## CHARACTERIZATIONS OF $\lambda$ CONNECTED PLANE CONTINUA

## CHARLES L. HAGOPIAN

A continuum M is said to be  $\lambda$  connected if any two of its points can be joined by a hereditarily decomposable continuum in M. Here we characterize  $\lambda$  connected plane continua in terms of Jones' functions K and L.

A nondegenerate metric space that is both compact and connected is called a *continuum*. A continuum M is said to be *aposyndetic at* a point p of M with respect to a point q of M if there exists an open set U and a continuum H in M such that  $p \in U \subset H \subset M - \{q\}$ .

In [1], F. Burton Jones defines the functions K and L on a continuum M into the set of subsets of M as follows:

For each point x of M, the set K(x) (L(x)) consists of all points y of M such that M is not aposyndetic at x(y) with respect to y(x).

Note that for each point x of M, the set L(x) is connected and closed in M [1, Th. 3]. For any point x of M, the set K(x) is closed [1, Th. 2] but may fail to be connected [2, Ex. 4], [3].

Suppose that M is a plane continuum. In this paper it is proved that the following three statements are equivalent.

I. M is  $\lambda$  connected.

II. For each point x of M, the set K(x) does not contain an indecomposable continuum.

III. For each point x of M, every continuum in L(x) is decomposable.

Throughout this paper  $E^2$  is the Euclidean plane. For a given set S in  $E^2$ , we denote the closure and the boundary of S relative to  $E^2$  by Cl S and Bd S respectively.

DEFINITION. Let M be a continuum in  $E^2$ . A subcontinuum L of M is said to be a *link* in M if L is either the boundary of a complementary domain of M or the limit of a convergent sequence of complementary domains of M.

It is known that a plane continuum is  $\lambda$  connected if and only if each of its links is hereditarily decomposable [5, Th. 2].

THEOREM 1. Suppose that a continuum M in  $E^2$  contains an indecomposable continuum I, that disjoint circular regions V and Z in  $E^2$  meet I, that a point x belongs to  $M - \operatorname{Cl}(V \cup Z)$ , and that  $\varepsilon$  is a positive real number. Then there exist continua H and F in I, arc-segments R and T in V, and a point y of  $I \cap Z$  such that (1)

 $H \cup F \cup R \cup T$  separates y from x in  $E^2$ , and (2) if D is the y-component of  $E^2 - (H \cup F \cup R \cup T)$ , then each point of D is within  $\varepsilon$  of I.

*Proof.* Define p and q to be points of  $V \cap I$  that belong to distinct composants of I. Let  $\{P_n\}$  and  $\{Q_n\}$  be monotone descending sequences of circular regions in  $E^2$  centered on and converging to p and q respectively such that  $\operatorname{Cl} P_1 \cap \operatorname{Cl} Q_1 = \emptyset$  and  $\operatorname{Cl} (P_1 \cup Q_1)$  is in V.

Suppose that for each positive integer n, only finitely many disjoint continua in  $I - (P_n \cup Q_n)$  intersect Bd  $P_n$ , Bd  $Q_n$ , and Z. Since I has uncountably many composants, there exists a composant C of Isuch that for each n, no continuum in  $C - (P_n \cup Q_n)$  meets Bd  $P_n$ , Bd  $Q_n$ , and Z. It follows that for each n, there is a continuum  $L_n$ in  $C - (P_n \cup Q_n \cup Z)$  that meets both Bd  $P_n$  and Bd  $Q_n$ . The limit of  $\{L_n\}$  is a continuum in I - Z that contains  $\{p, q\}$ . But since p and q belong to different composants of I and Z intersects I, this is a contradiction. Hence for some integer n, there exists an infinite collection W of disjoint continua in  $I - (P_n \cup Q_n)$  such that each element of W meets Bd  $P_n$ , Bd  $Q_n$ , and Z.

There exists a sequence of distinct continua  $\{H_i\}$  and two sequences of disjoint arc-segments  $\{R_i\}$  and  $\{T_i\}$  such that for each i,

(1)  $H_i$  is an element of  $W_i$ ,

(2)  $R_i$  and  $T_i$  are in Bd  $P_n$  and Bd  $Q_n$  respectively,

(3)  $R_i$  and  $T_i$  each meets  $H_{2i}$  and no other element of  $\{H_i\}$ , and each has one endpoint in  $H_{2i-1}$  and the other endpoint in  $H_{2i+1}$ .

For each positive integer i, let  $y_i$  be a point of  $H_{2i} \cap Z$  and define  $D_i$  to be the complementary domain of  $H_{2i-1} \cup H_{2i+1} \cup R_i \cup T_i$  that contains  $y_i$ . Note that the elements of the sequence  $\{D_i\}$  are disjoint domains in  $E^2 - \operatorname{Cl}(P_n \cup Q_n)$ . Since the union of the continuum  $I \cup \operatorname{Cl}(P_n \cup Q_n)$  with its bounded complementary domains is a compact subset of  $E^2$ , for some i, every point of  $D_i$  is within  $\varepsilon$  of I and  $H_{2i-1} \cup H_{2i+1} \cup R_i \cup T_i$  separates  $y_i$  from x in  $E^2$ .

THEOREM 2. If M is a  $\lambda$  connected continuum in  $E^2$ , then for each point x of M, every continuum in the set K(x) is decomposable.

*Proof.* Assume that for some point x of M, the set K(x) contains an indecomposable continuum I. We shall prove that this assumption implies the existence of a link in M that contains I; this will contradict the hypothesis of this theorem [5, Th. 2].

Let v and z be points of  $M - \{x\}$  that belong to distinct composants of I. Define  $\{V_i\}$  and  $\{Z_i\}$  to be monotone descending sequences of circular regions in  $E^2$  centered on and converging to v and z respectively such that  $\operatorname{Cl} V_1 \cap \operatorname{Cl} Z_1 = \emptyset$  and  $\operatorname{Cl} (V_1 \cup Z_1)$  is in  $E^2 - \{x\}$ .

First we show that for each positive integer i, there exists an

arc  $A_i$  in  $E^2 - M$  that goes from Bd  $V_i$  to Bd  $Z_i$  such that each point of  $A_i$  is within  $i^{-1}$  of *I*. By Theorem 1, for any given positive integer i, there exist continua H and F in I, arc-segments R and T in  $V_i$ , and a point y of  $I \cap Z_i$  such that  $H \cup F \cup R \cup T$  separates y from x in  $E^2$  and each point of D (the y-component of  $E^2 - (H \cup F \cup R \cup T))$ is within  $i^{-1}$  of I. Let U be a circular region containing x in  $E^2$ whose closure misses  $H \cup F \cup R \cup T$ . Let G be a circular region containing y in  $E^2$  whose closure is in  $D \cap Z_i$ . Since M is not aposyndetic at x with respect to y, the component of M - G that contains x is not open relative to M at x. Hence there exist two components X and Y of M-G that meet U. It follows that a simple closed curve J in  $(E^2 - M) \cup G$  separates X from Y in  $E^2$  [6, Th. 13, p. 170]. Note that J must intersect both G and U [6, Th. 50, p. 18]. Since  $J \cap (M - G) = \emptyset$  and  $H \cup F \cup R \cup T$  separates G from U in  $E^2$ , there is an arc-segment B in  $(J \cap D) - M$  that has one endpoint in Bd G and the other endpoint in  $R \cup T$ . We define  $A_i$  to be an arc in  $B - (V_i \cup Z_i)$  that goes from Bd  $V_i$  to Bd  $Z_i$ . Since  $A_i$  is in D, each of its points is within  $i^{-1}$  of I.

Note that since v and z do not belong to the same composant of I, the limit of each subsequence of  $\{A_i\}$  is I. For each i, let  $Q_i$  be the complementary domain of M that contains  $A_i$ . If  $\{Q_i\}$  does not have infinitely many distinct elements, then for some i, the link Bd  $Q_i$  in M contains I. Suppose that  $\{Q_i\}$  has infinitely many distinct elements. Then some subsequence of  $\{Q_i\}$  converges to a link in M [6, Th. 59, p. 24]. It follows that a link in M contains I. This contradicts the fact that M is  $\lambda$  connected [5, Th. 2]. Hence for each point x of M, every continuum in K(x) is decomposable.

THEOREM 3. Suppose that M is a continuum in  $E^2$  and for each point x of M, every continuum in K(x) is decomposable. Then for each point x of M, every continuum in L(x) is decomposable.

**Proof.** Assume that for some point x of M, there is an indecomposable continuum I in L(x). We shall prove that from this assumption it follows that M is not aposyndetic at any point of I with respect to any other point of I. Hence for each point z of I, the set K(z) in M contains I. This will contradict our hypothesis.

Suppose there exists a continuum E in M that does not contain I whose interior relative to M contains a point of I. There exist mutually exclusive circular regions V and Z in  $E^2$  such that

- (1) x does not belong to  $\operatorname{Cl}(V \cup Z)$ ,
- (2) V and Z each meets I,
- (3) E and V are disjoint,
- (4)  $M \cap Z$  is contained in E.

According to Theorem 1, there exist continua H and F in I, arc-segments R and T in V, and a point y of  $I \cap Z$  such that  $H \cup F \cup R \cup T$  separates y from x in  $E^2$ . Define D to be the y-component of  $E^2 - (H \cup F \cup R \cup T)$ . There exists a circular region G in  $E^2$ containing y such that  $\operatorname{Cl} G$  is in  $D \cap Z$ . Let U be a circular region in  $E^2$  containing x whose closure misses  $H \cup F \cup R \cup T$ .

Since M is not aposyndetic at y with respect to x, the y-component of M - U is not open relative to M at y. Hence  $\operatorname{Bd} G - M$ contains an arc-segment A whose endpoints, p and q, lie in different components of M - U. There exists a simple closed curve J in  $(E^2 - M) \cup U$  that separates p from q in  $E^2$  such that  $J \cap A$  is connected. Let B denote the component of J - U that contains  $J \cap A$ . Since  $H \cup F \cup R \cup T$  separates G from U in  $E^2$  and B does not intersect  $H \cup F$ , it follows that both components of B - A meet  $R \cup T$ . Evidently  $B \cup V$  separates p from q in  $E^2$  [6, Th. 32, p. 181]. But since E is a continuum in  $E^2 - (B \cup V)$  that contains  $\{p, q\}$ , this is a contradiction. Hence each subcontinuum of M that contains a point of I in its interior relative to M contains I. This implies that for any point z of I, the set K(z) in M contains I, which contradicts the hypothesis of this theorem. Hence for each point x of M, every continuum in L(x) is decomposable.

THEOREM 4. Suppose that for each point x of a plane continuum M, every continuum in L(x) is decomposable. Then M is  $\lambda$  connected.

**Proof.** Assume that M is not  $\lambda$  connected. It follows that some link in M contains an indecomposable continuum I [5, Th. 2]. By Theorem 1 in [4], each subcontinuum of M that contains a nonempty open subset of I contains I. But this implies that for each point xof I, the set L(x) contains I, which is impossible. Hence M is  $\lambda$ connected.

THEOREM 5. Suppose that M is a plane continuum. The following three statements are equivalent.

I. M is  $\lambda$  connected.

II. For each point x of M, every continuum in the set K(x) is decomposable.

III. For each point x of M, every continuum in L(x) is decomposable.

Proof. This follows directly from Theorems 2, 3, and 4.

## References

1. F. B. Jones, Concerning non-aposyndetic continua, Amer. J. Math., 70 (1948), 403-413.

2. C. L. Hagopian, Concerning arcwise connectedness and the existence of simple closed curves in plane continua, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 147 (1970), 389-402.

3. \_\_\_\_, A cut point theorem for plane continua, Duke Math. J., 38 (1971), 509-512. 4. \_\_\_\_\_, λ connected plane continua, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 191 (1974).
5. \_\_\_\_\_, Planar λ connected continua, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 39 (1973), 190-194.

6. R. L. Moore, Foundations of point set theory, rev. ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1962.

Received November 13, 1972.

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SACRAMENTO