### SETS OF p-SPECTRAL SYNTHESIS

### WALTER R. BLOOM

Let G be a Hausdorff locally compact Abelian group,  $\Gamma$  its character group. Certain closed subsets of  $\Gamma$  are introduced, these being closely related to sets of spectral synthesis for  $L^1(G)^{\wedge}$ . Some properties and examples of these sets are discussed, and then a Malliavin-type result is obtained.

In general we follow the notation used in [1]. We shall let  $\lambda$ ,  $\theta$  denote Haar measures on G,  $\Gamma$  respectively, chosen so that Plancherel's theorem holds.

# 1. The definition and some properties of $S_p$ - and $C_p$ -sets.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let  $\Xi$  be a closed subset of  $\Gamma$ . We shall call  $\Xi$  an  $S_p$ -set  $(p \in [1, \infty))$  if, given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $f \in L^1 \cap L^p(G)$  such that  $\hat{f}$  vanishes on  $\Xi$ , there exists  $g \in L^1 \cap L^p(G)$  such that  $\hat{g}$  vanishes on a neighbourhood of  $\Xi$  and  $||f - g||_p < \epsilon$ . If such a g can be found of the form h \* f, where  $h \in L^1(G)$  and  $\hat{h}$  vanishes on a neighbourhood of  $\Xi$ , then  $\Xi$  will be called a  $C_p$ -set. We also define  $S_{\infty}$ - and  $C_{\infty}$ -sets as above, with f, g in  $L^1 \cap C_0(G)$  (rather than  $L^1 \cap L^{\infty}(G)$ ).

Since, by [1], (33.12),  $L^1(G)$  admits a bounded positive approximate identity  $\{u_i\}_{i\in I}$  such that for each  $i\in I$ ,  $u_i\in L^1\cap C_0(G)$  and  $\operatorname{supp}(\hat{u}_i)$  is compact, it follows (see [1], (32.33) (b) and (32.48) (a)) that we can (and shall) assume in Definition 1.1 that  $f, g, h \in L^1 \cap C_0(G)$ , where  $\operatorname{supp}(\hat{f})$  is compact and both  $\operatorname{supp}(\hat{g})$  and  $\operatorname{supp}(\hat{h})$  are compact and disjoint from  $\Xi$   $(p\in [1,\infty])$ .

Clearly every  $C_p$ -set is an  $S_p$ -set. For the case p=1 we just have the familiar S-set and C-set; see [3], 7.2.5 (a) and 7.5.1 respectively.

For  $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$  the spectrum (written  $\Sigma(f)$ ) will be defined as in [1], (40.21). For  $f \in L^{p}(G)$   $(p \in [1, \infty))$ , we define its spectrum by

$$\Sigma(f) = \bigcup \{ \Sigma(\phi * f) : \phi \in C_{00}(G) \}$$

It is easily proved that for  $f \in L^1(G)$ ,  $\Sigma(f) = \operatorname{supp}(\hat{f})$ . Given  $\Xi \subset \Gamma$ , we write

$$L \not \subseteq (G) = \{ f \in L^p(G) \colon \Sigma(f) \subset \Xi \}.$$

We now have the following characterisation of  $S_p$ - and  $C_p$ -sets:

- THEOREM 1.2. Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and suppose  $\Xi$  is a closed subset of  $\Gamma$ . Then
- (a)  $\Xi$  is an  $S_p$ -set if and only if for all  $l \in L_{\Xi}^p(G)$  and for all  $f \in L^1 \cap C_0(G)$  such that  $supp(\hat{f})$  is compact and  $\hat{f}$  vanishes on  $\Xi$ , we have l \* f = 0;
- (b)  $\Xi$  is a  $C_p$ -set if and only if for all  $f \in L^1 \cap C_0(G)$  such that  $\operatorname{supp}(\hat{f})$  is compact and  $\hat{f}$  vanishes on  $\Xi$ , and for all  $l \in L^p(G)$  such that  $l * f \in L^p(G)$ , we have l \* f = 0.

This result is known for the case p = 1 (see [2], Chapter 7, 1.2 and 4.9). The proof is standard, and we shall not include it.

It is easy to adapt the proof of [3], Theorem 7.5.2 to give:

Theorem 1.3. Let  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . Then

- (a) every one-point subset of  $\Gamma$  is a  $C_p$ -set in  $\Gamma$ ;
- (b) finite unions of  $C_p$ -sets in  $\Gamma$  are  $C_p$ -sets in  $\Gamma$ ;
- (c) if the boundary of a closed set  $\Xi$  is a  $C_p$ -set, so is  $\Xi$ ;
- (d) if  $\Xi$  is a closed subset of a closed subgroup  $\Lambda$  of  $\Gamma$ , if  $\partial_{\Lambda}(\Xi)$  is the boundary of  $\Xi$  relative to  $\Lambda$ , and if  $\partial_{\Lambda}(\Xi)$  is a  $C_p$ -set in  $\Gamma$  then  $\Xi$  is also a  $C_p$ -set in  $\Gamma$ ;
  - (e) each closed subgroup of  $\Gamma$  is a  $C_p$ -set in  $\Gamma$ .

For  $p \in [1, 2)$  it is not known whether the notions of  $C_p$ -set and  $S_p$ -set are identical (it appears in Theorem 2.1 that every closed set is a  $C_p$ -set for  $p \ge 2$ ). Furthermore we cannot say whether the union of two  $S_p$ -sets is itself an  $S_p$ -set. We can however obtain two partial results in this direction. Both these results (Theorem 1.4 (a), (b)) are known for the case p = 1 (see [2], Chapter 2, 7.5).

THEOREM 1.4. (a) Suppose  $\Xi = \Xi_1 \cup \Xi_2$ , where  $\Xi_1$  and  $\Xi_2$  are disjoint closed subsets of  $\Gamma$ . Then, for  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\Xi$  is an  $S_p$ -set if and only if both  $\Xi_1$  and  $\Xi_2$  are  $S_p$ -sets.

(b) Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and suppose  $\Xi_1$  is an  $S_p$ -set and  $\Xi_2$  is a  $C_p$ -set. Then  $\Xi = \Xi_1 \cup \Xi_2$  is an  $S_p$ -set.

The final result of this section gives us an inclusion result between the set of  $C_p$ -sets (respectively  $S_p$ -sets) and the set of  $C_q$ -sets (respectively  $S_q$ -sets) for  $1 \le p < q \le \infty$ .

THEOREM 1.5. Let  $1 \le p < q \le \infty$ . Then every  $C_p$ -set (respectively  $S_p$ -set) is a  $C_q$ -set (respectively  $S_q$ -set).

*Proof.* Assume  $\Xi$  is a  $C_p$ -set. Suppose we are given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $f \in L^1 \cap C_0(G)$  with supp $(\hat{f})$  compact and  $\hat{f}$  vanishing on  $\Xi$ . We can find  $h \in L^1 \cap C_0(G)$  such that  $\|f - h * f\|_q < \epsilon/2$ . Since  $\Xi$  is a  $C_p$ -set there exists  $g \in L^1(G)$  such that  $\hat{g}$  has compact support disjoint from  $\Xi$  and  $\|h\|_r \|f - g * f\|_p < \epsilon/2$ , where  $p^{-1} + r^{-1} - q^{-1} = 1$  (with the usual convention for the cases p = 1 and  $q = \infty$ ). Now (see [1], (20.18))

$$||f - h * g * f||_q \le ||f - h * f||_q + ||h||_r ||f - g * f||_p$$
 $< \epsilon.$ 

It remains only to note that  $h * g \in L^1 \cap C_0(G)$  and  $(h * g)^{\wedge}$  has compact support disjoint from  $\Xi$ .

The proof that every  $S_p$ -set is an  $S_q$ -set is similar.

## 2. Examples of $S_p$ - and $C_p$ - sets.

THEOREM 2.1. For  $p \in [2, \infty]$  every closed subset of  $\Gamma$  is a  $C_p$ -set.

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 1.5 we need only prove the theorem for p = 2.

Let  $\Xi$  be a closed subset of  $\Gamma$  and suppose we are given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $f \in L^1 \cap C_0(G)$  with supp $(\hat{f})$  compact,  $\hat{f}$  vanishing on  $\Xi$  and  $||f||_1 \le 1$ . Now  $\Omega = \{\gamma \in \Gamma: \hat{f}(\gamma) \ne 0\}$  is a relatively compact open set, and hence there exists a compact set  $Y \subset \Omega$  such that  $\theta(\Omega \setminus Y) < \epsilon^2$ . Choose an open set  $\nabla$  such that  $Y \subset \nabla \subset \nabla^- \subset \Omega$ , and (see [3], 2.6.1)  $k \in L^1 \cap C_0(G)$  such that  $\xi_Y \le \hat{k} \le \xi_V$ . Then, using Plancherel's theorem,

$$||f - k * f||_2 = \left( \int_{\Omega \setminus Y} |1 - \hat{k}(\gamma)|^2 |\hat{f}(\gamma)|^2 d\theta(\gamma) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$< \theta(\Omega \setminus Y)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$< \epsilon;$$

and clearly,  $\hat{k}$  has compact support disjoint from  $\Xi$ .

Definition 2.2. Let  $\Omega$  be a relatively compact open subset of  $\Gamma$ . We shall call  $\Omega$  a  $\beta$ -symmetry set  $(\beta > 0)$  if there exist nets  $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\{\nabla_i\}_{i \in I}$  such that each  $Y_i$  is compact,  $\{\nabla_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a base of symmetric open neighbourhoods of zero in  $\Gamma$ , partially ordered by

$$\nabla_i < \nabla_j$$
 if and only if  $\nabla_i \supset \nabla_j$ ,

 $(Y_i + 2\nabla_i)^- \subset \Omega$  for each  $i \in I$ , and

$$\lim_{i\in I}\frac{\theta(\Omega\backslash Y_i)^{\beta}}{\theta(\nabla_i)}=0.$$

THEOREM 2.3. Suppose we are given  $\beta > 0$  and a closed subset  $\Xi$  of  $\Gamma$  with the property that for any relatively compact set  $\Upsilon \subset \Xi^c$  there exists a  $\beta$ -symmetry set  $\Omega$  such that  $\Upsilon \subset \Omega \subset \Xi^c$ . Then  $\Xi$  is a  $C_p$ -set for all  $p \ge (2+\beta)^{-1}(2+2\beta)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $p = (2+\beta)^{-1}(2+2\beta)$ . Suppose we are given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $f \in L^1 \cap C_0(G)$ , where  $\operatorname{supp}(\hat{f})$  is compact,  $\hat{f}$  vanishes on  $\Xi$  and  $||f||_1 \le 1$ . Now  $Y = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \hat{f}(\gamma) \ne 0\}$  is a relatively compact open subset of  $\Xi^c$  and hence, by assumption, there exists a relatively compact open set  $\Omega$  such that  $Y \subset \Omega \subset \Xi^c$ , and nets  $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\{\nabla_i\}_{i \in I}$  satisfying the conditions of Definition 2.2. Choose  $i \in I$  such that  $Y_i$  is nonvoid and

$$\left[\frac{\theta(\Omega\backslash Y_i)^{\beta}}{\theta(\nabla_i)}\right]^{\alpha/2} < 2^{-\alpha}\theta(\Omega)^{-\alpha/2}\epsilon,$$

where  $\alpha = (1 + \beta)^{-1}$ . Define  $k_i = \theta(\nabla_i)^{-1} g_i h_i$ , where  $g_i, h_i$  in  $L^2(G)$  are such that  $\hat{g}_i = \xi_{\nabla_i}$  (cf. [3], 2.6.1)  $k_i \in L^1 \cap C_0(G)$ ,  $\xi_{Y_i} \leq \hat{k_i} \leq \xi_{Y_i + 2\nabla_i}$  and

$$||k_i||_1 \leq \left[\frac{\theta(\Upsilon_i + \nabla_i)}{\theta(\nabla_i)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It follows from Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - k_i * f\|_{\rho} &\leq \|f - k_i * f\|_{1}^{\alpha} \|f - k_i * f\|_{2}^{1-\alpha} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{1}^{\alpha} \left[1 + \left[\frac{\theta(Y_i + \nabla_i)}{\theta(\nabla_i)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{\alpha} \theta(\Omega \setminus Y_i)^{(1-\alpha)/2} \\ &\leq 2^{\alpha} \theta(Y_i + \nabla_i)^{\alpha/2} \frac{\theta(\Omega \setminus Y_i)^{(1-\alpha)/2}}{\theta(\nabla_i)^{\alpha/2}} \\ &\leq \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

(recall that  $\alpha = (1+\beta)^{-1}$  and  $p = (2+\beta)^{-1}(2+2\beta) = 2(1+\alpha^{-1})^{-1}$ ). Noting that  $\hat{k}_i$  has compact support disjoint from  $\Xi$  we see that  $\Xi$  is a  $C_p$ -set, and the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.5.

We have two corollaries when G is a Euclidean space.

COROLLARY 2.4. Let  $m \ge 1$  and suppose  $\Xi \subset R^m$  is an open set with the property that for any relatively compact set  $Y \subset R^m$  there exists a number  $\kappa_m$  (=  $\kappa_m(Y)$ ) such that

$$\theta((\partial(\Xi)\cap Y)+\nabla_n) \leq \kappa_m n^{-1}$$

for all  $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ , where  $\partial(\Xi)$  denotes the boundary of  $\Xi$  and

$$\nabla_n = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^m : ||x|| < n^{-1} \}.$$

Then  $\Xi, \Xi^c$  and  $\partial(\Xi)$  are  $C_p$ -sets for all  $p > (2+m)^{-1}(2+2m)$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.3 (c) we need consider only  $\partial(\Xi)$ .

Let Y be any relatively compact open subset of  $\partial(\Xi)^c$ . We shall show that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an  $(m + \epsilon)$ -symmetry set  $\Omega$  such that  $Y \subset \Omega \subset \partial(\Xi)^c$ . Since Y is relatively compact in  $R^m$  there exists an integer  $n_0 > 0$  such that

$$Y \subset \Delta_{n_0} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : ||x|| < n_0\}.$$

For each  $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  define

$$Y_n = (\partial (\Xi) + \nabla_n)^c \cap (\Delta_{n_0} \backslash \Delta_{n_0 - n^{-1}})^c \cap \Delta_{n_0}.$$

Clearly  $Y_n$  is compact and

$$(\Upsilon_n + 2\nabla_{3n})^- \subset \Delta_{n_0} \cap \partial(\Xi)^c$$
.

Putting  $\Omega = \Delta_{n_0} \cap \partial (\Xi)^c$  we have

$$\Omega \backslash \Upsilon_{n} = (\Omega \cap (\partial(\Xi) + \nabla_{n})) \cup (\Omega \cap (\Delta_{n_{0}} \backslash \Delta_{n_{0}-n^{-1}}^{-1})) 
= (\Delta_{n_{0}} \cap \partial(\Xi)^{c} \cap (\partial(\Xi) + \nabla_{n})) \cup (\Delta_{n_{0}} \cap \partial(\Xi)^{c} \cap (\Delta_{n_{0}} \backslash \Delta_{n_{0}-n^{-1}}^{-1})) 
\subset (\Delta_{n_{0}} \cap (\partial(\Xi) + \nabla_{n})) \cup (\Delta_{n_{0}} \backslash \Delta_{n_{0}-n^{-1}}^{-1}) 
\subset (((\Delta_{n_{0}}^{c} + \nabla_{1}) \cap \partial(\Xi)) + \nabla_{n}) \cup (\Delta_{n_{0}} \backslash \Delta_{n_{0}-n^{-1}}^{-1}).$$

Hence, since  $\Delta_{n_0} + \nabla_1$  is relatively compact,

$$\theta(\Omega \setminus \Upsilon_n) \leq \kappa_m (\Delta_{n_0} + \nabla_1) n^{-1} + O(n^{-1}).$$

Using the fact that

$$\theta(\nabla_{3n}) = \kappa'_{m} 3^{-m} n^{-m}$$

for some constant  $\kappa'_m$ , we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\theta(\Omega\backslash Y_n)^{m+\epsilon}}{\theta(\nabla_{3n})}=0,$$

and so  $\Omega$  is an  $(m + \epsilon)$ -symmetry set for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Thus  $\partial(\Xi)$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.3 with  $\beta = m + \epsilon$ , and hence is a  $C_p$ -set for all  $p > (2+m)^{-1}(2+2m)$ .

Corollary 2.5. Let  $m \ge 1$  and put

$$\Xi = \{x \in R^m : ||x|| = 1\}.$$

Then  $\Xi$  is a  $C_p$ -set for all  $p > (2+m)^{-1}(2+2m)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\nabla$  be any relatively compact set in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Then

$$\theta((\Xi \cap \nabla) + \nabla_n) \leq \theta(\Xi + \nabla_n)$$

$$= \kappa'_m((1 + n^{-1})^m - (1 - n^{-1})^m)$$

$$= O(n^{-1}),$$

where  $\kappa'_m$  is a constant. Now apply Corollary 2.4.

REMARK 2.6. For  $m \ge 3$ , Corollary 2.5 gives an example of a  $C_p$ -set  $((2+m)^{-1}(2+2m) which is not an S-set; cf. [3], 7.3.2.$ 

- 3. The failure of certain closed sets to be  $S_p$ -sets. In this section we use a proof along the lines of that of Malliavin's theorem ([3], 7.6.1) to show that every nondiscrete  $\Gamma$  contains a closed set which is not an  $S_p$ -set for any  $p \in [1, 2)$ . As in the proof of [3], Theorem 7.6.1, we first consider the cases:
  - (a)  $\Gamma$  is an infinite compact group;
  - (b)  $\Gamma = R$ .

THEOREM 3.1. Let G be an infinite discrete group. Then there exists a closed set  $\Xi \subset \Gamma$  which is not an  $S_p$ -set for any  $p \in [1, 2)$ .

**Proof.** Using the notation of [3], Theorem 7.8.6 we consider the function  $\phi_1$  on G defined by

$$\phi_1: x \to (D^1 m_x)(\zeta).$$

It is easily proved from [3], 7.6.4 and Theorem 7.8.6 that  $f_0 \in L^1(G)$  and  $\phi_1$  (as above) can be chosen so that  $f_0$  and  $\zeta$  satisfy the hypotheses of [3], 7.6.3 (Theorem) (with  $f = f_0$  and  $\xi = \zeta$ ) and  $\phi_1 \in L^q(G)$  for all q > 2. Having thus chosen  $f_0$  and  $\phi_1$  we shall prove that the closed set  $\Xi = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma : \hat{f}_0(\gamma) = \zeta \}$  is not an  $S_p$ -set for any  $p \in [1, 2)$ .

Let  $p \in [1, 2)$  and put

$$I = \{ f \in L^1(G) : \hat{f}(\Xi) = \{0\} \},$$

 $I_1$  = the closed ideal of  $L^1(G)$  generated by  $f_0 - \zeta \xi_{(0)}$ ,

 $I_2$  = the closed ideal of  $L^1(G)$  generated by  $(f_0 - \zeta \xi_{(0)})^{*2}$ ,

and  $J = \{f \in L^1(G): \hat{f} \text{ vanishes on a neighbourhood of } \Xi\}^-$ .

Clearly

$$\Xi = Z(I) = Z(I_1) = Z(I_2) = Z(J)$$

(where Z(I) denotes the zero set of the ideal I; see [3], 7.1.3). Since I and J are respectively the largest and smallest closed ideals in  $L^1(G)$  having  $\Xi$  as their zero set, we have that  $J \subset I_2 \subset I_1 \subset I$ .

As  $\phi_1 \in L^{p'}(G)$  we can define a continuous linear functional T on  $(L^1(G), \|\cdot\|_p)$  by

$$T(g) = \sum_{x \in G} g(-x)\phi_1(x)$$

(recall that G is discrete and hence  $L^1(G) \subset L^p(G)$ ). By [3], 7.6.3, T annihilates  $I_2$  but not  $I_1$ .

Now suppose that  $\Xi$  is an  $S_p$ -set and let  $h \in L^1 \cap C_0(G) = L^1(G)$  with  $\hat{h}$  vanishing on  $\Xi$ . Then, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $h' \in J$  such that  $\|\hat{h} - h'\|_p < \epsilon$  and hence, since T(h') = 0,  $|T(h)| = |T(h - h')| \le \epsilon \|\phi_1\|_p$ . As this holds for all  $\epsilon > 0$  we must have that T(h) = 0; thus T annihilates I, a contradiction of the fact that T does not annihilate  $I_1 \subset I$ . It follows that  $\Xi$  is not an  $S_p$ -set for any  $p \in [1, 2)$ .

We shall now examine the case when  $\Gamma$  contains an infinite compact open subgroup. We require two lemmas for arbitrary Hausdorff locally compact Abelian groups.

LEMMA 3.2. Let G be a Hausdorff locally compact Abelian group and suppose H is a closed subgroup of G. Then a continuous integrable function f on G is constant on cosets of H if and only if

$$\operatorname{supp}(\hat{f}) \subset A(\Gamma, H)$$

(the annihilator of H in  $\Gamma$ ).

*Proof.* The result follows readily from the property

$$(hf)^{\hat{}}(\gamma) = \gamma(h)\hat{f}(\gamma)$$

for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  (where  $_h f: x \to f(x + h)$ ).

LEMMA 3.3. Let G be a Hausdorff locally compact Abelian group and suppose  $\Lambda$  is an open subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . If  $\Xi$  is a closed subset of  $\Lambda$  which is not an  $S_p$ -set in  $\Lambda$  then  $\Xi$  is not an  $S_p$ -set in  $\Gamma$ .

*Proof.* Put  $H = A(G, \Lambda)$ . By [1], (23.24) (e), H is compact. Furthermore, in view of Theorem 2.1, we can assume that  $p < \infty$ .

Suppose, to the contrary, that  $\Xi$  is an  $S_p$ -set in  $\Gamma$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\dot{f} \in L^1 \cap C_0(G/H)$  such that supp $(\dot{f})$  is compact and  $\dot{f}$  vanishes on  $\Xi$ , put  $f = \dot{f} \circ \pi_H$ , where  $\pi_H$  denotes the natural homomorphism of G onto G/H. Denoting the Haar measures on H, G/H by  $\lambda_H$ ,  $\lambda_{G/H}$  respectively (normalised as in [2], Chapter 3, 3.3 (i) with  $\lambda_H(H) = 1$ ) we have, by [2], Chapter 3, 4.5,

$$||f||_p^p = \int_{G/H} \left\{ \int_H |f(x+y)|^p d\lambda_H(y) \right\} d\lambda_{G/H}(\dot{x})$$

$$= \int_{G/H} \left\{ \int_H |\dot{f} \circ \pi_H(x+y)|^p d\lambda_H(y) \right\} d\lambda_{G/H}(\dot{x})$$

$$= \int_{G/H} |\dot{f}(\dot{x})|^p d\lambda_{G/H}(\dot{x}),$$

that is,

(3.1) 
$$||f||_p = ||\dot{f}||_p.$$

It is easily seen that

$$\dot{f}(\dot{x}) = \int_{H} f(x+y) d\lambda_{H}(y)$$

and, by [2], Chapter 4, 4.3 ((3.1) shows that  $f \in L^{1}(G)$ ),

$$\hat{f}(\gamma) = f(\gamma)$$

for all  $\gamma \in \Lambda$ . Furthermore, since f is constant on cosets of H, Lemma 3.2 shows that  $\operatorname{supp}(\hat{f}) \subset A(\Gamma, H) = \Lambda$ . As  $\operatorname{supp}(\hat{f})$  is assumed to be compact it follows from (3.2) that  $\operatorname{supp}(\hat{f})$  is compact and hence (note that f is continuous) we see that  $f \in C_0(G)$ .

Now  $\hat{f}$  vanishes on  $\Xi \cup \Lambda^c$  and, since by Theorem 1.4 (recall that  $\Lambda^c$  is open and closed)  $\Xi \cup \Lambda^c$  is an  $S_p$ -set, there exists  $g \in L^1 \cap C_0(G)$  such that  $\hat{g}$  has compact support disjoint from  $\Xi \cup \Lambda^c$  and  $\|f - g\|_p < \epsilon$ . By Lemma 3.2 again g is constant on cosets of H and we have the existence of  $\dot{g} \in L^1 \cap C_0(G/H)$  such that  $g = \dot{g} \circ \pi_H(\dot{g} \in C_0(G/H))$  since, by [2], Chapter 3, 1.8 (vii),  $\dot{g}$  is continuous and by (3.2),  $\dot{g}$  has compact support). From (3.1)  $\|\dot{f} - \dot{g}\|_p < \epsilon$ , and (3.2) shows that  $\dot{g}$  vanishes on a

neighbourhood of  $\Xi$ . Hence  $\Xi$  is shown to be an  $S_p$ -set in  $\Lambda$ , contrary to assumption.

COROLLARY 3.4. Let G be a Hausdorff locally compact Abelian group,  $\Gamma$  its character group. If  $\Gamma$  contains an infinite compact open subgroup then there exists a closed subset of  $\Gamma$  which is not an  $S_p$ -set for any  $p \in [1, 2)$ .

*Proof.* Combine Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.3.

Before considering the case  $\Gamma = R$  we need to extend the result in [3], Theorem 2.7.6.

THEOREM 3.5. Suppose  $f \in l^1(Z)$ ,  $\delta \in (0, \pi)$  and  $\hat{f}(\exp(ix)) = 0$  for  $x \in [\pi - \delta, \pi + \delta]$ . Let u be defined on R by

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} \hat{f}(\exp(ix)) & (|x| \le \pi) \\ 0 & (|x| > \pi). \end{cases}$$

Then  $u = \hat{g}$  for some  $g \in L^1(R)$ . Moreover, given  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , there exists a positive number  $\kappa_p(=\kappa_p(\delta))$  such that

$$||f||_p \leq \kappa_p ||g||_p.$$

*Proof.* The first part of Theorem 3.5 is proved in [3], 2.7.6. Let  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . Consider the linear operator T from  $L^1 \cap L^{\infty}(R)$  to  $l^1(Z)$ , defined by

(3.3) 
$$(T(k))(n) = k * \hat{h}(n),$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  is defined as in [3], 2.7.6. The argument at the end of the proof of [3], 2.7.6 shows that there is a constant  $\kappa_1 = \kappa_1(\delta)$  such that  $\|T(k)\|_1 \le \kappa_1 \|k\|_1$ . It is clear from (3.3) that  $\|T(k)\|_{\infty} \le \kappa_2 \|k\|_{\infty}$ , where  $\kappa_2 = \|\hat{h}\|_1$ . By the Riesz-Thorin convexity theorem T is continuous as

$$(L^1 \cap L^{\infty}(R), \|\cdot\|_{p_{\alpha}}) \xrightarrow{T} (l^1(Z), \|\cdot\|_{p_{\alpha}})$$

(recall that  $l^1(Z) \subset l^{\infty}(Z)$ ), where  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $p_{\alpha} = (1 - \alpha)^{-1}$  and  $||T||_{(\alpha)} \le \kappa_1^{1-\alpha} \kappa_2^{\alpha}$ . In particular, choosing  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  such that  $p_{\alpha} = p$  (and  $\alpha = 1$  if  $p = \infty$ ) and noting that  $g \in L^1 \cap L^{\infty}(R)$  and (see [3], 2.7.6, (5))  $f(n) = g * \hat{h}(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$||f||_p \leq \kappa_1^{1-\alpha} \kappa_2^{\alpha} ||g||_p,$$

as required.

THEOREM 3.6. The real line R contains a closed set which is not an  $S_p$ -set for any  $p \in [1, 2)$ .

*Proof.* It appears from Theorem 3.1 that there exists a closed set  $\Xi_1 \subset T$  (the circle group) which is not an  $S_p$ -set for any  $p \in [1, 2)$ . By translation if necessary we can assume that  $-1 \not\in \Xi_1$  and that  $\Xi_1$  is disjoint from  $\Xi_2$  for some closed arc  $\Xi_2 \subset T$  containing -1. Put

$$Y_1 = \{x \in (-\pi, \pi) : \exp(ix) \in \Xi_1\},$$

$$Y_2 = \{x \in (-\pi, \pi) : \exp(ix) \in \Xi_2\} \cup [\pi, \infty) \cup (-\infty, -\pi],$$

$$\Xi = \Xi_1 \cup \Xi_2 \text{ and } Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2.$$

Let  $p \in [1, 2)$  and suppose  $Y_1$  is an  $S_p$ -set. By Theorem 1.4, Y is an  $S_p$ -set. Given  $f \in l^1(Z)$  with  $\hat{f}(\Xi) = \{0\}$  define  $g \in L^1 \cap C_0(R)$  by

$$\hat{g}(x) = \begin{cases} \hat{f}(\exp(ix)) & (|x| \le \pi) \\ 0 & (|x| > \pi) \end{cases}$$

(see Theorem 3.5). Clearly  $\hat{g}$  vanishes on Y and hence, since Y is an  $S_p$ -set, there exists a sequence  $(g_n) \subset L^1 \cap C_0(R)$  such that each  $\hat{g}_n$  vanishes on a neighbourhood of Y and

If, for each  $x \in (-\pi, \pi]$ , we define  $f_n \in l^1(Z)$  by

$$\hat{f}_n(\exp(ix)) = \hat{g}_n(x)$$

(see [3], Theorem 2.7.6) then Theorem 3.5 applied to (3.4) gives  $||f-f_n||_p \to 0$  (note that each  $\hat{f}_n$  vanishes on a neighbourhood of  $\Xi$ ). Hence  $\Xi$  and consequently (see Theorem 1.4)  $\Xi_1$  would be an  $S_p$ -set, contradicting our choice of  $\Xi_1$ . It follows that  $Y_1$  is not an  $S_p$ -set for any  $p \in [1, 2)$ .

We require two lemmas before proving the main result of this section.

LEMMA 3.7. Let G, H be Hausdorff locally compact Abelian groups and suppose  $k \in L^1 \cap C_0(G \times H)$  is such that  $Y = \operatorname{supp}(\hat{k})$  is compact. Then the function  $y \to k(x, y)(x \to k(x, y))$  is integrable over

H for every  $x \in G$  (over G for every  $y \in H$ ). Furthermore the functions

$$\phi_1: x \to \int_H k(x, y) d\lambda_H(y), \quad \phi_2: y \to \int_G k(x, y) d\lambda_G(x)$$

are continuous.

*Proof.* Since k is continuous the function  $y \rightarrow k(x, y)$  is continuous, and hence measurable, for every  $x \in G$ .

Choose  $k_1(k_2)$  in  $L^1 \cap C_0(G)(L^1 \cap C_0(H))$  such that  $\hat{k}_1 = 1$  ( $\hat{k}_2 = 1$ ) on a neighbourhood  $\nabla_1(\nabla_2)$  of  $\Upsilon_G(\Upsilon_H)$ , where  $\Upsilon_G, \Upsilon_H$  are the projections of  $\Upsilon$  onto G, H respectively. If we define h on  $G \times H$  by  $h[(x, y)] = k_1(x)k_2(y)$  then [1], (31.7) (b) shows that  $\hat{h} = 1$  on  $\nabla_1 \times \nabla_2$ , a neighbourhood of  $\Upsilon$ . Thus h \* k = k 1.a.e. and, since h \* k and k are continuous,

$$(3.5) h * k = k.$$

Now the map  $\nu_x$  on  $H \times G \times H$ , defined by

$$\nu_x[(y, s, t)] = h(x - s, y - t)k(s, t),$$

is continuous for every  $x \in G$ . Applying [1], (13.4) to  $|\nu_x|$ , considered as a function on  $H \times (G \times H)$ , it follows that  $\nu_x$  is integrable and, using (3.5), that the function  $y \to k(x, y)$  is integrable over H for every  $x \in G$ . Furthermore, since  $\nu_x$  is integrable on  $H \times (G \times H)$ , we can use (3.5) and [1], (13.8) to deduce that

$$\phi_1(x) = \int_H k_2(y) d\lambda_H(y) \int_{G \times H} k_1(x-s) k(s,t) d\lambda_G \times \lambda_H(s,t).$$

As  $k \in L^1(G \times H)$ ,  $k_2 \in L^1(H)$  and  $k_1$  is uniformly continuous it follows that  $\phi_1$  is continuous.

The other part of the lemma is proved similarly.

Lemma 3.8. Suppose G, H are Hausdorff locally compact Abelian groups, with character groups  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Lambda$  respectively. If  $p \in [1, 2)$  and the closed set  $\Xi' \subset \Gamma$  is not an  $S_p$ -set, then  $\Xi = \Xi' \times \Lambda$  is not an  $S_p$ -set in  $\Gamma \times \Lambda$ .

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that  $\Xi$  is an  $S_p$ -set in  $\Gamma \times \Lambda$ . Let  $f \in L^1 \cap C_0(G)$  with supp $(\hat{f})$  compact and  $\hat{f}$  vanishing on  $\Xi$ , and choose  $g \in L^1 \cap C_0(H)$  such that supp $(\hat{g})$  is compact and  $|g(y)| \ge 1$  for all y in

some neighbourhood V of zero in H. Define h on  $G \times H$  by h[(x, y)] = f(x)g(y). Then, by [1], (31.7) (b), supp( $\hat{h}$ ) is compact and

$$\hat{h}([\gamma_1, \gamma_2]) = \hat{f}(\gamma_1)\hat{g}(\gamma_2) = 0$$

for all  $[\gamma_1, \gamma_2] \in \Xi$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $\Xi$  is assumed to be an  $S_p$ -set we can find  $k \in L^1 \cap C_0(G \times H)$  such that supp $(\hat{k})$  is compact and disjoint from  $\Xi$ , and

$$||h-k||_{p} < \epsilon \lambda_{H}(V)^{1/p}.$$

Thus, for all  $\gamma_1$  in some neighbourhood  $\nabla$  of  $\Xi'$  and for all  $\gamma_2 \in \Lambda$ , we have (see [1], (13.8))

$$\int_{H} \left\{ \int_{G} k(x, y) \bar{\gamma}_{1}(x) d\lambda_{G}(x) \right\} \bar{\gamma}_{2}(y) d\lambda_{H}(y)$$

$$= \int_{G \times H} k(x, y) ([\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}])^{-}(x, y) d\lambda_{G} \times \lambda_{H}(x, y)$$

$$= 0.$$

Since  $\gamma_2 \in \Lambda$  was chosen arbitrarily

$$\int_G k(x, y) \bar{\gamma}_1(x) d\lambda_G(x) = 0 \qquad \lambda_H - \text{a.e.} .$$

Now

$$\psi: (x, y) \rightarrow k(x, y) \bar{\gamma}_1(x)$$

is continuous and integrable, and  $supp(\hat{\psi})$  is compact. Hence, by Lemma 3.7, the function  $\phi$  on H defined by

$$\phi(y) = \int_G \psi(x, y) d\lambda_G(x)$$

is continuous and so, for all  $y \in H$  and  $\gamma_1 \in \nabla$ ,

(3.7) 
$$\int_G k(x, y) \bar{\gamma}_1(x) d\lambda_G(x) = 0.$$

Using (3.6) we see that

$$W = \left\{ y \in V : \int_{G} |h(x, y) - k(x, y)|^{p} d\lambda_{G}(x) < \epsilon^{p} \right\}$$

has the property that  $\lambda_H(V \setminus W) < \lambda_H(V)$ , that is,  $\lambda_H(W) > 0$ . Choose any  $y_0 \in W$  (W is nonempty). Then

(3.8) 
$$\int_{G} |f(x) - g(y_{0})^{-1}k(x, y_{0})|^{p} d\lambda_{G}(x) < \epsilon^{p} |g(y_{0})|^{-1} \leq \epsilon^{p}$$

and so, defining  $f_1 \in L^1 \cap C_0(G)$  by  $f_1(x) = g(y_0)^{-1}k(x, y_0)$ , (3.7) shows that  $\hat{f}_1$  vanishes on  $\nabla$  and, from (3.8),  $||f - f_1||_p < \epsilon$ ; thus we have a contradiction of the assumption that  $\Xi'$  is not an  $S_p$ -set.

THEOREM 3.9. Let G be a Hausdorff noncompact locally compact Abelian group,  $\Gamma$  its character group. Then  $\Gamma$  contains a closed set which is not an  $S_p$ -set for any  $p \in [1, 2)$ .

*Proof.* By [1], (24.30),  $\Gamma$  is topologically isomorphic with  $R^n \times \Gamma_0$ , where  $\Gamma_0$  is a Hausdorff locally compact Abelian group containing a compact open subgroup.

If  $n \ge 1$  then Theorem 3.6 and Lemma 3.8 combine to show that  $R^n \times \Gamma_0$  contains a closed set which is not an  $S_p$ -set for any  $p \in [1, 2)$ .

If n = 0 then  $\Gamma$  contains a compact open subgroup (with is infinite since  $\Gamma$  is nondiscrete) and the result follows from Corollary 3.4.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Edwin Hewitt and Kenneth A. Ross, Abstract Harmonic Analysis, vols. I, II, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Bände 115, 152. Academic Press, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Göttingen, Heidelberg, 1963, 1970.
- 2. Hans Reiter, Classical Harmonic Analysis and Locally Compact Groups, Oxford at the Clarendon Press, 1968.
- 3. Walter Rudin, Fourier Analysis on Groups, Interscience Publishers, John Wiley and Sons, New York, London, 1962; 2nd printing, 1967.

Received September 11, 1974.

THE AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, CANBERRA, ACT, AUSTRALIA

Present address: Murdoch University

Murdoch, Western Australia, Australia