# ON GROUPS OF EXPONENT FOUR SATISFYING AN ENGEL CONDITION 
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Let $B(n)$ be the Burnside (i.e., freest) group of exponent 4 on $n$ generators. It is known that $B(n)$ is nilpotent of class at most $3 n-1$. This paper exhibits a commutator of length $3 n-1$ in $B(n)$ which must be nontrivial if the class is exactly $3 n-1$. The methods also yield an easy proof of the following.

Theorem. Let $E(n)$ be $B(n)$ reduced modulo the identical commutator relation

$$
\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{2 n-4}, x, x,(y, z, z, z)\right)=1
$$

Then $E(n)$ is nilpotent of class at most $2 n+3$.
As an immediate corollary, every $n$-generator group of exponent 4 satisfying the Engel condition $(x, y, y, y)=1$ identically is of class at most $2 n+3$.

The theorem follows from Proposition 1 together with an elementary commutator calculation. The main point of the Proposition, however, is that it exhibits the stumbling block to a reduction in the class of $B(n)$ below $3 n-1$ and at the same time suggests that perhaps if for some $n$ the class is less than $3 n-1$ then the class in general is at most $2 n+k$ for some fixed $k$. Recent work of Gupta and others ([1], [2], [3]) has renewed interest in precise determination of the class and also in groups of exponent 4 satisfying Engel conditions. This paper updates the techniques of [4] as they appear to apply to these problems.

Preliminaries. This paper may be viewed as a continuation of [4]. Notation is the same, and for $i=1, \cdots, 9, A$ we denote formula (i) of [4] by (i) here, too. The symbol (i) in the margin at the right of an equation or congruence indicates that identity (i) justifies it. The notation $\langle x, \cdots, y\rangle$ stands for the group genarated by $\{x, \cdots, y\}$.

Lemma. The following commutator identities hold in a group of exponent 4.
(B). $\quad(x,(u, v, w)) \equiv(x, u, w, v),(x, v, w, u) \bmod \langle x, u, w, v\rangle_{5}$.
(C). $\quad(x, y, y, z, z, z) \equiv 1 \bmod \langle x, y, z\rangle_{7}$.
(D). $(x, y, y, y,(z, w)) \equiv 1 \bmod \langle x, y, z, w\rangle_{7}$.

Proof. Since

$$
\begin{align*}
& (x,(u, v, w)) \\
& \equiv(x,(u, v), w)(x, w,(u, v))  \tag{3}\\
& \equiv(x, u, v, w)(x, v, u, w)(x, u,(v, w))(x, v,(u, w))  \tag{3}\\
& \equiv(x, u, w, v)(x, v, w, u) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

(B) holds.

Since

$$
(x, y, y, z, z, z) \equiv(x, y, y, z)^{2} \equiv\left((x, y, y)^{2}, z\right) \equiv 1
$$

by (2) and Theorem 2 of [4], (C) holds.
Finally, since

$$
\begin{align*}
(z, w,(x, y, y, y)) \equiv & (z, w,(x, y), y, y)(z, w, y, y,(x, y)) \\
\equiv & (z, w, x, y, y, y)(z, w, y, x, y, y) \\
& \times(z, w, y, y, x, y)(z, w, y, y, y, x) \equiv 1 \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

by (7) and (8), (D) holds.
Lemma. Let $G$ be a group of exponent 4 with $G_{r+1}=1$, and let $a$ and $x$ be in $G$. Then every commutator in $G$ of length $r$ of form

$$
(\cdots, x, x, a, x)
$$

is a product of commutators of forms

$$
(a, \cdots, x, x, x)
$$

and

$$
(a, \cdots, x, x, b, x)
$$

each with the same entries as the given commutator.
Proof. By induction on $r$. Since $(x, x, a, x)=1$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
(b, x, x, a, x) & \equiv\left(b, x^{2}, a, x\right) \\
& \equiv\left(a, x^{2}, b, x\right)\left(a, b, x^{2}, x\right)  \tag{3}\\
& \equiv(a, x, x, b, x)(a, b, x, x, x)
\end{align*}
$$

the result is true for $r \leqq 5$. Now by (B),

$$
\begin{align*}
(c, \ldots, d, e, x, x, a, x) \equiv & \left(c, \ldots, d, e, x^{2}, a, x\right) \\
\equiv & \left(c, \ldots, d, a, x^{2}, e, x\right)\left(c, \ldots, d,\left(a, e, x^{2}\right), x\right)  \tag{B}\\
\equiv & \left(c, \ldots, d, a, x^{2}, e, x\right)(c, \ldots, d,(a, e), x, x, x) \\
& \times(c, \ldots, d, x, x,(a, e), x) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The first two factors are products of commutators of the required forms by (A). The last factor is a product of commutators of forms

$$
(a, e, \ldots, x, x, x)
$$

and

$$
(a, e, \ldots, x, x, b, x)
$$

by the inductive assumption.
A consequence of this result is that Lemma 2 of [4] can be strengthened by the additional conclusion that $y_{1}=x_{1}$, i.e., that the first entry in $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ can be held fixed. It is clear from the proof of Lemma 2 that each commutator which arises has $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ in some order as its entries.

The main results.
Proposition 1. Let $G$ be a group of exponent 4 , and let $r \geqq 3 n \geqq 6$. Modulo $G_{r+1}$, every commutator ( $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}$ ) in which some $n$ entries each appear three or more times is a product of commutators of form

$$
\left(a, b, \ldots, x_{1}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, c, x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_{1}\right)
$$

with entries some permutation of $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}$.
Proof. We may assume that $G_{r+1}=1$, that $r>3 n$, by Theorem 3 of [4], and that no entry in ( $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}$ ) occurs more than three times, by Theorem 1 of [4]. Say each of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ appears three times among $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}$. Since $r>3_{n}$, we may suppose that $a_{1}=$ $a \notin\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$, by (A) of [4]. Since $n \geqq 2$, some $x_{i}$ (say $x_{1}$ ) appears three times among $a_{3}, \ldots, a_{r}$. By Lemma 2 of [4] as just strengthened, we need only consider the forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a, \ldots, x_{1}, x_{1}, x_{1}\right) \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a, \ldots, x_{1}, x_{1}, b, x_{1}\right) \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (I). By (7), (I) is equivalent to

$$
\left(a, b, x_{1}, x_{1}, x_{1}, \ldots\right)
$$

At least two of the last $r-5$ entries here are the same, say $x_{2}$, since $n \geqq 2$ and $a \neq x_{2}$. By repeated use of (D) and (3) these entries can be brought forward to give

$$
\left(a, b, x_{1}, x_{1}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)
$$

By (7), $(a, b, x, x, x, y, y) \equiv(a, b, y, y, x, x, x$,$) , and now (C) applies.$ So $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$ is trivial in this case.

Case (II). We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(a, c, \ldots, x_{1}, x_{1}, b, x_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(a, c, x_{1}, x_{1}, d, x_{1}, \ldots, b\right)  \tag{9}\\
& =\left(a, c, x_{1}, d, x_{1}^{2}, \ldots, b\right)  \tag{8}\\
& \equiv\left(a, c,\left(x_{1}, d\right), x_{1}^{2}, \ldots, b\right)\left(a, c, d, x_{1}, x_{1}, x_{1}, \ldots, b\right)  \tag{3}\\
& =\left(a, x_{1}^{2},\left(x_{1}, c\right), d, \ldots, b\right)  \tag{I}\\
& =\left(a, x_{1}^{2}, c, x_{1}, d, \ldots, b\right)\left(a, x_{1}, x_{1}, x_{1}, c, d, \ldots, b\right) \\
& =\left(a, x_{1}^{2}, c, x_{1}, d, \ldots, b\right), \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

the last step by the argument of Case (I).
Suppose inductively that we have reached the form

$$
\left(a, x_{1}^{2}, \ldots, x_{i}^{2}, c, x_{i}, \ldots, x_{1}, \ldots\right)
$$

with $1 \leqq i<n$. Some three of the last $r-3 i-2$ entries are the same, say $x_{i+2}$, and the argument just given yields the form

$$
\left(a, x_{1}^{2}, \ldots, x_{i}^{2}, x_{i+1}^{2}, c, x_{i+1}, x_{i}, \ldots, x_{1}, \ldots\right)
$$

where the improved Lemma 2 is used to keep the starting block of length $3 i-2$ at the front. The proposition follows by finite induction, using (9).

Together with (D), Proposition 1 shows in particular that $B(n)_{3 n-1}=1$ precisely if all commutators of form

$$
\left(a^{2}, x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}^{2}, x_{1}, x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_{3}, x_{2}\right)
$$

are trivial.

Proposition 2. Let $G$ be a group of exponent 4. Let $m \geqq 9$. If every commutator of length $m-1$ in $G$ of form

$$
(\ldots, x, x,(w, y, y, y))
$$

is in $G_{m+1}$, then every commutator of length $m$ in $G$ of form

$$
(\ldots, x, x, y, y, z, y, x)
$$

is in $\boldsymbol{G}_{m+1}$.
Proof. We may assume that $G_{m+1}=1$. Now for $a \in G_{m-7}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& (a, x, x, y, y, z, y, x) \\
& =(a, x, x, z, y, y, x, y)  \tag{9}\\
& =\left(a, x, x, z, y^{2},(x, y)\right)(a, x, x, z, y, y, y, x)  \tag{3}\\
& =\left(a, x, x,(x, y), y^{2}, z\right)\left(a, x, x,\left(x, y, z, y^{2}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \times(a, x, x, y, y, y, z, x) \tag{B}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & (a, x, x, x, y, y, y, z)(a, x, x, y, x, y, y, z) \\
& \times\left(a, x, x,\left(x, y, z, y^{2}\right)\right.  \tag{3}\\
=(a, x, x, x, y, z, y, y)\left(a, x, x,\left(x, y, z, y^{2}\right)\right) & (\mathrm{C}),(8),(\mathrm{C})  \tag{C}\\
= & \left(a, x, x,\left(y, x, z, y^{2}\right)\right)  \tag{C}\\
= & \left(a, x, x,\left(y, x, y^{2}\right)\left(y, z, y^{2}\right)\left(y, x z, y^{2}\right)\right)=1
\end{align*}
$$

by hypothesis.
Now let $n \geqq 3$ and let $E(n)$ be $B(n)$ reduced modulo the identical relation

$$
\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 n-4}, x, x,(y, z, z, z)\right)=1
$$

By Proposition 2 with $m=2 n+3$, every commutator of length $2 n+3$ in $E(n)$ of form (..., $x, x, y, y, z, y, x)$ is in $E(n)_{2 n+4}$. Hence, by Proposition 1, every commutator of length $2_{n+4}$ in $E(n)$ in which three or more entries each appear three times is in $E(n)_{2 n+4}$. Finally, by Theorem 1 of [4], every commutator of length $2 n+3$ in $E(n)$ in which some entry appears four or more times is in $E(n)_{2 n+4}$. The theorem stated in the introduction now follows.

Added in proof. By substituting $u v$ for $y$ in (C) and linearizing, one obtains $(u, v, x, z, z, z) \equiv 1 \bmod \langle u, v, x, z\rangle_{7}$, which shortens some of the arguments given above.
$\overline{\mathrm{I}}$. D. $\overline{\text { I }}$ vanjuta [Certain groups of exponent four, Dopovidi Akad. Nauk Ukrain RSR Ser. A (1969), 787-790)] has shown that every $n$ generator group of exponent 4 satisfying $(x, y, y, y)=1$ identically has class at most $2 n$. His methods are specific to such groups, however, and do not apply readily to $B(n)$ or $E(n)$.
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