

## A BOUNDARY FOR THE ALGEBRAS OF BOUNDED HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

DONG S. KIM

**Let  $(X, A)$  be a ringed space and let  $D$  be a domain in  $X$ . Let  $B = B(D) = \{f \in A(D); \|f\|_D < \infty\}$ . A minimal boundary for  $B$  is defined as a unique smallest subset of  $\bar{D}$  such that every function in  $B$  attains its supremum near the set. The following are shown: If  $X$  is locally compact,  $D$  is relatively compact, and  $B$  separates the points of  $D$  then there exists a minimal boundary. Under the same assumptions, the natural projection of the Silov boundary  $\partial_{\hat{B}}$  into  $X$  is the minimal boundary. If  $A$  is a maximum modulus algebra and the set of frontier points for  $A$  is the minimal boundary, then any holomorphic function which is bounded near the minimal boundary must be bounded. Finally, if  $D$  is the spectrum of  $B$  (with the compact open topology), then the topological boundary of  $D$  is the set of frontier points for  $B$ .**

**Introduction.** Let  $(X, A)$  be a ringed space; a subsheaf of rings with identity of the sheaf of germs of continuous functions on a Hausdorff space  $X$ . Let  $\Gamma(U, A)$  be the set of all sections of  $A$  over  $U$ ,  $U$  is an open subset of  $X$ . Let  $A(U) = \{f \in C(U): f(x) = \phi(x)(x) = {}_x f(x), x \in U\}$ , where  $\phi \in \Gamma(U, A)$  and  ${}_x f$  is the germ of  $f$  at  $x$ . A function  $f$  in  $A(U)$  is called  $A$ -holomorphic or holomorphic. Let  $B(U) = \{f \in A(U): f \text{ is bounded on } U\}$ . Then  $B(U)$  is an algebra (over  $C$ ) with identity.

Let  $D$  be an open subset of  $X$  and let  $\bar{D}$  be the closure of  $D$  in  $X$ . For  $\mathcal{A} \subset \bar{D}$  let  $N(\mathcal{A})$  be the filter base of open neighborhoods of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $X$  and denote  $N_0(\mathcal{A})$  be the trace of  $N(\mathcal{A})$  on  $D$ .

**DEFINITION.** For  $f \in A(D)$ , define  $\text{cl } t_f(\mathcal{A}) = \{\bigcap \text{cl } f(W): W \in N_0(\mathcal{A})\}$ , where  $\text{cl } f(W)$  is the closure of  $f(W)$  in the Riemann sphere  $C \cup \{\infty\}$ , the cluster set of  $f$  at  $\mathcal{A}$ , and write  $\text{cl } t_f(x)$  for  $\text{cl } t_f(\{x\})$ . Define  $M_f(\mathcal{A}) = \sup \{|\text{cl } t_f(\mathcal{A})| \in [0, \infty]$ , and write  $M_f(x)$  for  $M_f(\{x\})$ .

Let  $B = B(D)$ . Denote  $B_s$  for  $B$  with the topology of supremum norm on  $D$  and  $B_c$  for  $B$  with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $D$  (c.o. topology). Then  $B_s$  is a Banach algebra. Let  $S(B_s)$  be the space of nonzero complex homomorphisms of  $B_s$  onto  $C$  and  $S(B_c)$  be the space of nonzero continuous complex homomorphisms of  $B_c$  onto  $C$ . Then  $S(B_s) \supset S(B_c)$ , for, if  $h \in S(B_c)$  then there exists a compact subset  $K_h$  of  $D$  such that  $|h(f)| \leq \|f\|_{K_h}$  for all  $f \in B$ , which implies  $|h(f)| \leq \|f\|_D$  for all  $f \in B$ , so that  $h \in S(B_s)$ . Endow  $S(B_s)$  with the weakest topology for which each  $\hat{f}$  is continuous,

where  $\hat{f}$  is the Gelfand representation of  $f$  on  $S(B_s)$  such that  $\hat{f}(h) = h(f)$  for all  $h \in S(B_s)$ . Then  $S(B_s)$  is compact. Equip  $S(B_c)$  with the relative topology of  $S(B_s)$ . For  $x \in D$  define  $h_x(f) = f(x)$  for all  $f \in B$  then  $h_x \in S(B_s)$ , moreover  $h_x \in S(B_c)$ , since  $|h_x(f)| = |f(x)| \leq \|f\|_K$  for all  $f \in B$ , where  $K$  is a compact subset containing  $\{x\}$ . Now if  $B$  separates the points of  $D$  then it separates strongly the points of  $D$  (in the sense of [8]), since  $B$  contains constant functions. If  $D$  is locally compact and  $B$  separates the points then the natural embedding  $\rho$  of  $D$  into  $S(B_s)$  is a homeomorphism (See Cor. 3.2.5 of Rickart [8]). Henceforth, we denote  $\rho$  for this homeomorphism. Let  $\pi$  be a continuous mapping from  $S(B_s)$  into  $X$  such that  $\pi|_{\rho D}$  is the inverse mapping of  $\rho$ , so that  $\pi|_{\rho D}$  is a homeomorphism of  $\rho D$  onto  $D$ .

The prototype of these phenomena is the following: Let  $D$  be a relatively compact domain in  $C^n$  and  $B = B(D)$ . Set  $S = S(B_s)$ . With the coordinate function  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$  in  $B$ , define  $\pi: S \rightarrow C^n$  by  $\pi(h) = (\hat{z}_1(h), \dots, \hat{z}_n(h))$ ,  $h \in S$  ( $\pi(S)$  is the joint spectrum of  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$ ). Then  $\pi$  is continuous and it is a homeomorphism on  $\rho D$ . Moreover  $\pi s(B) \subset D$  and  $\pi S \supset \bar{D}$ .

*A minimal boundary.*

**PROPOSITION 1.**

(i)  $M_f(\Delta) = \lim_{N_0(\Delta)} \sup \{|f(W)| : W \in N_0(\Delta)\}$ , where  $\Delta \subset \bar{D}$ . For  $x \in D$ ,  $M_f(x) = f(x)$ .  $\|f\| = \sup_{x \in D} |f(x)| = M_f(D) = M_f(\bar{D})$ .

(ii) The function  $M_f(\cdot): \bar{D} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is upper semi-continuous.

(iii) For a closed subset  $\Delta \subset \bar{D}$ , there exists a point  $p \in \Delta$  such that  $M_f(\Delta) = M_f(p)$ .

(iv)  $M_{fg}(\Delta) \leq M_f(\Delta) \cdot M_g(\Delta)$ , where  $\Delta \subset \bar{D}$ .

*Proof.* For (i), (ii), and (iii), see Quigley [5]. (iv) is trivial.

**DEFINITION 2.** Let  $H \subset A(D)$ . We call a subset  $\Gamma$  of  $\bar{D}$  an  $H$ -set if  $\Gamma$  is closed in  $\bar{D}$  and  $\|f\| = M_f(D) = M_f(\Gamma)$  for all  $f \in H$ . An  $H$ -set is minimal if it properly contains no  $H$ -set. Denote  $\Gamma_H$  for a minimal  $H$ -set.

If  $H = B = B(D)$ ,  $\Gamma_B$  is a minimal  $B$ -set.

**PROPOSITION 2.** If  $D$  is relatively compact then a minimal  $H$ -set exists for every  $H \subset A(D)$ .

*Proof.* See Quigley [5].

**PROPOSITION 3.** Let  $X$  be locally compact and  $B$  separate the points of  $D$ . Let  $\pi$  be a continuous mapping from  $S(B_s)$  into  $X$  such that  $\pi \circ \rho$  is the identity mapping on  $D$ . Let  $\text{cl } \rho D$  be the closure of  $\rho D$  in  $S(B_s)$ . Then  $\pi(\text{cl } \rho D) = \bar{D}$  and  $\pi(\text{cl } \rho D - \rho D) = \bar{D} - D$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\text{cl } \rho D$  is compact and  $\pi(\text{cl } \rho D) \cong D, \pi(\text{cl } \rho D) \cong \bar{D}$ . Let  $h \in \text{cl } \rho D$  then for any net  $\{h_n\} \subset \rho D$  which converges to  $h, \{\pi(h_n)\}$  converges to  $\pi(h)$ , since  $\pi$  is continuous. Since  $\{\pi(h_n)\} \subset D, \pi(h) \in \bar{D}$ . So  $\pi(\text{cl } \rho D) \subseteq \bar{D}$ . Hence  $\pi(\text{cl } \rho D) = \bar{D}$ .

Let  $h \in \text{cl } \rho D - \rho D$  and assume that  $\pi(h) \in D$ . Take any  $f \in B$ . Since  $f$  is continuous, we may choose, for arbitrary  $\varepsilon' > 0$ , a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\pi(h)$ ;  $U = \{x \in D: |f_i(x) - f_i(\pi(h))| < \varepsilon, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , such that  $y \in U$  implies  $|f(y) - f(\pi(h))| < \varepsilon'$ . Again, since  $\hat{f}$  is continuous on  $S(B_s)$  and  $h \in \text{cl } \rho D$ , there is  $y_0 \in D$  with  $\rho(y_0) \in N = \{\varphi \in S(B_s): |\hat{f}_i(\varphi) - \hat{f}_i(h)| < \varepsilon, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $|\hat{f}(h) - \hat{f}(\rho(y_0))| < \varepsilon'$ . Note that  $y_0 \in U = \pi | \rho D(N)$ , so  $|f(y_0) - f(\pi(h))| < \varepsilon'$ . Also  $f(y_0) = \hat{f}(\rho(y_0))$  and  $f(\pi(h)) = \hat{f}(\rho(\pi(h)))$ , so it follows that  $|\hat{f}(h) - \hat{f}(\rho(\pi(h)))| < 2\varepsilon'$ . Since  $\varepsilon'$  is arbitrary, we have  $\hat{f}(h) = \hat{f}(\rho(\pi(h)))$  for every  $f \in B$ . Hence  $h = \rho(\pi(h)) \in \rho D$ , which is absurd. Hence  $\pi(\text{cl } \rho D - \rho D) = \bar{D} - D$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $X$  be locally compact and  $D$  be relatively compact in  $X$ . If  $B(D)$  separates the points of  $D$ , then the minimal  $B$ -set  $\Gamma_B$  is unique.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  be minimal  $B$ -sets, and let  $p \in \Gamma_1$  be an arbitrary point of  $\Gamma_1$ . We will show that every neighborhood of  $p$  intersects  $\Gamma_2$  so that  $p \in \Gamma_2$ . So  $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_2$ . The same argument shows that  $\Gamma_2 \subset \Gamma_1$ .

Let  $p \in \Gamma_1$ . Let  $W$  be any neighborhood of  $p$  in  $\bar{D}$  and let  $\varphi \in \text{cl } \rho D$  such that  $\pi(\varphi) = p$ . Take a neighborhood  $N$  of  $\varphi$  in  $S(B_s) = S$  such that  $N \subset \pi^{-1}(W)$ ;  $N = \{h \in S: |\hat{f}_i(h) - \hat{f}_i(\varphi)| < \varepsilon, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Put  $U = \{x \in D: |f_i(x) - a_i| < \varepsilon, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , where  $a_i = \hat{f}_i(\varphi)$ . Then  $U = \pi(N) \cap D \subset \pi(N)$ . Let  $V = \{x \in \bar{D}: M_{f_i - a_i}(x) < \varepsilon/2, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Since  $M_{f_i - a_i}(x) = |f_i(x) - a_i|$  for  $x \in D, V \cap D = U$ . And, since  $M_{f_i - a_i}$  is upper semicontinuous,  $V$  is open in  $\bar{D}$  and it is easy to see that  $M_{f_i - a_i}(p) = 0$ , so  $V$  is an open neighborhood of  $p$ . Note that  $M_{f_i}(p) = |a_i|$ . Now, since  $M_{f_i - a_i}(x) < \varepsilon/2$  in  $V$ , we may choose a neighborhood  $G$  of  $p$  in  $\bar{D}$  such that  $|(f_i - a_i)(x)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in G \cap D$  and  $G \subset \pi N$ . Then  $V \subset G \subseteq \pi N \subset W$ .

Since  $\Gamma_1 - V$  is closed in  $\bar{D}$  and it is a proper subset of  $\Gamma_1$ , it is not a  $B$ -set. Hence there exists  $g \in B(D)$  such that  $M_g(\Gamma_1 - V) < M_g(\Gamma_1) = \|g\|$ . So  $M_g(\Gamma_1 - V) \|g\|^{-1} < 1$ . Choose  $m$  large enough such that  $\{M_g(\Gamma_1 - V) \|g\|^{-1}\}^m < \varepsilon(1 + \sum_1^n \|f_i - a_i\|)^{-1} = \delta$ , and set  $f = g^m$ . Then  $M_f(\Gamma_1 - V) = M_{g^m}(\Gamma_1 - V) \leq \{M_g(\Gamma_1 - V)\}^m < \delta \|g\|^m = \delta \|f\|$ . If  $x \in V$  then  $M_{f_i - a_i}(x) < \varepsilon/2$  so that

$$M_{f_i - 2} M_f(x) = M_{f_i - a_i}(x) M_f(x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} M_f(\bar{D}) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|f\|.$$

If  $x \in \Gamma_1 - V$  then  $M_f(x) \leq M_f(\Gamma_1 - V) < \delta \|f\|$ , so that again

$$M_{f_i-a_i}M_f(x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|f\| .$$

Since  $\Gamma_1$  is a  $B$ -set it follows that  $M_{f_i-a_i}M_f(\bar{D}) < (\varepsilon/2)M_f(\bar{D}) = (\varepsilon/2)\|f\|$ . Let  $q$  be any point of  $\Gamma_2$  with  $M_f(q) = M_f(\bar{D}) = M_f(D) = \|f\|$ . Then  $M_{f_i-a_i}(q)M_f(q) < (\varepsilon/2)\|f\|$ . Hence  $M_{f_i-a_i}(q) < \varepsilon/2$  and this is true for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Thus  $q \in V$ , so  $V \cap \Gamma_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $W \cap \Gamma_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\Gamma_2$  is closed,  $p \in \Gamma_2$ . The proof is complete.

We call the unique minimal  $B$ -set the minimal boundary for  $B$ .

*Note.* Let  $\Gamma_B$  be a minimal boundary for  $B$  then  $x \in \Gamma_B$  if and only if for every neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  there exists  $f \in B$  such that  $\|f\| = M_f(U) > M_f(\bar{D} - U)$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $X$  be locally compact and  $D$  be relatively compact in  $X$ . We assume that  $B$  separates the points of  $D$ . Then  $\pi\partial\hat{B}$  is a minimal boundary.*

*Proof.* Since  $M_f(\bar{D}) = \|f\|_D = \|\hat{f}\|_{\rho D} = \|\hat{f}\|_S$  for all  $f \in B$ , we have  $\partial\hat{B} \subset \text{cl } \rho D$ . Let  $x \in \pi\partial\hat{B}$  then there exists  $h \in \partial\hat{B}$  such that  $x = \pi h$ . Now,  $h \in \partial\hat{B}$  implies that for arbitrary neighborhood  $N$  of  $h$  in  $S = S(B_s)$  there exists  $\hat{f} \in \hat{B}$  such that  $\|\hat{f}\|_S = \|\hat{f}\|_N > \|\hat{f}\|_{S-N}$ . Since  $S - N \supset \rho D - N \cap \rho D$ , we have  $\|\hat{f}\|_{S-N} \geq \|\hat{f}\|_{\rho D - N \cap \rho D}$ . So  $\|\hat{f}\|_{\rho D} = \|\hat{f}\|_S > \|\hat{f}\|_{S-N} \geq \|\hat{f}\|_{\rho D - N \cap \rho D}$ . Hence it follows that  $\|\hat{f}\|_{\rho D} = \|\hat{f}\|_{N \cap \rho D} > \|\hat{f}\|_{\rho D - N \cap \rho D}$ . This is equivalent to  $\|f\|_D = \|f\|_{\pi(N \cap \rho D)} > \|f\|_{D - \pi(N \cap \rho D)}$ . Since  $\pi(N \cap \rho D)$  is a trace of a neighborhood of  $x = \pi h$  on  $D$  and a trace of any neighborhood of  $x$  on  $D$  can be written as such a form,  $x = \pi h$  belongs to a minimal boundary  $\Gamma_B$ . So  $\pi\partial\hat{B} \subset \Gamma_B$ . On the other hand, if  $W$  is any open set containing  $\pi\partial\hat{B}$ , then by the continuity of  $\pi$ , there exists an open set  $G$  in  $S$  containing  $\partial\hat{B}$  such that  $\pi(G) \subseteq W$  and hence  $\pi(G \cap \rho D) \subseteq W \cap D$ . For any  $f \in B$ , we have

$$\|f\|_{W \cap D} \geq \|\hat{f}\|_{G \cap \rho D} = \|\hat{f}\|_{G \cap \text{cl } \rho D} = \|\hat{f}\|_{\partial\hat{B}} = \|f\|_D .$$

It follows that  $M_f(\pi\partial\hat{B}) = \|f\|_D$  for all  $f \in B$ . Since  $\pi\partial\hat{B}$  is closed, it is a  $B$ -set. Thus  $\pi\partial\hat{B}$  is a minimal boundary.

For instance: Let  $D$  be the unit open disc in  $C$  and let  $B(D) = H^\infty$ . Define a natural continuous mapping  $\pi$  of  $S$  into the closed unit disc  $\bar{D}$  by  $\pi(h) = h(z)$ ,  $h \in S$  and  $z$  is the coordinate function. Then the minimal boundary  $\Gamma_B$  is the unit circle and the Šilov boundary  $\partial\hat{B}$  on  $S$  is a proper closed subset of  $\text{cl } \rho D - \rho D$  which is totally disconnected. The image of  $\partial\hat{B}$  under  $\pi$  is the unit circle.

Next, we have a question that whether a function  $f$  with  $M_f(\Gamma_B) < \infty$  is bounded.

**PROPOSITION 4.** *Suppose  $A = A(D)$  and  $B = B(D)$  have the unique minimal boundaries  $\Gamma_A$  and  $\Gamma_B$  respectively. If  $\Gamma_A \neq \Gamma_B$  then there exists a function  $f \in A$  which is bounded near  $\Gamma_B$  (i.e.,  $M_f(\Gamma_B) < \infty$ ), but not in  $B$ .*

*Proof.* In general,  $\Gamma_A \supset \Gamma_B$ . Take  $x \in \Gamma_A - \Gamma_B$  and choose a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $\bar{D}$  such that  $M_f(U) = \|f\| > M_f(\bar{D} - U)$  and  $U \cap \Gamma_B = \emptyset$ . Then  $M_f(\Gamma_B) < \infty$  but  $f \notin B$ .

**DEFINITION.** A point  $x \in \bar{D}$  is a frontier point of  $D$  for  $H \subset A(D)$  if for each compact subset  $K$  of  $D$  with  $x \notin K$  there exists  $f \in H$  such that  $M_f(x) > \|f\|_K$ . Let  $F_H$  be the set of all frontier points of  $D$  for  $H$ . Denote  $F_A$  for  $A(D)$  and  $F_B$  for  $B(D)$  respectively.

We introduce a generalized form of a theorem in Bochner and Martin [2] (see Theorem 1, Ch. V) as follows:

**PROPOSITION 5.** *Let  $X$  be locally compact,  $D$  be a subset of  $X$  which is countable at  $\infty$ , and let  $\bar{D} - D$  be first countable. Let  $A = A(D)$  be a maximum modulus algebra and c.o. complete. Then  $x \in F_A$  if and only if there is a function  $f \in A$  such that  $M_f(x) = \infty$ . In fact, there is a single function  $f$  such that  $M_f(x) = \infty$  for all  $x \in F_A$ .*

*Proof.* Use the analogous argument as in Bochner and Martin [2].

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $X$  be locally compact,  $D$  be countable at  $\infty$ , and  $\bar{D} - D$  be first countable. Let  $A$  be a maximum modulus algebra and c.o. complete. Suppose  $\Gamma_B$  is a minimal boundary and  $F_A = \Gamma_B$  then every function  $f \in A$  with  $M_f(\Gamma_B) < \infty$  belongs to  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $f$  is unbounded then there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset D$  such that  $|f(x_n)| \rightarrow \infty$  and  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $x_n \rightarrow x$  then by Proposition 5,  $x \in F_A$  and so  $x \in \Gamma_B$ . Thus  $\infty = M_f(x) \leq M_f(\Gamma_B) < \infty$ , which is absurd. Hence  $f \in B$ .

We observe that  $h \in S(B_e) - S(B_o)$  if and only if for any compact subset  $K$  of  $D$  there exists  $f \in B$  ( $f$  may depend on  $K$ ) such that  $|h(f)| > \|f\|_K$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $X$  be locally compact and  $B$  separate the points of  $D$ . Let  $F_B$  be the set of all frontier points for  $B$ . If  $\rho D = S(B_o)$  then  $\bar{D} - D = F_B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\text{bdry } S(B_o) = \text{cl } S(B_o) - S(B_o)$ . By Proposition 3,  $\pi(\text{bdry } S(B_o)) = \text{bdry } D$ . Now if  $h \in \text{bdry } S(B_o)$ , then for any com-

pact subset  $K$  of  $D$ , there exists  $f \in B$  such that  $|h(f)| > \|f\|_K$ . We claim  $M_f(\pi(h)) > \|f\|_K$ : Suppose  $M_f(\pi(h)) = \|f\|_K = r$ , then there exists a net  $\{x_n\} \subset D$  such that  $||f(x_n)| - r| < 1/n$  as  $x_n \rightarrow \pi(h)$ . So  $|f(x_n)| \rightarrow r$ . Now, let  $h_{x_n} \rightarrow h$ . Since  $\hat{f}$  is continuous,  $\hat{f}(h_{x_n}) \rightarrow \hat{f}(h)$ . So  $f(x_n) \rightarrow h(f)$ . In particular,  $|f(x_n)| \rightarrow |h(f)|$ . Then it follows that  $|h(f)| = r = \|f\|_K$ . This is absurd. Hence  $M_f(\pi(h)) > \|f\|_K$ . So  $\text{bdry } D = F_B$ .

*Note.* If  $D$  is a Stein manifold of bounded type then  $\rho D = S(B_c)$  (see Kim [3]).

#### REFERENCES

1. F. Birtel, *Uniform Algebras with Unbounded Functions*, Rice studies, (1968), 1-13.
2. S. Bochner and W. Martin, *Several Complex Variables*, Princeton (1948).
3. Kim, *Boundedly holomorphic convex domains*, (to appear) Pacific J. Math., **45** (1973).
4. K. Hoffman, *Bounded analytic functions and Gleason parts*, Annals of Math., **86** (1967), 74-111.
5. F. Quigley, *Generalized Phragmén-Lindelöf Theorems*, Function algebras, the proceedings of the international conference on function algebras at Tulane, edited by F. Birtel (1966), 36-41.
6. ———, *Lectures on Several Complex Variables*, Tulane Univ., 1963-1966.
7. C. Rickart, *Boundary properties of sets relative to function algebras*, Studia Math., **31** (1968), 253-261.
8. ———, *General Theory of Banach Algebras*, Princeton (1960).

Received November 10, 1971.

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA