on $\{t < S\}$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} P_t^\alpha \bar{P}_M^\alpha f(x) &= E^x e^{-\alpha t} \bar{P}_M^\alpha f(X_t) \\ &\geq E^x e^{-\alpha t} 1_{\{t < S\}} E^{X_t} \left\{ \int_{(0, \zeta)} e^{-\alpha s} f(X_s) (-dM_s) + f(X_S) M_S e^{-\alpha S} \right\} \\ &= E^x 1_{\{t < S\}} \left\{ \int_{(0, \zeta \circ \theta_t)} e^{-\alpha(t+s)} f(X_{t+s}) (-dM_s \circ \theta_t) + f(X_{t+S \circ \theta_t}) M_{S \circ \theta_t} e^{-\alpha S \circ \theta_t} \right\} \\ &= E^x 1_{\{t < S\}} M_t^{-1} \left\{ \int_{(t, \zeta)} e^{-\alpha u} f(X_u) (-dM_u) + f(X_S) M_S e^{-\alpha S} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Fatou's lemma, if $x \in E_M$

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{(t \rightarrow 0)} P_t^\alpha \bar{P}_M^\alpha f(x) \\ & \geq E^x \lim_{(t \rightarrow 0)} \mathbf{1}_{\{t < S\}} M_t^{-1} \left\{ \int_{(t, \zeta)} e^{-\alpha u} f(X_u)_- (-dM_u) + f(X_S) M_S e^{-\alpha S} \right\} \\ & = E^x \left\{ \int_{(0, \zeta)} e^{-\alpha u} f(X_u)_- (-dM_u) + e^{-\alpha S} M_S f(X_S) \right\} = \bar{P}_M^\alpha f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently $P_t^\alpha \bar{P}_M^\alpha f(x) \rightarrow \bar{P}_M^\alpha f(x)$ if $x \in E_M$. On the other hand, if $x \in E - E_M$, $P_t^\alpha \bar{P}_M^\alpha f(x) \geq P_t^\alpha P_{\tilde{M}}^\alpha f(x)$ which converges as $t \rightarrow 0$ to $P_{\tilde{M}}^\alpha f(x) = f(x) = \bar{P}_M^\alpha f(x)$, using exactness of \tilde{M} . Our proof is now complete.

3. Application to a problem treated by Meyer. Meyer [3] proved that if u is a natural potential of X , $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and $u \leq f$, and if in addition $u(X_t)_- \leq f(X_t)$ for all t such that $X_t = X_{t-}$, then $u = P_R f$ for some exact terminal time R on a possibly larger sample space. We give here a similar representation using an operator of the type discussed in the preceding section, one advantage being that one may remain on the original sample space, using only the fields (\mathcal{F}_t) , and another being that the last, somewhat unnatural, condition may be dropped.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}$ be finite off a polar set and let u be a natural potential such that $u \leq f$. Then there exists a natural exact MF M of X such that $u = \bar{P}_M f$.*

Proof. Let $u = u_B$, B a natural additive functional. Since u is finite, B is a.s. finite on $[0, \zeta)$, and by [1], IV, (4.29), if T is a stopping time which is accessible on A , then $B_T - B_{T-} = u(X_T)_- - u(X_T)$ a.s. on $A \cap \{T < \zeta\}$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, let

$$A_t^\varepsilon = \int_0^t (f(X_s)_- + \varepsilon - u(X_s))^{-1} dB_s.$$

Clearly A^ε is a finite natural AF of X , and if T is an accessible stopping time, $A_T^\varepsilon - A_{T-}^\varepsilon = (f(X_T)_- + \varepsilon - u(X_T))^{-1} (u(X_T)_- - u(X_T))$ a.s. on $\{T < \zeta\}$ and so $A_T^\varepsilon - A_{T-}^\varepsilon < 1$ for any accessible T . There exists therefore a right continuous natural MF, M^ε , such that $S = \zeta$ and

$$(M_{t-}^\varepsilon)^{-1} (-dM_t^\varepsilon) = dA_t^\varepsilon, \quad t < \zeta.$$

Let $C_t = B_t^\varepsilon$, the continuous part of B . Then for $t < \zeta$

$$\begin{aligned} M_t^\varepsilon &= \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t [f(X_s)_- + \varepsilon - u(X_s)]^{-1} dC_s \right\} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{s \leq t} [1 - (f(X_s)_- + \varepsilon - u(X_s))^{-1} \Delta B_s] \end{aligned}$$

and it is clear that a.s., M_t^ε decreases as ε decreases for all $t \geq 0$.

Let $M_t = \lim_{(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0)} M_t^\varepsilon$, $S = \inf \{t > 0 : M_t = 0\}$. We propose to show that M is a MF of the type considered in the second section. Obviously M is adapted, multiplicative, a.s. decreasing, $M_\zeta = 0$, $M_t M_s \circ \theta_t = M_{t+S \circ \theta_t}$, but it may well happen that $M_S > 0$. Upon taking the monotonic limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the above representation, one sees that

$$(3.2) \quad M_t = \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t [f(X_s)_- - u(X_s)]^{-1} dC_s \right\} \\ \times \prod [1 - (f(X_s)_- - u(X_s))^{-1} \Delta B_s]$$

for all $t < \zeta$, and from (3.2) one finds

$$(3.3) \quad S = \inf \left\{ t > 0 : \int_0^t [f(X_s)_- - u(X_s)]^{-1} dB_s = \infty \right\}.$$

REMARK. In the product term of (3.2), we take

$$[f(X_s)_- - u(X_s)]^{-1} \Delta B_s = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \Delta B_s = 0.$$

It is almost surely true that if $M_t > 0$, $M_s^\varepsilon/M_s \leq M_t^\varepsilon/M_t$ for all $s \leq t$ whence $M_s^\varepsilon \rightarrow M_s$ uniformly on $[0, t]$ if $M_t > 0$. The right continuity of M on $[0, S)$ follows immediately.

To see that M is natural, use (3.2) to observe that on $[0, S)$, the only jumps of M must occur at jump times of B , and that on $\{M_S < M_{S-}, S < \zeta\}$, $\Delta B_S > 0$, implying that S is accessible on $\{M_{S-} > M_S\}$.

The exactness of M is a consequence of [1], III, (5.9) once it is established that if $P^x\{S = 0\} = 1$, then $E^x \tilde{M}_{v-t} \circ \theta_t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, for all $v > 0$. However, $\tilde{M} \leq M$ and it is easy to see that $t \rightarrow M_{v-t} \circ \theta_t$ is an increasing function. Because of the monotonic convergence of M_t^ε to M_t , it is legal to interchange limits to obtain

$$\lim_{(t \rightarrow 0)} M_{v-t} \circ \theta_t = \lim_{(t \rightarrow 0)} \lim_{(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0)} M_{v-t}^\varepsilon \circ \theta_t \\ = \lim_{(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0)} \lim_{(t \rightarrow 0)} M_{v-t}^\varepsilon \circ \theta_t = \lim_{(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0)} M_v^\varepsilon = 0 \text{ a.s. } P^x,$$

using the exactness of M^ε .

We remark at this point that $f(X_S) = u(X_S)$ a.s. on $\{S < \zeta\}$, for by (3.3), on $\{S < \zeta\}$, either $\Delta B_S > 0$ and $f(X_S)_- = u(X_S)$ or $\Delta B_S = 0$. In the first case, S is accessible on $\{\Delta B_S > 0\}$ and so $f(X_S) \leq f(X_S)_- = u(X_S) \leq f(X_S)$ whence $u(X_S) = f(X_S)$. In case $\Delta B_S = 0$, $t \mapsto A_t = \int_{(0, t]} [f(X_s)_- - u(X_s)]^{-1} dB_s$ is left continuous at S . If $A_S = \infty$ and $T_n = \inf \{t > 0 : A_t \geq n\}$ then T_n increases to S a.s. on $\{S < \zeta\}$ and $T_n < S$ a.s. on $\{0 < S < \zeta\}$. Thus S is accessible on $\{A_S = \infty, 0 < S < \zeta\}$ and a.s. on $\{A_S = \infty, 0 < S < \zeta\}$, $\liminf_{(t \rightarrow S-)} [f(X_t)_- - u(X_t)] = 0$ which implies $f(X_S)_- = u(X_S)$. But $u(X_S)_- = u(X_S)$ since $\Delta B_S = 0$ and $f(X_S) \leq f(X_S)_-$ since S is accessible. This shows that $u(X_S) = f(X_S)$ a.s. on

$\{0 < S < \zeta, A_S = \infty\}$. On $\{S < \zeta, A_S < \infty\}$, one sees from (3.3) that $\liminf_{(t \rightarrow S+)} [f(X_t)_- - u(X_t)] = 0$, whence $f(X_S) = u(X_S)$, proving finally that $u(X_S) = f(X_S)$ a.s. on $\{S < \zeta\}$.

From (3.2), we find that a.s. on $[0, S)$

$$(-dM_t)(f(X_t)_- - u(X_t)) = M_{t-}dB_t$$

and a.s. on $\{S < \zeta\}$

$$(M_{S-} - M_S)f(X_S)_- = (M_{S-} - M_S)u(X_S) + M_{S-}\Delta B_S.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(0, \zeta)} f(X_t)_-(-dM_t) &= \int_{(0, S)} f(X_t)_-(-dM_t) \\ &\quad + [f(X_S)_-(M_{S-} - M_S) + f(X_S)M_S]1_{\{S < \zeta\}} \\ &= \int_{(0, S)} u(X_t)_-(-dM_t) + \int_{(0, S)} M_{t-}dB_t \\ &\quad + [(M_{S-} - M_S)u(X_S) + M_{S-}\Delta B_S + u(X_S)M_S]1_{\{S < \zeta\}} \\ &= \int_0^\infty u(X_t)_-(-d\tilde{M}_t) + \int_0^\infty \tilde{M}_{t-}dB_t. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u(X_T)1_{\{T < \infty\}} = E^x\{(B_\infty - B_T)1_{\{T < \infty\}} | \mathcal{F}_T\}$ for all stopping times T , Meyer's integration lemma ([2], VII, T.15) applies to give

$$E^x \int_0^\infty u(X_t)_-(-d\tilde{M}_t) = E^x \int_0^\infty (B_\infty - B_t)(-d\tilde{M}_t).$$

Thus, for $x \in E_M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}_M f(x) &= E^x \int_0^\infty (B_\infty - B_t)(-d\tilde{M}_t) + \int_0^\infty \tilde{M}_{t-}dB_t \\ &= E^x(B_\infty) + E^x \int_0^\infty \tilde{M}_t dB_t - \int_0^\infty B_t(-d\tilde{M}_t) \\ &= u(x) \end{aligned}$$

upon integrating by parts.

If $x \notin E_M$, $\bar{P}_M f(x) = f(x) = E^x f(X_S) = E^x u(X_S) = u(x)$, and the theorem is completely proven.

4. REMARKS. It is natural to ask for a specification of the class $\{\bar{P}_M^a f: M \text{ a natural exact } MF\}$, for a given $f \in \mathcal{S}$. The following example shows that although it contains f and all natural potentials, it need not include all excessive functions dominated by f . Let X be uniform motion to the right on the real line, let $f \equiv 1$ and $u \equiv 1/2$. Obviously $\bar{P}_M f(x) = P_M 1(x)$ for all x , and because we can write down (up to equivalence) the form of \tilde{M} , it is a simple matter to check that $P_M 1 = 1/2$ has no solution for \tilde{M} .

A particular example of an operator \bar{P}_M which may be of interest is obtained by taking, for a fixed Borel subset B of E ,

$$M_t = 1_{[0, T_B \wedge \zeta)}(t) + 1_{\{t = T_B < \zeta, X_{t-} \neq X_t\}}.$$

Then $S = \inf \{t > 0: M_t = 0\} = T_B \wedge \zeta$, and using the fact that S is totally inaccessible on $\{X_S \neq X_{S-}, S < \zeta\}$, $P^x\{t = T_B < \zeta, X_{t-} \neq X_t\} = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in E$. It follows readily that M is a MF satisfying (2.1). Define, for $f \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}_B f(x) = \bar{P}_M f(x) &= E^x\{f(X_{T_B-}); T_B < \zeta, X_{T_B} = X_{T_B-}\} \\ &+ E^x\{f(X_{T_B}); T_B < \zeta, X_{T_B} \neq X_{T_B-}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Because of Theorem (2.7), $\bar{P}_B f \in \mathcal{S}$ if $f \in \mathcal{S}$.

One simple use of the operator \bar{P}_B is afforded by the following example. Let B be a finely closed Borel subset of E and let f be a uniformly integrable excessive function. Assume that X is a Hunt process. Let f^B be the lower envelope of the family of excessive functions which dominate f on a (variable) neighborhood of B . In [1], VI, (2.12)–(2.15), it is shown, under different hypotheses, that $f^B = P_B f$ off a certain exceptional set provided f is “admissible”. However, under the hypotheses given above without assuming f to be admissible, it is a simple matter, using [1], I, (11.3) together with certain facts from [1], VI, (2.12)–(2.15), to obtain $\bar{P}_B f \leq f^B$ everywhere, and $\bar{P}_B f(x) = f^B(x)$ except possibly on $B - B^r$. It does not seem to be easy to remove the restrictions imposed above to obtain a general representation of f^B .

REFERENCES

1. R. M. Blumenthal and R. K. Gettoor, *Markov Processes and Potential Theory*, Academic Press, New York (1968).
2. P.-A. Meyer, *Probability and Potentials*, Ginn (Blaisdell), Boston (1966).
3. ———, *Quelques résultats sur les processus de Markov*, Invent. Math., **1** (1966), 101–115.

Received September 16, 1971. Research supported by NSF Grant GP-8770.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO

