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#### Abstract

Let $\langle G+\rangle$ be an abelian group. With each multiplication on $G$ (binary operation $*$ such that $\langle G+*\rangle$ is a ring) and each $g \in G$ is associated the endomorphism $g_{i}^{*}$ of left multiplication by $g$. Let $L(G)=\left\{g_{\imath}^{*} \mid g \in G, * \varepsilon\right.$ Mult $\left.G\right\}$. Abelian groups $G$ such that $L(G)=E(G)$ are studied. Such groups $G$ are characterized if $G$ is torsion, reduced algebraically compact, completely decomposable, or almost completely decomposable of rank two. A partial results is obtained for mixed groups.


Let $\langle G+\rangle$ be an abelian group. With each multiplication on $G$ (binary operation * such that $\langle G+*\rangle$ is a ring) and each $g \in G$ is associated the endomorphism $g_{i}^{*}$ of left multiplication by $g$ given by $g_{l}^{*}(x)=g * x, x \in G$. Let $L(G)$ be the set of all such endomorphisms, i.e., $L(G)=\left\{g_{i}^{*} \mid g \in G, * \varepsilon \operatorname{Mult}(G)\right\}$. In general all one can say is that $L(G)$ is a subset of the endomorphism ring $E(G)$. In this paper we consider abelian groups $G$ such that every endomorphism is a left multiplication.

Definition 1. An abelian group $G$ is multiplicatively faithful iff $L(G)=E(G)$.

We mostly follow the notations in [2]. Specifically: all groups are abelian, rings are not necessarily associative, $\boldsymbol{\otimes}$ denotes the tensor product over $Z$ and $g \otimes$ _ the natural $\operatorname{map} x \rightarrow g \otimes x$ from $G$ into $G \otimes G, o(x)$ is the order of an element $x, Z(d)$ is the cyclic group of order $d$ and $Z(d)^{*}$ is the multiplicative group of units in $Z(d)$. For a prime $p$, we write $Z_{p}$ for the localization of $Z$ at $p$ and $\hat{Z}_{p}$ for the ring (or group) of $p$-adic integers. We use $t(A)[t(x)]$ for the type of a rank one torsion free group $A$ [element $x$ ] and $h(x)$ for the height sequence. Finally, $\langle S\rangle\left[\langle S\rangle_{*}\right.$ ]is the subgroup [pure subgroup] generated by $S$.

We begin by listing some simple results.
A. Let $\theta_{g}: \operatorname{Hom}(G \otimes G, G) \rightarrow E(G)$ be given by $\theta_{g}(\Delta)=\Delta \circ\left(g \otimes_{-}\right)$, $\Delta \in \operatorname{Hom}(G \otimes G, G), g \in G$. Then $G$ is multiplicatively faithful iff $\bigcup_{g \in G}$ Image $\theta_{g}=E(G)$.

Proof. Mult $G$, the group of all multiplications on $G$, is isomorphic
to $\operatorname{Hom}(G \otimes G, G)$. Under this identification $\Delta \circ\left(g \boldsymbol{\otimes}_{-}\right)=g_{l}$.
B. $G$ is multiplicatively faithful iff for each $\theta \in E(G)$, there exists $u \in G, \sigma \in$ Mult $G$ such that the following diagram commutes:


Proof. Obvious.
C. A divisible group is multiplicatively faithful iff it is torsion free. More generally, if $G=D \oplus R, D$ the maximal divisible subgroup of $G$ with $D$ torsion free, then $L(G)=E(G)$ iff $L(R)=E(R)$.

Proof. This follows directly from ( $B$ ) and elementary properties of the tensor product.
D. If $Z$ is a direct summand of $G$, then $L(G)=E(G)$. More generally, if $A$ is a ring, $1 \in A$, and $H$ is a unital $A$ module, then $A \oplus H$ is multiplicatively faithful.

Proof. Let $\theta \in E(A \oplus H)$. Set $u=1 \in A$, and define $\sigma \in$ Mult $G$ by $\sigma\left(\Sigma a_{i} \otimes x_{i} \oplus y\right)=\Sigma a_{i} \theta\left(x_{i}\right) ; \quad a_{i} \in A, \quad x_{i} \in A \oplus H, \quad y \in H \otimes(A \oplus H)$. Then

commutes.
E. Let $R(G)$ be the set of all right multiplications by elements of $G$ for all rings on $G$. Then $L(G)=E(G)$ iff $R(G)=E(G)$.

Proof. This follows from considering opposite rings.
Multiplicatively faithful torsion groups are easily characterized.
Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a torsion group. Then $G$ is multiplicatively faithful iff $G$ is bounded.

Proof. If $L(G)=E(G)$, then there exists $u \in G, \sigma \in$ Mult $G$ such that $\sigma \circ\left(u \otimes_{-}\right)=1_{G}$, where $1_{G}$ is the identity endomorphism. It follows
that $n G=(0)$, where $n=o(u)$. If $n G=(0), n \in Z^{+}$, we can write $G=Z(n) \oplus H . \quad$ (D) applies to give $L(G)=E(G)$.

We next consider mixed groups, and characterize the multiplicatively faithful ones in one special case.

Theorem 2. Let $G$ be mixed with maximal torsion subgroup $T=\bigoplus_{p} T_{p}$. Suppose that $T_{p} \neq(0)$ for only a finite number of primes $p$, and also that $G / T$ is homogeneous completely decomposable. Then $L(G)=E(G)$ iff (1) $G=T \oplus F$, (2) each rank 1 summand of $G / T$ has idempotent type, (3) $p(G / T)=G / T$ implies $T_{p}$ is bounded.

Proof. Suppose (1), (2) and (3) hold for $G$ as above. Let $T=$ $T_{1} \oplus T_{2}$, where $T_{1}$ is the sum of the bounded and $T_{2}$ the sum of the unbounded $p$ components of $T$. Since $T_{1}$ is bounded, write $T_{1}=Z(n) \oplus X$ with $X$ a unital $Z(n)$ module. $F \cong G / T$ is homogeneous, completely decomposable and nonzero. Say $F=A \oplus B$ where $A$ is torsion free of rank one and $B=\bigoplus_{\alpha \in I}(A)_{\alpha} . \quad(I=\varnothing$ is allowed.) Since $t(A)$ is idempotent, $A$ is (may be regarded as) a subring with identity of $Q$ ([2], Th. 121.1). Moreover, since $p A=A$ only when $\left(T_{2}\right)_{p}=(0)$, $B \oplus T_{2}$ may be made into a unital $A$ module in the natural way. Thus, $X \oplus B \oplus T_{2}$ is a unital $Z(n) \oplus A$ module and (D) applies to show $G$ is multiplicatively faithful.

Conversely, let $L(G)=E(G)$ for $G$ satisfying the conditions of our theorem. Let $u \in G$ be such that $u_{l}^{*}=1_{G}$, * some multiplication on $G$. If $u \in p G$, clearly $T_{p}=(0)$.

Now consider a prime $p$ such that $u+T \in p(G / T)$. Since $(u+T)_{l}$ induces the identity endomorphism on $G / T$, it follows immediately that $u+T \in p^{n}(G / T)$ for all $n \in Z^{+}$. Write $u=p g+t=p g+t_{1}+t_{2}$, where $o\left(t_{1}\right)=p^{k},\left(o\left(t_{2}\right), p\right)=1$. If $t_{1}=0$, then $u \in p G$ and $T_{p}=(0)$. If $t_{1} \neq 0$, then, for all $x \in T_{p}$,

$$
x=u * x=\left(p g+t_{1}+t_{2}\right) * x=p(g * x)+t_{1} * x .
$$

(Since $\left(o\left(t_{2}\right), p\right)=1$ and $x \in T_{p}, \quad t_{2} * x=0$.) But $o[p(g * x)]<o(x)$, $o\left(t_{1} * x\right) \leqq o(x)$, so $o(x)=o\left(t_{1} * x\right) \leqq o\left(t_{1}\right)$. Thus $T_{p}$ is bounded.

Thus, for each $p$ such that $u+T \in p(G / T)$, we have $u+T \in p^{n}(G / T)$ for all $n \in Z^{+}$, and $T_{p}$ is bounded. Since $t(u+T)$ is the type of each rank 1 summand of $G / T$-(recall $G / T$ is homogeneous)-(2) and (3) hold. Let $T_{1}, T_{2}$ be as before. Since $T_{1}$ is bounded, $G=T_{1} \oplus H$ with $T_{2} \subseteq H$.

To establish (1), we must show that $T_{2}$ is a direct summand of $H$. Write $H / T_{2}$ as a direct sum of isomorphic rank one groups, $H / T_{2}=\oplus A_{i}$, and let $A_{i}=\left\langle a_{i}+T_{2}\right\rangle_{*}$ where $h\left(a_{i}+T_{2}\right)=\left(m_{i j}\right), m_{i j}=0$ or $\infty$ for all $i, j$. Since $p\left(H / T_{2}\right)=H / T_{2} \rightarrow\left(T_{2}\right)_{p}=(0)$, the following
implication holds: $\alpha_{i}+T_{2} \in p\left(H / T_{2}\right) \rightarrow a_{i} \in p H$. From this one easily obtains $H=T_{2} \oplus F$, where $F=\left\langle\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right\rangle_{*}$.

Remark. The condition $T_{p} \neq(0)$ for only finitely many $p$ is necessary for the theorem. Let $G=\Pi_{p} Z(p)$. Then $T(G)=\bigoplus_{p} Z(p)$ is not a direct summand of $G$. However, $G / T(G)$ is homogeneous completely decomposable (torsion free divisible) and-as we shall see in Theorem $3-L(G)=E(G)$.

We next characterize reduced algebraically compact multiplicatively faithful groups. If $G$ is reduced algebraically compact, then $G=\Pi_{p} G_{p}$, where each $G_{p}$ is a complete module over $\hat{Z}_{p}$. Since each $G_{p}$ is fully invariant in $G\left(q G_{p}=G_{p}\right.$ for all $\left.q \neq p\right)$ and since $\operatorname{Hom}\left(G_{p} \otimes G_{q}, G_{r}\right)=(0)$ unless $p=q=r$, it follows that $L(G)=E(G)$ iff $L\left(G_{p}\right)=E\left(G_{p}\right)$ for all $p$. Each $G_{p}$ may be written as a completion: $G_{p}=\left(B_{p}^{0} \oplus B_{p}\right)^{\wedge}$, where $B_{p}^{0}=\bigoplus_{\alpha \in I}\left(\hat{Z_{p}}\right)_{\alpha}, B_{p}=\bigoplus_{\beta \in J} Z\left(p^{k_{\beta}}\right), 0<k_{\beta}<\infty$. (See [2], § 40 for details.)

Theorem 3. Let $G$ be reduced algebraically compact. Then $G$ is multiplicatively faithful iff, for each $p$, either $B_{p}^{\circ} \neq(0)$ or $G_{p}$ is bounded.

Proof. If $G_{p}$ is bounded, then $L\left(G_{p}\right)=E\left(G_{p}\right)$ by Theorem 1. If $B_{p}^{\circ} \neq(0)$, write $B_{p}^{0}=\hat{Z}_{p} \oplus B^{\prime}$. Then $G_{p}=\left(\hat{Z}_{p} \oplus B^{\prime} \oplus B_{p}\right)^{\wedge}$. Since $\hat{Z}_{p}$ is algebraically compact and pure in $G_{p}$ ([2], Th. 41.7, 41.9), we have $G_{p}=\hat{Z}_{p} \oplus G^{\prime}$. Since $G_{p}$ is a unital $\hat{Z}_{p}$ module, (D) gives $L\left(G_{p}\right)=E\left(G_{p}\right)$.

Conversely, suppose $G$ is reduced, algebraically compact and multiplicatively faithful. Then $L\left(G_{p}\right)=E\left(G_{p}\right)$ for all $p$. If for some $p B_{p}^{0}=(0)$, then $B_{p}=\bigoplus_{\beta \in J} Z\left(p^{k_{\beta}}\right) \subseteq T \subseteq G_{p} \subseteq \Pi_{\beta \in J} Z\left(p^{k_{\beta}}\right)$, where $T$ is the torsion subgroup of the direct product. ( $T \subseteq \hat{B}_{p}=G_{p}$.) Now, $G_{p} / T$ is torsion free divisible, thus homogeneous completely decomposable. Moreover, $T$ is a $p$-group, and $L\left(G_{p}\right)=E\left(G_{p}\right)$. Theorem 2 applies to give a splitting $G_{p}=T \oplus F . \quad$ Since $G_{p}=\widehat{T}, F=(0)$. Thus, $G_{p}$ is a reduced algebraically compact torsion group, and is, therefore, bounded ([2], Cor. 40.3).

For the rest of the paper, we consider torsion free groups. First, we do the completely decomposable case.

Theorem 4. Let $G=\bigoplus_{\lambda \in A} A_{\lambda}$, where each $A_{\lambda}$ is torsion free rank one. Then $L(G)=E(G)$ iff there exist subsets $\Lambda, \cdots, \Lambda_{n}$ of the index set $\Lambda$ and rank one groups $A_{\lambda_{1}}, \cdots, A_{\lambda_{n}}, \lambda_{i} \in \Lambda_{i}$, with (1) $\Lambda=$ $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i}$ and (2) $t\left(A_{\lambda_{i}}\right)+t\left(A_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right) \leqq t\left(A_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)$ for all $\lambda^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{i}, i=1, \cdots, n$.

Proof. Suppose $\Lambda_{1}, \cdots, \Lambda_{n} ; A_{\lambda_{1}}, \cdots, A_{\lambda_{n}}$ exist satisfying the above
conditions. Without loss of generality, assume $\Lambda_{1}, \cdots, \Lambda_{n}$ are disjoint. Put $\lambda^{\prime}=\lambda_{i}$ in (2) to see that each $t\left(A_{\lambda_{i}}\right)$ is idempotent. Thus, each $A_{\lambda_{i}}$ can be made into a rank one ring with identity. Let $G_{i}=\bigoplus_{\lambda_{\in A_{i}}} G_{\lambda}$. Due to (2), each $G_{i}$ can be regarded (in the natural way) as a unital $A_{\lambda_{i}}$ module. So we have $G=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}$ is a unital $A$ module with $A=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} A_{\lambda_{i}}$ (ring direct sum). Since $A$ is a (group) direct summand of $G$, (D) applies.

Now suppose $G=\oplus_{\lambda \in A} A_{\lambda}$ with $L(G)=E(G)$. Choose $u \in G$, $\sigma \in$ Mult $G$ such that $\sigma \circ\left(u \boldsymbol{\otimes}_{-}\right)=1_{G}$. Write $u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{\lambda_{i}}, a_{\lambda_{i}} \in A_{\lambda_{i}}$. Then, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda, \pi \sigma\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} A_{\lambda_{i}} \otimes A_{\lambda}\right)=A_{\lambda}$ when $\pi$ is the projection from $G$ onto $A_{2}$. Thus, for each $\lambda$, there exists at least one $i, 1 \leqq i \leqq n$, with $t\left(A_{\lambda_{i}} \otimes A_{\lambda}\right)=t\left(A_{\lambda_{i}}\right)+t\left(A_{\lambda}\right) \leqq t\left(A_{\lambda}\right)$. The desired partition of $\Lambda$ now easily can be constructed.

Let $G$ be an almost completely decomposable rank two torsion free group, i.e., $G \supseteq A \bigoplus B \supseteq d G$ for some $d \in Z^{+}$and rank one subgroups $A, B$ of $G$. We will obtain a numerical condition to show when such a $G$ is multiplicatively faithful. We may assume $t(A)$ and $t(B)$ are incomparable. (If $t(A)$ and $t(B)$ are comparable, then $G \cong A \oplus B$ by Theorem 9.6 of [1]. If $G \cong A \bigoplus B$, Theorem 4 gives a complete description of when $G$ is multiplicatively faithful.)

Let $A=\langle a\rangle_{*}, B=\langle b\rangle_{*}$ and let $d$ be the minimal positive integer with $d G \subseteq A \oplus B$. It is easy to show that $G=\langle A \oplus B, a+n b / d\rangle \subseteq$ $Q \oplus Q$ where $n$ is an integer with $(n, d)=1 . \quad(G / A \oplus B \cong Z(d)$.)

Let $h_{p}(x)$ be the $p$-component of the height sequence of $x$ and let $\Pi_{A}=\left\{p \mid h_{p}(a)=\infty\right\}, \Pi_{B}=\left\{p \mid h_{p}(b)=\infty\right\}$. It is also easy to show that $p \in \Pi_{A} \cup \Pi_{B} \rightarrow(p, d)=1$. Let $S$ be the multiplicative subgroup of $Z(d)^{*}$. generated by $\Pi_{A} \cup \Pi_{B}$.

Theorem 5. Let $G=\langle A \oplus B, a+n b / d\rangle$ be as above. Then $L(G)=$ $E(G)$ iff $t(A)$ and $t(B)$ are idempotent and $n \in S$.

Proof. Suppose $L(G)=E(G)$. If either $A$ or $B-A$ say-had nil type, then $A G=G A=(0)$ for any multiplication on $G$. (Recall that $t(A), t(B)$ are incomparable.) Thus, $1_{G}$ could not be represented as a left multiplication for any ring on $G$. Since $L(G)=E(G)$ we must have $t(A), t(B)$ idempotent.

Since $t(A), t(B)$ are idempotent we can assume, without loss of generality, that $h_{p}(a)=0, p \notin \Pi_{A}, h_{p}(b)=0, p \notin \Pi_{B}$. Choose $\sigma \in \operatorname{Mult}(G)$, $x=\alpha a+\beta b \in G, \alpha, \beta \in Q$, such that the following is a commutative diagram:


Let $\bar{\Pi}_{A}=\left\{m \in Z \mid m=p_{1}^{e_{1}} \cdots p_{k}^{e_{k}}, p_{i} \in \Pi_{A}\right\}$ and define $\bar{\Pi}_{B}$ similarly. Since $t(A), t(B)$ are incomparable, we have $\sigma(a \otimes b)=\sigma(b \otimes a)=0$; $\sigma(a \otimes a)=(c / h) a, h \in \bar{\Pi}_{A} ; \sigma(b \otimes b)=(e / k) b, k \in \bar{\Pi}_{B}$. Let $y=a+n b / d$. Then

$$
\sigma(y \otimes y)=\frac{1}{d^{2}}\left[\frac{c}{h} a+\frac{n^{2} e}{k} b\right] \in G .
$$

Since $d$ is relatively prime both to $n^{2}$ and to anything in $\bar{\Pi}_{A} \cup \bar{\Pi}_{B}$, we must have $c=c^{\prime} d, e=e^{\prime} d$

$$
\frac{1}{d}\left[\frac{c^{\prime}}{h} a+\frac{n^{2} e^{\prime}}{k} b\right] \in G .
$$

But $1 / d[a+n b] \in G$. A short computation yields: $n^{2} e^{\prime} / k-n c^{\prime} / h \equiv 0(d)$. Since $(n, d)=1$, we have $n e^{\prime} h-c^{\prime} k \equiv 0(d)$.

Now $\sigma[x \otimes a]=\sigma[(\alpha a+\beta b) \otimes a]=\alpha \sigma(a \otimes a)=\alpha\left(c^{\prime} d / h\right) a=$ $1_{G}(a)=a$, so $\alpha=h / c^{\prime} d$. Similarly, $\beta=k / e^{\prime} d$. Since $\alpha a+\beta b \in G$, we must have $c^{\prime} \in \bar{\Pi}_{A}, e^{\prime} \in \bar{\Pi}_{B}$. But then $n \equiv c^{\prime} k / e^{\prime} h(d)$, so $n \in S$. This shows the two conditions of our theorem are necessary for $L(G)=E(G)$.

Conversely, suppose $t(A), t(B)$ are idempotent and $n \in S$. Let $a, b$ be as before. Let $\lambda \in E(G)$. Since $t(A), t(B)$ are incomparable, $\lambda(a)=(m / h) a, \lambda(b)=(t / k) b ; h \in \bar{\Pi}_{A}, k \in \bar{\Pi}_{B} . \quad$ Now $\lambda(y)=1 / d[(m / h) a+$ $(n t / k) b] \in G$, so we must have $m k-t h \equiv 0(d)$.

Since $n \in S$, it is easy to choose $c, c_{1} \in \bar{\Pi}_{A}, e, e_{1} \in \bar{\Pi}_{B}$ such that $n e c_{1} \equiv c e_{1}(d)$.

Let $\sigma$ be defined by $\sigma(a \otimes a)=\left(d c / c_{1}\right) a, \sigma(b \otimes b)=\left(d e / e_{1}\right) b, \sigma(a \otimes b)=$ $\sigma(b \otimes a)=0$. To show $\sigma[G \otimes G] \cong G$, it is enough to check that $\sigma(y \otimes a), \sigma(a \otimes y), \sigma(y \otimes b), \sigma(b \otimes y)$ and $\sigma(y \otimes y)$ are all in $G$. All of these elements are obviously in $G$ except the last one, and

$$
\sigma(y \otimes y)=\frac{1}{d^{2}}\left[\frac{d c}{c_{1}} a+\frac{n^{2} d e}{e_{1}} b\right]=\frac{1}{d}\left[\frac{c}{c_{1}} a+n^{2} \frac{e}{e_{1}} b\right] .
$$

This is in $G$ iff $n\left(c / c_{1}\right) \equiv n^{2}\left(e / e_{1}\right)(d)$, which is true by choice $c, c_{1}, e, e_{1}$. Thus, $\sigma \in$ Mult $G$.

Now let

$$
g=\frac{1}{d}\left[\frac{c_{1} m}{h e} a+\frac{e_{1} t}{k e} b\right] .
$$

It follows directly that $\sigma \circ\left(g \boldsymbol{\theta}_{-}\right)=\lambda$. (One need only check this identity on the independent set $\{a, b\}$.) It remains to show that $g \in G$. Now $g \in G$ iff $n\left[c_{1} m / h c\right] \equiv e_{1} t / k e(d)$. This congruence is easy to derive from $n e c_{1} \equiv c e_{1}(d)$ and $m k \equiv t h(d)$, both of which are given. Thus, $g \in G, g_{i}^{o}=\lambda$, and $G$ is multiplicatively faithful.

The above theorem can be used to construct an example which shows that multiplicative faithfulness is not a quasi-isomorphism invariant for torsion free groups. Let $A=\left\{\left(m / 3^{k}\right) a \mid m, k \in Z\right\}$, $B=\left\{\left(m /(11)^{k}\right) b \mid m, k \in Z\right\}$, and let $G=\langle A \oplus B, a+2 b / 61\rangle$. Then $\Pi_{A}=\{3\}, \Pi_{B}=\{11\}$ and $2 \notin\left\langle\Pi_{A} \cup \Pi_{B}\right\rangle \subseteq Z(61)^{*} . \quad G$ is not multiplicatively faithful by Theorem 5. $A \oplus B$ is multiplicatively faithful by Theorem 4. $G$ is quasi-isomorphic to $A \oplus B$, since $G \supseteqq A \bigoplus B \supseteq$ 61G.

We give a name to a common occurence for torsion free groups.
Definition 2. Let $p$ be a prime and $A$ a rank one subgroup of a torsion free group $G$. $A$ is called $p$-dense in $G$ iff $p(G / A)=G / A$ and $G$ is $p$-reduced.

Theorem 6. Let $A$ be p-dense in $G$ for some prime $p$. Let $0 \neq a \in A$ and let $\Delta, \Gamma \in \operatorname{Mult} G$ be such that $a_{l}^{A}=a_{l}^{\Gamma}$. Then $\Delta=\Gamma$.

Proof. Since $A$ is $p$-dense, Hom $(G / A \otimes G, G)=(0)$. But then also $\operatorname{Hom}(G /\langle a\rangle \otimes G, G)=(0)$, since $A /\langle a\rangle \otimes G$ is the torsion subgroup of $G /\langle a\rangle \otimes G$ and $G$ is torsion free.

The exact sequence: $0 \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{a \otimes_{-}} G \otimes G \rightarrow G /\langle a\rangle \otimes G \rightarrow 0$ yields: $0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(G /\langle a\rangle \otimes G, G) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mult} G \xrightarrow{\theta} E(G)$, where $\theta$ is given by $\theta(\Delta)=$ $\Delta \circ\left(a \bigotimes_{-}\right)=a_{l}^{A} \in E(G)$. Since $\operatorname{Hom}(G /\langle a\rangle \otimes G, G)=(0), \theta$ is $1-1$.
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