# COEFFICIENT BOUNDS FOR SOME CLASSES OF STARLIKE FUNCTIONS 

Roger Barnard and John L. Lewis

Let $t$ be given, $1 / 4 \leqq t \leqq \infty$, and let $S(t)$ denote the class of normalized starlike univalent functions $f$ in $|z|<1$ satisfying (i) $|f(z) / z| \geqq t,|z|<1$, if $1 / 4 \leqq t \leqq 1$, (ii) $|f(z) / z 1 \leqq t,|z|<1$, if $1<t \leqq \infty$. If $f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{x} a_{k} z^{k} \in S(t)$ and $n$ is a fixed positive integer, then the authors obtain sharp coefficient bounds for $\left|a_{n}\right|$ when $t$ is sufficiently large or sufficiently near $1 / 4$. In particular $a$ sharp bound is found for $\left|a_{3}\right|$ when $1 / 4 \leqq t \leqq 1$ and $5 \leqq t \leqq \infty$. Also a sharp bound for $\left|a_{4}\right|$ is found when $1 / 4 \leqq t \leqq 1$ or $12.259 \leqq t \leqq \infty$.

1. Introduction. Let $S$ denote the class of starlike univalent functions $f$ in $K=\{z:|z|<1\}$ with the normalization, $f(0)=0, f^{\prime}(0)=1$. Given $t, 1 / 4 \leqq t \leqq \infty$, let $S(t)$ denote the subclass of functions $f \in S$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
|f(z) / z| \geqq t, z \in K, \text { if } 1 / 4 \leqq t \leqq 1,  \tag{1.1}\\
|f(z) / z| \leqq t, z \in K, \text { if } 1<t \leqq \infty . \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $1 / 4<t \leqq 1$, we let $F=F(\cdot, t)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z F^{\prime}(z) / F(z)=\left[1+2\left(2 b^{2}-1\right) z+z^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} /(1-z), z \in K \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leqq b<1$ and $t=\left[(1+b)^{1+b}(1-b)^{1-b}\right]^{-1}$. The function $F=$ $F(\cdot, t)$ defined by (1.3) is in $S(t)$ for $1 / 4<t \leqq 1$, as can be shown by a long but straightforward calculation (see Suffridge [9]). For fixed $t$, $1 / 4<t \leqq 1$, this function maps $K$ onto the complex plane minus a set

$$
\{w:|w| \geqq t, \quad \pi b \leqq \arg w \leqq 2 \pi-\pi b\}
$$

If $1<t<\infty$, we let $F=F(\cdot, t) \in S(t)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F(z)}{\left[1-t^{-1} F(z)\right]^{2}}=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}, z \in K . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known (see Nehari [4, p.224, ex.4]) that the function $F$ maps $K$ onto a domain whose boundary consists of $\{w:|w|=t\}$, and a slit along the negative real axis from $-t$ to $-\lambda$ where $4 \lambda t^{2}=(t+\lambda)^{2}$. If $t=1 / 4$ or $t=\infty$, we let

$$
F(z, 1 / 4)=F(z, \infty)=z /(1-z)^{2}, z \in K
$$

In [2] the authors proved a subordination theorem for some classes of univalent functions. For $S(t)$ this theorem may be stated as follows:

Theorem A. Let $t$ be given, $1 / 4 \leqq t \leqq \infty$. Let $F=F(\cdot, t)$ be as in (1.3) and (1.4). If $f \in S(t)$, then $\log f(z) / z, z \in K$, is subordinate to $\log F(z) / z, \quad z \in K$.

Theorem A implies for a given $\mathrm{t}, 1 / 4 \leqq t \leqq \infty$, that $F=F(\cdot, t)$ solves a number of extremal problems in $S(t)$. Some of these problems were pointed out in [2]. There, however, only general prperties of subordination were used. In this note, for certain values of $t$, we use our specific knowledge of $F$, together with Theorem A, to obfain coefficient bounds for functions $f \in S(t)$. More specifically, we prove

Theorem 1. Let $t$ be given, $1 / 4 \leqq t \leqq \infty$. Let $F(z)=F(z, t)=$ $z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_{k}(t) z^{k}, z \in K$, be as in (1.3) and (1.4). Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$, $z \in K$, be in $S(t)$. If $n$ a positive integer is given ( $n>2$ ), then there exist $\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}$ satisfying $1 / 4<\alpha_{n} \leqq 1,1 \leqq \beta_{n}<\infty$, with the property that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leqq A_{n}(t), \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $1 / 4 \leqq t<\alpha_{n}$ or $\beta_{n}<t \leqq \infty . \quad \alpha_{n}$ and $\beta_{n}$ may be chosen in such a way that equality holds in (1.5) only if $f(z)=\eta^{-1} F(\eta z), z \in K$, for some $\eta,|\eta|=1$. In particular

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|a_{3}\right| \leqq A_{3}(t) \text { if } 1 / 4 \leqq t \leqq 1 \text { or } 5<t \leqq \infty,  \tag{1.6}\\
& \left|a_{4}\right| \leqq A_{4}(t) \text { if } 1 / 4 \leqq t \leqq 1 \text { or } 12.259 \leqq t \leqq \infty . \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Equality holds in (1.6) and (1.7) only if $f(z)=\eta^{-1} F(\eta z), z \in K$, for some $\eta,|\eta|=1$.

Let $f$ and $t$ be as in Theorem 1. We note that the inequality $\left|a_{2}\right| \leqq A_{2}(t), 1 / 4 \leqq t \leqq \infty$, is an easy consequence of Theorem A (see [2]). We also note for $1 \leqq t \leqq e$ that $\left|a_{3}\right| \leqq 1-t^{-2}$, where equality holds for the function $f \in S(t)$ defined by $f(z)=F\left(z^{2}, t^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, z \in K$. This inequality is due to Tammi [10]. The problem of finding a sharp upper bound for $\left|a_{3}\right|$ when $f \in S(t), e<t<5$, is still open. However, Barnard [1] has shown that the function which maximizes $\left|a_{3}\right|$ in $S(t)$ is either $F$ or a function which maps $K$ onto a domain whose boundary consists $\{w:|w|=t\}$ and two radial slits of equal length.

We remark that several authors have considered similar problems in the class $U(t)$ of normalized univalent functions $f$ (i.e., $f(0)=0$,
$f^{\prime}(0)=1$ ) bounded above by $t, 1<t<\infty$. If $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, z \in K$, is in $U(t)$, then Schiffer and Tammi [6] showed that $\left|a_{4}\right| \leqq A_{4}(t)$, for $t \geqq 331 / 3$. If in addition $f$ has real coefficients, then Singh [8] proved that $\left|a_{4}\right| \leqq A_{4}(t)$ for $t \geqq 11$. Moreover, Schiffer and Tammi [7] have proved for each positive integer $n \geqq 2$, that there exists $\delta_{n}, 1<\delta_{n}<\infty$, with the following property: If $f \in U(t)$ and $1<t \leqq \delta_{n}$, then

$$
\left|a_{n}\right| \leqq \frac{2}{n-1}\left(1-t^{1-n}\right)
$$

Here equality holds for $f(z)=F\left(z^{n-1}, t^{n-1}\right)^{1 /(n-1)}, z \in K$, which in fact is in $S(t)$. Hence the above inequality is also sharp for functions in $S(t)$ when $1<t \leqq \delta_{n}$. Finally we remark that Schiffer and Tammi [6] have shown that if suffices to take $\delta_{4} \leqq 34 / 19$.
2. Proof of Theorem 1. Let $G, \omega$, be analytic in $K$ and suppose that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega(0)=0  \tag{2.1}\\
|\omega(z)| \leqq 1, z \in K \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Put $g(z)=G[\omega(z)], z \in K$. Suppose that $G(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k}$, and $g(z)=$ $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}$. Then Rogosinski [5, Thm. VI] proved

Theorem B. Let $n$ be a fixed positive integer. If $c_{n}>0$ and if there exists an analytic function $P$ in $K$ with positive real part satisfying

$$
P(z)=\frac{c_{n}}{2}+c_{n-1} z+c_{n-2} z^{2}+\cdots+c_{1} z^{n-1}+\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} d_{k} z^{k}
$$

for $z \in K$, then $\left|b_{n}\right| \leqq\left|c_{n}\right|$. Equality can occur only if $g(z)=G(\eta z)$ for some $\eta,|\eta|=1$, or if $n>1$ and $P$ has the form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z)=\sum_{i=1}^{J} \lambda_{i}\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon_{i} z}{1-\varepsilon_{i} z}\right), z \in K \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{t}>0,\left|\varepsilon_{\imath}\right|=1,1 \leqq i \leqq J$, and $J \leqq n-1$.
Furthermore, Carathéodory (see Tsuji [11, Ch. 4 §7]) proved
Theorem C. The function $P$ in Theorem Bexists if and only if the $n$ by n matrix

is positive semi definite. If Pexists, then $P$ has the form (2.3) only if the above matrix has determinant zero.

We now use Theorems $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C to prove Theorem 1. Let $t$ be fixed, $1 / 4 \leqq t \leqq \infty$, and $f \in S(t)$. Then Theorem A implies there exists a function $\omega$ satisfying (2.1) and (2.2) for which $f(z) / z=F[\omega(z)] / \omega(z)$, $z \in K$. Hence we may use Theorems B and C with $g(z)=f(z) / z)-1$, $G(z)=(F(z) / z)-1, \quad z \in K$, and $c_{1}=A_{t+1}(t), \quad 1 \leqq i \leqq n-1$, to prove Theorem 1. To do so we shall want some notation.

Let $n$ and $k$ be fixed positive integers satisfying $2 \leqq k \leqq n$. Let $\delta(k, n, t)$ be the $k-1$ by $k-1$ matrix

$$
\delta(k, n, t)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
A_{n}(t) & A_{n-1}(t) & \cdots & A_{n-k+2}(t)  \tag{2.4}\\
A_{n-1}(t) & A_{n}(t) & \cdots & A_{n-k+3}(t) \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
A_{n-k+2}(t) & A_{n-k+3}(t) & \cdots & A_{n}(t)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $|\delta(k, n, t)|$ denote the determinant of. $\delta(k, n, t)$. Then it is well known (see Hohn [3, Thm. 9, 17.3]) that $\delta(n, n, t)$ is positive definite if and only if $|\delta(k, n, t)|>0$ for $2 \leqq k \leqq n$.

We note that $A_{n}(\infty)=A_{n}(1 / 4)=n$ for $n \geqq 2$. Using this fact we obtain that $|\delta(k, n, \infty)|=|\delta(k, n, 1 / 4)|=(2 n+2-k) 2^{k-3}$ for $2 \leqq k \leqq n$ and $n>2$. Since (1.3) and (1.4) imply $A_{n}$ is continuous as a function of $t, 1 / 4 \leqq t \leqq \infty$, it follows that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow x}|\delta(k, n, t)|=|\delta(k, n, \infty)|=\lim _{t \rightarrow 1 / 4}|\delta(k, n, t)|>0
$$

for each positive integer $n>2$ and $2 \leqq k \leqq n$. From this inequality and our previous remark we see that $\delta(n, n, t)$ is positive definite for
sufficiently large $t$ and $t$ near $1 / 4$, say $1 / 4 \leqq t<\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}<t \leqq \infty$. Using Theorems A, B, and C, it follows that (1.5) is true.

To prove (1.6) and (1.7) we make some explicit calculations. The case $t=1$ is trivial since then $S(t)$ consists only of the identity function. First from (1.4) we find for $x=t^{-1}$, and $1<t \leqq \infty$, that

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{2}(t)=2(1-x)  \tag{2.5}\\
& A_{3}(t)=(3-5 x)(1-x) \\
& A_{4}(t)=\left(4+14 x^{2}-16 x\right)(1-x)
\end{align*}
$$

Second if $1 / 4 \leqq t<1$ and $a=2 b^{2}-1$ [ $b$ as in (1.3)], then from (1.3) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{2}(t)=1+a  \tag{2.6}\\
& A_{3}(t)=(1+a)(5+a) / 4 \\
& A_{4}(t)=(1+a)\left(17+6 a+a^{2}\right) / 12
\end{align*}
$$

Here $-1<a \leqq 1$.
To prove (1.6) it suffices, by the previous argument, to show that $A_{2}(t)>0$ and

$$
|\delta(3,3, t)|=A_{3}(t)^{2}-A_{2}(t)^{2}>0
$$

for $5<t<\infty$ or $1 / 4 \leqq t<1$. From (2.5) and (2.6) we see that these inequalities are valid for the above values of $t$. To prove (1.7), we need to show that $\delta(3,4, t)>0, \delta(4,4, t)>0$, for the stipulated values of $t$ in Theorem 1. To do this we consider two cases. If $1<t \leqq \infty$, and $x=1 / t$, then from (2.4) and (2.5) we have
$|\delta(4,4, t)|=(1-x)^{3}\left|\begin{array}{lll}4+14 x^{2}-16 x & 3-5 x & 2 \\ 3-5 x & 4+14 x^{2}-16 x & 3-5 x \\ 2 & 3-5 x & 4+14 x^{2}-16 x\end{array}\right|$
Adding the second row to the first and third rows we get

$$
|\delta(4,4, t)|=(1-x)^{5}\left|\begin{array}{lll}
7(1-2 x) & 1(1 \times 2 x) & 5 \\
3-5 x & 4+14 x^{2}-16 x & 3-5 x \\
5 & 7(1-2 x) & 7(1-2 x)
\end{array}\right|
$$

Evaluating this determinant we obtain

$$
|\delta(4,4, t)|=4(1-x)^{5}(1-7 x)\left[3-47 x+126 x^{2}-98 x^{3}\right]>0
$$

for $12.259 \leqq t \leqq \infty$. It is easily checked that $|\delta(3,4, t)|=$ $A_{4}^{2}(t)-A_{3}^{2}(t)>0$ for $12.259 \leqq t \leqq \infty$. Hence (1.7) is true for $12.259 \leqq$ $t \leqq \infty$.

If $1 / 4 \leqq t<1$, then from $(2.4),(2.6)$, we obtain
$(12)^{3}|\delta(4,4, t)|=(1+a)^{3}\left|\begin{array}{lll}17+6 a+a^{2} & 3(5+a) & 12 \\ 3(5+a) & 17+6 a+a^{2} & 3(5+a) \\ 12 & 3(5+a) & 17+6 a+a^{2}\end{array}\right|$
Subtracting the second row from the first and third rows, we get

$$
(12)^{3}|\delta(4,4, t)|=(1+a)^{5}\left|\begin{array}{lll}
a+2 & -a-2 & -3 \\
3(5+a) & 17+6 a+a^{2} & 3(5+a) \\
-3 & -a-2 & a+2
\end{array}\right|
$$

Adding six times the first and third rows to the second of this determinant, we find that

$$
(12)^{3}|\delta(4,4, t)|=(1+a)^{6}\left|\begin{array}{lll}
a+2 & -a-2 & -3 \\
9 & a-7 & 9 \\
-3 & -a-2 & a+2
\end{array}\right|
$$

Evaluating this determinant we obtain

$$
(12)^{3}|\delta(4,4, t)|=(1+a)^{6}\left(a^{3}+15 a^{2}+93 a+215\right)>0
$$

for $-1<a \leqq 1$. Hence $|\delta(4,4, t)|>0$ for $1 / 4 \leqq t<1$. It is easily checked that $|\delta(3,4, t)|>0$ for $1 / 4 \leqq t<1$. We conclude that (1.7) is true for $1 / 4 \leqq t<1$. The proof of Theorem 1 is now complete.

Finally we remark for $1 / 4 \leqq t<1$ that

$$
48 A_{5}(t)=(1+a)\left(74+38 a+10 a^{2}-2 a^{3}\right)<48 A_{4}(t)
$$

for $t$ near $1, t<1$. It follows that $|\delta(3,5, t)|<0$ for $t$ near $1, t<$ 1. Hence our method does not imply for all $t, 1 / 4 \leqq t \leqq 1$, that

## $\left|a_{5}\right| \leqq A_{5}(t)$. However, it is still possible our method implies that $\alpha_{n}$ in Theorem 1 can be chosen independent of $n$.
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