

# A CONSTRUCTION OF THE IDEMPOTENT-SEPARATING CONGRUENCES ON A BISIMPLE ORTHODOX SEMIGROUP

D. R. LATORRE

**For any bisimple orthodox semigroup  $S$  we show how to construct all idempotent-separating congruences on  $S$ , and give an explicit construction for the quotient semigroup of  $S$  modulo such a congruence.**

**Introduction.** For an arbitrary bisimple inverse semigroup  $S$ , Reilly and Clifford [8] have shown (1) how to construct all idempotent-separating congruences on  $S$ , and (2) have obtained an explicit construction for the quotient semigroup of  $S$  modulo such a congruence. Their work is based on the construction of all bisimple inverse semigroups given by Reilly in [7].

The purpose of this article is to extend the above results to the case where the semigroup  $S$  is a bisimple orthodox semigroup, by making use of the elegant construction theorem for all such semigroups due to Clifford [1; 2]. The construction of the idempotent-separating congruences on  $S$  yields an immediate one-to-one correspondence between these congruences and certain pairs  $(V, V')$  of normal subgroups of some of the components used in Clifford's construction of  $S$ . When this correspondence is applied to an abstract bisimple orthodox semigroup, it reduces to the one given by Munn [5] for bisimple regular semigroups.

**1. Preliminaries.** We shall adopt the notation and terminology of [3]. Clifford's construction of any bisimple orthodox semigroup is given in Theorem A of [1; 2]. As this construction is basic for our study, we begin by reviewing it and certain associated concepts.

By a *right Reilly groupoid* we mean a partial groupoid  $R$  satisfying the following four axioms.

- (R1) If  $a, b, c$  are elements of  $R$  such that  $bc$  and  $a(bc)$  are defined, then  $ab$  and  $(ab)c$  are defined, and  $(ab)c = a(bc)$ .
- (R2) If  $a$  is an element of  $R$  such that  $ab$  is defined for some  $b$  in  $R$ , then  $ax$  is defined for all  $x$  in  $R$ .
- (R3) If  $a, b, c$  are elements of  $R$  such that  $ac = bc$ , then  $ax = bx$  for all  $x$  in  $R$ .
- (R4)  $R$  contains at least one left identity element.

By the *core*  $\hat{R}$  of a right Reilly groupoid  $R$  we mean the set of all  $a$  in  $R$  such that  $ab$  is defined for some, hence for all,  $b$  in  $R$ . Now  $\hat{R}$  is a subsemigroup of  $R$  containing all left identities of  $R$ . For any left identity  $e$  of  $R$  let  $H_e$  denote the group of units of the semigroup  $\hat{R}e$ . Define  $a\mathcal{L}b$  ( $a, b$  in  $R$ ) to mean that  $a = xb$  and  $b = ya$  for some  $x, y$  in  $\hat{R}$ . By Proposition 1.2 of [2],  $a\mathcal{L}b$  if and only if  $a = ub$  for some  $u \in H_e$ .

A *left Reilly groupoid*  $L$  and its core  $\hat{L}$  are defined dually. If  $e'$  is a right identity of a left Reilly groupoid  $L$ , let  $H_{e'}$  denote the group of units of the semigroup  $e'\hat{L}$ . The relation  $\mathcal{R}$  on  $L$  is defined dually.

Let  $R[L]$  be a right [left] Reilly groupoid. Elements of  $L$  will be denoted by primed letters. By an *anti-correlation* between  $L$  and  $R$  we mean a subset  $K$  of  $L \times R$  satisfying the following conditions.

- (AC1) The projection of  $K$  into  $L[R]$  is onto  $L[R]$ .
- (AC2)  $(a', a) \in K, (b', b) \in K, (b', a) \in K$  imply  $(a', b) \in K$ .
- (AC3) If  $(a', a) \in K$  then  $a' \in \hat{L}$  if and only if  $a \in \hat{R}$ .
- (AC4) Let  $\hat{K} = K \cap (\hat{L} \times \hat{R})$ . Then  $(a', a) \in \hat{K}, (b', b) \in K$  imply  $(b'a', ab) \in K$ .

The following axioms are needed.

- (AI)  $R[L]$  is a right [left] Reilly groupoid, and  $K$  is an anti-correlation between them;  $e[e']$  is an arbitrary but fixed left [right] identity of  $R[L]$ .

We write  $\kappa = K^{-1} \circ K$  and  $\lambda = K \circ K^{-1}$ , and let  $H_e[H_{e'}]$  be the group of units of  $\hat{R}e[e'\hat{L}]$ .

- (AII)  $a\kappa b c \quad (a, b \in \hat{R}, c \in R) \quad \text{imply} \quad a e \kappa b e; \quad \text{and}$   
 $c'a'\lambda c'b'(a', b' \in \hat{L}, c' \in L) \text{ imply } e'a'\lambda e'b'.$
- (AIII) For  $a' \in L, (a', e) \in K$  if and only if  $a'$  is a right identity of  $L$ ; for  $a \in R, (e', a) \in K$  if and only if  $a$  is a left identity of  $R$ .

Using (AI–III), one can show that  $K$  induces an anti-isomorphism  $u \rightarrow u'$  from  $H_e$  onto  $H_{e'}$ . Here, for  $u \in H_e$ ,  $u'$  denotes the unique element of  $H_{e'}$  such that  $(u', u) \in K$ .

Define an equivalence relation  $\tau$  on  $L \times R$  by

- (1.1)  $(a', b)\tau(c', d)$  if and only if  $c' = a'u'$  and  $d = ub$  for some  $u \in H_e$ .

Let  $(a', b)_\tau$  be the  $\tau$ -class containing  $(a', b)$ . Proposition 3.2 of [2] shows that the subsets  $\hat{L} \times \hat{R}$ ,  $e' \hat{L} \times \hat{R} e$ , and  $K$  of  $L \times R$  are unions of  $\tau$ -classes, and so we write

$$\begin{aligned} T &= (L \times R)/\tau, & \hat{T} &= (\hat{L} \times \hat{R})/\tau, & T^0 &= (e' \hat{L} \times \hat{R} e)/\tau, \\ K_\tau &= K/\tau, & \hat{K}_\tau &= \hat{T} \cap K_\tau, & K_\tau^0 &= T^0 \cap K_\tau, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\hat{K} = K \cap (\hat{L} \times \hat{R})$ . For arbitrary  $(x', y)_\tau$  in  $\hat{T}$  and  $(a', b)$  in  $L \times R$ , Proposition 3.3 of [2] allows us to define

$$(1.2) \quad (x', y)_\tau (a', b) = (a' x', yb)_\tau.$$

We now postulate a binary operation  $(\circ)$  on  $K_\tau$  such that the following axioms hold.

(AIV)  $K_\tau(\circ)$  is a band; and, for all  $(a', a) \in \hat{K}$ ,

$$(e', e)_\tau \circ (a', a)_\tau = (e' a', a)_\tau,$$

$$(a', a)_\tau \circ (e', e)_\tau = (a', ae)_\tau.$$

(AVI) If  $(a', a)_\tau$  and  $(b', b)_\tau$  belong to  $K_\tau^0$  and  $(c', c) \in K$ , then  $[(a', a)_\tau \circ (b', b)_\tau](c', c) = (a', a)_\tau(c', c) \circ (b', b)_\tau(c', c)$ .

(AVII) For each element  $(c', b)$  of  $L \times R$ , there exists an element  $(x', y)_\tau$  of  $\hat{T}$  such that  $(b', b)_\tau \circ (c', c)_\tau = (x', y)_\tau(b', c) = (b' x', yc)_\tau$  for any  $b' \in L$  and  $c \in R$  such that  $(b', b)$  and  $(c', c)$  belong to  $K$ .

REMARK. In [1; 2] an axiom (AV) is also postulated. However, we have shown in [4] that this axiom is a consequence of axioms (AI–IV, VII).

Using these axioms, Proposition 3.5 of [2] shows that an element  $(x', y)_\tau$  satisfying (AVII) exists in  $T^0$ , and this element is uniquely determined by  $b$  and  $c'$ ; denoting it by  $b \star c'$  the equation in (AVII) becomes

$$(1.3) \quad (b', b)_\tau \circ (c', c)_\tau = (b \star c')(b', c).$$

By a *box frame* we mean a system  $(L, e'; R, e; K)$  satisfying axioms (AI–III). By a *banded box frame*  $(L, e'; R, e; K_\tau(\circ))$  we mean a box frame  $(L, e'; R, e; K)$  together with a binary operation  $(\circ)$  on  $K_\tau$  satisfying (AIV–VII).

THEOREM A [1]. Let  $(L, e'; R, e; K_\tau(\circ))$  be a banded box frame, and let  $T = (L \times R)/\tau$ . Define a binary operation  $(\circ)$  on  $T$  by

$$(1.4) \quad (a', b)_\tau \circ (c', d)_\tau = (b \star c')(a', d),$$

where  $b \star c'$  is the element of  $T^0$  defined by (1.3). Then the following hold:

- (i)  $T(\circ)$  is a bisimple orthodox semigroup.
- (ii) The band of idempotents of  $T(\circ)$  is precisely  $K_\tau(\circ)$ .
- (iii) The mapping  $b \rightarrow (e', b)_\tau$  is an isomorphism of  $R$  onto the  $\mathcal{R}$ -class  $R_e$  of  $T(\circ)$  containing the idempotent  $\epsilon = (e', e)_\tau$ , and  $a' \rightarrow (a', e)_\tau$  is an isomorphism of  $L$  onto  $L_e$ .
- (iv) For arbitrary  $a' \in L$  and  $b \in R$ ,  $(a', b) \in K$  if and only if  $(a', e)_\tau$  and  $(e', b)_\tau$  are inverse to each other in  $T(\circ)$ .

Conversely, if  $S$  is a bisimple orthodox semigroup, if  $e$  is an idempotent of  $S$ , and  $K_e$  is the set of all mutually inverse pairs  $(a', a)$  in  $L_e \times R_e$ , then  $K_e$  is an anti-correlation between  $L_e$  and  $R_e$  and  $(L_e, e; R_e, e; K_e)$  is a box frame. Define  $\tau$  on  $L_e \times R_e$  by  $(a', b)\tau(c', d)$  if and only if  $c' = a'u^{-1}$  and  $d = ub$  for some  $u$  in  $H_e$ , and let  $T_e = (L_e \times R_e)/\tau$ ,  $K_\tau = K_e/\tau$ . The mapping  $\theta: T_e \rightarrow S$  defined by  $(a', b)_\tau \theta = a'b$  is a bijection, and maps  $K_\tau$  onto  $E_S$ . The latter enables us to define a binary operation  $(\circ)$  on  $K_\tau$  by

$$(a', a)_\tau \circ (b', b)_\tau = (c', c)_\tau \text{ if and only if } (a'a)(b'b) = c'c.$$

Then  $(L_e, e; R_e, e; K_\tau(\circ))$  is a banded box frame. Defining  $\star: R \times L \rightarrow T^0$  by (1.3), and then  $(\circ)$  on  $T_e$  by (1.4), the above mapping  $\theta$  is an isomorphism of  $(T_e, \circ)$  onto  $S$ .

REMARKS. (1) From the proof of Theorem A in [2], it is clear that the operation  $(\circ)$  on  $T$  extends the band operation  $(\circ)$  on  $K_\tau(\circ)$ .

(2) For purposes in the next section, we reformulate the operation  $(\circ)$  on  $T$  as follows. According to equations (1.4), (1.3), (1.2), and axiom (AVII), for any  $(a', b)_\tau$  and  $(c', d)_\tau$  in  $T$ , we have  $(a', b)_\tau \circ (c', d)_\tau = (a'x', yd)_\tau$ , where  $(x', y)_\tau$  is the unique element in  $T^0$  such that

$$(b', b)_\tau \circ (c', c)_\tau = (b'x', yc)_\tau$$

for any  $b' \in L$  and  $c \in R$  such that  $(b', b)$ ,  $(c', c)$  are in  $K$ .

The following lemma is immediate from (R3); we shall use it and its left-right dual frequently without explicit mention.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let  $e$  be a left identity for a right Reilly groupoid  $R$ . If  $ac = bc$  with  $a, b$  in  $\hat{R}e$  and  $c$  in  $R$ , then  $a = b$ . In particular,  $\hat{R}e$  is right cancellative.*

LEMMA 1.2. *Let  $T(\circ)$  be a bisimple orthodox semigroup constructed from a banded box frame  $(L, e'; R, e; K_\tau(\circ))$  as in Theorem A. Then in  $T(\circ)$*

- (i)  $(a', b)_\tau \mathcal{R}(c', d)_\tau$  if and only if  $a' = c'u'$  for some  $u \in H_e$ ;
- (ii)  $(a', b)_\tau \mathcal{L}(c', d)_\tau$  if and only if  $b = vd$  for some  $v \in H_e$ ;
- (iii)  $(a', b)_\tau \mathcal{K}(c', d)_\tau$  if and only if  $a' = c'u'$  and  $b = vd$  for some  $u, v$  in  $H_e$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $(a', b)_\tau \mathcal{R}(c', d)_\tau$  in  $T(\circ)$ ; then there are elements  $(x', y)_\tau$  and  $(w', z)_\tau$  in  $T$  such that  $(a', b)_\tau = (c', d)_\tau \circ (x', y)_\tau$  and  $(c', d)_\tau = (a', b)_\tau \circ (w', z)_\tau$ . Thus  $(a', b)_\tau = (c'p', qy)_\tau$  for some  $(p', q)_\tau \in T^0 = (e'\hat{L} \times \hat{R}e)/\tau$  and  $(c', d)_\tau = (a'r', sz)_\tau$  for some  $(r', s)_\tau \in T^0$ . Then  $a' = (c'p')u'$  and  $b = u(qy)$ , some  $u \in H_e$ , and  $c' = (a'r')v'$  and  $d = v(sz)$ , some  $v \in H_e$ , so

$$a'e' = a' = c'(p'u') = (a'r'v')(p'u') = a'(r'v'p'u').$$

Since  $e'$  and  $r'v'p'u'$  belong to  $e'\hat{L}$ , the dual of Lemma 1.1 gives  $e' = r'v'p'u'$ , whence  $p'u'$  is a unit in  $H_e$ . Therefore  $a' = c'(p'u')$  with  $p'u' \in H_e$ , as desired.

Conversely, suppose  $(a', b)_\tau, (c', d)_\tau$  belong to  $T(\circ)$  with  $a' = c'u'$  for some  $u \in H_e$  (hence  $u' \in H_e$ ). By (AC1), let  $b' \in L$  such that  $(b', b) \in K$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (a', b)_\tau \circ (b', ud)_\tau &= (b \star b')(a', ud) \\ &= (e', e)_\tau(a', ud) \text{ by Proposition 4.5 of [2]} \\ &= (a'e', eud)_\tau \\ &= (a', ud)_\tau \\ &= (a'(u')^{-1}, d)_\tau \\ &= (c', d)_\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By similarity we conclude that  $(a', b)_\tau \mathcal{R}(c', d)_\tau$  in  $T(\circ)$ . Assertion (ii) is dual to (i), and (iii) is immediate from (i) and (ii).

**2. Idempotent-separating congruences.** Let  $e$  be a left identity of a right Reilly groupoid  $R$ , and let us call a subgroup  $V$  of  $H_e$  a *left normal divisor* of  $\hat{R}e$  provided that  $aV \subseteq Va$  for every  $a$  in  $\hat{R}e$ . Clearly, such a subgroup is a normal subgroup of  $H_e$ . Dually, if  $e'$  is a right identity for a left Reilly groupoid  $L$ , we call a subgroup  $V'$  of  $H_{e'}$  a *right normal divisor* of  $e'\hat{L}$  if  $V'b' \subseteq b'V'$  for every  $b' \in e'\hat{L}$ . These concepts are due to Rees [6].

Let  $T(\circ)$  be a bisimple orthodox semigroup constructed from a banded box frame  $(L, e'; R, e; K_\tau(\circ))$  as in Theorem A. If  $V[V']$  is a left [right] normal divisor of  $\hat{R}e[e'\hat{L}]$  such that the anti-isomorphism from  $H_e$  to  $H_{e'}$  maps  $V$  onto  $V'$ , we call the pair  $(V, V')$  a *linked pair* of

left and right normal divisors of  $\hat{R}e$  and  $e'\hat{L}$ . The following theorem is the analogue of Theorem 2.4 of [8].

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $T(\circ)$  be a bisimple orthodox semigroup constructed from a banded box frame  $(L, e'; R, e; K_\tau(\circ))$  as in Theorem A. Let  $(V, V')$  be a linked pair of left and right normal divisors of  $\hat{R}e$  and  $e'\hat{L}$ . Define a relation  $\rho_{(V, V')}$  on  $T(\circ)$  as follows:*

*$(a', b), \rho_{(V, V')}(c', d)_\tau$  if and only if there are elements  $u, v$  of  $H_e$  such that  $a' = c'u'$ ,  $b = vd$ , and  $u^{-1}v \in V$ . Then  $\rho_{(V, V')}$  is an idempotent-separating congruence on  $T(\circ)$ . Moreover, if  $(V_1, V'_1)$  and  $(V_2, V'_2)$  are two pairs of linked left and right normal divisors of  $\hat{R}e$  and  $e'\hat{L}$ , then  $\rho_{(V_1, V'_1)} \subseteq \rho_{(V_2, V'_2)}$  if and only if  $V_1 \subseteq V_2$ .*

*Conversely, if  $\rho$  is any idempotent-separating congruence on  $T(\circ)$  then there is a linked pair  $(V, V')$  of left and right normal divisors of  $\hat{R}e$  and  $e'\hat{L}$  such that  $\rho = \rho_{(V, V')}$ , namely,  $V = \{v \in H_e : (e', v)_\tau \rho(e', e)_\tau\}$  and  $V' = \{v' \in H_e : (v', e)_\tau \rho(e', e)_\tau\}$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear from Lemma 1.2(iii) that the relation  $\rho_{(V, V')}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{H}$ , so that  $\rho_{(V, V')}$  separates idempotents. It is also clear that  $\rho_{(V, V')}$  is reflexive.

For symmetry, suppose  $(a', b), \rho_{(V, V')}(c', d)_\tau$ , say  $a' = c'u'$  and  $b = vd$  for some  $u, v \in H_e$  with  $u^{-1}v \in V$ . Then  $c' = a'(u')^{-1} = a'(u^{-1})'$ ,  $d = v^{-1}b$ , and since  $V$  is a normal subgroup of  $H_e$ ,  $u^{-1}v \in V$  implies  $uv^{-1} \in V$ .

For transitivity, let  $(a', b), \rho_{(V, V')}(c', d)_\tau$  and  $(c', d), \rho_{(V, V')}(g', f)_\tau$ , say  $a' = c'u'$ ,  $b = vd$ ,  $c' = g'w'$  and  $d = xf$  with  $u, v, w, x \in H_e$  and  $u^{-1}v, w^{-1}x \in V$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} a' &= c'u' = (g'w')u' = g'(w'u') = g'(uw') \quad \text{and} \\ b &= vd = v(xf) = (vx)f \quad \text{with} \end{aligned}$$

$(uw)^{-1}(vx) = w^{-1}(u^{-1}v)w w^{-1}x \in V$  since  $u^{-1}v, w^{-1}x \in V$ . Thus  $\rho_{(V, V')}$  is an equivalence on  $T(\circ)$ .

To see that  $\rho_{(V, V')}$  is a congruence on  $T(\circ)$ , let  $(a', b), \rho_{(V, V')}(c', d)_\tau$ , say  $a' = c'u'$  and  $b = vd$  with  $u, v \in H_e$ ,  $u^{-1}v \in V$ . Let  $(x', y)_\tau$  be arbitrary in  $T(\circ)$ ; for right compatibility we must show  $(a', b)_\tau \circ (x', y)_\tau \rho_{(V, V')}(c', d)_\tau \circ (x', y)_\tau$ . Now  $(a, b)_\tau \circ (x', y)_\tau = (a'p', qy)_\tau$ , where  $(p', q)_\tau$  is the unique element of  $T^0 = (e'\hat{L} \times \hat{R}e)/\tau$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad (b', b)_\tau \circ (x', x)_\tau = (b'p', qx)_\tau$$

for any  $b' \in L$  and  $x \in R$  such that  $(b', b)$  and  $(x', x)$  are in  $K$ .

Likewise,  $(c', d)_\tau \circ (x', y)_\tau = (c'r', sy)_\tau$ , where  $(r', s)_\tau$  is the unique element of  $T^0$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad (d', d)_\tau \circ (x', x)_\tau = (d' r', s x)_\tau$$

for any  $d' \in L$  and  $x \in R$  such that  $(d', d)$  and  $(x', x)$  are in  $K$ .

By (AC1), choose  $d' \in L$  and  $x \in R$  such that  $(d', d), (x', x)$  are in  $K$ . Since  $(d', d) \in K$  and  $(v', v) \in \hat{K}$ , (AC4) implies  $(d' v', v d) \in K$ , i.e.,  $(d' v', b) \in K$ . Thus from (2.1) we have

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (d' v'; b)_\tau \circ (x', x)_\tau &= (d' v' p', q x)_\tau, \quad \text{i.e.,} \\ (d' v', v d)_\tau \circ (x', x)_\tau &= (d' v' p', q x)_\tau, \quad \text{so that} \\ (d', d)_\tau \circ (x', x)_\tau &= (d' v' p', q x)_\tau. \end{aligned} \quad \text{Comparing}$$

(2.3) with (2.2), the uniqueness of  $(r', s)_\tau$  in  $T^0$  forces  $(r', s)_\tau = (v' p', q)_\tau$ , [note that  $v' \in V' \subseteq H_e \subseteq e' \hat{L}$ , and  $p' \in e' \hat{L}$ , implies  $v' p' \in e' \hat{L}$ ; and  $q \in \hat{R}e$ , so that  $(v' p', q)_\tau \in (e' \hat{L} \times \hat{R}e)/\tau = T^0$ ].

From  $(r', s)_\tau = (v' p', q)_\tau$ , there exists  $\alpha \in H_e$  such that  $q = \alpha s$  and  $v' p' = r' \alpha'$ . Then  $p' = (v')^{-1} r' \alpha' = (v^{-1})' r' \alpha'$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} a' p' (\alpha')^{-1} &= a' [(v^{-1})' r' \alpha'] (\alpha')^{-1} \\ &= a' (v^{-1})' r' \\ &= c' u' (v^{-1})' r' \\ &= c' (v^{-1} u)' r', \end{aligned}$$

and  $u^{-1} v \in V$  implies  $v^{-1} u \in V$ , whence  $(v^{-1} u)' \in V'$ . Since  $r' \in e' \hat{L}$  and  $V'$  is a right normal divisor of  $e' \hat{L}$ ,  $V' r' \subseteq r' V'$ . Thus  $(v^{-1} u)' r' = r' z'$ , some  $z' \in V'$  (so  $z \in V$ ). Consequently,  $a' p' (\alpha')^{-1} = c' (v^{-1} u)' r' = c' r' z'$  and so  $a' p' = (c' r' z') \alpha' = (c' r') (\alpha z')$  with  $\alpha z \in H_e$  (since  $\alpha \in H_e$  and  $z \in V \subseteq H_e$ ).

Then  $a' p' = (c' r') (\alpha z')$  with  $\alpha z \in H_e$ , and  $q y = (\alpha s) y = \alpha (s y)$  with  $\alpha \in H_e$ , and  $(\alpha z)^{-1} \alpha = z^{-1} \alpha^{-1} \alpha = z^{-1} \in V$ . Therefore  $(a' p', q y)_\tau$ ,  $\rho_{(V, V')}(c' r', s y)_\tau$  and  $\rho_{(V, V')}$  is right compatible; left compatibility is dual.

If  $(V_1, V'_1)$  and  $(V_2, V'_2)$  are two pairs of linked left and right normal divisors of  $\hat{R}e$  and  $e' \hat{L}$ , the definitions of  $\rho_{(V_1, V'_1)}$  make it clear that if  $V_1 \subseteq V_2$  (and hence  $V'_1 \subseteq V'_2$ ) then  $\rho_{(V_1, V'_1)} \subseteq \rho_{(V_2, V'_2)}$ . The converse is evident since, for any linked pair  $(V, V')$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \{v \in H_e : (e', v)_\tau, \rho_{(V, V')}(e', e)_\tau\}, \quad \text{and} \\ V' &= \{v' \in H_e : (v', e)_\tau, \rho_{(V, V')}(e', e)_\tau\}. \end{aligned}$$

Turning to the converse assertion of the theorem, let  $\rho$  be any idempotent-separating congruence on  $T(\circ)$ , and let  $N$  be the  $\rho$ -class containing  $\epsilon = (e', e)_\tau$ . Since  $\rho \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  in  $T(\circ)$ ,  $N \subseteq H_e = R_e \cap L_e$ , and by Theorem A(iii),

$$R_\epsilon = \{(e', b)_\tau : b \in R\} \quad \text{and} \\ L_\epsilon = \{(a', e)_\tau : a' \in L\}.$$

For any  $x \in N$ ,  $x \in R_\epsilon$  implies  $x = (e', b)_\tau$  for some  $b \in R$ ; but  $x \in L_\epsilon$  implies  $(e', b)_\tau \mathcal{L}(e', e)_\tau$ , whence Lemma 1.2(ii) gives  $b = ue = u$ , some  $u \in H_\epsilon$ . Thus any  $x \in N$  can be written as

$$x = (e', u)_\tau = ((u^{-1})', e)_\tau \quad \text{some } u \in H_\epsilon.$$

Let  $V = \{v \in H_\epsilon : (e', v)_\tau \text{ is in } N\}$  and  $V' = \{v' \in H_\epsilon : (v', e)_\tau \text{ is in } N\}$ .

Then  $V[V']$  is a subgroup of  $H_\epsilon[H_\epsilon]$ , and we shall show that  $(V, V')$  is a linked pair of left and right normal divisors of  $\hat{R}e$  and  $e'\hat{L}$  such that  $\rho = \rho_{(V, V')}$ .

To see that the anti-isomorphism  $u \rightarrow u'$  from  $H_\epsilon$  to  $H_{\epsilon'}$  maps  $V$  onto  $V'$ , let  $v \in V$ . Then  $(e', v)_\tau \in N$ , and

$$(e', v)_\tau = [e'(v^{-1})', v^{-1}v]_\tau = [(v^{-1})', e]_\tau,$$

implies  $(v^{-1})' \in V'$ . Since  $(v^{-1})' = (v')^{-1}$  and  $V'$  is a group, we have  $v' \in V'$ . Thus  $u \rightarrow u'$  maps  $V$  into  $V'$ . On the other hand, if  $v' \in V'$  then  $(v', e)_\tau \in N$ , so  $(v', e)_\tau = [v'(v^{-1})', v^{-1}e]_\tau = (e', v^{-1})_\tau$  shows  $v^{-1}$ , and hence  $v$ , is in  $V$ . Thus  $V$  maps onto  $V'$ .

To see that  $V$  is a left normal divisor of  $\hat{R}e$ , first note that by Lemma 3 of [5],  $N$  is a left normal divisor of

$$P_\epsilon = \{x \text{ in } R_\epsilon : x \circ \epsilon = x\} \\ = \{(e', b)_\tau : b \in R \text{ and } (e', b)_\tau \circ (e', e)_\tau = (e', b)_\tau\}$$

and a right normal divisor of

$$Q_\epsilon = \{x \text{ in } L_\epsilon : \epsilon \circ x = x\} \\ = \{(a', e)_\tau : a' \in L \text{ and } (e', e)_\tau \circ (a', e)_\tau = (a', e)_\tau\}.$$

Since the isomorphism  $a \rightarrow (e', a)_\tau$  of  $R$  onto  $R_\epsilon$  carries  $\hat{R}e$  onto  $P_\epsilon$  and  $V$  onto  $N$ , it follows that  $V$  is a left normal divisor of  $\hat{R}e$ . Similarly, the isomorphism  $a' \rightarrow (a', e)_\tau$  of  $L$  onto  $L_\epsilon$  carries  $e'\hat{L}$  onto  $Q_\epsilon$  and  $V'$  onto  $N$ , so  $V'$  is a right normal divisor of  $e'\hat{L}$ .

Before proceeding to show that  $\rho = \rho_{(V, V')}$ , we note a lemma and corollary which will shorten our work.



LEMMA 2.2. If  $(b', b) \in K$  then  $(a', b)_\tau \circ (b', c)_\tau = (a', c)_\tau$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} (a', b)_\tau \circ (b', c)_\tau &= (b \star b')(a', c)_\tau = (e', e)_\tau (a', c) \\ &= (a' e', ec)_\tau = (a', c)_\tau. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 2.3. If  $u, v \in H_e$ , and  $(c', c)$  and  $(d', d)$  are in  $K$  then

$$(a', c)_\tau \circ (c' u', vd)_\tau \circ (d', b)_\tau = (a', u^{-1}vb)_\tau.$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} (a', c)_\tau \circ (c' u', vd)_\tau \circ (d', b)_\tau &= (a', c)_\tau \circ (c', u^{-1}vd)_\tau \circ (d', b)_\tau \\ &= (a', u^{-1}vd)_\tau \circ (d', b)_\tau \\ &= (a'(v^{-1}u)', d)_\tau \circ (d', b)_\tau \\ &= (a'(v^{-1}u)', b)_\tau \\ &= (a', u^{-1}vb)_\tau. \end{aligned}$$

To see that  $\rho = \rho_{(V, V)}$ , let  $(a', b)_\tau, \rho_{(V, V)}(c', d)_\tau$ , say  $a' = c' u'$ ,  $b = vd$ ,  $u, v \in H_e$ , and  $u^{-1}v \in V$ . Then  $(e', u^{-1}v)_\tau \in N$ , so  $(e', u^{-1}v)_\tau, \rho(e', e)_\tau$ . Therefore

$$(e', u^{-1}v)_\tau \circ (e', d)_\tau, \rho(e', e)_\tau \circ (e', d)_\tau,$$

that is,  $(e', u^{-1}vd)_\tau, \rho(e', d)_\tau$ . But then

$$(c', e)_\tau \circ (e', u^{-1}vd)_\tau, \rho(c', e)_\tau \circ (e', d)_\tau,$$

that is,  $(c', u^{-1}vd)_\tau, \rho(c', d)_\tau$ . But  $(c', u^{-1}vd)_\tau = (c' u', vd)_\tau = (a', b)_\tau$ , whence  $(a', b)_\tau, \rho(c', d)_\tau$  and  $\rho_{(V, V)} \subseteq \rho$ .

Conversely, let  $(a', b)_\tau, \rho(c', d)_\tau$ . Since  $\rho \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ , Lemma 1.2(iii) says  $a' = c' u'$  and  $b = vd$  for some  $u, v \in H_e$ . Then  $(a', b)_\tau = (c' u', vd)_\tau$ , so that  $(c' u', vd)_\tau, \rho(c', d)_\tau$ . By (AC1) let  $c \in R$  and  $d' \in L$  such that  $(c', c)$  and  $(d', d)$  are in  $K$ . Then

$$(e' c)_\tau \circ (c' u', vd)_\tau \circ (d', e)_\tau, \rho(e', c)_\tau \circ (c', d)_\tau \circ (d', e)_\tau.$$

Applying Corollary 2.3 to both sides of this last relation we obtain

$$(e', u^{-1}v)_\tau, \rho(e', e)_\tau.$$

This puts  $u^{-1}v \in V$ , and so  $\rho \subseteq \rho_{(V, V')}$ . The proof is complete.

As an immediate corollary we obtain

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *Let  $T(\circ)$  be a bisimple orthodox semigroup constructed from a banded box frame  $(L, e'; R, e; K_\tau(\circ))$  as in Theorem A. There is a one-to-one, inclusion preserving correspondence between the idempotent-separating congruences on  $T(\circ)$  and the linked pairs  $(V, V')$  of left and right normal divisors of  $\hat{R}e$  and  $e'\hat{L}$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *Let  $T(\circ)$  be as in Corollary 2.4. Then  $\mathcal{H}$  is a congruence on  $T(\circ)$  if and only if  $(H_e, H_{e'})$  is a linked pair of left and right normal divisors of  $\hat{R}e$  and  $e'\hat{L}$ .*

**REMARK.** Let  $S$  be any bisimple orthodox semigroup, and  $e$  any idempotent in  $S$ . By Theorem A,  $S \approx T_e$  where  $T_e$  is constructed from the box frame  $(L_e, e; R_e, e; K_e)$  as in Theorem A. Now by definition,  $\hat{R}_e = \{a \in R_e : ae \in R_e\}$  and clearly  $\hat{R}_e e = \{x \in R_e : xe = x\} = R_e \cap eSe = P_e$ . Similarly,  $e\hat{L}_e = \{x \in L_e : ex = x\} = L_e \cap eSe = Q_e$ . Since  $H_e$  is the group of units of  $eSe$ ,  $H_e$  is then the group of units of  $\hat{R}_e e = P_e$  and of  $e\hat{L}_e = Q_e$ . Thus the anti-isomorphism of Proposition 3.1 in [2] is the mapping  $u \rightarrow u^{-1}$  on  $H_e$ .

Suppose we identify  $S$  with  $T_e$ . Then if  $(V, V')$  is a linked pair of left and right normal divisors of  $\hat{R}_e e$  and  $e\hat{L}_e$ , we have  $V' = V^{-1} = V$ , so that  $V$  is a subgroup of  $H_e$  satisfying (1)  $aV \subseteq Va$  for every  $a$  in  $\hat{R}_e e = P_e$ , and (2)  $Vb \subseteq bV$  for every  $b$  in  $e\hat{L}_e = Q_e$ . Thus  $V$  is a subgroup of  $H_e$  which is a left normal divisor of  $P_e$  and a right normal divisor of  $Q_e$ .

So the one-to-one correspondence in Corollary 2.4 is just the one stated in Munn's theorem [5].

**3. A construction of the quotient semigroup.** For any bisimple orthodox semigroup  $T$  and any idempotent-separating congruence  $\rho$  on  $T$ ,  $T/\rho$  is also a bisimple orthodox semigroup, and so the converse half of Theorem A gives a construction for  $T/\rho$  in terms of a banded box-frame whose components are internal to  $T/\rho$ . In this section we show how Theorem A may be used to describe a construction of  $T/\rho$  in terms involving the original box-frame used to construct  $T$ .

Recall from [1] that by a congruence on a right Reilly groupoid  $R$  we mean an equivalence relation  $\rho$  on  $R$  satisfying the following conditions.

(CR1)  $\hat{R}$  is a union of  $\rho$ -classes.

(CR2) If  $(a, b) \in \rho \cap (\hat{R} \times \hat{R})$  and  $c \in R$ , then  $(ac, bc) \in \rho$ .

(CR3) If  $(a, b) \in \rho$  and  $c \in \hat{R}$ , then  $(ca, cb) \in \rho$ .

A congruence on a left Reilly groupoid is defined dually. The following lemma generalizes Lemma 2.2 of [8] to right Reilly groupoids.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $R$  be a right Reilly groupoid,  $e$  a fixed left identity for  $R$ , and  $V$  a left normal divisor of  $\hat{R}e$ . Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \sigma_v = \{(a, b) \in R \times R : a = ub, \text{ some } u \in V\}$$

*is a congruence on  $R$  such that  $\sigma_v \subseteq \mathcal{L}$  and (R3) holds for  $R/\sigma_v$ .*

*Conversely, if  $\sigma$  is a congruence on  $R$  such that  $\sigma \subseteq \mathcal{L}$  and (R3) holds for  $R/\sigma$ , then  $\sigma = \sigma_v$  where  $V = e\sigma$ , and  $V$  is a left normal divisor of  $\hat{R}e$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $\sigma_v$  is an equivalence relation on  $R$ . For (CR1), suppose  $a\sigma_v b$  with  $a \in \hat{R}$ . Then  $b = ua$ , some  $u \in V$ . Since  $a, u \in \hat{R}$ , so is  $b = ua$ , whence it follows that  $\hat{R}$  is a union of  $\sigma_v$ -classes.

To show (CR2), let  $a\sigma_v b$  with  $a, b \in \hat{R}$  and let  $c \in R$ . Then  $a = ub$ , some  $u \in V$ , so that  $ac = (ub)c = u(bc)$  and  $a\sigma_v bc$ .

Turning to (CR3), let  $a\sigma_v b$  and  $c \in \hat{R}$ , say  $a = ub$ , some  $u \in V$ . Now  $ce$  lies in  $\hat{R}e$ , so by left normality,  $(ce)u = v(ce)$  for some  $v \in V$ . Then  $ca = c(ea) = (ce)a = (ce)(ub) = vceb = v(cb)$ , and so  $ca\sigma_v cb$ . Thus  $\sigma_v$  is a congruence on  $R$ , and  $\sigma_v \subseteq \mathcal{L}$  is clear.

As noted on p. 15 of [2],  $R/\sigma_v$  becomes a partial groupoid satisfying (R1), (R2), and (R4) if we define  $(a\sigma_v)(b\sigma_v) = (ab)\sigma_v$  if  $a \in \hat{R}$ , and  $(a\sigma_v)(b\sigma_v)$  is undefined otherwise. To see that  $R/\sigma_v$  satisfies (R3), let  $a\sigma_v, b\sigma_v$ , and  $c\sigma_v$  belong to  $R/\sigma_v$  such that  $(a\sigma_v)(c\sigma_v) = (b\sigma_v)(c\sigma_v)$ . Then  $a, b \in \hat{R}$  and  $(ac)\sigma_v(bc)$ . Thus  $ac = u(bc) = (ub)c$ , some  $u \in V$ . Condition (R3) in  $R$  then implies  $ax = (ub)x$  for any  $x \in R$ , so  $ax = u(bx)$ . Thus  $ax\sigma_v bx$ , or  $(a\sigma_v)(x\sigma_v) = (b\sigma_v)(x\sigma_v)$  as desired.

Conversely, let  $\sigma$  be a congruence on  $R$  such that  $\sigma \subseteq \mathcal{L}$  and (R3) holds in  $R/\sigma$ . Let  $V = e\sigma$ . If  $a\sigma b$  then  $a\mathcal{L}b$ , whence  $a = ub$  for some  $u \in H_e$  by Proposition 1.2 of [2]. Then

$$(3.2) \quad (e\sigma)(b\sigma) = (eb)\sigma = b\sigma = a\sigma = (ub)\sigma = (u\sigma)(b\sigma).$$

Since (R3) holds for  $R/\sigma$ ,  $e\sigma = (e\sigma)(e\sigma) = (u\sigma)(e\sigma) = (ue)\sigma = u\sigma$  so  $u \in V$ . Thus  $a\sigma_v b$  and  $\sigma \subseteq \sigma_v$ . On the other hand, if  $a\sigma_v b$ , say  $a = ub$  with  $u \in V = e\sigma$ , then  $a\sigma = (ub)\sigma = (u\sigma)(b\sigma) = (e\sigma)(b\sigma) = b\sigma$ . Thus  $\sigma = \sigma_v$ .

Now  $\sigma \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ , so  $x \in V$  implies  $x = ue = u$  for some  $u \in H_e$ . Also, conditions (CR1)–(CR3) may be applied to show that  $V$  is a subgroup of  $H_e$ . If  $a \in \hat{R}e$  and  $v \in V$ , then  $(av)\sigma = (a\sigma)(v\sigma) = (a\sigma)(e\sigma) = (ae)\sigma = a\sigma$  so that  $av\sigma_v a$ . Thus  $av = ua$  for some  $u \in V$ , and  $V$  is a left normal divisor of  $\hat{R}e$ . The proof is complete.

Now let  $T(\circ)$  be a bisimple orthodox semigroup constructed from a banded box-frame  $(L, e'; R, e; K_r(\circ))$  as in Theorem A. Let  $\rho = \rho_{(V, V')}$  be an idempotent-separating congruence on  $T(\circ)$ , where  $(V, V')$  is a linked pair of left and right normal divisors of  $\hat{R}e$  and  $e'\hat{L}$ . Define  $\sigma = \sigma_v$  as in Lemma 3.1 and  $\sigma' = \sigma_{v'}$  dually; i.e.,

$$\sigma' = \sigma_{V'} = \{(a', b') \in L \times L : a' = b'u', \text{ some } u' \in V'\}.$$

Since  $(V, V')$  is a linked pair, we may write

$$\sigma' = \sigma_{V'} = \{(a', b') \in L \times L : a' = b'u', \text{ some } u \in V\}$$

where, as usual,  $u'$  is the unique element of  $H_e$  such that  $(u', u) \in K$ . By Lemma 3.1  $R_1 = R/\sigma$  is a right Reilly groupoid with left identity  $e\sigma$ ;  $\hat{R}_1 = \hat{R}/\sigma$ , and  $\hat{R}_1(e\sigma) = (\hat{R}e)/\sigma$  since  $\hat{R}e$ , like  $\hat{R}$ , is a union of  $\sigma$ -classes. Moreover, the group of units  $H_{e\sigma}$  of  $\hat{R}_1(e\sigma)$  is precisely  $H_e/\sigma$ . To verify this last assertion, assume  $a\sigma \in H_{e\sigma}$  say  $(b\sigma)(a\sigma) = e\sigma$  for some  $b\sigma$  in  $\hat{R}_1(e\sigma) = (\hat{R}e)/\sigma$ . Since  $a\sigma, b\sigma$  are in  $(\hat{R}e)/\sigma$  we have  $a, b \in \hat{R}e$ . From  $(ba)\sigma e$  there exists  $u \in V$  such that  $e = u(ba) = (ub)a$ . Now  $u \in V \subseteq \hat{R}e \subseteq \hat{R}$  and  $b \in \hat{R}e$  imply  $ub \in \hat{R}\hat{R}e \subseteq \hat{R}e$ . Then  $e = (ub)a$  with  $ub, a$  in  $\hat{R}e$  implies, by Proposition 1.3 of [2] that  $a \in H_e$ , whence  $a\sigma \in H_e/\sigma$ . The converse is immediate.

Dually,  $L_1 = L/\sigma'$  is a left Reilly groupoid with right identity  $e'\sigma'$  and  $\hat{L}_1 = \hat{L}/\sigma'$ ,  $(e'\sigma')\hat{L}_1 = (e'\hat{L})/\sigma'$ , and the group of units  $H_{e'\sigma'}$  of  $(e'\sigma')\hat{L}_1$  is just  $H_{e'}/\sigma'$ .

We now define an anti-correlation  $K_1$  between  $L_1$  and  $R_1$  as follows:

$$(3.3) \quad K_1 = \{(A', B) \in L_1 \times R_1 : \text{there exists } a' \in A', b \in B \text{ with } (a', b) \in K\}.$$

Instead of checking directly to see that  $K_1$  is an anti-correlation by showing (AC1)–(AC4), we shall deduce the result from the converse half of Theorem A. This approach will likewise be taken throughout the remainder of this section.

Let  $\epsilon = (e', e)_\tau$  in  $T$ . From the definition of  $\rho = \rho_{(V, V')}$  we have

$$(e', b)_\tau \rho (e', d)_\tau \Leftrightarrow b = vd \text{ with } v \in V \Leftrightarrow b\sigma d,$$

$$(a', e)_\tau \rho (c', e)_\tau \Leftrightarrow a' = c'u' \text{ with } u \in V \Leftrightarrow a'\sigma'c'.$$

Thus it follows that the map  $b\sigma \rightarrow (e', b)_\tau \rho$  is a (partial) isomorphism of  $R_1 = R/\sigma$  onto  $R_\epsilon/\rho$ , and  $a'\sigma' \rightarrow (a', e)_\tau \rho$  is an isomorphism of  $L_1 = L/\sigma'$  onto  $L_\epsilon/\rho$ . Moreover, since  $\rho$  is idempotent separating,  $R_\epsilon/\rho = R_{\epsilon\rho}$  and  $L_\epsilon/\rho = L_{\epsilon\rho}$  in  $T(\circ)/\rho$ .

By the converse part of Theorem A, there is an anti-correlation, say  $K_1$ , between  $L_{\epsilon\rho}$  and  $R_{\epsilon\rho}$  in  $T(\circ)/\rho$ , namely

$$K_1 = \{(\alpha', \alpha) \in L_{\epsilon\rho} \times R_{\epsilon\rho} : \alpha' \text{ and } \alpha \text{ are mutually inverse}\}.$$

Since  $\alpha \in R_{\epsilon\rho}$  implies  $\alpha = (e', b)_\tau \rho$  for some  $(e', b)_\tau$  in  $R_e$ , and  $\alpha' \in L_{\epsilon\rho}$  implies  $\alpha' = (a', e)_\tau \rho$  for some  $(a', e)_\tau$  in  $L_e$ ,  $K_1$  consists of all mutually

inverse pairs  $((a', e)_{\tau\rho}, (e', b)_{\tau\rho})$  in  $T(\circ)/\rho$ . So identifying  $a'\sigma'$  with  $(a', e)_{\tau\rho}$ , and  $b\sigma$  with  $(e', b)_{\tau\rho}$  by the above isomorphisms, we may regard  $K_1$  as consisting of all mutually inverse pairs  $(a'\sigma', b\sigma)$  in  $L_1 \times R_1$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (a'\sigma', b\sigma) \in K_1 &\Leftrightarrow (a', e)_{\tau\rho} \text{ and } (e', b)_{\tau\rho} \text{ are inverse pairs in } T(\circ)/\rho \\ &\Leftrightarrow (e', b)_{\tau\rho} \cdot (a', e)_{\tau\rho} = (e', e)_{\tau\rho} \text{ by Lemma 2.12 of [3]} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (b \star a')\rho(e', e)_{\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $(b \star a') = (x', y)_{\tau}$ . Now  $(x', y)_{\tau}\rho(e', e)_{\tau}$  if and only if  $x, y \in H_e$  and  $x^{-1}y \in V$ . Since  $(x, y)_{\tau} = (e', x^{-1}y)_{\tau}$  it follows that

$$(a'\sigma', b\sigma) \in K_1 \Leftrightarrow b \star a' = (e', v)_{\tau}, \text{ some } v \in V.$$

Using Proposition 3.6 of [2] we have

$$(b \star a'v')(e', v) = b \star a' = (e', v)_{\tau} = (e', e)_{\tau}(e', v)$$

so Proposition 3.4 of [2] gives  $b \star a'v' = (e', e)_{\tau}$ . Conversely,  $b \star a'v' = (e', e)_{\tau}$  implies  $b \star a' = (e', v)_{\tau}$ . By Proposition 4.5 of [2],  $b \star a'v' = (e', e)_{\tau}$  is equivalent to  $(a'v', b) \in K$ . Since  $(a'v')\sigma'a'$ , we can choose  $a'$  (namely, replace it by  $a'v'$ ) so that  $(a', b) \in K$ . Thus  $K_1$  is the same as defined by (3.3), and is an anti-correlation between  $L_1$  and  $R_1$ . Moreover, under the isomorphisms we have  $e'\sigma' \rightarrow (e', e)_{\tau\rho} = \epsilon\rho$  and  $e\sigma \rightarrow (e', e)_{\tau\rho} = \epsilon\rho$ , so Theorem A assures us that  $(L_1, e'\sigma'; R_1, e\sigma; K_1)$  is a box-frame.

Now  $H_e = R_e \cap L_e = \{(e', u)_{\tau} : u \in H_e\}$ . Therefore the isomorphism  $b\sigma \rightarrow (e', b)_{\tau\rho}$  of  $R/\sigma$  onto  $R_e/\rho$ , when restricted to  $H_e/\sigma$ , is an isomorphism of  $H_e/\sigma$  onto  $H_e/\rho$ . Also, since  $\rho$  is idempotent-separating, we have  $(L_e \cap R_e)/\rho = L_e/\rho \cap R_e/\rho$ , whence

$$H_e/\rho = (L_e \cap R_e)/\rho = L_e/\rho \cap R_e/\rho = L_{\epsilon\rho} \cap R_{\epsilon\rho} = H_{\epsilon\rho}.$$

Similarly, the map  $u'\sigma' \rightarrow (u', e)_{\tau\rho}$  is an isomorphism of  $H_e/\sigma'$  onto  $H_e/\rho = H_{\epsilon\rho}$ .

By the converse part of Theorem A, consider the relation  $\tau_1$  on  $L_{\epsilon\rho} \times R_{\epsilon\rho}$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} ((a', e)_{\tau\rho}, (e', b)_{\tau\rho})\tau_1((c', e)_{\tau\rho}, (e', d)_{\tau\rho}) &\Leftrightarrow (c', e)_{\tau\rho} \\ &= (a', e)_{\tau\rho} \cdot [(e', u)_{\tau\rho}]^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(e', d)_{\tau\rho} = (e', u)_{\tau\rho} \cdot (e', b)_{\tau\rho}$$

for some  $(e', u)_{\tau\rho}$  in  $H_{e\rho}$ . Note that  $[(e', u)_{\tau\rho}]^{-1} = (e', u^{-1})_{\tau\rho} = (u', e)_{\tau\rho}$  in  $H_{e\rho}$ . Identifying under our isomorphisms, we may regard  $\tau_1$  as being defined on  $L_1 \times R_1$  by

$$(3.4) \quad (a'\sigma', b\sigma)\tau_1(c'\sigma', d\sigma) \Leftrightarrow c'\sigma' = (a'\sigma')(u'\sigma') \text{ and } d\sigma = (u\sigma)(b\sigma)$$

for some  $u\sigma \in H_{e\sigma} = H_e/\sigma$ .

Let  $T_1 = (L_1 \times R_1)/\tau_1$  and  $K_{1\tau_1} = K_1/\tau_1$ . By Theorem A, the mapping  $\theta: T_1 \rightarrow T(\circ)/\rho$  is a bijection, where  $\theta$  is defined by  $((a', e)_{\tau\rho}, (e', b)_{\tau\rho})_{\tau_1}\theta = (a', e)_{\tau\rho} \cdot (e', b)_{\tau\rho}$ , and maps  $K_{1\tau_1}$  upon the band  $E_{T/\rho}$  of idempotents of  $T/\rho$ . Thus  $\theta$  is essentially given by

$$(3.5) \quad (a'\sigma', b\sigma)_{\tau_1}\theta = (a', b)_{\tau\rho}.$$

Again, Theorem A shows that  $(L_1, e'\sigma'; R_1, e\sigma; K_{1\tau_1}(\circ_1))$  becomes a banded box-frame, where we use  $\theta$  to transfer the operation on  $E_{T/\rho}$  to an operation  $(\circ_1)$  on  $K_{1\tau_1}$  in the obvious way. Under our identifications the definition of  $(\circ_1)$  reads as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (a'\sigma', a\sigma)_{\tau_1}\circ_1(b'\sigma', b\sigma)_{\tau_1} &= (c'\sigma', c\sigma)_{\tau_1} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (a', a)_{\tau\rho} \cdot (b', b)_{\tau\rho} = (c', c)_{\tau\rho} \text{ in } T(\circ)/\rho, \text{ where } (a', a), \\ &\quad (b', b), \text{ and } (c', c) \text{ are in } K. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $K(\circ)$  is the band of idempotents of  $T(\circ)$ , and  $\rho$  is idempotent-separating on  $T(\circ)$ , we have

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} (a'\sigma', a\sigma)_{\tau_1}\circ_1(b'\sigma', b\sigma)_{\tau_1} &= (c'\sigma', c\sigma)_{\tau_1} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (a', a)_{\tau} \circ (b', b)_{\tau} = (c', c)_{\tau} \text{ in } K_{\tau}(\circ). \end{aligned}$$

Because  $(L_1, e'\sigma'; R_1, e\sigma; K_{1\tau_1}(\circ_1))$  is a banded box-frame, Proposition 3.5 of [2] holds. That is, for each element  $(c'\sigma', b\sigma)$  of  $L_1 \times R_1$  there exists a unique element  $(X', Y)_{\tau_1}$  of  $T_1^0 = ((e'\sigma')\hat{L}_1 \times \hat{R}_1(e\sigma))/\tau_1$  such that  $(b'\sigma', b\sigma)_{\tau_1}\sigma_1(c'\sigma', c\sigma)_{\tau_1} = ((b'\sigma')X', Y(c\sigma))_{\tau_1}$  for any  $b'\sigma'$  in  $L_1$  and  $c\sigma \in R_1$  such that  $(b'\sigma', b\sigma)$  and  $(c'\sigma', c\sigma)$  are in  $K_1$ . If we denote  $(X', Y)_{\tau_1}$  by  $(b\sigma) \star_1 (c'\sigma')$ , and then extend the band operation  $(\circ_1)$  on  $K_{1\tau_1}$  to an operation  $(\circ_1)$  on  $T_1$  by

$$(3.7) \quad (a'\sigma', b\sigma)_{\tau_1}\circ_1(c'\sigma', d\sigma)_{\tau_1} = ((b\sigma) \star_1 (c'\sigma'))(a'\sigma', d\sigma)$$

then  $\theta$  becomes an isomorphism of  $T_1(\circ_1)$  onto  $T(\circ)/\rho$ .

The following theorem summarizes all of the results in this section.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $T(\circ)$  be a bisimple orthodox semigroup constructed from a banded box-frame  $(L, e'; R, e; K_{\tau}(\circ))$  as in Theorem*

A. Let  $\rho = \rho_{(V, V')}$  be an idempotent-separating congruence on  $T(\circ)$  where  $(V, V')$  is a linked pair of left and right normal divisors of  $\hat{R}e$  and  $e'\hat{L}$ . Define  $\sigma = \sigma_V$  on  $R$  by (3.1) and  $\sigma' = \sigma_{V'}$  on  $L$  dually. Then  $\sigma[\sigma']$  is a congruence on  $R[L]$  and  $R_1 = R/\sigma[L_1 \times L/\sigma]$  is a right [left] Reilly groupoid with  $e\sigma[e'\sigma']$  as left [right] identity. Define  $K_1$  by (3.3); then  $(L_1, e'\sigma'; R_1, e\sigma; K_1)$  is a box-frame. Define the relation  $\tau_1$  on  $L_1 \times R_1$  by (3.4) and define  $(\circ_1)$  on  $K_{1\tau_1} = K_1/\tau_1$  by (3.6). Then  $(L_1, e'\sigma'; R_1, e\sigma; K_{1\tau_1}(\circ_1))$  is a banded box-frame. On  $T_1 = (L_1 \times R_1)/\tau_1$  define  $(\circ_1)$  by (3.7). Then  $T_1(\circ_1)$  is a bisimple orthodox semigroup having  $K_{1\tau_1}(\circ_1)$  as its band of idempotents, and the mapping  $\theta: T_1(\circ_1) \rightarrow T(\circ)/\rho$  defined by (3.5) is an isomorphism.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. We wish to thank Professor A. H. Clifford for his many helpful comments, and especially for suggesting the approach taken in §3, which greatly shortened the original version.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. H. Clifford, *The structure of bisimple orthodox semigroups as ordered pairs*, Semigroup Forum, **5** (1972), 127–136.
2. ———, *The structure of bisimple orthodox semigroups as ordered pairs*, Tulane University, multilithed (1972), 63 pp.
3. A. H. Clifford, and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Math. Surveys No. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. I, 1961; Vol. II, 1967.
4. D. R. LaTorre, *On the construction of bisimple orthodox semigroups*, Semigroup Forum, **9** (1975), 372–374.
5. W. D. Munn, *The idempotent-separating congruences on a regular 0-bisimple semigroup*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., **15** (Series II) (1967), 233–240.
6. D. Rees, *On the ideal structure of a semi-group satisfying a cancellation law*, Quarterly J. Math., Oxford Ser. **19** (1948), 101–108.
7. N. R. Reilly, *Bisimple inverse semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **132** (1968), 101–114.
8. N. R. Reilly, and A. H. Clifford, *Bisimple inverse semigroups as semigroups of ordered triples*, Canad. J. Math., **20** (1968), 25–39.

Received March 20, 1975.

CLEMSON UNIVERSITY

