

## TRANSVERSALS OF LATIN SQUARES AND THEIR GENERALIZATIONS

S. K. STEIN

**The main theme in this paper is the existence of a transversal with many distinct elements in an array more general than a latin square.**

A transversal of a latin square of order  $n$  is any set of  $n$  cells such that no two come from the same column or same row. There has been a good deal of effort spent on establishing the existence of a transversal that has many distinct elements, e.g. [4, 5]. A close inspection of the argument in [5] reveals that the results there apply in a context far more general than that explicitly considered. Indeed, the assumptions that there are no duplications in a row or column can in some cases be dropped.

A variety of conjectures conclude the paper.

1. Definitions. An  $n$ -square is an  $n$  by  $n$  array of  $n^2$  cells in each of which one of the symbols  $1, 2, 3, \dots$  appears. An  $n$ -square in which each symbol from  $1$  to  $n$  appears  $n$  times is called an equi- $n$ -square.

If  $m < n$ , an  $(m, n)$ -rectangle is an  $m$  by  $n$  array of  $mn$  cells in each of which one of the symbols  $1, 2, 3, \dots$  appears. There are  $m$  rows and  $n$  columns.

A transversal of an  $n$ -square or an  $(m, n)$ -rectangle is a set of cells, one from each row and no two from the same column. A partial transversal is a subset of a transversal. A transversal is latin if no two cells have the same symbol. Since a latin transversal need not contain all the symbols in the array, we do not use the traditional term, "complete". A row (or column) of an  $n$ -square is latin if no two of its cells contain the same symbol.

Note that a usual latin square can be described as an equi- $n$ -square for which each row and each column is latin. Observe that a latin square is an equi- $n$ -square.

2. Survey of results. Ryser in [10] conjectured that a latin square of odd order  $n$  has a latin transversal. Koksma [4] proved that a latin square of order  $n$  has a transversal with at least  $(2n + 1)/3$  distinct symbols. Lindner and Perry, in a mimeographed publication [5], proved that the average number of distinct symbols in transversals of a latin  $n$ -square (taken over all transversals) is precisely

$$n\left(1 - \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} - \dots \pm \frac{1}{n!}\right).$$

From this it follows that there is a transversal with at least  $\lfloor(1 - 1/e)n\rfloor = .63n$  elements. Because Koksma's result is stronger, [5] was not formally published.

This paper utilizes the technique of Lindner and Perry, which might be called "existence by averaging", to establish, for instance, that an equi- $n$ -square has a transversal with a least  $\lfloor(1 - 1/e)n\rfloor$  distinct symbols (Corollary 3.3). Koksma's technique, on the other hand, using all his assumptions, does not seem to be easily generalized.

Bruck proved that the Cayley table of a group of odd order has a transversal (namely the main diagonal). This follows from the fact that such a group is the union of cyclic groups of odd order and hence every element is the square of some element. Paige [7] proved that any finite abelian group that is not of the form  $C(2^n) \times H$ , where  $C(2^n)$  is the cyclic group of order  $2^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and  $H$  has odd order, possesses a latin transversal. In [3] Hall generalized this result.

**3 Transversals of  $n$ -squares.** For a subset  $X$  of the  $n^2$  cells of an  $n$ -square, let  $t(X)$  denote the number of transversals that meet  $X$ . This number, examined in the context of determinants of matrices with 0-entries in  $X$ , has been the subject of some study (see Netto ([6], p. 73)). In the case where  $X$  is itself a transversal or a subset of a transversal a formula for  $t(X)$  is known (see [6], [9]). It is given in the following lemma, which is another version of the "hatcheck problem".

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a set of  $q$  cells in an  $n$ -square such that no two lie in the same column or in the same row. Then*

$$t(X) = n!\left(\frac{q}{n} - \binom{q}{2}\frac{1}{n(n-1)} + \binom{q}{3}\frac{1}{n(n-1)(n-2)} - \dots \pm \binom{q}{q}\frac{1}{n(n-1)\dots(n-q+1)}\right).$$

The next lemma implies that a set that is not a partial transversal meets at least as many transversals as does a partial transversal of the same cardinality.

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a set consisting of  $q$  cells,  $q \leq n$ , in an  $n$ -square. Then  $t(X) \geq t(Z)$ , where  $Z$  is a set of  $q$  cells in an  $n$ -*

square that form a partial transversal.

*Proof.* Assume that  $X$  has at least two cells in the same row. (A similar argument applies if some column contains at least two cells of  $X$ .) Let  $c$  be a cell of  $X$  in the row mentioned. Let  $Y$  be the set of  $q$  cells obtained from  $X$  by deleting cell  $c$  and adjoining a cell  $c'$  in a row not meeting  $X$ , but in the same column as  $c$ . Let  $X'$  be the set of cells in  $X$  that are not in the row containing  $c$ . Let  $X'' = X - \{c\}$ . Thus  $X'' \supset X'$ .

Now,  $t(X)$  equals:

the number of transversals that meet  $X''$ , but not  $c$  or  $c'$   
 $+ t(\{c\})$   
 $+ \text{the number of transversals that meet } X'' \text{ and also } c'$

On the other hand,  $t(Y)$  equals:

the number of transversals that meet  $X''$ , but not  $c$  or  $c'$   
 $+ t(\{c'\})$   
 $+ \text{the number of transversals that meet both } X'' \text{ and } c$

To compare these two sums, observe first that the first terms of each are the same and that  $t(\{c\}) = t(\{c'\})$ . Also,

the number of transversals that meet both  $X''$  and  $c$   
 equals

the number of transversals that meet both  $X'$  and  $c$ ,  
 which equals

the number of transversals that meet both  $X'$  and  $c'$ .

Since  $X'' \supset X'$ , it follows by comparison of the third terms of the sums for  $t(X)$  and  $t(Y)$  that  $t(X) \geq t(Y)$ . Repeated application of this argument, at most  $q - 1$  times, establishes the lemma.

The following theorem and its corollary generalizes the result of Lindner and Perry from latin  $n$ -squares to  $n$ -squares.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *In an  $n$ -square in which each symbol  $1, 2, \dots, s$  appears at least  $q$  times,  $q \leq n$ , there is a transversal that contains at least*

$$s \left[ \frac{q}{n} - \binom{q}{2} \frac{1}{n(n-1)} + \binom{q}{3} \frac{1}{n(n-1)(n-2)} - \dots \pm \binom{q}{q} \frac{1}{n(n-1) \dots (n-(q-1))} \right]$$

*distinct symbols.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be the set of ordered pairs  $(t, i)$  where symbol  $i$

is contained in transversal  $t$ . The cardinality of  $U$  is equal to

$$n! \cdot (\text{the average number of distinct symbols in all transversals of the } n\text{-square}).$$

On the other hand, since there are  $s$  symbols,  $U$  has cardinality

$$s \cdot (\text{the average number of transversals that contain a given symbol}).$$

Let  $X_i$  be the set of cells occupied by the symbol  $i$ . Since  $|X_i| \geq q$ ,  $t(X_i)$  is greater than or equal to the number of transversals that meet  $q$  diagonal elements, by Lemma 3.2. Comparison of these two expressions for the cardinality of  $U$  together with Lemma 3.1 establishes the theorem.

The case  $q = n$  is singled out in the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *In an equi- $n$ -square there is a transversal that contains at least*

$$n \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} - \dots \pm \frac{1}{n!} \right)$$

*distinct symbols.*

It is not clear how much Corollary 3.3 can be strengthened. Koksma's argument for  $(2n + 1)/3$  does not apply to equi- $n$ -squares, since it makes use in several places of the assumption that each row and each column is latin. Moreover, Ryser's conjecture is not valid for equi- $n$ -squares, where  $n$  is odd and at least 3. To see this, consider the equi- $n$ -square whose first  $n - 1$  rows each consist of the symbols  $1, 2, \dots, n$  in order, and whose  $n^{\text{th}}$  row is the same set of symbols, in the order  $2, 3, \dots, n, 1$ . It is a simple matter to show that it does not have a latin transversal. Note, incidentally, that each row of this equi- $n$ -square is latin.

The proofs of the next two theorems, being similar to that of Theorem 3.2, are only sketched.

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $n$  be even and at least 4. Let each of  $n^2/2$  symbols appear twice in an  $n$ -square. Then there is a transversal that contains  $n$  distinct symbols.*

*Proof.*

$$n! \cdot (\text{the average number of distinct symbols in a transversal})$$

$$= \frac{n^2}{2} \cdot (\text{the average number of transversals that contain a given symbol}).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & n! \text{ (the average number of distinct symbols in a transversal)} \\ & \geq \frac{n^2}{2} \cdot n! \left( \frac{2}{n} - \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the average number of distinct symbols

$$\geq n - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{n}{n-1}.$$

If  $n \geq 4$ , there is consequently a transversal with  $n$  distinct symbols.

The next theorem is a companion of Theorem 3.4.

**THEOREM 3.5.** *Let  $q$  be greater than 2 and let  $n$  be a positive multiple of  $q$ . Let each of  $n^2/q$  symbols appear  $q$  times in an  $n$ -square. Then some transversal contains more than  $n-q/2$  distinct symbols.*

*Proof.* There is a transversal for which the number of distinct symbols is at least

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{n^2}{q} \left( \frac{q}{n} \frac{1}{n} - \frac{q \cdot q - 1}{1 \cdot 2} \cdot \frac{1}{n(n-1)} + \frac{q \cdot q - 1 \cdot q - 2}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{n-2} \right. \\ & \left. + \dots \frac{q(q-1) \dots 1}{1 \cdot 2 \dots q} \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n-1} \dots \frac{1}{n-q+1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there is one with more than

$$\frac{n^2}{q} \left( \frac{q}{n} - \frac{q(q-1)}{2} \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \right)$$

distinct symbols. Since  $n \geq q$  the theorem follows.

**4. Transversals of  $(m, n)$ -rectangles.** The method used in Section 3 also applies to  $(m, n)$ -rectangles. However, this section will illustrate a different averaging process, much simpler, and only slightly weaker. It employs the notion of a “singular” pair of cells. Two cells in different rows and different columns form a *singular* pair if they contain the same symbol. The method is based on a count of incidences of transversals and singular pairs.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $q$  divide  $mn$  and let each symbol in an  $(m, n)$ -rectangle appear  $q$  times. Then there is a transversal with at most*

$$\frac{m(q-1)}{2(n-1)}$$

*singular pairs.*

*Proof.* Count the set of ordered pairs  $(t, p)$ , where  $t$  is a transversal and  $p$  is a singular pair in  $t$ . Counting in both orders yields

$$\begin{aligned} & n(n-1) \cdots (n-m+1) \text{ (average number of singular} \\ & \text{pairs on a transversal)} \\ & \leq \frac{mn}{q} \cdot \frac{q(q-1)}{2} \cdot \text{(average number of transversals on} \\ & \text{a singular pair)} \\ & \leq \frac{mn}{q} \cdot \frac{q(q-1)}{2} (n-2)(n-3) \cdots (n-m+1). \end{aligned}$$

The theorem follows immediately.

The following corollaries are immediate consequences.

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *If each symbol in an  $(m, n)$ -rectangle appears  $n$  times, then there is a transversal with at least  $m/2$  distinct symbols.*

**COROLLARY 4.3.** *If each symbol in an  $(m, n)$ -rectangle appears  $q$  times and if*

$$\frac{m(q-1)}{2(n-1)} < 1,$$

*then there is a latin transversal.*

A special case of Corollary 4.3 is given by the following.

**COROLLARY 4.4.** *If each symbol in an  $(m, n)$ -rectangle appears  $m$  times, and if*

$$n > \frac{m^2 - m + 2}{2},$$

*then there is a latin transversal.*

The method of Section 3 yields a slightly stronger result, which implies that “ $>$ ” can be replaced by “ $\geq$ ” in Corollary 4.4.

5. **Rows or columns with many distinct symbols.** The “existence by averaging” technique may also be applied to establish the existence of a row or column in an  $n$ -square with “many” distinct symbols.

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Let the cells of an  $n$ -square be occupied by the symbols  $1, 2, \dots, k$ , with  $i$  appearing  $n_i$  times,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Then some row or column contains at least*

$$\frac{1}{n}(\sqrt{n_1} + \sqrt{n_2} + \dots + \sqrt{n_k})$$

*different symbols.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be the set of ordered pairs  $(L, i)$ , where  $L$  is a line (either a row or a column) that contains the symbol  $i$ . Since there are  $2n$  such lines,  $U$  has

$$2n \cdot (\text{average number of distinct symbols in a line}).$$

On the other hand,  $U$  has

$$k \cdot (\text{average number of lines that contain a given symbol}).$$

To evaluate the second average, let  $L(i)$  be the number of lines that contain the symbol  $i$ . Let  $R(i)$  be the number of rows and  $C(i)$  be the number of columns that contain  $i$ . Thus  $L(i) = R(i) + C(i)$ .

Now, the set of cells occupied by  $i$  is contained in the intersection of  $R(i)$  rows and  $C(i)$  columns. Consequently

$$R(i) \times C(i) \geq n_i.$$

It follows that

$$R(i) + C(i) \geq 2\sqrt{n_i},$$

hence that

$$L(i) \geq 2\sqrt{n_i}.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^k L(i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^k 2\sqrt{n_i},$$

from which the theorem follows.

The specialization of Theorem 5.1 to an equi- $n$ -square is described in the next corollary.

**COROLLARY 5.2.** *In an equi- $n$ -square there is a row or a column that contains at least  $\sqrt{n}$  distinct symbols.*

G. D. Chakerian and D. Hickerson have independently shown that Corollary 5.2 is best possible if it is not required the set of cells occupied by a given symbol be topologically connected.

**6. Conjectures.** The following conjectures, some of which are logically related, may suggest directions for further study.

(1) An equi- $n$ -square has a transversal with at least  $n - 1$  distinct symbols.

(2) An  $n$ -square in which each symbol appears at most  $n - 1$  times has a latin transversal. (It is easy to show by induction, or by either averaging method that if each symbol in an  $n$ -square,  $n \geq 3$ , appears at most two times, the  $n$ -square has a latin transversal.)

(3) An  $(n - 1, n)$ -rectangle in which each symbol appears at most  $n$  times has a latin transversal.

(4) A row-latin  $(n - 1, n)$ -square has a latin transversal.

(5) An  $(m, n)$ -rectangle in which each symbol appears at most  $n$  times has a latin transversal.

Note that Conjectures (3) and (5) are equivalent. Moreover, for  $m = 1$ , Conjecture (5) is immediate. For  $m = 2$ , Conjecture (5) is valid with the weaker assumption that each symbol appears at most  $2n - 1$  times.

(6) An  $(n - 1, n)$ -rectangle in which each symbol appears exactly  $n$  times has a latin transversal.

(7) An  $(m, n)$ -rectangle in which each symbol appears at most  $m + 1$  times has a latin transversal.

#### REFERENCES

1. L. D. Baumert, et al, *A combinatorial packing problem*, Computers in Algebra and Number Theory, vol. 4, SIAM/AMS Proceedings, 1971.
2. G. D. Chakerian and S. K. Stein, *On measures of symmetry of convex bodies*, *Canad. J. Math.*, **17** (1965), 497-504.
3. M. Hall, *A combinatorial problem on abelian groups*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **3** (1952), 584-587.
4. K. K. Koksma, *A lower bound for the order of a partial transversal of a Latin square*, *J. Combinatorial Theory*, **7** (1969), 94-95.
5. C. C. Lindner and N. C. Perry, *Transversals of Latin squares*, 1970, Auburn University, mimeographed.
6. E. Netto, *Lehrbuch der Combinatorik*, Chelsea, N.Y.
7. L. J. Paige, *A note on finite abelian groups*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **53** (1947), 590-593.

8. ———, *Complete mappings of finite groups*, Pacific J. Math., **1** (1951), 111-116.
9. H. J. Ryser, *Combinatorial Mathematics*, Wiley, 1963.
10. ———, *Neure Probleme der Kombinatorik in Vorträge über Kombinatorik*, Oberwolfach 24-29 Juli, 1967. Mathematischen Forschungsinstitut, Oberwolfach, 1968.
11. S. K. Stein, *The symmetry function in a convex body*, Pacific J. Math., **6** (1956), 145-148.

Received November 27, 1974. For a general survey of transversals in latin  $n$ -squares see J. Dénes and A. D. Keedwell, *Latin squares and their applications*, Academic Press, 1974. Incidentally, it is mentioned there that the analog of Conjecture (1) has been proposed for latin  $n$ -squares.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS

