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#### Abstract

In this paper two characterizations are given of those additive arithmetic functions which possess a limiting distribution with a finite mean and variance. It turns out that the study of such functions fits naturally within the framework of the theory of Lambert series.


1. An arithmetic function $f(n)$ is said to be additive if for every pair of coprime positive integers $a$ and $b$ the relation

$$
f(a b)=f(a)+f(b)
$$

is satisfied. If in addition the relations

$$
f(p)=f\left(p^{2}\right)=\cdots
$$

hold for each prime power then we say that $f(n)$ is strongly additive. For clarity of exposition only we shall confine ourselves to the study of strongly additive functions in this paper.

For each real number $x \geqq 1$ we define the frequency function

$$
\nu_{x}(n ; f(n)<z)=x_{\substack {-1 \\
\begin{subarray}{c}{n \leq x \\
f(n)<z{ - 1 \\
\begin{subarray} { c } { n \leq x \\
f ( n ) < z } }\end{subarray}} 1
$$

If as $x \rightarrow \infty$ these frequencies converge to a limiting distribution in the usual probabilistic sense then we say that $f(n)$ has a limiting distribution.
2. Theorem. For any (real valued) additive function $f(n)$ the following three propositions are equivalent:
(i) $f(n)$ has a limiting distribution with finite mean and variance.
(ii) The series

$$
\sum f(p) p^{-1} \text { and } \sum f^{2}(p) p^{-1}
$$

both converge.
(iii)

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup x^{-1} \sum_{n \leq x} f^{2}(n)<\infty
$$

and

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-1} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n)
$$

exist.
Remark. The equivalence of Propositions (i) and (ii) is exactly what one should expect from the interpretation of $f(n)$ as the sum of independent random variables which take (respective) values $f(p)$ with probability $p^{-1}$ and zero with probability $1-p^{-1}$. More surprising, perhaps, is the fact that the hypothesis that $f(n)$ be additive improves the otherwise weak conditions (iii) to equivalence with (i). We shall (perhaps surprisingly) appeal to a result concerning Lambert series.

It will be clear that a form of theorem involving complex-valued additive functions could be proved if we confine our attention to the equivalence of Propositions (ii) and (iii).
3. Proof that (i) implies (ii).

We define the function

$$
f^{1}(p)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f(p) \text { if }|f(p)|<1 \\
1 \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the Erdös-Wintner criterion (see for example Kubilius [3] Theorem $4.5 \mathrm{pp} .74-85$ ) asserts that $f(n)$ possesses a limiting frequency (unrestricted) if and only if both of the series

$$
\sum f^{\prime}(p) p^{-1} \text { and } \sum\left(f^{\prime}(p)\right)_{p}^{2}-1
$$

converge. Let $F(z)$ denote the limiting frequency guaranteed by (i). Then for any positive real number $B$ such that $\pm B$ are continuity points of $F(z)$ we see that

$$
x_{\substack{-1}}^{\substack{n \leq x \\ \mid f(n) \leq B}} \mid f^{2}(n) \longrightarrow \int_{|z| \leq B} z^{2} d F(z), \quad(x \longrightarrow \infty)
$$

Next, for any real $\varepsilon>0$ there is a number $A$ such that

$$
\liminf _{x \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{x}(n ;|f(n)| \leqq A)>1-\varepsilon
$$

From the Erdös-Wintner criterion we see that those primes $q_{j}$ for which $\left|f\left(q_{j}\right)\right| \geqq 1$ are such that the series

$$
\sum q_{j}^{-1}
$$

converges. Let us denote the set of these primes by $Q$.
A straightforward application of the sieve of Eratosthenes shows that those integers which are prime to every $q_{j}$ have a natural density. In fact we obtain

$$
\nu_{x}\left(n ; q_{j} \nmid n \forall j\right) \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{q_{i}}\right), \quad(x \longrightarrow \infty)
$$

Set $\alpha$ for this product, and let $A$ be chosen so that the second of our two assertions above holds with $\varepsilon=\alpha / 2$. Let the integers $n_{i}$ run through all those integers $n$ which satisfy both

$$
|f(n)| \leqq A \text { and } q_{j} \nmid n \forall j
$$

From what we have so far said it is clear that

$$
\liminf _{x \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{x}\left(n ; n=n_{i} \leqq x\right) \geqq \alpha / 2
$$

and in particular we have

$$
\nu_{x}\left(n ; n=n_{i} \leqq x\right) \geqq<\alpha / 4
$$

for all $x \geqq x_{0}$, say.
Consider the sum

$$
S_{x}=\sum_{n_{i} q_{j}} \sum_{j \leqq x}^{\prime} f\left(n_{i} q_{j}\right)^{2}
$$

where ' denotes that the side condition $2 A<\left|f\left(q_{j}\right)\right| \leqq B-A$ is to be satisfied.

From these restrictions a typical summand satisfies

$$
f^{2}\left(n_{i} q_{j}\right) \geqq\left(\left|f\left(q_{j}\right)\right|-A\right)^{2} \geqq \frac{1}{4} f^{2}\left(q_{j}\right)
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{x} & \geqq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{q_{j}^{-1} \leq x} f^{2}\left(q_{j}\right) \sum_{n_{i} \leq x q-1} 1 \\
& \geqq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{q_{j} \leq x x_{0}^{-1}} f^{2}\left(q_{j}\right) \frac{1}{4} \alpha \frac{x}{q_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{q j x} \frac{f^{2}\left(q_{j}\right)}{q_{j}} \leqq \limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-1} S_{x} \\
& \quad \leqq \int_{|z| \leqq B} z^{2} d F(z) \leqq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z^{2} d F(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since these inequalities hold for any sequence of suitable continuity points $\pm B$ which tend (in absolute value) to infinity, we deduce that for any $B>0, x \geqq 0$

$$
\sum_{q_{j} \leqq x} f^{2}\left(q_{j}\right) \leqq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z^{2} d F(z)
$$

where

$$
2 A<\left|f\left(q_{j}\right)\right| \leqq B-A
$$

so that letting $B \rightarrow \infty$ and then $x$ yields

$$
\sum_{\left|f\left(q_{j}\right)\right| \geq 2 A} \frac{f^{2}\left(q_{j}\right)}{q_{j}}<\infty .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\sum_{1 \leqq: f(p) \backslash \leq 2 A} \frac{|f(p)|}{p} \leqq 2 A \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q_{j}}<\infty,
$$

and

$$
\sum_{|f|(\mid) \mid<1} \frac{f^{2}(p)}{p}<\infty
$$

so that altogether the series

$$
\sum f^{2}(p) p^{-1}
$$

converges. The convergence of the second series in (ii) follows immediately.

Proof that (ii) implies (iii) and (i).
We begin with the remark that for any additive function, complex valued or otherwise, the Turan-Kubilius inequality (see for example Kubilius [3] pp. 31-35) asserts that for a suitable positive constant $c$

$$
\sum_{n \leqq x}\left|f(n)-\sum_{p \leqq x} f(p) p^{-1}\right|^{2} \leqq c \sum_{p \leqq x}\left|f^{2}(p)\right| p^{-1}, \quad(x \geqq 1)
$$

In our present circumstances the sums

$$
\sum_{p \leqq x} f(p) p^{-1} \text { and } \sum_{p \leqq x} f^{2}(p) p^{-1}
$$

are uniformly bounded for all real values of $x$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \leq x} f^{2}(n) & \leqq 2 \sum_{n \leq x}\left(f(n)-\sum_{p \leq x} f(p) p^{-1}\right)^{2}+2 x\left(\sum_{p \leq x} f(p) p^{-1}\right)^{2} \\
& =O(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-1} \sum_{n \leqq x} f^{2}(n)=D<\infty
$$

From the Erdös-Wintner criterion $f(n)$ possesses a limiting distribution $F(z)$, say. For each real number $B$ such that $\pm B$ are continuity points of this limiting distribution, an application of Fatou's lemma yields

$$
\int_{i z \equiv B} z^{2} d F(z) \leqq \liminf _{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-1} \sum_{n \leqq x} f^{2}(n) \leqq D
$$

Since $B$ is otherwise arbitrary $F(z)$ has a finite second moment, and hence a finite mean and variance.

This completes the proof of (i).
Furthermore,

$$
x^{-1} \sum_{\substack{n \leq \pi \\ \mid f^{\prime}(n \mid \leq B}} f(n) \longrightarrow \int_{|z| \leq B} z d F(z), \quad(x \rightarrow \infty),
$$

whilst
from which it follows trivially that as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$
x^{-1} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n)
$$

converges to the mean of $F(z)$.
This completes the proof of (iii).
Proof that (iii) implies (ii) (which will complete the proof of the theorem).

As one would expect this part of the proof takes a little more effort since we have to start, so to speak, from scratch. We recall that an additive function $f(n)$ is said to be finitely distributed if and only if there are two positive real numbers $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ so that for an unbound sequence of real numbers $x \geqq 1$ we can find at least $k \geqq c_{2} x$ integers $1 \leqq a_{1}<a_{2}<\cdots<a_{k} \leqq x$ so that

$$
\left|f\left(a_{i}\right)-f\left(a_{j}\right)\right| \leqq c_{1}
$$

holds for every pair $\left(a_{i}, a_{j}\right), 1 \leqq i, j \leqq k$. This concept was introduced by Erdös [1] who proved

Lemma 1. A function $f(n)$ is finitely distributed if and only if there is a constant $c_{3}$ and an additive function $g(n)$ so that

$$
f(n)=c_{3} \log n+g(n),
$$

where

$$
\Sigma\left(g^{\prime}(p)\right)^{2} p^{-1}<\infty .
$$

There is an alternative proof, on somewhat different lines, given by Ryavec [4].

In our present circumstances we have

$$
x^{-1} \sum_{n \leq x} f^{2}(n) \leqq E
$$

for all $x \geqq 2$ (say). Thus for any positive real number $A>E^{1 / 2}$,

$$
\nu_{x}(n ;|f(n)| \geqq A) \leqq E A^{-2}<1, \quad(x \geqq 2) .
$$

It follows from Lemma 1 that $f(n)$ is finitely distributed, and has the form

$$
c_{3} \log n+g(n)
$$

Let $\pi$ denote the set of primes $q_{j}$ on which $\left|g\left(q_{j}\right)\right|>A$. Let $n_{i}$ run through those squarefree integers which are prime to each $q_{j}$. Since

$$
\sum_{q \in \pi} q^{-1}
$$

converges, a straightforward application of the sieve of Eratosthenes shows that

$$
\nu_{x}\left(n ; n=n_{i} \leqq x\right) \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{q_{i}+1}\right)=\beta>0, \quad(x \longrightarrow \infty)
$$

say. For each integer $n$ let $\nu(n)$ denote the number of distinct prime divisors of $n$. We next assume that $c_{3} \neq 0$ and obtain a contradiction.

Let $c_{4}$ be sufficiently large that the inequality $A \nu(n) \leqq c_{4} \log n$ holds for all integers $n \geqq 2$. Then for every real number $x \geqq 2$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E x & \geqq \sum_{n_{i} \leq x} f^{2}\left(n_{i}\right) \geqq \sum_{n_{i} \leq x}\left(c_{3} \log n_{i}-A \nu\left(n_{i}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& =c_{3}^{2} \sum_{n_{i} \leq x} \log ^{2} n_{i}+O\left(\log x \sum_{n \leq x} \nu(n)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For all sufficiently large values of $x$ the first of these two terms is

$$
(1+o(1)) \beta c_{3}^{2} x \log ^{2} x
$$

whilst the second is at most $O(x \log x \log \log x)$. This clearly yields a contradiction. Hence $c_{3}=0$ and the additive function $f(n)$ satisfies

$$
\sum_{p}\left(f^{\prime}(p)\right)^{2} p^{-1}<\infty
$$

We now argue exactly as in the proof that the existence of a limiting distribution for $f(n)$ which has a finite variance implies that the series

$$
\sum f^{2}(p) p^{-1}
$$

converges, and deduce the same result.
It remains to secure the convergence of the series

$$
\sum f(p) p^{-1} .
$$

(We do not as yet know that a limiting distribution for $f(n)$ exists, although if we set $\alpha_{n}=\sum_{p \leq n} f^{\prime}(p) p^{-1}$ then we do know that $f(n)-\alpha_{n}$ has a limiting distribution. See, for example, Kubilius [3] Theorem 4.4 pp. 72-74.)

Consider the generating function

$$
G(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) z^{n} .
$$

If $N$ is any positive integer and $z$ is any complex number then by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{N<n \leq 2 N} f(n) z^{n}\right|^{2} & \leqq \sum_{n \leq 2 N} f^{2}(n) \sum_{N<n \leq 2 N}|z|^{2 n} \\
& \leqq E N^{2}|z|^{2 N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easily seen that $G(z)$ is defined by an absolutely convergent series if $z$ satisfies $|z|<1$. By means of the representation

$$
f(n)=\sum_{p, n} f(p)
$$

we invert the order of summation to obtain:

$$
G(z)=\sum_{p} f(p) \frac{z^{p}}{1-z^{p}} .
$$

Since

$$
x^{-1} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) \longrightarrow A,(x \longrightarrow \infty), \text { say }
$$

it is readily established that for real values of $z$

$$
G(z) \sim \frac{A}{1-z} \text { as } z \longrightarrow 1-.
$$

We now appeal to a Tauberian theorem concerning Lambert series.
Lemma 2. Let $a_{n} n=1,2, \cdots$ be a series of real numbers, and define

$$
H(y)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \frac{n y e^{-n y}}{1-e^{-n y}}
$$

for positive real values of $y$. Let $H(y) \rightarrow A$ as $y \rightarrow 0+$. Let the sum of the $a_{n}$ be a slowly decreasing function in the sense of Hardy [2] $\S 6.2 \mathrm{pp} .124-125$, that is if $x<y$ are real numbers, so that as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $y \rightarrow \infty$ in such a manner that $y / x \rightarrow 1$, then

$$
\liminf _{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{x<n \leq y} a_{n} \geqq 0
$$

Then

$$
\sum_{n \leqq x} a_{n} \longrightarrow A, \quad(x \longrightarrow \infty)
$$

Remark. If the $\alpha_{n}$ are allowed to be complex then provided that we replace the condition of slowly decreasing by a condition of slow oscillation viz:

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{x<n \leqq y} a_{n}=0
$$

the same conclusion may be drawn. A proof of this lemma can be found in Hardy [2], Theorem 261, pp. 373-374.

In our present circumstances we set

$$
a_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f(p) p^{-1} \quad \text { if } \quad n=p \\
0 \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and have established that

$$
H(y)=y G\left(e^{-y}\right) \longrightarrow A, \quad(y \longrightarrow 0+) .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\left(\sum_{x<n \leqq 2 x}\left|a_{n}\right|\right)^{2} \leqq \sum_{x<n \leqq 2 x} f^{2}(p) p^{-1} \sum_{x<n \leqq 2 x} p^{-1}
$$

so that since the series $\sum f^{2}(p) p^{-1}$ converges and

$$
\sum_{x<n \leqq 2 x} \frac{1}{p}=\log \left(\frac{\log 2 x}{\log x}\right)+O\left((\log x)^{-1}\right) \leqq c_{4}<\infty
$$

we see that the condition of slow decreasing required for an application of Lemma 2 is satisfied.

We deduce that

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p \leqq x} \frac{f(p)}{p}=A=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-1} \sum_{n \leqq x} f(n)
$$

Moreover, by (ii) a limiting distribution exists for $f(n)$, which has the finite mean of value $A$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.
Remark. The use of the Tauberian theorem in Lemma 2 is very convenient for the study of additive functions. If $f(p)$ assumes complex values the side condition $f(p)=O(\log p)$ will suffice in order for Lemma 2 to be applicable. This is a condition which is satisfied in nearly every case of number theoretical interest.
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