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#### Abstract

Contrary to the situation on Hilbert space, the sum and product of two commuting scalar spectral operators on a Banach space $X$ need not be spectral, even if $X$ is reflexive. This has been shown by Kakutani and Mc Carthy. In this note, ordertheoretic methods are used to discuss Mc Carthy's construction. To this end, a special class of scalar spectral operators is introduced.


In what follows, $X$ always denotes a Banach space over $\mathbf{R}, B(X)$ the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators on $X, \sigma(T), \rho(T)$ and $R(z, T)=(T-z I)^{-1}$ for $T \in B(X)$ the spectrum, the resolvent set and the resolvent operator for $z \in \rho(T)$ (taken as usual with respect to the complexification of $X$ ).

In the first section we introduce a class $\mathscr{S}$ of $C^{m}$-selfadjoint operators ([2]) defined on an ordered Banach space $X$. In Theorem 1, conditions are given which assure that the elements of $\mathscr{S}$ are, in fact, scalar spectral operators. In the counterexample of Mc Carthy ([1]), which improves an example constructed by Kakutani ([4]), the underlying Banach space $X$ is the $l^{p}$-direct sum of finite-dimensional spaces. So in the second section, some order properties of $l^{p}$-direct sums of ordered Banach spaces are considered. The last section is devoted to Mc Carthy's construction. It is shown that the natural order of the space he used is not normal. This is remarkable since this order is induced by the natural $\mathbf{R}^{n}$-order of the summands. Therefore, a direct proof of the nonnormality is added.

1. A class of scalar spectral operators. A proper convex cone $K \subset X$ induces an ordering on $B(X)$ by

$$
T \geqq 0 \quad \text { iff } \quad T K \subset K
$$

Then $\mathscr{K}=\{T \in B(X), T \geqq 0\}$ is a convex cone. $\mathscr{K}$ is proper if $K$ generates $X$, that is, if $K-K=X$.

Let $\mathscr{S} \subset B(X)$ consist of all operators $A$ with the following properties:

1. $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbf{R}$.
2. There exist constants $m, M \in \mathbf{R}$ with

$$
\|R(z, A)\| \leqq M \cdot|\operatorname{Im} z|^{-m}
$$

for all $z \in \mathbf{C}$ with $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$.
3. $R(z, A) R(\bar{z}, A) \in \mathscr{K}$ for every $z \in \rho(A)(\bar{z}$ denotes the complex conjugate of $z)$.

If $A \in B(X)$ obeys 1 and $2, A$ is a $C^{m+1}(I)$-selfadjoint operator in the sense of Colojoara and Foias [2] for every interval $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ which contains $\sigma(A)$ in its interior. According to Tillmann [6], the uniquely determined $C^{m+1}(I)$-spectral function

$$
U: C^{m+1}(I) \rightarrow B(X)
$$

for $A$ is given by

$$
U(f)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}(2 \pi i)^{-1} \int_{I} f(x)\{R(x+i \epsilon, A)-R(x-i \epsilon, A)\} d x .
$$

From the resolvent equation

$$
R(z, A)-R\left(z^{\prime}, A\right)=\left(z-z^{\prime}\right) R(z, A) R\left(z^{\prime}, A\right)
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(f)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon \pi^{-1} \cdot \int_{I} f(x)\{R(x+i \epsilon, A) R(x-i \epsilon, A)\} d x . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$U$ is a continuous homomorphism from $C^{m+1}(I)$ into $B(X)$, if $C^{m+1}(I)$ is endowed with the topology of uniform convergence of all derivatives up to order $m+1$. Now let $M_{\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ denote the interval $(-\infty, \lambda)$. We set

$$
C_{0}^{\infty}\left(M_{\lambda}\right):=\left\{f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}), \operatorname{supp} f \subset M_{\lambda} \text { compact }\right\} .
$$

If $\chi_{\lambda}$ denotes the characteristic function of $M_{\lambda}$, we can choose a monotonely increasing sequence $\left\{f_{\lambda, n}\right\}_{n \in \mathrm{~N}}$ of functions in $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(M_{\lambda}\right)$ such that the sets

$$
M_{\lambda, n}=\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}, f_{\lambda, n}(x)=1\right\}
$$

exhaust $M_{\lambda}$ and $\left\{f_{\lambda, n}\right\}_{n}$ converges pointwise to $\chi_{\lambda}$. Then for every $g \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(M_{\lambda}\right)$ with $g \leqq \chi_{\lambda}$ there is a $n_{0} \in \mathbf{N}$ with $g \leqq f_{\lambda, n}$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ with $n \geqq n_{0}$.

Further for every $n$ there exists a $m$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\lambda, n}^{2} \leqq f_{\lambda, n} \leqq f_{\lambda, m}^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly the order of functions is taken pointwise.
If in addition $A$ obeys 3 , substituting $f_{\lambda, n}$ into (1) we get a monotonely increasing sequence $\left\{U\left(f_{\lambda, n}\right)\right\}_{n}$ of operators with

$$
0 \leqq U\left(f_{\lambda, n}\right) \leqq I
$$

for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$.
If $\left\{U\left(f_{\lambda, n}\right) x\right\}_{n}$ converges for every $x \in X$, then

$$
E_{\lambda}:=\lim _{n} U\left(f_{\lambda, n}\right)
$$

is a continuous linear operator. $E_{\lambda}$ is positive and idempotent by (2). If $K$ is closed it follows that

$$
E_{\lambda}=\sup _{n} U\left(f_{\lambda, n}\right) \quad \text { (Schaefer [5]). }
$$

The cone $K$ is called normal if there exists a norm $\|\cdot\|^{*}$ on $X$ equivalent to the initial norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that $0 \leqq x \leqq y$ implies that $\|x\|^{*} \leqq\|y\|^{*}$. Such a norm is said to be monotone.

Now we can state
Theorem 1. Let $X$ be weakly sequentially complete and ordered by a normal closed and generating cone $K$. If $A \in \mathscr{S}$, then for every $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ the sequence $\left\{U\left(f_{\lambda, n}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathrm{~N}}$ converges in the strong operator topology to $a$ continuous positive operator $E_{\lambda}$. Moreover, we have

1. $E_{\lambda}=\sup _{n} U\left(f_{\lambda, n}\right)$.
2. $E_{\lambda}^{2}=E_{\lambda}$.
3. $E_{\lambda}=\sup \left\{U(f), f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}), \operatorname{supp} f \subset M_{\lambda}, f \leqq \chi_{\lambda}\right\}$.
4. $E_{\lambda}=0$ for $\lambda<-r(A)(r(A)$ denotes the spectral radius of $A)$.
5. $E_{\lambda}=I$ for $\lambda>r(A)$.
6. $\quad E_{\lambda}=\lim _{\mu<\lambda} E_{\mu}$.
7. $A=\int_{\mathbf{R}} \lambda d E_{\lambda}$ (where the integral exists in the strong operator topology).

Since $E_{\lambda}$ is a left continuous spectral family, $A$ is a scalar spectral operator in the sense of Dunford-Schwartz [3]. The continuous homomorphism

$$
f \rightarrow f(A)=\int f(\lambda) d E_{\lambda}
$$

of $C(\mathbf{R})$ into $B(X)$ is an extension of the $C^{m+1}(I)$-spectral function $U$ of $A$.
Proof. We only prove the convergence of $\left\{U\left(f_{1 . n}\right)\right\}_{n}$; then the other assertions can be shown following Tillmann [6]. Since $K$ is normal, the dual cone

$$
K^{\prime}:=\left\{x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}, x^{\prime}(x) \geqq 0 \text { for every } x \in K\right\}
$$

generates $X^{\prime}$. If $x \in K .\left\{x^{\prime}\left(U\left(f_{\lambda, n}\right) x\right)\right\}_{n}$ is monotonely increasing and bounded by $x^{\prime}(x)$ and consequently convergent for every $x^{\prime} \in K^{\prime}$. Since $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ generate $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ and since $X$ is weakly sequentially complete $\left\{U\left(f_{1, n}\right)\right\}_{n}$ thus converges weakly to an operator $E_{\lambda}$. Moreover, since $K$ is normal, the convergence follows in the strong operator topology from the generalized theorem of Dini ([5]).

To see that for general Banach spaces and generating closed cones $K$ Theorem 1 need not be true, let $X=C^{k}[0,1], K=\{f \in X, f(x) \geqq 0$ for all $x \in[0,1]\}$ and define $A \in B(X)$ by

$$
(A f)(t):=t \cdot f(t), \quad t \in[0,1] .
$$

Then

1. $\sigma(A)=[0,1]$.
2. $\|R(z, A) f\|=\sup _{v \leq 1 \leq k} \sup _{1 \in[0,1]}\left|\left(\frac{f(t)}{z-t}\right)^{(t)}\right|$

$$
\leqq\|f\| \cdot M \cdot|\operatorname{Im} z|^{-k} \quad \text { for all } \quad z \in \rho(A) \underset{f \in C^{k}[0,1],}{\text { and }}
$$

if $M$ is appropriately chosen.
3. $(R(z, A) R(\bar{z}, A) f)(t)=(z-t)^{-1}(\bar{z}-t)^{-1} f(t)$

$$
=|z-t|^{-2} f(t) \geqq 0, \quad \text { if } \quad f(t) \geqq 0 .
$$

Thus we have $A \in \mathscr{S}$, but it is well known that $A$ is not a spectral operator.
2. Ordered $l^{p}$-direct sums. Let $I$ be an index set, let $\left(X_{1},\|\cdot\|_{1}\right)$ be Banach spaces for $i \in I$, and define for $1 \leqq p<\infty$

$$
X^{p}:=l^{p}\left(\left(X_{i},\|\cdot\|_{i}\right)_{i \in I}:=\left\{x=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{1}, x_{i} \in X_{i}, \sum_{i}\left\|x_{i}\right\|_{i}^{p}<\infty\right\} .\right.
$$

Then $X^{p}$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$
\|x\|^{p}=\left(\sum_{l}\left\|x_{l}\right\|_{i}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} .
$$

$X^{p}$ is reflexive for $p>1$, if the $X_{i}$ are reflexive.
If for every $i \in I X_{i}$ is ordered by a convex cone $K_{i}$, a natural order on $X^{p}$ is defined by the cone $K$ with

$$
x=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i} \in K \quad \text { iff } \quad x_{i} \in K_{i} \quad \text { for every } \quad i \in I
$$

We recall that a cone $K$ in a normed space $X$ is called $M$-generating if for every $x \in X$ there is a decomposition $x=x^{+}-x^{-}$with $x^{+}, x^{-} \in K$ and $\left\|x^{+}\right\|,\left\|x^{-}\right\| \leqq M \cdot\|x\|$.

Then one can easily prove the following

## Proposition 2.

1. $K$ is a proper cone iff $K_{l}$ is proper for every $i \in I$.
2. If $K$ generates $X$ then $K_{t}$ generates $X_{i}$ for every $i \in I$.
3. $K$ is $M$-generating iff $K_{i}$ is $M$-generating for every $i \in I$.
4. K is normal iff for every $i \in I K_{i}$ is normal and there is a monotone norm $\|\cdot\|_{1}^{*}$ on $X_{1}$ equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{t}$ such that

$$
m \cdot\|\cdot\|_{i}^{*} \leqq\|\cdot\|_{I} \leqq M \cdot\|\cdot\|_{i}^{*}
$$

with constants $m, M>0$ independent of $i$.
3. The counterexample of Kakutani-Mc Carthy. The sum and product of two commuting scalar spectral operators defined on a Banach space $X$ need not be spectral as was shown by an example of Kakutani in [4]. Mc Carthy's modification of this example in [1] led to a counterexample even on a separable reflexive Banach space, namely the $l^{2}$-direct sum of finite-dimensional spaces. This space a natural order can be given by the summands. We start this section by showing that from Mc Carthy's result the nonnormality of this ordering follows. Since we want to give some explicit calculations we have to recall in short the construction of Mc Carthy.

For $n=1,2, \cdots$ be $Y_{n}=Y_{n}^{\prime}=\mathbf{R}^{2^{n}}$ with the sup-norm, and $X_{n}$ the space of all $2^{n} \times 2^{n}$-matrices with real coefficients. On $X_{n}$ the "projective tensor norm" $q_{n}$ is defined by

$$
q_{n}(x):=\inf \sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\|y_{i}\right\|_{x}\left\|z_{l}\right\|_{\infty},
$$

where we take the infimum over all representations of $x \in X_{n}$ of the form

$$
x(i, j)=\sum_{k=1}^{l} y_{k}(i) z_{k}(j), \quad i, j=1,2, \cdots, 2^{n}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{k}=\left(y_{k}(1), \cdots, y_{k}\left(2^{n}\right)\right) \in Y_{n}, \quad k=1, \cdots, l, l \in \mathbf{N} . \\
& z_{k}=\left(z_{k}(1), \cdots, z_{k}\left(2^{n}\right)\right) \in Y_{n}^{\prime}, \quad k=1
\end{aligned}
$$

We define matrices $s_{n} \in X_{n}$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n}(i, j):=(-1)^{\sum n=1} e_{k}(1) e_{k}(J), \quad i, j=1, \cdots, 2^{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $e_{k}(i) \in\{0,1\}$ are uniquely determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
i=e_{1}(i) 2^{n-1}+e_{2}(i) 2^{n-2}+\cdots+e_{n-1}(i) 2+e_{n}(i)+1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to [1] and [4], for every $u \in X_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{n}(u) \geqq 2^{n / 2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{2 n}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n}} s_{n}(i, j) u(i, j) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we put $X=l^{2}\left(\left(X_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathrm{~N}}\right) . \quad X$ is a reflexive and therefore weakly sequentially complete Banach space.

We define $S, T \in B(X)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n}\right):=\left\{T_{n} x_{n}\right\}_{n} \quad \text { with } \quad\left(T_{n} x_{n}\right)(i, j):=2^{-2^{n}} 3^{-i} x_{n}(i, j), \\
& S\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n}\right):=\left\{S_{n} x_{n}\right\}_{n} \quad \text { with } \quad\left(S_{n} x_{n}\right)(i, j):=5^{-\jmath} x_{n}(i, j) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$T$ and $S$ are commuting scalar spectral operators, but neither $T S$ nor $T+S$ is spectral.

We show that $T S$ belongs to the family $\mathscr{S}$ introduced in the first chapter. Indeed,

1. $\sigma(T S)$ is the closure of the set of eigenvalues $2^{-2^{n}} 3^{-1} 5^{-1}, 1 \leqq i$, $j \leqq 2^{n}, n \in \mathbf{N}$, and is therefore contained in $\mathbf{R}$.
2. $T$ and $S$ are commuting scalar spectral operators. By [2], TS (and $T+S$ ) is a generalized scalar operator and thus the resolvent of TS obeys a growth condition.
3. If $X_{n}$ is given its natural order, defined by

$$
x_{n} \in K_{n} \quad \text { iff } \quad x_{n}(i, j) \geqq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad i, j=1, \cdots, 2^{n}
$$

each of the cones $K_{n}$ is proper, closed and generates $X_{n}$. Moreover, $K_{n}$ is normal since $q_{n}$ is equivalent to a monotone norm on $X_{n}$, e.g. to the sup-norm. If $K \subset X$ is defined as above, $K$ is also a proper and closed cone. $K$ generates $X$, since if $x_{n} \in X_{n}$ is represented by

$$
x_{n}(i, j)=\sum_{k=1}^{l} \dot{y}_{k}(i) z_{k}(j)
$$

with $y_{k} \in Y_{n}, z_{k} \in Y_{n}^{\prime}$, we put

$$
y_{k}^{+}(i)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{k}(i), \text { if } y_{k}(i)>0 \\
0 \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
y_{k}^{-}(i)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-y_{k}(i), \text { if } y_{k}(i)<0 \\
0 \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and define $z_{k}^{+}, z_{k}^{-}$similarly.
We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n}(i, j) & =\left(\sum_{k=1}^{1}\left(y_{k}^{+}(i) z_{k}^{+}(j)+y_{k}^{-}(i) z_{k}^{-}(j)\right)\right) \\
& -\left(\sum_{k=1}^{l}\left(y_{k}^{-}(i) z_{k}^{+}(j)+y_{k}^{+}(i) z_{\bar{k}}^{-}(j)\right)\right) \\
& =x_{n}^{+}-x_{n}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $x_{n}^{+}, x_{n}^{-} \in K_{n}, q_{n}\left(x_{n}^{+}\right), q_{n}\left(x_{n}^{-}\right) \leqq 2 q_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)$.
Thus the cones $K_{n}$ are 2-generating in $X_{n}$ and by proposition 2 the same is true for $K$ in $X$.

The assertion that $x \in K$ implies $R(z, T S) R(\bar{z}, T S) x \in K$ for $z \in$ $\rho(T S)$ can now be proven in the equivalent form, that

$$
(T S-z I)(T S-\bar{z} I) x \in K \quad \text { implies that } \quad x \in K
$$

Indeed, we have

$$
(T S-z I)(T S-\bar{z} I) x=\left\{\left(T_{n} S_{n}-z I\right)\left(T_{n} S_{n}-\bar{z} I\right) x_{n}\right\}_{n}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(T_{n} S_{n}-z I\right)\left(T_{n} S_{n}-\bar{z} I\right) x_{n}\right)(i, j)= & \left(\left(2^{-2^{n}} 3^{-1} 5^{-1}\right)^{2}+|z|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-2 \cdot 2^{-2^{n}} 3^{-1} 5^{-\jmath} \cdot \operatorname{Re} z\right) x_{n}(i, j) \geqq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

gives $x_{n}(i, j) \geqq 0$ since the scalar factor at the right side is positive.

Since all assumptions of Theorem 1 except the normality of $K$ are thus fulfilled, $K$ cannot be normal.

We now want to give a direct proof of this remarkable order theoretic fact (we recall that the cones $K_{n}$ are all normal). For this purpose we use the matrices $s_{n}$ defined above (3).

Lemma 3. $2^{n-1}\left(2^{n}+1\right)$ of the cofficients of $s_{n}$ are +1 .
Proof. This is obviously true for $n=1 . s_{n+1}$ has the form

$$
s_{n+1}=\left(\begin{array}{l:r}
s_{n} & s_{n} \\
\hdashline s_{n} & -s_{n}
\end{array}\right),
$$

as can be easily deduced from the definition of the $e_{k}(i)$ (4). Therefore $s_{n+1}$ contains

$$
3 \cdot 2^{n-1}\left(2^{n}+1\right)+\left(2^{2 n}-2^{n-1}\left(2^{n}+1\right)\right)=2^{n}\left(2^{n+1}+1\right)
$$

coefficients of value +1 .
We now define matrices $t_{n}, v_{n} \in X_{n}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{n}(i, j) & = \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } s_{n}(i, j)=1 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
v_{n}(i, j) & =1 \text { for every } i, j=1, \cdots, 2^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
0 \leqq t_{n} \leqq v_{n}
$$

and

$$
q_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)=1 \quad \text { for every } n \in \mathbf{N}
$$

On the other hand, according to (5),

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{n}\left(t_{n}\right) & \geqq 2^{n / 2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{2 n}} \cdot 2^{n-1}\left(2^{n}+1\right)  \tag{6}\\
& =2^{n / 2}\left(2^{-1}+2^{-n-1}\right) \nearrow \infty
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $K$ cannot be normal, since otherwise, applying Proposition 2 we could find constants $m, M>0$ independently of $n$ and monotone norms $\|\cdot\|_{n}$ on $X_{n}$ with

$$
m \cdot\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{n} \leqq q_{n}\left(x_{n}\right) \leqq M \cdot\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{n} \quad \text { for every } \quad x_{n} \in X_{n}
$$

This would imply

$$
\left\|t_{n}\right\|_{n} \leqq\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{n} \leqq \frac{1}{m} q_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{m}
$$

and therefore $q_{n}\left(t_{n}\right) \leqq M / m$ in contrary to (6).
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