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A. G. Kartsatos and E. B. Saff

## Consider the integro-differential equation

$$
\text { (*) } \quad U(x) \equiv x^{\prime}+A(t, x)+\int_{a}^{t} F(t, s, x(s)) d s=T(t), t \in[a, b]
$$

subject to the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(a)=h . \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then a problem in approximation theory is whether a solution $x(t)$ of $\left.\left({ }^{*}\right),\left({ }^{* *}\right)\right)$ can be approximated, uniformly on [a, b], by a sequence of polynomials $P_{n}$, which satisfy (**) and minimize the expression $\left\|T(\cdot)-U\left(P_{n}\right)\right\|$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is a certain norm. It is shown here that such a sequence of minimizing polynomials, or, more generally, hyperpolynomials, exists with respect to the $L_{p}$-norm $(1<p \leqq \infty)$ and converges to $x(t)$, uniformly on $[a, b]$, under the mere assumption of existence and uniqueness of $x(t)$.

The results of this paper are intimately related to those of Stein [11], who studied the approximation of solutions of scalar linear in-tegro-differential equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x) \equiv L(x)-\int_{a}^{b} h(t, s) x(s) d s=f(t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(L(x) \equiv x^{(m)}(t)+f_{1}(t) x^{(m-1)}(t)+\cdots+f_{m}(t) x(t)\right)$ subject to the two-point boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i}(x) \equiv A_{i}(x)+B_{i}(x)+\int_{a}^{b} V_{i}(t) x(t) d t=0, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, m \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{i}(u) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{i k} u^{(k-1)}(\alpha), B_{i}(u) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{i k} u^{(k-1)}(b)$. Namely, he showed that under certain condition on $L, h, f$, if $x(t)$ is the unique solution of (1), which satisfies the linearly independent boundary conditions (2), then for every $n \geqq 2 m-1$ there exists a unique polynomial $p_{n}$ of degree at most $n$, which satisfies (2) and best approximates the solution of (1) with respect to the $L_{p}$-norm ( $1 \leqq p<\infty$ ). He then considered the convergence of the sequences $\left\{p_{n}^{(k)}\right\}, k=1,2, \cdots, m-1$ to the solution $x(t)$ and its derivatives up to the order $m-1$ respectively. Extension of these results were also made for trigonometric polynomials, or linear combinations of orthonormal functions. The present paper extends the results of Stein and has points of contact with the rest of the papers in the references.

1. Preliminaries. Let $R=(-\infty,+\infty)$. For the system $\left(\left(^{*}\right),\left({ }^{* *}\right)\right)$ we assume the following: $A(t, u)$ is an $m$-vector of functions defined and continuous on $[a, b] X R^{m} . F(t, s, u)$ is an $m$-vector of functions defined and continuous on the set $S \equiv\left\{(t, s, u) \in[a, b] X[a, b] X R^{m} ; s \leqq t\right\}$. $T(t)$ is an $m$-vector of functions defined and continuous on $[a, b]$.

Let $B_{k}, k=1, m$, be the Banach space of all $k$-vectors of continuous functions on $[a, b]$ with norm

$$
\|f\|_{B_{k}}=\sup _{t \in[a, b]}\|f(t)\|
$$

where, for a vector $u \in R^{k},\|u\|=\max _{1 \leq i \leq k}\left|u_{i}\right|$. By $B_{k}^{\prime}$ we denote the Banach space of all functions $f \in B_{k}$ which are continuously differentiable on $[a, b]$. The norm now is

$$
\|f\|_{B_{k}^{\prime}}=\max _{i=0,1}\left\{\left\|f^{(i)}\right\|_{B_{k}}\right\}
$$

A sequence $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ of functions in $B_{1}^{\prime}$ is said to be linearly independent if every finite number of the $g_{n}$ 's is linearly independent on $[a, b]$. A linearly independent sequence $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ is said to be a $d$-sequence if the set of all finite linear combinations of the $g_{n}$ 's is dense in $B_{1}^{\prime}$. For each $i=1,2, \cdots, m$ let $\left\{g_{n, i}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a fixed $d$-sequence in $B_{1}^{\prime}$. We assume without loss of generality that $g_{1, i}(a) \neq 0, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, m$. By a hyperpolynomial of degree at most $j$ we mean a function $p$ of the form

$$
p=\left[\begin{array}{c}
p_{1} \\
p_{2} \\
\vdots \\
p_{m}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
c_{1,1} g_{1,1}+c_{2,1} g_{2,1}+\cdots+c_{j, 1} g_{j, 1} \\
c_{1,2} g_{1,2}+c_{2,2} g_{2,2}+\cdots+c_{j, 2} g_{j, 2} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
c_{1, m} g_{1, m}+c_{2, m} g_{2, m}+\cdots+c_{j, m} g_{j, m}
\end{array}\right]
$$

By $\Pi_{n}$ we denote the set of all hyperpolynomials of degree at most $n$ which satisfy the initial condition (**). For a function $f \in B_{m}$ we put

$$
\|f\|_{p}=\left[\int_{a}^{b}\|f(t)\|^{p} d t\right]^{1 / p}, \quad 1 \leqq p<+\infty
$$

We also make use of the symbol $\|f\|_{\infty}$ instead of $\|f\|_{B_{m}}$.
2. Main results.

Theorem 1. Let $1<p \leqq \infty$ and suppose that the system ((*), (**)) has a unique ${ }^{1}$ solution $x(t)$ defined on $[a, b]$. Then for each $n$ suffi-

[^0]ciently large there exists a hyperpolynomial $Q_{n} \in \Pi_{n}$ such that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T-U\left(Q_{n}\right)\right\|_{p}=\inf _{P \in \Pi_{n}}\|T-U(P)\|_{p} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Furthermore, the sequence $Q_{n}(t)$ converges uniformly to $x(t)$ on $[a, b]$. For the case $p=\infty$ we have, in addition, that the sequence $Q_{n}^{\prime}(t)$ converges uniformly to $x^{\prime}(t)$ on $[a, b]$.

The proof requires the following lemmas:
Lemma 1. The set of all hyperpolynomials is dense in $B_{m}^{\prime}$.
Proof. Obvious.
Lemma 2. Let $f \in B_{m}^{\prime}$ satisfy (**). Then there exists a sequence of hyperpolynomials $p_{n} \in \Pi_{n}, n=1,2, \cdots$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f-p_{n}\right\|_{B_{m}^{\prime}}=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 1 there exists a sequence $\left\{q_{n}\right\}$ of hyperpolynomials such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f-q_{n}\right\|_{B_{m}^{\prime}}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can (and do) assume that each $q_{n}$ is of degree at most $n$, respectively, where $n=1,2, \cdots$.

Put $d_{n} \equiv h-q_{n}(\alpha)$ and let $d_{n, i}$ be the $i$ th component of $d_{n}$. Set

$$
s_{n}(t) \equiv\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{n, 1} g_{1,1}(t) \\
c_{n, 2} g_{1,2}(t) \\
\vdots \\
c_{n, m} g_{1, m}(t)
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $c_{n, i} \equiv d_{n, i} / g_{1, i}(a)$. $\quad$ Since

$$
\left\|d_{n}\right\|=\left\|h-q_{n}(\alpha)\right\|=\left\|f(\alpha)-q_{n}(\alpha)\right\| \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty,
$$

it follows that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n, i}=0, \quad \text { for each } \quad i=1,2, \cdots, m
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|s_{n}\right\|_{B_{m}^{\prime}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad n \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now define $p_{n}(t) \equiv q_{n}(t)+s_{n}(t)$. Then

$$
p_{n}(\alpha)=q_{n}(a)+s_{n}(a)=q_{n}(a)+d_{n}=h
$$

and so $p_{n} \in \Pi_{n}$ for each $n=1,2, \cdots$. From (5) and (6) it follows that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f-p_{n}\right\|_{B_{m}^{\prime}}=0
$$

Lemma 3. Let

$$
\mu_{n, p} \equiv \inf _{P \in \Pi_{n}}\|T-U(P)\|_{p}
$$

Then $\mu_{n, p} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. It suffices to show that $\mu_{n, \infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 2 there exists a sequence $p_{n} \in \Pi_{n}, n=1,2, \cdots$, such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x-p_{n}\right\|_{B_{m}^{\prime}}=0
$$

Since $x(t)$ satisfies ( ${ }^{*}$ ) we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{n, \infty} \leqq & \left\|T-U\left(p_{n}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leqq\left\|x^{\prime}-p_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|A(\cdot, x)-A\left(\cdot, p_{n}\right)\right\|_{\infty}  \tag{7}\\
& +(b-a) \max _{a \leqq s \leq t \leq b}\left\|F(t, s, x(s))-F\left(t, s, p_{n}(s)\right)\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously $\left\|x_{n}^{\prime}-p_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leqq\left\|x_{n}-p_{n}\right\|_{B_{m}^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also from the uniform convergence of the $p_{n}$ to $x$ and the continuity of the functions $A$ and $F$ it follows that the last two terms in the right-hand member of (7) tend to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This proves Lemma 3.

Lemma 4. If $P_{n} \in \Pi_{n}$ is a sequence of hyperpolynomials such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T-U\left(P_{n}\right)\right\|_{p}=0, \quad 1<p \leqq \infty \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the $P_{n}(t)$ converge uniformly to $x(t)$ on $[a, b]$. For the case $p=$ $\infty$ we have, in addition, that the derivatives $P_{n}^{\prime}(t)$ converge uniformly to $x^{\prime}(t)$ on $[a, b]$.

Proof. The proof is similar, but not identical, to that of [2, Thm. 3, p. 17]. We shall sketch the argument for the real line only.

Let $M$ be a constant such that $|x(t)|<M$ for all $t \in[a, b]$. Note that $|h|=|x(a)|<M$. Set $\mathscr{R} \equiv[a, b] X[-M, M]$. Since the norms $\left\|U\left(P_{n}\right)\right\|_{p}$ are uniformly bounded, and the functions $A(t, u)$ and $F(t, s, u)$ are continuous, there exist constants $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{b}\left|U\left(P_{n}\right)(t)-A(t, u)\right|^{p} d t \leqq K_{1}^{p}, u \in[-M, M] \\
& \quad|F(t, s, u)| \leqq K_{2}, \quad a \leqq s \leqq t \leqq b, u \in[-M, M]
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $K \equiv K_{1}+K_{2}(b-a)^{1+1 / p}$, and consider the curves $C_{1}: u=h+K(t-$ $a)^{1 / q}, C_{2}: u=h-K(t-a)^{1 / q}$, where $q$ satisfies the equation $1 / p+1 / q=$

1. Let $t_{i}^{*}, a<t_{i}^{*} \leqq b, i=1,2$, be the abscissa of the second point of
intersection of the curve $C_{i}$ with the boundary of the rectangle $\mathscr{R}$. Put $t^{*} \equiv \min \left(t_{1}^{*}, t_{2}^{*}\right)$. We shall show that for each $n$ there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{n}(t)\right| \leqq M, t \in\left[a, t^{*}\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t_{n}$ be the abscissa of the first point to the right of $a$ at which the graph of $P_{n}(t)$ intersects the boundary of $\mathscr{R}$. Integrating the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}^{\prime}(t)=U\left(P_{n}\right)(t)-A\left(t, P_{n}(t)\right)-\int_{a}^{t} F\left(t, s, P_{n}(s)\right) d s \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

from $a$ to $t_{n}$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|P_{n}\left(t_{n}\right)-h\right| \leqq & \int_{a}^{t_{n}}\left|U\left(P_{n}\right)(t)-A\left(t, P_{n}(t)\right)\right| d t+\int_{a}^{t_{n}} \int_{a}^{t}\left|F\left(t, s, P_{n}(s)\right)\right| d s d t \\
\leqq & {\left[\int_{a}^{t_{n}}\left|U\left(P_{n}\right)(t)-A\left(t, P_{n}(t)\right)\right|^{p} d t\right]^{1 / p}\left(t_{n}-a\right)^{1 / q} } \\
& +K_{2}(b-a)\left(t_{n}-a\right) \\
& \leqq K_{1}\left(t_{n}-a\right)^{1 / q}+K_{2}(b-a)^{1+1 / p}\left(t_{n}-a\right)^{1 / q}=K\left(t_{n}-a\right)^{1 / q}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the point $\left(t_{n}, P_{n}\left(t_{n}\right)\right)$ lies between the curves $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$. Thus $t_{n} \geqq t^{*}$, which proves (9).

It also follows from integrating the equation (10) that the sequence $P_{n}(t)$ is equicontinuous on [ $a, t^{*}$ ]. Therefore, by Ascoli's Theorem, each subsequence of the $P_{n}(t)$ possesses a subsequence which converges uniformly on $\left[a, t^{*}\right]$. Suppose that $y(t)$ is the uniform limit on $\left[a, t^{*}\right]$ of the subsequence $P_{k}(t)$. From (8) and Hölder's inequality it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a}^{t} U\left(P_{k}\right)(\tau) d \tau=\int_{a}^{t} T(\tau) d \tau, \quad t \in[a, b] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the equation

$$
P_{k}(t)-h=\int_{a}^{t} U\left(P_{k}\right)(\tau) d \tau-\int_{a}^{t} A\left(\tau, P_{k}(\tau)\right) d \tau-\int_{a}^{t} \int_{a}^{\tau} F\left(\tau, s, P_{k}(s)\right) d s d \tau
$$

we deduce from (11) and the continuity of the functions $A$ and $F$ that

$$
y(t)-h=\int_{a}^{t} T(\tau) d \tau-\int_{a}^{t} A(\tau, y(\tau)) d \tau-\int_{a}^{t} \int_{a}^{\tau} F(\tau, s, y(s)) d s d \tau
$$

for $t \in\left[a, t^{*}\right]$. Thus $y(t)$ satisfies the system $\left(\left(^{*}\right),\left({ }^{* *}\right)\right)$ on $\left[a, t^{*}\right]$ and so must equal $x(t)$ on this interval. Since $y(t)$ was an arbitrarily chosen limit function, the original sequence $P_{n}(t)$ must converge to $x(t)$ uniformly on [ $a, t^{*}$ ].

Considering the fact that the proof given above carries over under
the more general hypothesis that the initial values of the $P_{n}(t)$ converge to the corresponding initial value of $x(t)$, one can show, as in the proof of [2, Thm. 3, p. 17], that the sequence $P_{n}(t)$ converges to $x(t)$ uniformly on $[a, b]$.

For the case $p=\infty$ it follows immediately from equation (10) that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n}^{\prime}(t)=x^{\prime}(t)$ uniformly on $[a, b]$.

Proof of Theorem 1. It is clear from Lemmas 3 and 4 that if the minimizing hyperpolynomials $Q_{n}$ exist, then they have the asserted convergence properties.

We first show that if $Q_{k}$ does not exist, then there is a hyperpolynomial $P_{k} \in \Pi_{k}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T-U\left(P_{k}\right)\right\|_{p}<\mu_{k, p}+1 / k, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{k}\right\|_{\infty}>k \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If this were not the case, there exists a sequence of hyperpolynomials $\pi_{j} \in \Pi_{k}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T-U\left(\pi_{j}\right)\right\|_{p} \longrightarrow \mu_{k, p} \quad \text { as } \quad j \longrightarrow \infty \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\pi_{j}\right\|_{\infty} \leqq k, \quad \forall j
$$

It is not difficult to show that the set $\left\{\pi \in \Pi_{k} \mid\|\pi\|_{\infty} \leqq k\right\}$ is compact in the $B_{m}^{\prime}$ norm. Hence there is a subsequence of the $\pi_{j}$ which converges in the $B_{m}^{\prime}$ norm to a hyperpolynomial $\pi_{0} \in \Pi_{k}$. From (14) and the continuity of the functions $A$ and $F$ it follows that

$$
\left\|T-U\left(\pi_{0}\right)\right\|_{p}=\mu_{k, p}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Now suppose that there is an increasing sequence of positive integers $k$ such that $Q_{k}$ does not exist. Then there is a sequence of hyperpolynomials $P_{k} \in \Pi_{k}$ which satisfy (12) and (13). For this sequence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T-U\left(P_{k}\right)\right\|_{p} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad k \longrightarrow \infty \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left\|P_{k}\right\|_{\infty} \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } \quad k \longrightarrow \infty
$$

But from (15) and Lemma 4 we also have $\left\|P_{k}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow\|x\|_{\infty}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, which is a contradiction.

Hence $Q_{n}$ exists for $n$ sufficiently large. This completes the proof
of Theorem 1.
To prove the existence and convergence of best $L_{1}$ approximating hyperpolynomials we impose Lipschitz conditions on the functions $A, F$.

Theorem 2. Suppose that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|A(t, u)-A(t, v)\| \leqq \lambda_{1}\|u-v\|,(t, u, v) \in[a, b] X R^{m} X R^{m} \\
& \|F(t, s, u)-F(t, s, v)\| \leqq \lambda_{2}\|u-v\|,(t, s, u, v) \in S X R^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ are fixed positive constants. Let the system $\left(\left(^{*}\right),\left({ }^{* *}\right)\right)$ have the unique solution $x(t)$ on $[a, b]$. Then for each $n$ sufficiently large there exists a hyperpolynomial $Q_{n} \in \Pi_{n}$ such that

$$
\left\|T-U\left(Q_{n}\right)\right\|_{1}=\inf _{P \in \Pi_{n}}\|T-U(P)\|_{1}
$$

Furthermore, the sequence $Q_{n}(t)$ converges uniformly to $x(t)$ on $[a, b]$.
The proof relies on the following analogue of Lemma 4:

Lemma 5. If $P_{n} \in \Pi_{n}$ is a sequence of hyperpolynomials such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T-U\left(P_{n}\right)\right\|_{1}=0$, then the $P_{n}(t)$ converge uniformly to $x(t)$ on $[a, b]$.

Proof. Clearly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x(t)-P_{n}(t)\right\| \leqq & \int_{a}^{t}\left\|T(\tau)-U\left(P_{n}\right)(\tau)\right\| d \tau+\int_{a}^{t}\left\|A(\tau, x(\tau))-A\left(\tau, P_{n}(\tau)\right)\right\| d \tau \\
& +\int_{a}^{t} \int_{a}^{\tau}\left\|F(\tau, s, x(s))-F\left(\tau, s, P_{n}(s)\right)\right\| d s d \tau \\
\leqq & \left\|T-U\left(P_{n}\right)\right\|_{1}+\lambda_{1} \int_{a}^{t}\left\|x(\tau)-P_{n}(\tau)\right\| d \tau \\
& +\lambda_{2}(b-a) \int_{a}^{t}\left\|x(\tau)-P_{n}(\tau)\right\| d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

From Gronwall's inequality we deduce that

$$
\left\|x(t)-P_{n}(t)\right\| \leqq\left\|T-U\left(P_{n}\right)\right\|_{1} \exp \left[\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}(b-a)\right)(b-a)\right]
$$

Thus $\left\|x-P_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof of Theorem 2. It follows from Lemmas 3 and 5 that if the minimizing hyperpolynomials exist, then they converge uniformly to $x(t)$ on $[a, b]$. To establish existence one argues as in the proof of Theorem 1.

Remarks. Let $A, F$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2 and, for
$1 \leqq p<\infty$, let $Q_{n} \in \Pi_{n}$ denote $L_{p}$-norm-minimizing hyperpolynomials. Concerning the degree of convergence of the $Q_{n}$ to $x$ it can be shown, by use of Hölder's inequality and Gronwall's inequality, that

$$
\left\|x-Q_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leqq \mu_{n, p}(b-a)^{(p-1) / p} \exp \left[\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}(b-a)\right)(b-a)\right] .
$$

Also if the functions $T(t)-U\left(Q_{n}\right)(t)$ satisfy a Lipschitz condition on $[a, b]$ uniformly w.r.t. $n$, the sequence $Q_{n}^{\prime}(t)$ converges uniformly to $x^{\prime}(t)$ on $[a, b]$. The proof of this fact follows from Theorem 5 in [13].

The results of this paper can be extended to integro-differential equations with Fredholm integrals of the form

$$
W(x)=x^{\prime}+A(t, x)+\int_{a}^{b} F(t, s, x(s)) d s=T(t)
$$

It would be of interest to obtain similar results for equations of the type (*) under linearly independent boundary conditions of the form:

$$
B x(a)+C x(b)+\int_{a}^{b} V(t) x(t) d t=h
$$

where $B, C$ are constant $m \times m$ matrices and $V$ is a continuous $m \times$ $m$ matrix-valued function on $[a, b]$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Uniqueness means that any solution of $((*),(* *)$ ) which is defined on a subinterval $[a, c]$ of $[a, b]$ must coincide with $x(t)$.

