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In this article, the length, $p(d)$, of the period of the simple continued fraction (s.c.f.) for $\sqrt{\bar{d}}$ is discussed, where $d$ is a positive integer, not a perfect square. In particular, it is shown that

$$
p(d)<d^{1 / 2+\log 2 / \log \log d+o\left(\log \log \log d /(\log \log d)^{2}\right)}
$$

In addition, some properties of the complete quotients of the s.c.f. expansion of $\sqrt{\bar{d}}$ are developed.

It is well known that the s.c.f. expansion for $\sqrt{d}$ is periodic if $d$ is a positive integer, not a perfect square. Throughout this paper, $p(d)$ will denote the length of this period. It is shown in [2] (page 294), that $p(d)<2 d$. Computer calculation of $p(d)$ originally suggested that $p(d) \leqq 2[\sqrt{d}]$. This was shown to be false for $d=1726$, for which $p(d)=88$ and $2[\sqrt{d}]=82$. Further calculation revealed 3 more counterexamples for $d \leqq 3000$. They were $p(2011)=94$ while $2[\sqrt{2011}]=88, p(2566)=102$ while $2[\sqrt{2566}]=100$, and $p(2671)=104$ while $2[\sqrt{2671}]=102$.

This suggests as a conjecture that

$$
p(d)=O\left(d^{1 / 2}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad p(d) \neq o\left(d^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

It follows from the corollary to Theorem 2 that

$$
p(d)=O\left(d^{1 / 2+\varepsilon}\right)
$$

or more precisely, that

$$
p(d)<d^{1 / 2+\log 2 / \log \log d+o\left(\log \log \log d /(\log \log d)^{2}\right)}
$$

We will need the following results which are given in or follow from §§ 7.1-7.4 and 7.7 of [1].
(1) Any periodic s.c.f. is a quadratic irrational number, and conversely.
(2) The s.c.f. expansion of the real quadratic irrational number $(a+\sqrt{b}) / c$ is purely periodic if and only if $(a+\sqrt{b}) / c>1$ and $-1<(a-\sqrt{b}) / c<0$.
(3) Any quadratic irrational number $\xi_{0}$ may be put in the form $\xi_{0}=\left(m_{0}+\sqrt{d}\right) / q_{0}$, where $d, m_{0}$, and $q_{0}$ are integers, $q_{0} \neq 0, d \geqq 1, d$ is not a perfect square, and $q_{0} \mid\left(d-m_{0}^{2}\right)$. We may then define infinite
sequences $m_{i}, q_{i}, a_{i}$, and $\xi_{i}$ by the equations $\xi_{i}=\left(m_{i}+\sqrt{\bar{d}}\right) / q_{i}, a_{i}=$ $\left[\xi_{i}\right], m_{i+1}=a_{i} q_{i}-m_{i}$, and $q_{i+1}=\left(d-m_{i+1}^{2}\right) q_{i}$. Then, for $i \geqq 0, m_{i}, q_{i}$, and $a_{i}$ are integers, $q_{i} \neq 0$, and $q_{i} \mid\left(d-m_{i}^{2}\right)$. Also, for $i \geqq 1, \alpha_{i}$ and $\xi_{i}$ are positive.
(4) In the notation of (3) above, we have for $i \geqq 0, \xi_{i}=$ $\left\langle a_{i}, a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \cdots\right\rangle$. In particular, $\xi_{0}=\left\langle a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots\right\rangle$.
(5) There is a positive integer $N$ such that, if $i>N$, then $q_{i}>0$.
(6) There exist nonnegative integers $j$ and $k$ such that $j<k$, $m_{\jmath}=m_{k}$, and $q_{\jmath}=q_{k}$. We may choose $j$ to be the smallest integer such that for some $k>j, m_{j}=m_{k}$ and $q_{j}=q_{k}$. We may then choose $k$ to be the smallest integer such that $j<k, m_{j}=m_{k}$, and $q_{j}=q_{k}$. Then, if $t$ is a nonnegative integer, then $m_{\jmath+t}=m_{k+t}, q_{j+t}=q_{k+t}$, $a_{j+t}=a_{k+t}$, and $\xi_{j+t}=\xi_{k+t}$. Therefore, if $i<j$, then

$$
\xi_{i}=\left\langle a_{i}, a_{i+1}, \cdots, a_{j-1}, \overline{a_{j}, \cdots, a_{k-1}}\right\rangle
$$

while if $i \geqq j$, then $\xi_{i}=\left\langle\overline{a_{i^{\prime}}, a_{i^{\prime}+1}, \cdots, a_{k-2}, a_{k-1}, a_{j}, a_{j+1}, \cdots, a_{i^{\prime}-1}}\right\rangle$, where $i^{\prime}$ is the integer such that $j \leqq i^{\prime} \leqq k-1$ and $i \equiv i^{\prime}(\bmod (k-j))$. In particular, $\xi_{0}=\left\langle a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{j-1}, \overline{a_{3}, \cdots, a_{k-1}}\right\rangle$.
(7) If $\xi_{0}=\sqrt{\bar{d}}$ then we may take $m_{0}=0$ and $q_{0}=1$ in (3). In (6), we have $j=1$ and $k=r+1$ for some positive integer $r$. Then $\xi_{0}=\left\langle a_{0}, \overline{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{r}}\right\rangle$ and, for $i \geqq 1, \xi_{i}=\left\langle\overline{a_{i^{\prime}}, \cdots, a_{r}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{i^{\prime}-1}}\right\rangle$, where $i^{\prime}$ is such that $1 \leqq i^{\prime} \leqq r$ and $i \equiv i^{\prime}(\bmod r)$.
(8) In (7), if $t \geqq 0$ then $m_{1+t}=m_{r+1+t}, q_{1+t}=q_{r+1+t}, a_{1+t}=a_{r+1+t}$, and $\xi_{1+t}=\xi_{r+1+t}$. It follows from this that if $i \geqq 1$ and $s \geqq 0$, then $m_{i+r s}=m_{i}, q_{i+r s}=q_{i}, a_{i+r s}=a_{i}$, and $\xi_{i+r s}=\xi_{i}$.

Throughout this paper it will be assumed that $d$ is a positive integer, not a perfect square. The period $r$ of the s.c.f. expansion of $\sqrt{d}$ will be denoted by $p(d)$.
2. Preliminary results. In this section, $m_{i}, q_{i}, a_{i}$, and $\xi_{i}$ will refer to the sequences defined in (3)-(8) above, with $\xi_{0}=\sqrt{d}, m_{0}=$ 0 , and $q_{0}=1$.

Lemma 1. If $i \geqq 0$, then $q_{i}>0$.
Proof. From (5), there is an $N$ such that, if $i>N$, then $q_{i}>0$. Suppose $i \geqq$. Then there is an integer $s$ such that $i+r s>N$. By (8), $q_{i}=q_{i+r s}$. But since $i+r s>N, q_{i+r s}>0$. Therefore, $q_{i}>0$. That is, if $i \geqq 1$, we are done. Since $q_{0}=1$, this result holds for $i=0$ also, so the proof is complete.

Theorem 1. If $i \geqq$, then $0<m_{i}<\sqrt{\bar{d}}$ and $\sqrt{\bar{d}}-m_{i}<q_{i}<$ $\sqrt{\bar{d}}+m_{i}$.

Proof. From (7), if $i \geqq 1$, then $\xi_{i}=\left\langle\overline{a_{i^{\prime}}, \cdots, a_{r}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{i^{\prime}-1}}\right\rangle$ so the s.c.f. for $\xi_{i}$ is purely periodic. But $\xi_{i}=\left(m_{i}+\sqrt{\bar{d}}\right) / q_{i}$, so from (2), $\quad\left(m_{i}+\sqrt{\bar{d}}\right) / q_{i}>1$ and $-1<\left(m_{i}-\sqrt{\bar{d}}\right) / q_{i}<0$. Since, from Lemma $1, q_{i}>0$, we obtain $m_{i}+\sqrt{\bar{d}}>q_{i}$ and $-q_{i}<m_{i}-\sqrt{\bar{d}}<0$. This yields $m_{i}<\sqrt{d}$ and $\sqrt{d}-m_{i}<q_{i}<\sqrt{d}+m_{i}$.

Thus $-m_{i}<m_{i}$ and $m_{i}>0$, so the proof is complete.
For given $d$, let $T=T(d)$ be the set of ordered pairs $(m, q)$ which satisfy $m<\sqrt{\bar{d}}, \sqrt{d}-m<q<\sqrt{\bar{d}}+m$, and $q \mid\left(d-m^{2}\right)$. That is, $T=\left\{(m, q)|m<\sqrt{d}, \sqrt{d}-m<q<\sqrt{d}+m, q|\left(d-m^{2}\right)\right\}$. Let $g(d)=c(T)$, the cardinality of $T$.

From (6) and (7) of Section 1, if $1 \leqq i<l \leqq r$ then $\left(m_{i}, q_{i}\right) \neq$ $\left(m_{l}, q_{l}\right)$. Therefore, the set $U=\left\{\left(m_{i}, q_{i}\right) \mid 1 \leqq i \leqq r\right\}$ has exactly $r$ elements. By Theorem $1, U \subset T$ so $r=c(U) \leqq c(T)=g(d)$. Since $r=p(d)$, we obtain

LEMMA 2. $\quad p(d) \leqq g(d)$.
3. An upper bound on $g(d)$.

Theorem 2. $g(d)<d^{1 / 2+\log 2 / \log \log d+o\left(\log \log \log d /(\log \log d)^{2}\right)}$.
Proof.

$$
g(d)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =c(T)=c\left(\left\{(m, q)|0<m<\sqrt{d}, \sqrt{d}-m<q<\sqrt{\bar{d}}+m, q|\left(d-m^{2}\right)\right\}\right) \\
& =\sum_{m=1}^{[\sqrt{d}]} c\left(\left\{q|\sqrt{\bar{d}}-m<q<\sqrt{\bar{d}}+m, q| d-m^{2}\right\}\right) \leqq \sum_{m=1}^{[\sqrt{d}]} \tau\left(d-m^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau(n)$ denotes the number of divisors of $n$.
It is shown in [3] that

$$
\log \tau(N)<\frac{\log 2 \log N}{\log \log N}+O\left(\frac{\log N \log \log \log N}{(\log \log N)^{2}}\right)
$$

It follows that

$$
\tau(N)<N^{\log 2 / \log \log N+O\left(\log \log \log N /(\log \log N)^{2}\right)} .
$$

Therefore, for $m=1,2, \cdots,[\sqrt{d}]$,

$$
\tau\left(d-m^{2}\right)<d^{\log 2 / \log \log d+o\left(\log \log \log d \mid(\log \log d)^{2}\right)}
$$

and the theorem follows by summing this expression over the $[\sqrt{\bar{d}}]<d^{1 / 2}$ values of $m$.

Corollary. $p(d)<d^{1 / 2+\log 2 / \log \log d+O\left(\log \log \log d /(\log \log d)^{2}\right)}$.
Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 2 and Theorem 2.
4. A lower bound on the order of $g(d)$. Theorem 2 shows that $g(d)=O\left(d^{1 / 2+\varepsilon}\right)$ for any $\varepsilon>0$. It will follow from Theorem 3 that $g(d) \neq o\left(d^{1 / 2}\right)$. Thus, Theorem 2 is almost best possible. This, however, is not necessarily true of its corollary.

THEOREM 3. There exist infinitely many positive integers $d$ for which $g(d)>\sqrt{d}$.

Proof. Let $n$ be an arbitrary positive integer. Let

$$
S=\{(m, q) \mid q-n \leqq m, n+1-q \leqq m, m \leqq n\}
$$

Then, for $n^{2}+1 \leqq d \leqq n^{2}+2 n, T(d)=\{(m, q) \mid(m, q) \in S$ and $d \equiv$ $\left.m^{2}(\bmod q)\right\}$. Given $(m, q) \in S$, let $f(m, q)$ denote the number of integers $d$ for which $n^{2}+1 \leqq d \leqq n^{2}+2 n$ and $d \equiv m^{2}(\bmod q)$. Then $\sum_{d=n^{2}+1}^{n^{2}+2 n} g(d)=$ $\sum_{(n, q) \in S} f(m, q)$. However, it is easily seen that if $(m, q) \in S$, then $f(m, q) \geqq[2 n / q]$. Also, note that $S=\{(m, q) \mid 1 \leqq q \leqq n, n+1-q \leqq$ $m \leqq n\} \cup\{(m, q) \mid n+1 \leqq q \leqq 2 n, q-n \leqq m \leqq n\}$. If $1 \leqq q \leqq n$, then $[2 n / q]>2 n / q-1$. If $n+1 \leqq q \leqq 2 n$, then $[2 n / q]=1$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{d=n^{2}+\mathrm{i}}^{n^{2}+2 n} g(d)= & \sum_{(m, q) \in S} f(m, q) \geqq \sum_{(m, q) \equiv S}\left[\frac{2 n}{q}\right]=\sum_{\substack{1 \leq q \leq n \\
n+1-q \leq m \leqq n}}\left[\frac{2 n}{q}\right]+\sum_{\substack{n+1 \leq q \leq 2 n \\
q-n \leq m \leq n}}\left[\frac{2 n}{q}\right] \\
= & \sum_{q=1}^{n} q\left[\frac{2 n}{q}\right]+\sum_{q=n+1}^{2 n}(2 n+1-q)\left[\frac{2 n}{q}\right]>\sum_{q=1}^{n} q\left(\frac{2 n}{q}-1\right) \\
& +\sum_{q=n+1}^{2 n}(2 n+1-q)=2 n^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from this inequality that at least one of the $2 n$ numbers $g(d)$ with $n^{2}+1 \leqq d \leqq n^{2}+2 n$ must be greater than $\left(2 n^{2} / 2 n\right)=n$. Since $n=[\sqrt{d}]$ for any such $d$, there is a $d$ such that $n=[\sqrt{d}]$ and $g(d)>\sqrt{d}$. Since this is true for any positive $n$, the theorem follows.
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