# AN INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION FOR STRICTLY CONTINUOUS LINEAR OPERATORS 

M. W. Bartelt

Let $B$ denote the algebra of bounded analytic functions on the open unit disc $D$ in the complex plane. Let $(B, \tau)$ denote $B$ endowed with the topology $\tau$, where $\tau$ is chosen from $\kappa, \beta$ or $\sigma$, respectively, the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of $D$, the strict topology and the topology of uniform convergence on $D$. This note obtains an integral representation of the form $T f(z)=\int_{\Gamma} f(w) K(z, w) d w$ where $\Gamma=\{z:|z|=1\}$ for the linear operators which are continuous from $(B, \kappa)$ into $(B, \sigma)$. This representation is then used to study the convergence of operators in the full algebra of all continuous linear operators from ( $B, \beta$ ) into ( $B, \beta$ ).

1. Introduction. Let $M(D)$ denote the set of bounded complex valued Borel measures on D. R. C. Buck [5] showed that $L$ is a continuous linear functional on $(C(D), \beta)$ if and only if $L f=\int_{D} f d \mu$, $\forall f \in C(D)$ for some $\mu \in M(D)$. L. A. Rubel and A. L. Shields [7] showed that for any $\mu \in M(D)$ there exists a function $h$ in $L^{\prime}(\Gamma)$ such that $\int_{D} f d \mu=\int_{\Gamma} f(x) h(x) d x, \forall f \in B$ and conversely, that any $h \in L^{1}(\Gamma)$ determines a measure $\mu \in M(D)$ for which this equality holds. Thus the continuous linear functionals on $(B, \beta)$ can be represented as integration over $\Gamma$ with respect to functions in $L^{1}(\Gamma)$.

Letting both $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ be one of the topologies $\kappa, \beta$ or $\sigma$, let $\left[\tau_{1}: \tau_{2}\right]$ denote the algebra of all continuous linear operators from ( $B, \tau_{1}$ ) into ( $B, \tau_{2}$ ).

In Theorem 1 it is shown that any linear operator $T$ in $[\beta: \beta]$ can be represented in the form

$$
T f(z)=\int_{\Gamma} f(w) K(z, w) d w, \quad \forall f \in B
$$

However, a necessary and sufficient condition on $K(z, w)$ that such a $T$ be in [ $\beta: \beta$ ] is not known.

The algebra $[\kappa: \sigma]$ is a dense subalgebra of $[\beta: \beta]$ in the compact open topology. In Theorem 3 it is shown that a linear operator $T$ is in [ $\kappa: \sigma$ ] if and only if $T f(z)=\int_{\Gamma} f(w) K(z, w) d w$ where the kernel
$K(z, w)$ satisfies certain fixed conditions. One can then associate with every linear operator in $[\beta: \beta]$ an explicit kernel $K(z, w)$. In $\S 4$, the convergence of linear operators in $[\beta: \beta]$ is characterized by using the convergence of the sequence of associated kernels. In the last section this convergence criterion is applied to the special type of operators in [ $\beta: \beta$ ] called multipliers.
2. Definitions. The topology $\sigma$, of uniform convergence on $D$, is defined by the norm

$$
\|f\|=\sup \{|f(z)|:|z|<1\} .
$$

The topology $\kappa$, of uniform convergence on compact subsets of $D$, can be defined by the family of semi-norms

$$
\|f\|_{r}=\sup \{|f(z)|:|z|<r\}
$$

where $0<r<1$. The strict topology $\beta$ was introduced by R. C. Buck in [3] as a topology on the set of bounded continuous functions on a space. It is defined by the family of semi-norms

$$
|f|_{\phi}=\|f \phi\|, \phi \in C_{0}[D]
$$

the continuous functions on $D$ which vanish at infinity. The strict topology was first employed to study $B$ in [4]. For properties of $\beta$ and its relation to $\kappa$ and $\sigma$ see [3], [4], [5] and [7]. In particular, a sequence of functions $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ in $B$ converges strictly to zero if and only if it is uniformly bounded and converges $\kappa$ (or pointwise) to zero. Also the $\beta$ bounded subsets of $B$ are precisely the $\sigma$ bounded subsets.

In [2] two appropriate topologies were employed to study $[\beta: \beta]$. From $[\sigma: \sigma]$, the subalgebra $[\beta: \beta]$ inherits the usual operator norm topology where

$$
\|T\|=\sup \{\|T f\|:\|f\| \leqq 1, f \in B\} .
$$

The second topology is that of uniform convergence on bounded subsets of $B$ which in fact is equivalent on $[\beta: \beta]$ to the compact open topology.

Definition. A net of operators $\left\{T_{\alpha}\right\}$ in $[\beta: \beta]$ converges uniformly on bounded subsets (witten u.b.) to $T$ if and only if given any $\beta$ open set $G$ in $B$ with $0 \in G$ and any $\beta$ bounded set $S$ in $B$, there exists an $\alpha^{\prime}$ such that if $\alpha>\alpha^{\prime}$, then $\left(T_{\alpha}-T\right)(S) \subseteq G$.

For properties of $[\beta: \beta]$ in these two topologies see [2]. In particular the u.b. bounded subsets are precisely the norm bounded subsets and $[\beta: \beta]$ is a u.b. (and hence norm) closed subalgebra of $[\sigma: \sigma]$.

In should be observed [1] that the continuity classes $[\kappa: \sigma],[\beta: \sigma]$, $[\beta: \beta]$ and $[\sigma: \sigma]$ are in fact algebras, they are related by the proper inclusions $[\kappa: \sigma] \subset[\beta: \sigma] \subset[\beta: \beta] \subset[\sigma: \sigma]$, and $[\kappa: \sigma]$ is dense in $[\beta: \beta]$ in the u.b. topology, but in the norm topology $[\kappa: \sigma]$ is dense in only $[\beta: \sigma]$. In the study of the u.b. denseness of $[\kappa: \sigma]$ in $[\beta: \beta]$, the operators $T_{r}$ play a significant role. Given an operator $T$ in $[\beta: \beta]$, the operator [ $T]_{r}$ (sometimes written $T_{r}$ ) is defined by $T_{r} f(z)=T\left(f_{r}\right)(z)$ where $f_{r}(z)=f(r z), f \in B$, and $0<r<1$. An operator $T_{r}$ is in $[\kappa: \sigma]$ and it is known [2] that $\left\{T_{r}\right\}$ converges u.b. to $T$ as $r \uparrow 1$.

Finally, a result of P. Hessler (see [1] or [6]) shows that a linear operator $T$ is in $[\beta: \tau]$ if and only if whenever a sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ in $B$ converges strictly to zero, it follows that $\left\{T f_{n}\right\}$ converges $\tau$ to zero, where $\tau$ is $\kappa, \beta$ or $\sigma$.
3. An integral representation. Let $z$ be a fixed point in $D$. Then given a linear operator $T$ in $[\beta: \beta]$, the linear functional $L$ defined on $B$ by $L f=T f(z)$ is a continuous linear functional on $(B, \beta)$. Therefore, $T f(z)=L f=\int_{\Gamma} f(w) K_{z}(w) d w$ for some function $K_{z}(w)$ in $L^{\prime}(\Gamma)$. It is difficult to determine the relationship between the various functions $K_{z}$ that is necessary and sufficient to ensure that $T f(z)=\int_{\Gamma} f(w) K(z, w) d w$ will represent an operator in $[\beta: \beta]$. The following gives a necessary condition and a different sufficient condition.

Theorem 1. For any linear operator $T$ in $[\beta: \beta]$,

$$
T f(z)=\int_{\Gamma} f(w) K(z, w) d w, \quad \forall f \in B
$$

where $K\left(z^{\prime}, w\right)=K_{z^{\prime}}$ is in $L^{\prime}(\Gamma)$ for each $z^{\prime}$ in $D$ and the $L^{1}$ norms of all the functions $K_{z^{\prime}}$ are uniformly bounded.

If $K(z, w)$ satisfies the above necessary conditions and $K(z, w)$ is analytic in $D$ for each fixed $w$ in $\Gamma$ and bounded on $D \times \Gamma$, then any $T$ so defined is in $[\beta: \beta]$.

Proof. Let $T$ be in $[\beta: \beta]$. Then, as before, let $L_{z}(f)=T f(z)$ for $z$ fixed in $D$. Since $L_{z}(f)=\int_{\Gamma} f(w) K_{z}(w) d w$, we have $\left\|L_{z}\right\|=\left\|K_{z}\right\|_{L^{\prime}}$
and $\left|L_{z}(f)\right|=|T f(z)| \leqq\|T\|\|f\|$, where $\left\|K_{z}\right\|_{L^{\prime}}$ denotes the usual $L^{1}$ norm of $K_{z}$ on $\Gamma$. Hence $\left\|K_{z}\right\|_{L^{\prime}}=\left\|L_{z}\right\| \leqq\|T\|$ for each $z$ in $D$.

For the converse, define $T f(z)=\int_{\Gamma} f(w) K_{z}(w) d w=$ $\int_{\Gamma} f(w) K(z, w) d w$. Then $T f(z)$ is continuous in $D$ since

$$
\begin{gathered}
T f\left(z_{1}\right)-T f(z)=\int_{\Gamma} f(w)\left[K\left(z_{1}, w\right)-K(z, w)\right] d w \\
=\int_{\Gamma} f(w)\left(z_{1}-z\right)(2 \pi i)^{-1} \int_{\gamma} K(s, w)\left[\left(s-z_{1}\right)(s-z)\right]^{-1} d s d w
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\gamma$ is a circle in $D$ with center $z_{1}$ and containing $z$ in its interior and hence

$$
\left|T f\left(z_{1}\right)-T f(z)\right| \leqq\|f\|\left|z_{1}-z\right| \sup \left|\left(s-z_{1}\right)(s-z)\right|^{-1}\|K\|_{R}
$$

where $\|K\|_{R}$ is the sup of $|K(z, w)|$ taken over $R=D \times \Gamma$. Thus $\left|T f\left(z_{1}\right)-T f(z)\right|$ tends to zero as $z$ approaches $z_{1}$. Then for any triangle $\Delta \quad$ in $D, \int_{\Delta} T f(z)=\int_{\Delta} \int_{\Gamma} f(w) K(z, w) d w=\int_{\Gamma} \int_{\Delta} f(w) K(z, w) d w=$ 0 . By Morera's theorem, $T f(z)$ is analytic in $D$.

Now $T f(z)$ is a bounded function since

$$
|T f(z)| \leqq \int_{\Gamma}|f(w) K(z, w)| d|w| \leqq 2 \pi\left\|K_{z}\right\|_{L^{\prime}}\|f\| \leqq M\|f\|
$$

for all $z$ in $D$. If $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ converges strictly to zero, then $T f_{n}(z)=L_{z}\left(f_{n}\right)$ converges to zero. Hence $\left\{T f_{n}\right\}$ converges pointwise to zero and is uniformly bounded, which implies $\left\{T f_{n}\right\}$ converges strictly to zero.

Note that additional conditions are imposed on $K(z, w)$ in the converse only to ensure that $T f(z)$ is analytic. Any $K(z, w)$ which satisfies the necessary conditions and makes $T f$ analytic will yield a $T$ in [ $\beta: \beta$ ]. It is certainly not necessary that $K(z, w)$ be analytic in $z$ because for any function $h$ in $L^{\prime}(\Gamma), T f(z)=\int_{\Gamma} f(w) h(w) d w=$ $\int_{\Gamma} f(w) K(z, w) d w$ is strictly continuous and $K(z, w)=h(w)$ need only be defined a.e..

We consider now the case when $C$ is some rectifiable curve inside $D$ and $T f(z)=\int_{C} f(w) K(z, w) d w$ with $K(z, w)$ in $L^{1}(C)$ for any $z$. As
in the previous theorem, if $T$ is in $[\beta: \beta]$, then the functions $K_{z}(w)$ are uniformly bounded in $L^{\prime}(C)$ norm. Hence $T$ is in $[\kappa: \sigma$ ], because if $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ converges $\kappa$ to zero, then $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ converges uniformly to zero on $C$ and $\left|T f_{n}(z)\right| \leqq$ (length of $C$ ) $\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{C}\left\|K_{z}\right\|_{L^{\prime}(C)}$.

Now we obtain a representation formula for the operators in [ $\kappa: \sigma$ ]. Given an operator $T$ in $[\kappa: \sigma$ ], there is an $M$ and an $r<1$ such that $\|T f\| \leqq M\|f\|_{r}$ for all $f$ in $B$. Letting $f(z)=z^{k}$, we obtain $\left\|T\left(z^{k}\right)\right\| \leqq M\left\|z^{k}\right\|_{r}=M(r)^{k}$. Hence $\left\|T\left(z^{k}\right)\right\|^{1 / k} \leqq r M^{1 / k}$ and lim sup $\left\|T\left(z^{k}\right)\right\|^{1 / k} \leqq r$.

Theorem 2. If $T$ is in $[\kappa: \sigma$ ], then there exists a function $K(z, w)$ analytic for $|z|<1$ and $\infty>|w|>r_{0}$ for some $r_{0}<1$ and such that if $1>r_{1}>r_{0}$, then there exists an $M$ such that $|K(z, w)| \leqq M$ for all $|z|<1$, $|w| \geqq r_{1}$ and such that

$$
T f(z)=\int_{|w|=r_{1}} f(w) \quad K(z, w) d w, \quad \forall f \in B .
$$

Conversely, using this representation formula, any such $K(z, w)$ yields an operator $T$ in $[\kappa: \sigma]$.

Proof. Explicitly the analyticity condition on the function $K(z, w)$ is that for $w$ fixed with $|w|>r_{0}, K(z, w)$ is an analytic function of $z$ for $z$ in $D$, and for $z$ fixed in $D, K(z, w)$ is an analytic function of $w$ in $\left\{w:|w|>r_{0}\right\}$.

Now let $K(z, w)$ satisfy the conditions of the theorem and put $T f(z)=(2 \pi i)^{-1} \int_{|w|=r_{1}} f(w) K(z, w) d w$. Then $T f(z)$ is analytic for $|z|<$ 1 just as in Theorem 1. Since $|T f(z)| \leqq M r_{1} \cdot\|f\|_{|w|=r_{1}}$, it follows that $T f$ is in $B$. Also $T$ is in $[\kappa: \sigma]$ since $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ converging $\kappa$ to zero implies $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ converges to zero uniformly on $|w|=r_{1}$.

Now assume that $T$ is in $[\kappa: \sigma]$ and let $K(z, w)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(u_{k}(z) / w^{k+1}\right)$ where $T\left(z^{k}\right)=u_{k}$. For fixed $z$ in $D, \lim \sup \left|u_{k}(z)\right|^{1 / k} \leqq \lim \sup \left\|u_{k}\right\|^{1 / k}=$ $r_{0}$ for some real number $r_{0}<1$. Hence $\sup _{z \in D} \limsup \left|u_{k}(z)\right|^{1 / k} \leqq$ $r_{0}$. Hence $K(z, w)$ is analytic for $|w|>r_{0}$ for any fixed $z$ in $D$. Let $r_{1}$ be such that $1>r_{1}>r_{0}$. For large $k,\left\|u_{k}\right\| \leqq\left(r_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{k}$ with $r_{0}+\epsilon<r_{1}<1$ and hence for $|w|=r_{1},|z|<1,|K(z, w)| \leqq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\left(r_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{k} /|w|^{k+1}\right)=$ $1 / r_{1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\left(r_{0}+\epsilon\right) / r_{1}\right)^{k}<\infty$. Now $K(z, w)$ is analytic in $D$ for fixed $\left|w_{0}\right|$ with $\left|w_{0}\right|>r_{0}$ because $\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(u_{k}(z) / w_{0}^{k+1}\right)$ converges uniformly in $D$ to $K\left(z, w_{0}\right)$.

Now put $S f(z)=(2 \pi i)^{-1} \int_{|w|=r_{1}} f(w) K(z, w) d w$. Since $K(z, w)$ satisfies the conditions of the sufficiency part of the theorem, $S$ is in
$|\kappa: \sigma|$. If $f(z)=z^{n}$. then

$$
S f(z)=(2 \pi i)^{-1} \int_{|w|=r_{1}} w^{\prime \prime} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(u_{h}(z) / w^{k+1}\right) d w=u_{n}(z)=T\left(z^{n}\right) .
$$

Hence $S=T$ because they are both in $[\beta: \beta]$ and they agree on the polynomials, a $\beta$ dense subset of $B$.

Now that there is a representation for $T$ in $[\kappa: \sigma$ ] on a curve inside the disk, the curve can be pushed to the boundary.

Theorem 3. A linear operator $T$ is in $[\kappa: \sigma]$ if and only if

$$
T f(z)=\int_{\Gamma} f(w) K(z, w) d w, \quad \forall f \in B
$$

where $K(z, w)$ is analytic for $|w|>r_{0},|z|<1$ for some $r_{0}<1$ and if $1>r_{1}>r_{0}$, then there exists an $M$ such that $|K(z, w)| \leqq M$ for $|z|<1$ and $|w| \geqq r_{1}$.

Proof. Let $K(z, w)$ be given and put $S f(z)=(2 \pi i)^{-1}$ $\int_{|w|=r_{1}} f(w) K(z, w) d w$. Then by Theorem $2, S$ is in $[\kappa: \beta]$. Since $K(z, w)$ is analytic for $|w|>r_{0}$, it can be represented as $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_{k}(z) / w^{k+1}$ where $g_{k}\left(z_{0}\right), k=0,1, \cdots$ is the sequence of coefficients in the series expansion of $K\left(z_{0}, w\right)$. Now $K(z, w)$ is bounded on $D \times \Gamma$ and analytic for $|z|<1$ for any fixed $w_{0}$ with $\left|w_{0}\right|=1$. Hence $K(z, w)$ satisfies the sufficiency conditions of Theorem 1. Let $T f(z)=$ $\int_{\Gamma} f(w) K(z, w) d w$. Then by Theorem $1, T$ is in $[\beta: \beta]$. But

$$
\begin{aligned}
S\left(z^{n}\right) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_{k}(z)(2 \pi i)^{-1} \int_{|w|=r_{1}} w^{n} / w^{k+1} d w \\
& =g_{n}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(z^{n}\right) & =(2 \pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} w^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(g_{k}(z) / w^{k+1}\right) d w \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_{k}(z)(2 \pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} w^{n} / w^{k+1} d w \\
& =g_{n}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $S$ and $T$ agree on the polynomials and both are in $[\beta: \beta]$, they are equal. Hence $T$ is in $[\kappa: \sigma]$.

Let $T$ be in $[\kappa: \sigma]$ and put $K(z, w)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(u_{k}(z) / w^{k+1}\right)$ where $T\left(z^{k}\right)=u_{k}(z)$. Then by Theorem $2, K(z, w)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3 and hence of Theorem 1. Let $S f(z)=(2 \pi i)^{-1}$ $\int_{\mathrm{r}} f(w) K(z, w) d w$. As above it follows that $S=T$.

Recall that if $T$ is an operator in $[\beta: \beta]$, then the operators $T_{r}$ for $0<r<1$ are in $[\kappa: \sigma]$ and $\left\{T_{r}\right\}$ converges uniformly on bounded subsets to $T$. This gives a limit representation for an operator in $[\beta: \beta]$. Are there any non-limiting representations of any operators in $[\beta: \beta]$ other than those in $[\kappa: \sigma]$ ?

Corollary. Let T be in $[\beta: \beta]$. Then

$$
T f(z)=\lim _{r \uparrow} \int_{|w|=(12)(1+1 / r)} f_{r}(w) K(z, w) d w, \quad \forall f \in B
$$

where $K(z, w)=(2 \pi i)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{x}\left(T\left(z^{k}\right) / w^{k+1}\right) d w$.
Proof. Since $T_{r}$ is in $[\kappa: \sigma]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{r} f(z) & =(2 \pi i)^{-1} \int_{|w|=(\mid+r) / 2} f(w) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(T_{r}\left(z^{k}\right) / w^{k+1}\right) d w \\
& =(2 \pi i)^{-1} \int_{|w|=(1+r \mid / 2} f(w) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(T\left(z^{k}\right) r^{k} / w^{k+1}\right) d w \\
& =(2 \pi i)^{-1} \int_{|l|=(1+|/ r| / 2} f_{r}(t) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(T\left(z^{k}\right) / t^{k+1}\right) d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

by letting $w=r t$.
Now we use the integral representation for operators in $[\kappa: \sigma]$ to show that $[\kappa: \sigma]=\left\{T_{r}: T \in[\beta: \beta]\right\}$. This characterization of $[\kappa: \sigma]$ is a useful tool in the study of $[\kappa: \sigma]$ (see [2]).

Theorem 4. $[\kappa: \sigma]=\left\{T_{r}: T \in[\beta: \beta], 0<r<1\right\}$.
Proof. We have to show that if $T$ is in $[\kappa: \sigma]$, then there exists an operator $S$ in $[\kappa: \sigma]$ and an $s<1$ such that $T=S_{s}$. Since $T$ is in $[\kappa: \sigma], \quad T f(z)=(2 \pi i)^{-1} \int_{|w|=r,} f(w) K(z, w) d w \quad$ where $\quad K(z, w)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}$ $\left(T\left(z^{k}\right) / w^{k+1}\right)$ is analytic for $|w|>r_{0}$ and $1>r_{1}>r_{0}$. Let $K_{1}(z, w)=$
$s \sum_{k=0}^{x}\left(T\left(z^{k}\right) /(s w)^{k+1}\right)$ where $s<1$ and $r_{0}<r_{0} / s<1$. Define $S$ by $S f(z)=(2 \pi i)^{-1} \int_{|w|=s 1} f(w) K_{1}(z, w) d w$ where $1>s_{1}>r_{0} / s$. Then $S$ is in [ $\kappa: \sigma$ ] since $K_{1}(z, w)$ is analytic for $|z|<1,|w|>r_{0} / s$ and for $|z|<1$, $|w|=s_{1},\left|K_{1}(z, w)\right| \leqq s\left|\sum_{k=0}^{x}\left(T\left(z^{k}\right) /(s w)^{k+1}\right)\right|=s|K(z, s w)|<M$ since $r_{0}<s_{1} s=s|w|$ Let $\quad f(z)=z^{n}$. Then $\quad S_{s} f(z)=S f_{s}(z)=$ $(2 \pi i)^{-1} \int_{|w|=s \mid}(s w)^{n} s \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(T\left(z^{k}\right) /(s w)^{k+1}\right) d w=T(f)$ and hence $T=S_{s}$.
4. Convergence in $[\beta: \beta]$. In the Corollary to Theorem 3 of the last section it was shown that to any operator $T$ in $[\beta: \beta]$ there corresponds a kernel $K(z, w)$ by which $T$ is determined. Two operators $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ in [ $\beta: \beta$ ] should be close (e.g. $\left\|T_{1}-T_{2}\right\|$ small) if the corresponding kernels $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are close (e.g. $\left\|K_{1}-K_{2}\right\|_{R}$ small for some region $R$ ).

However in relating $\left\|K_{1}-K_{2}\right\|_{R}$ to $\left\|T_{1}-T_{2}\right\|$ it seems that a suitable region $R$ can not be determined. For example if $T_{1}=0$ and $T_{2}=I$, the zero and identity operators respectively, then the kernel $K_{2}(z, w)$ corresponding to $I$ is $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(z^{k} / w^{k+1}\right)$ and

$$
\left\|K_{1}-K_{2}\right\|_{R}=\sup \left\{\left|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(z^{k} / w^{k+1}\right)\right|:|z|<1,|w|>1\right\}=\infty,
$$

where $R=\{(z, w):|z|<1,|w|>1\}$. On any region properly contained in $R$, uniform convergence of a sequence of functions $\left\{K_{n}\right\}$ is related to u.b. and not norm convergence of the corresponding operators $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$. One might be able to use $\left\|K_{1}-K_{2}\right\|_{R}$ where $R=$ $\{(z, w)=|z|<1,|w|>1\}$ if one considered only operators bounded away from $I$ in norm.

Obviously if $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ and $T$ are in $[\beta: \beta]$ and the sequence of corresponding kernels $\left\{K_{n}\right\}$ converges to $K$ uniformly on $\{(z, w):|z|<$ $1,|w|>1\}$, then $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ converges to $T$ in norm.

We will characterize the u.b. sequential convergence of operators in $[\beta: \beta]$ in terms of the corresponding kernels. Although the u.b. topology in $[\beta: \beta]$ is determined by the convergence of nets, the u.b. topology restricted to a norm (equivalently u.b.) bounded subset of [ $\beta: \beta$ ] is determined by sequential convergence [2].

The first step is to describe the u.b. convergence of a sequence of operators in $[\beta: \beta]$ in terms of their associated operators in $[\kappa: \sigma]$.

Let $C$ denote the algebra of functions in $B$ which are uniformly continuous on $D$. Recall that $\left[T_{n}\right]_{r} f=T_{n}\left(f_{r}\right)$ and observe that $T_{r}=T I_{r}$ where $I$ is the identity operator.

Theorem 5. Let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}, n=1,2, \cdots$, and $T$ be linear operators in $[\beta: \beta]$. Then $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ converges uniformly on bounded subsets to $T$ if and
only if $\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}$ converges uniformly on bounded subsets to $T_{r}$ for every $0<r<1$ and there exists an $M$ such that $\left\|T_{n}\right\| \leqq M, n=1,2, \cdots$.

Proof. Let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ converge u.b. to $T$. Then $T_{n} f$ converges strictly to $T f$ for every fixed $f$ in $C$. Hence for fixed $f$ in $C,\left\{T_{n} f\right\}$ is uniformly bounded in norm, because strictly convergent sequences are bounded. By the uniform boundedness principle, the set $\left\{\left\|T_{n}\right\|\right\}$ is uniformly bounded, where $\left\|T_{n}\right\|=\sup \left\{\left\|T_{n} f\right\|: f \in C,\|f\| \leqq 1\right\}$. It follows [2] that this is the norm of $T_{n}$ as an operator on all of $B$.

Now fix $0<r<1$ and let $S$ be a bounded set and $G$ an open set in $(B, \beta)$. Then $\left(\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}-T_{r}\right)(S)=\left(T_{n}-T\right)\left(I_{r}\right)(S)=\left(T_{n}-T\right) S_{r} \subseteq G$ for $n>$ $N$ for some $N$, because $S_{r}=\left\{f_{r}: f \in S\right\}$ is a bounded set and $T_{n}$ converges u.b. to $T$.

For the converse let $G=\left\{g:|g|_{\psi}<3 \epsilon\right\}$ be an open set and $S$ a bounded set in $(B, \beta)$. Let $G_{1}=\left\{g:|g|_{\psi}<\epsilon\right\}$. For $f$ in $S$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}-T_{n}\right) f\right|_{\psi} & =\left\|\psi\left(\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}-T_{n}\right) f\right\| \\
& =\left\|\psi T_{n}\left(I_{r}-I\right) f\right\| \\
& \leqq M\left\|\psi\left(I_{r}-I\right) f\right\| \\
& =M\left|\left(I_{r}-I\right) f\right|_{\psi} \\
& <\epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

for $r \geqq r_{0}$ for some $r_{0}<1$ because $I_{r}$ converges u.b. to $I$. Hence for $r \geqq r_{0},\left(\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}-T_{n}\right) S \subseteq G_{1}$.

Since $T_{r}$ converges u.b. to $T$, there is an $r_{1}$ such that $1>r \geqq r_{1}$ implies $\left(T-T_{r}\right) S \subseteq G_{1}$. Fix $t$ larger than $r_{0}$ and $r_{1}$ and let $N$ be such that $n>N$ implies $\left(T_{t}-\left[T_{n}\right]_{t}\right) S \subseteq G_{1}$. Then for $n>N$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T-T_{n}\right) S & =\left(T-T_{t}\right) S+\left(T_{t}-\left[T_{n}\right]_{t}\right) S+\left(\left[T_{n}\right]_{t}-T_{n}\right) S \\
& \subseteq G_{1}+G_{1}+G_{1} \\
& \subseteq G
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 6. Let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}, n=1,2, \cdots$, and $T$ be in $[\beta: \beta]$. Then $\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}$ converges uniformly on bounded subsets to $T_{r}$ for every $0<r<1$ if and only if the corresponding kernels $K_{n}(z, w)$ converge to $K(z, w)$ uniformly on compact subsets of $D \times\{w:|w|>1\}$ and given $\rho>1$, there exists an $M_{\rho}$ such that $\left|K_{n}(z, w)\right| \leqq M_{\rho}$ for all $n$ and $|z|<1,|w| \geqq \rho>1$.

Proof. Let $\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}$ converge u.b. to $T_{r .}$. Fix $r<1$ and $s<1$. Then it will be shown that $\left\{K_{n}(z, w)\right\}$ converges to $K(z, w)$ uniformly on $R=\{(z, w):|z| \leqq s$ and $|w| \geqq \rho>1 / r\}$.

The operators $\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}$ and $T_{r}$ are maps from $C$ into $B$. As in the previous Theorem it follows that $\left\|\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}\right\|$ is uniformly bounded for $n=1,2, \cdots$.

Given $\epsilon>0$ and $s$ let $\psi$ in $C_{0}[D]$ be 1 on $\{z:|z|<s\}$. There is an $N$ such that for $n>N$ and for all $f$ in the bounded set $\{f:\|f\| \leqq 1\}$, $\left\|\left(\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}-T_{r}\right) f\right\|_{s} \leqq\left|\left(\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}-T_{r}\right) f\right|_{\psi}<\epsilon$ since $\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}$ converges u.b. to $T_{r}$. Thus for $\|f\| \leqq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}-T_{r}\right) f\right\| & \leqq\left\|\psi\left(\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}-T_{r}\right) f\right\| \\
& =\left|\left(\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}-T_{r}\right) f\right|_{\psi} \\
& <\epsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $j=0,1, \cdots$, let $f_{j}(w)=w^{i}, u_{j}=T\left(f_{j}\right)$ and $u_{i, n}=T_{n}\left(f_{j}\right)$. Then $\left[T_{n}\right] f_{j}(z)-T_{r j} f(z)=r^{i} u_{i, n}(z)-r^{i} u_{j}(z)$. Hence $\left\|r^{i}\left[u_{i, n}(z)-u_{j}(z)\right]\right\|_{.}<\epsilon$ for $n>N$ for all $j=0,1, \cdots$. For $j=1,2, \cdots, J$, we have $\left\|u_{j, n}(z)-u_{j}(z)\right\|_{,}<\epsilon / r^{J}$ for $n>N$ since $r^{i} \geqq r^{J}$.

Now $K_{n}(z, w)-K(z, w)=\sum_{k=0}^{x}\left(u_{k, n}(z)-u_{k}(z)\right) r^{k} / w^{k+1} r^{k}$. For $n>$ $N,\left\|r^{k}\left[u_{k, n}(z)-u_{k}(z)\right]\right\|_{s}<\epsilon<1$. Since $r \rho>1$ there is a $J$ so large that $\Sigma_{k=J+1}^{x}(1 / r \rho)^{k}<\epsilon / 2$. Then

$$
\left\|K_{n}(z, w)-K(z, w)\right\|_{R} \leqq\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{J}\left(u_{k \cdot n}(z)-u_{k}(z)\right) / w^{k+1}\right\|_{R}+\epsilon / 2
$$

since $1 /|w r| \leqq 1 / \rho r$. Also for $n>N,\left\|u_{k, n}(z)-u_{k}(z)\right\|_{s}<\epsilon / 2$ for $k=$ $1,2, \cdots, J$. Therefore $\left\|K_{n}-K\right\|_{R}<\epsilon$.

It remains to be shown that given $\rho>1$, there exists a constant $M_{\rho}$ such that $\left|K_{n}(z, w)\right| \leqq M_{\rho}$ for all $|z|<1$ and $|w|>\rho>1$. Given $\rho>1$, fix $0<r<1$ with $r \rho>1$. Since $\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}$ converges u.b. to $T_{r}$ it follows from Theorem 6 that there exists an $M$ with $\left\|\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}\right\| \leqq M$ for all $n=1,2, \cdots$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{n}(z, w) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_{n}\left(z^{k}\right) / w^{k+1} \\
& =r \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^{k} T_{n}\left(z^{k}\right) / r^{k+1} w^{k+1} \\
& \left.=r \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[T_{n}\right]\right]_{r}\left(z^{k}\right) /(w r)^{k+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore for $|z|<1$ and $|w| \geqq \rho$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|K_{n}(z, w)\right| & \leqq r M \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 1 /|w r|^{k+1} \\
& \leqq M r \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(1 /(\rho r))^{k+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last expression is $M_{\rho}$.

For the converse, fix $0<r<1$ and let $\gamma=(1+1 / r) / 2$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}-T_{r}\right) f\right\|_{s} & =\left\|\int_{|w|=\gamma} f_{r}(w)\left(K_{n}(z, w)-K(z, w)\right) d w\right\|_{s} \\
& \leqq\left\|K_{n}(z, w)-K(z, w)\right\|_{R}\|f\|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R=\{(z, w):|z|<s,|w|=(1+1 / r) / 2\}$. This last expression tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence if $S$ is a bounded set, ([ $\left.\left.T_{n}\right]_{r}-T_{r}\right) S$ converges $\kappa$ to zero.

Let $f$ in $B$ satisfy $\|f\| \leqq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left[T_{n}\right]_{r} f(z)\right\| & =\left\|\int_{|w|=\gamma} f_{r}(w) K_{n}(z, w) d w\right\| \\
& \leqq\left\|K_{n}(z, w)\right\|_{R}\|f\| \\
& \leqq M
\end{aligned}
$$

by assumption on the kernels $K_{n}$ where $R=\{(z, w):|z|<1$, $|w|=(1+1 / r) / 2\}$. Hence $\left\|\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}\right\| \leqq M$ for all $n$.

Let $S$ be a bounded set in $(B, \beta)$ and let $G=\left\{g:|g|_{\psi}<\epsilon, \psi \neq 0\right\}$ be an open set in $(B, \beta)$. We have $\left\|\left(\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}-T_{r}\right)\right\| \leqq 2 M$. Let $r^{\prime}$ be such that for $|z|>r^{\prime},|\psi(z)|<\epsilon / 2 M$. Then

$$
\epsilon>\left\|\left(\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}-T_{r}\right) f \psi\right\|_{r^{\prime}<|z|<1}
$$

For $|z| \leqq r^{\prime}$, choose $N$ such that $n>N$ implies

$$
\left\|\left(\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}-T_{r}\right) f\right\|_{r^{\prime}}<\epsilon\|\psi\|^{-1} \text { for all } f \text { in } S
$$

Then $\left\|\left(\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}-T_{r}\right) f \psi\right\|_{r^{\prime}}<\epsilon$ and $\left(\left[T_{n}\right]_{r}-T_{r}\right) f \in G$ for $n>N$ and all $f$ in $S$.
Theorem 5 and Lemma 6 taken together characterize u.b. convergence on bounded sets in $[\beta: \beta]$ in terms of the kernel functions $K(z, w)$.

Theorem 7. Let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}, n=1,2, \cdots$ and $T$ be in $[\beta: \beta]$. Then $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ converges u.b. to $T$ if and only if the corresponding kernels $\left\{K_{n}(z, w)\right\}$ converge uniformly on compact subsets of $D \times\{w:|w|>1\}$ to $K(z, w)$ and for any $\rho>1$, there exists a number $M_{\rho}$ such that $\left|K_{n}(z, w)\right| \leqq M_{\rho}$ for $|z|<1$ and $|w| \geqq \rho>1$.

Corollary. Let $S$ be a norm (equivalently u.b.) bounded subset of $[\beta: \beta]$. Let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}, n=1,2, \cdots$, and $T$ be in $S$ with corresponding
kernels $\left\{K_{n}(z, w)\right\}$ and $K(z, w)$. Then $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ converges u.b. to $T$ if and only if $\left\{K_{n}(z, w)\right\}$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of $D \times$ $\{w:|w|>1\}$ to $K(z, w)$.

Proof. The condition that $\left\{K_{n}(z, w)\right\}$ converges $\kappa$ to $K(z, w)$ on $D \times\{w:|w|>1\}$ is necessary by the above theorem. Let $T$ in $S$ imply $\|T\| \leqq M$. Then it follows that $\left|K_{n}(z, w)\right| \leqq M_{\rho}$ for $|z|<1$ and $|w|>\rho$ and the condition is also sufficient.

On the locally compact Hausdorff space $D \times\{w:|w|>1\}$, a sequence $\left\{K_{n}(z, w)\right\}$ converges strictly to a function $K(z, w)$, [5], if and only if $\left\{K_{n}(z, w)\right\}$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of $D \times$ $\{w:|w|>1\}$ to $K(z, w)$ and $\left|K_{n}(z, w)\right|$ is uniformly bounded on $D \times$ $\{w:|w|>1\}$. The next corollary follows immediately from the previous theorem, but it is not known if the converse holds. See Theorem 8 for a similar result.

Corollary. Let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}, n=1,2, \cdots$ and $T$ be in $[\beta: \beta]$ with corresponding kernels $\left\{K_{n}(z, w)\right\}$ and $K(z, w)$. If $\left\{K_{n}(z, w)\right\}$ converges strictly to $K(z, w)$ on $D \times\{w:|w|>1\}$, then $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ converges u.b. to $T$.
5. Convergence of multipliers. The characterization of u.b. convergence in the last section is applied to the multiplier operators.

Definition. A multiplier on $B$ is a linear operator $T$ such that there exists a sequence $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ with the property that $T\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}\right)=$ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} c_{n} z^{n}$ for every function $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ in $B$. It is known [1] that an operator $T$ is a multiplier from $B$ into $B$ if and only if the sequence $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ is one side of the sequence of Fourier-Stieltjes coefficients of a bounded complex valued regular Borel measure $\mu$ on $\Gamma$ and also $\|\mu\|=$ $\|T\|$. Also if $T$ is a multiplier from $B$ into $B$, then $T$ is in $[\kappa: \kappa$ ], a subalgebra of $[\beta: \beta]$. Let $\hat{\mu}(k)$ denote the $k$ th Fourier-Stieltjes coefficient of the measure $\mu$.

Clearly, if $\left\{T_{n}\right\}, n=1,2, \cdots$ and $T$ are multipliers in $[\beta: \beta]$, and $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ converges in norm to $T$ then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}_{n}(k)=\hat{\mu}(k)$ uniformly in $k$, where $\mu_{n}$ and $\mu$ are the measures associated with $T_{n}$ and $T$ respectively. In other words, the sequence of functions $\left\{\hat{\mu}_{n}\right\}$ defined on $P$, the nonnegative integers, converges uniformly to $\hat{\mu}$ on $P$. One expects then that for u.b. convergence the functions $\left\{\hat{\mu}_{n}\right\}$ will converge strictly to $\hat{\mu}$ on $P$. On the locally compact Hausdorff space $P$, a sequence of functions $\left\{\hat{\mu}_{n}\right\}$ converges strictly to a function $\hat{\mu}$ if and only if $\left\{\hat{\mu}_{n}\right\}$ is uniformly bounded and $\left\{\hat{\mu}_{n}\right\}$ converges uniformly on compact subsets to $\hat{\mu}$ [5], i.e., pointwise on $P$.

Theorem 8. Let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}, n=0,1, \cdots$, and $T$ be multipliers from $B$ into $B$ with associated measures $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ and $\mu$. Then $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ converges u.b. to $T$ if and only if $\left\{\hat{\mu}_{n}\right\}$ converges strictly to $\hat{\mu}$.

Proof. For necessity we must show that there exists an $M$ such that $\left|\hat{\mu}_{n}(k)\right| \leqq M$ for all $n, k=0,1, \cdots$, and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}_{n}(k)=\hat{\mu}(k), k=$ $0,1, \cdots$. Since $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ converges u.b. to $T$ there is an $M$ such that $\left\|T_{n}\right\| \leqq M, n=0,1, \cdots$. Since $\left\|T_{n}\right\|=\left\|\mu_{n}\right\|,\left|\hat{\mu}_{n}(k)\right| \leqq\left\|\mu_{n}\right\| \leqq M$. Let $\hat{\mu}_{n}(k)=c_{n, k}$. Now since $\left\{T_{n}\left(z^{k}\right)\right\}$ converges strictly to $T\left(z^{k}\right)$, we have $\left\{c_{n, k} z^{k}\right\}$ converges strictly to $c_{k} z^{k}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n, k}=c_{k}$.

For the sufficiency part of the proof, let $|z| \leqq s<1$ and $|w| \geqq \rho>$ 1. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|K_{n}(z, w)-K(z, w)\right| & =\left|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(c_{n, k}-c_{k}\right) z^{k} / w^{k+1}\right| \\
& \leqq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|c_{n, k}-c_{k}\right|(s / \rho)^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $k^{\prime}$ be such that $\sum_{k=k^{\prime}}^{\infty}(s / \rho)^{k}<\epsilon / 4 M$ and let $N$ be so large that $n>N$ implies $\left|c_{n, k}-c_{k}\right|<\epsilon \rho / 2(s-\rho)$ for $k=0,1, \cdots, k^{\prime}$. Then for $|z|<s$ and $\quad|w| \geqq \rho, \quad\left|K_{n}(z, w)-K(z, w)\right|<\epsilon$. Also $\quad\left|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(c_{n, k} z^{k} / w^{k+1}\right)\right| \leqq$ $M \rho(\rho-1)^{-1}$ for all $|z|<1$ and $|w| \geqq \rho$.

The multipliers from $B$ into $B$ which are in the algebra $[\beta: \sigma]$ correspond to the absolutely continuous measures on $\Gamma[1]$. Let $\phi_{n}$ in $L^{\prime}(\Gamma)$ correspond to the multiplier $T_{n}$.

Corollary. Let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}, n=1,2, \cdots$ and $T$ be multipliers in $[\beta: \sigma]$. Then $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ converges uniformly on bounded subsets to $T$ if and only if $\left\|\phi_{n}\right\|_{L^{\prime}} \leqq M$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\phi}_{n}(k)=\hat{\phi}(k)$.

Corollary. Let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}, n=1,2, \cdots$ and $T$ be multipliers in $[\beta: \sigma]$. If $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ converges to $\phi$ in $L^{1}$, then $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ converges u.b. to $T$.
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