

## SOME RESULTS ON NORMALITY OF A GRADED RING

WEI-EIHN KUAN

Let  $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$  be a graded domain and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a homogeneous prime ideal in  $R$ . Let  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be the localization of  $R$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})} = \{r_i/s_i \mid r_i, s_i \in R_i \text{ and } s_i \notin \mathfrak{p}\}$ . If  $R_1 \cap (R - \mathfrak{p}) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a localization of a transcendental extension of  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ . Thus  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is normal (regular) if and only if  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  is normal (regular). Let  $\text{Proj}(R) = \{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is a homogeneous prime ideal and } \mathfrak{p} \not\subseteq \bigoplus_{i > 0} R_i\}$ . Under certain conditions a Noetherian graded domain  $R$  is normal if  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  is normal for each  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(R)$ . If  $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$  is reduced and  $F_0 = \{r_i/u_i \mid r_i, u_i \in R_i \text{ and } u_i \in U\}$  where  $U$  is the set of all nonzero divisors is Noetherian, then the integral closure of  $R$  in the total quotient ring of  $R$  is also graded.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$  be a graded integral domain. Let  $\text{Spec}(R)$  be the set of all prime ideals in  $R$ . Let  $R_+ = \bigoplus_{i > 0} R_i$ .  $R_+$  is an ideal in  $R$ . An ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  in  $R$  is said to be irrelevant if  $R_+ \subset \sqrt{\mathfrak{A}}$ , the radical of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $\text{Proj}(R) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \mathfrak{p} \subset R_+ \text{ is homogeneous and nonirrelevant}\}$ . For each  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ , let  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{r/s \mid s \in R \text{ and } s \notin \mathfrak{p}\}$ , and for each homogeneous prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ , let  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})} = \{r_i/s_i \mid r_i, s_i \in R_i \text{ and } s_i \notin \mathfrak{p}\}$ . (Note:  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  in [1] is defined for  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(R)$  only.) According to the terminology of Seidenberg [9],  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is called the arithmetical local ring of  $R$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  the geometrical local ring of  $R$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$ . I prove that if  $R_1 \cap (R - \mathfrak{p}) \neq \emptyset$  then  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the ring of quotients of a transcendental extension of  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  relative to a multiplicative set,  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is normal (regular) if and only if  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  is normal (regular); see Theorem 2. In the case of an irreducible projective variety  $V$  over a field  $k$  in a projective  $n$ -space  $P^n_k$ ,  $V/k$  is normal if the geometrical local ring of  $V$  at each  $\mathfrak{p} \in V$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_k^{\mathfrak{p}}$  is integrally closed.  $V$  is arithmetically normal if the ring of strictly homogeneous coordinates  $k[V]$  is integrally closed. The latter implies the former. For the converse, various cohomological criteria are developed; see [3], [8], [9]. I attempt to study the normality of a graded domain  $R$  if  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  is normal for every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(R)$ . In this paper, I also obtain the following theorem: Let  $R$  be a Noetherian graded domain, say  $R = R_0[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are of homogeneous degree 1. Assume that  $R_0$  contains a field  $k$  over which  $R_0$  and  $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  are linearly disjoint and separable. Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be the kernel of the canonical map from the polynomial ring  $R_0[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ . Then  $R$  is normal if  $R_0$  is normal,  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  is normal for every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(R)$  and  $\text{coh.d.} \mathfrak{B} \cdot K[X_1, \dots, X_n] < n - 1$ , where  $K$  is the quotient field of  $R_0$ .

In the §4, we prove that under certain conditions on a graded ring  $R$  (not necessarily integral domain) the integral closure  $\bar{R}$  of  $R$  in the total quotient ring of  $R$  is also graded; see Theorem 6.

Our references on the elementary well known facts about graded rings can be found in [1] and [10].

I would like to thank Professor A. Seidenberg for many valuable discussions and suggestions during preparation of the research, while I was on sabbatical leave visiting Berkeley.

I would like also to thank the referee for his comments.

**2. Normality and regularity of local domains.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity 1. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal in  $R$ . By height of  $\mathfrak{p}$ , we mean the supremum of the length of chains of prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_0 \not\supseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 \not\supseteq \mathfrak{p}_2 \not\supseteq \cdots \not\supseteq \mathfrak{p}_n$  with  $\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{p}$  and denote it by  $ht(\mathfrak{p})$ . Let  $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$  be a graded integral domain. Let  $K$  be the quotient field of  $R$ . We say that  $R$  is integrally closed if  $R$  is integrally closed in  $K$ . Let  $K_q = \{f_i/g_j \mid i - j = q; f_i \in R_i, g_j \in R_j\}$ .  $K_0$  is a field,  $\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} K_q$  is a subring of  $K$  and the sum is direct, where  $\mathbb{Z}$  stands for the set of integers. Elements in  $K_q$  are known as homogeneous elements of  $K$  of degree  $q$ . The following theorem was originally proved in [9] for projective varieties. We observe that the same holds true for non-Noetherian graded domain also.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$  be a graded domain. Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$  be nonhomogeneous. If  $ht(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$  then  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is integrally closed.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{p}^*$  be the ideal generated by all the homogeneous elements of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . By [10, Lemma 3, p. 153]  $\mathfrak{p}^*$  is a prime ideal and  $\mathfrak{p} \not\supseteq \mathfrak{p}^* \cong 0$ . Since  $ht(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}^* = 0$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{p}$  contains no homogeneous element. Thus every nonzero homogeneous element  $u$  is in  $R - \mathfrak{p}$ . It follows therefore  $\bigoplus_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} K_q \subset R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $f \in K$  be integral over  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Then there exists  $h \in R - \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $fh$  is integral over  $R$ . It follows from [10, Theorem 11, p. 157] that each of the homogeneous components is integral over  $R$ . By the preceding, each homogeneous component of  $f \cdot h$  is in  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Therefore  $f \cdot h \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $f \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Thus  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is integrally closed.

Let  $y \in K_1$  be any nonzero element. If  $\xi \in K_q$ , then  $\xi/y^q \in K_0$ . Moreover  $R \subset K_0[y]$ ,  $K = K_0(y)$ ,  $y$  is transcendental over  $K_0$ ,  $K_q = K_0 y^q$  and  $\bigoplus_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} K_q = K_0[y, 1/y]$ . We have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.**<sup>†</sup> *Let  $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$  with that  $R_1 \neq 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a homogeneous prime ideal such that there exists an element  $r_1 \in R_1 - \mathfrak{p}$ . Then*

<sup>†</sup> Professor A. Seidenberg remarks that the present Theorem 2 strengthens Lemma 2 of [9; p. 618] and corrects its proof.

- (a)  $K_0$  is the quotient field of  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  and  $K_0 \cap R_{\mathfrak{p}} = R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ .
- (b)  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  is integrally closed in  $K_0$  implies that  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  is integrally closed in  $K$ .
- (c)  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = (R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1])_S$ , where  $S = R - \mathfrak{p}$ ;  $r_1$  is transcendental over  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ .
- (d)  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is integrally closed in  $K$  if and only if  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  is integrally closed in  $K_0$ .
- (e)  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  is regular if and only if  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is regular.

*Proof.* By definition  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})} \subset K_0$ . Let  $x \in K_0$ ,  $x = f_i/g_i$  for some  $f_i, g_i \in R_i$  and  $g_i \neq 0$ . Then  $x = f_i/g_i = (f_i/r_i^t)/(g_i/r_i^t)$ , since  $f_i/r_i^t$  and  $g_i/r_i^t$  are both in  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ . Therefore  $x$  is in the quotient field of  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ . Thus  $K_0$  is the quotient field of  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ . For the second part of (a) we need only to prove that  $K_0 \cap R_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ . Let  $x \in K_0 \cap R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Then  $x = f_i/g_i$  for some  $f_i, g_i \in R_i$  with  $g_i \neq 0$ . On the other hand  $x = (r_j + r_{j+1} + \dots + r_{j+m}) / (s_l + s_{l+1} + \dots + s_{l+m})$  with  $s_l + s_{l+1} + \dots + s_{l+m} \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . Then there exists an index  $l+t$  such that  $s_{l+t} \notin \mathfrak{p}$ .  $f_i \cdot (s_l + s_{l+1} + \dots + s_{l+m}) = g_i(r_j + r_{j+1} + \dots + r_{j+k})$  implies that  $l = j$ ,  $m = k$  and  $f_i \cdot s_{l+t} = g_i \cdot r_{l+t}$ . Thus  $x = f_i/g_i = r_{l+t}/s_{l+t}$  i.e.  $x \in R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ . Therefore  $K_0 \cap R_{\mathfrak{p}} = R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ .

(b) If  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  is integrally closed in  $K_0$ , then, since  $K = K_0(r_1)$  and  $r_1$  is transcendental over  $K_0$  as noted in the preceding,  $K_0$  is algebraically closed in  $K$  and  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  is thus integrally closed in  $K$ .

(c) As noted in (b),  $r_1$  is transcendental over  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ . Let  $f \in R$  be an element. Then  $f = f_r + f_{r+1} + \dots + f_n$  where  $f_i \in R_i$  for some nonnegative integers  $r$  and  $n$ . But  $f = (f_r/r_1^r)r_1^r + (f_{r+1}/r_1^{r+1})r_1^{r+1} + \dots + (f_n/r_1^n)r_1^n \in R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1]$ . Therefore  $R \subset R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1]$ . Thus  $S = R - \mathfrak{p}$  is a multiplicative set in  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1]$ . Now let  $f/g \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ,  $g \in R - \mathfrak{p}$ . Then for some nonnegative integer  $t$  and  $m$ ,

$$\frac{f}{g} = \frac{f_l}{g} + \dots + \frac{f_m}{g} = \frac{1}{g} \left( \left( \frac{f_l}{r_1^t} \right) r_1^t + \left( \frac{f_{l+1}}{r_1^{t+1}} \right) r_1^{t+1} + \dots + \left( \frac{f_m}{r_1^m} \right) r_1^m \right).$$

Therefore  $f/g \in (R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1])_S$  i.e.  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset (R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1])_S$ . The other inclusion is obvious. Thus  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = (R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1])_S$ .

(d) Now, if  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  is integrally closed in  $K$ , then clearly  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = (R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1])_S$ , being a localization of transcendental extension of an integrally closed domain, is integrally closed. Conversely if  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is integrally closed in  $K$ , let  $f \in K_0$  be an integral element over  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ . Then  $f \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Thus  $f \in R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap K_0 = R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ , and  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  is integrally closed.

(e) Recall that a ring  $A$  is said to be regular if  $A_m$  is a regular local ring for each maximal ideal  $m$  in  $A$ . It follows from Serre's theorem [5; p. 139] that  $A$  is regular if and only if  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is regular for every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ .

If  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  is a regular local ring, then by [5; Theorem 40, p. 126] the polynomial ring  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1]$  is regular. Since localization of a regular ring is regular therefore  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = (R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1])_S$  is a regular local ring.

Conversely assume that  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = (R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1])_S$  is a regular local ring. Since  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1]$  is a polynomial ring over  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  therefore  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1]$  is  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ -flat.  $(R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1])_S$  is  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1]$ -flat therefore  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ -flat. Thus  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  is Noetherian. The inclusion map  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is obviously a local homomorphism. Therefore it follows from [1; IV, 17.3.3 (i), p. 48] that  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  is a regular local ring.

There are graded rings in which there are homogeneous prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} \cap R_i \neq R_i$ . For example: (1) graded rings which are homogeneous coordinate rings of projective varieties. In this case  $\mathfrak{p} \cap R_i \neq R_i$  for  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(R)$ . (2)  $R = R_0[R_1]$ , a graded ring generated over  $R_0$  by  $R_1$ ; (3) Let  $k[X, Y]$  be a polynomial ring in two indeterminates over a field  $k$ . Let  $R = k[Y] + (X \cdot Y) \cdot k[X, Y]$ .  $R$  has a graded structure  $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots$  with  $R_0 = k$ ,  $R_1 = k \cdot Y$ ;  $R_2 = kY^2 + k(X \cdot Y)$ ,  $R_3 = kY^3 + kX^2Y + kXY^2$ , etc. It follows from the observation that  $(X^i \cdot Y^j)^2 \in R_y$  if  $j \geq 1$  that  $\mathfrak{p} \cap R_i = 0$  for every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(R)$ .

**3. Normality of a graded domain.** In this section, a graded domain  $R$  is normal if it is integrally closed in its field of fractions.

Recall [6; Theorem 8, p. 400]: Let  $\mathfrak{D}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}'$  be two normal rings which contain a field  $k$ . If  $\mathfrak{D}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}'$  are separably generated over  $k$  and if  $\mathfrak{D} \otimes_k \mathfrak{D}'$  is an integral domain, then  $\mathfrak{D} \otimes_k \mathfrak{D}'$  is a normal ring.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $R_0$  be a normal integral domain containing a field  $k$  such that  $R_0$  is separable over  $k$ . Let  $R = R_0[x] = R_0[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be an integral domain finitely generated over  $R_0$  as an  $R_0$ -algebra such that the quotient field  $K$  of  $R_0$  and the quotient field  $k(x)$  of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  are linearly disjoint over  $k$ , and  $k(x)$  separable over  $k$ . Then  $k[x]$  is normal if and only if  $R$  is normal.*

*Proof.* Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be  $n$  indeterminates over  $R_0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the prime ideal in  $k[X] = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  such that  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \cong k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\mathfrak{A}$  and let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be the prime ideal in  $R_0[X] = R_0[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  such that  $R = R_0[X]/\mathfrak{B}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{B} \cdot K[X] \cap R_0[X] = \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B} \cap k[X]$ . Since  $K$  and  $k(x)$  are linearly disjoint over  $k$ , it is well known that  $\mathfrak{A} \cdot K[X] = \mathfrak{B} \cdot K[X]$  and  $\mathfrak{A} \cdot R_0[X] = \mathfrak{B}$ , [4; Corollary 1, p. 67]. We shall use  $\mathfrak{B}$  in both  $R_0[X]$  and  $K[X]$  as the prime ideal determined by  $(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Since  $R_0 \otimes_k k[X] = R_0[X]$ , it follows that  $R_0 \otimes_k k[x] = R_0[x]$ , i.e.  $R_0 \otimes_k k[x]$  is an integral domain. It follows from [6; Theorem 8, p. 400] that  $R_0[x]$  is normal. Conversely if  $R_0[x]$  is normal, then  $R_0[x]_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is normal for each  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R_0[x])$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}^c = \mathfrak{p} \cap k[x]$  for  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R_0[x])$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \cap R_0 = \{0\}$ . Then  $k[x]_{\mathfrak{p}^c}$  is also normal. Indeed let  $\xi \in k(x)$  be integral over  $k[x]_{\mathfrak{p}^c}$ . Since  $k[x]_{\mathfrak{p}^c} \subset R_0[x]_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , therefore  $\xi \in R_0[x]_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Thus  $\xi \in R_0[x]_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap k(x)$ . It is sufficient to show that  $R_0[x]_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap k(x) \subset k[x]_{\mathfrak{p}^c}$ . Let  $S = R_0 - \{0\}$ .  $K[x] = S^{-1}R_0[x]$  and

$S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal in  $K[x]$ .  $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \cap k[x] = \mathfrak{p} \cap k[x]$ . Since  $K$  and  $k(x)$  are linearly disjoint over  $k$ , it follows from [4; Proposition 6, p. 92] that  $K[x]_{S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}} \cap k(x) = k[x]_{\mathfrak{p}^c}$ . Thus  $k[x]_{\mathfrak{p}^c} \supset R_0[x]_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap k(x)$ , and  $k[x]_{\mathfrak{p}^c} = R_0[x]_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap k(x)$ . So  $\xi \in k[x]_{\mathfrak{p}^c}$  and  $k[x]_{\mathfrak{p}^c}$  is therefore normal.

We shall finish the proof by showing that  $\text{Spec}(k[x]) = \{\mathfrak{p} \cap k[x] \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R_0[x]) \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} \cap R_0 = 0\}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}_x$  be a prime ideal. There exists a prime ideal  $Q_x$  in  $K[X]$  such that  $Q_x \cap k[X] = \mathfrak{q}_x$ . Indeed, using Zariski's terminology [10; pp. 21–22 and pp. 161–176], we consider an algebraically closed field  $\Omega$  containing  $K$  and  $\Omega$  is of infinite transcendence degree over  $K$ . Let  $A_n^\Omega$  be the  $n$  dimensional affine space, i.e.  $A_n^\Omega = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid a_1, \dots, a_n \in \Omega\}$ . Every prime ideal  $P$  in  $K[X]$  defines an irreducible algebraic variety  $V$  over  $K$  in  $A_n^\Omega$ . Every irreducible algebraic variety  $V$  over  $K$  carries a generic point  $(\xi) = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in A_n^\Omega$  over  $K$ , and  $P = \{g(X) \in K[X] \mid g(\xi) = 0\}$ . Let  $(\eta) = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in A_n^\Omega$  be a generic point of  $\mathfrak{q}_x$  over  $k$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{q}_x = \{f(X) \in k[X] \mid f(\eta) = 0\}$ . Let  $Q_x = \{F(X) \in K[X] \mid F(\eta) = 0\}$ . Then  $Q_x$  is a prime ideal and  $Q_x \cap k[X] = \mathfrak{q}_x$ . Let  $Q'_x = Q_x \cap R_0[X]$ ,  $Q'_x \cap R_0 = 0$  and  $Q'_x \cap k[X] = \mathfrak{q}_x$ . Since  $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{q}_x \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{B} \cdot K[X] \subset Q_x \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{B} \subset Q'_x$ . Let  $Q' = Q'_x / \mathfrak{B} \subset R_0[x]$ . Then  $Q' \cap k[x] = \mathfrak{q}$ . Thus each prime ideal in  $k[x]$  is the contraction of a prime ideal in  $R_0[x]$  intersecting  $R_0$  at 0.

As the assertion in the last part of the proof of the above theorem will be referred later, we would like to state it as a corollary.

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $R_0$  be an integral domain containing a field  $k$ . Let  $R = R_0[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be an integral domain finitely generated over  $R_0$  as an algebra such that the quotient field  $K$  of  $R_0$  and the quotient field  $k(x)$  of  $k[x] = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  are linearly disjoint over  $k$ . Then  $\text{Spec}(k[x]) = \{\mathfrak{p} \cap k[x] \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R_0[x]) \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} \cap R_0 = 0\}$ . Moreover if  $R$  is graded with  $R_0$  as the component of homogeneous degree 0, then  $\text{Proj}(k[x]) = \{\mathfrak{p} \cap k[x] \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(R_0[x])\} = \{\mathfrak{p} \cap k[x] \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj} K[x]\}$ .*

*Proof* (of the last part). Let  $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}_x$ , and  $Q_x$  be the same as those in the proof of Theorem 3. If  $R$  is a graded domain, then both  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  are homogeneous ideals. If  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a nonirrelevant and homogeneous prime ideal in  $k[x]$ , then so is  $\mathfrak{q}_x$ . Let  $Q_x^*$  be the ideal in  $K[x]$  generated by the homogeneous elements belonging to  $Q_x$ . Then, by [10; Lemma 3, p. 153],  $Q_x^*$  is a prime ideal and clearly  $Q_x^* \cap k[X] = \mathfrak{q}_x$ . Since  $\mathfrak{q}_x$  is nonirrelevant,  $Q_x^*$  is also nonirrelevant, and  $Q_x^* \supset \mathfrak{B}$ . Let  $Q^* = Q_x^* / \mathfrak{B}$ . We have  $Q^* \cap k[x] = \mathfrak{q}$ . Therefore  $\text{Proj}(k[x]) = \{\mathfrak{p} \cap k[x] \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(R) \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} \cap R_0 = 0\}$ .

Let us recall some definitions and facts: Let  $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$  be a graded integral domain.  $R$  is Noetherian if and only if  $R_0$  is Noetherian and  $R$  is an  $R_0$ -algebra of finite type. Let  $\bar{R}$  be the integral closure of  $R$  in its field of quotients  $K$ . Let  $K_i$  be the homogeneous component of  $K$  of

degree  $i$  as defined in §2. Then  $\bar{R}$  is graded with  $\bar{R}_i = \bar{R} \cap K_i$ . Thus if  $R$  is normal then  $R_0$  must be normal.

Corresponding to Krull's characterization of a Noetherian domain being normal [7; (12.9), p. 41], we have the following theorem for normality of a Noetherian graded domain.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $R$  be a graded Noetherian domain such that  $R_i - \mathfrak{p} \neq \emptyset$  for each homogeneous prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of ht 1 in  $R$ . If (1)  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  is normal for every homogeneous prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of height 1 and (2) the associated prime ideals of every nonzero homogeneous ideal are of height 1, then  $R$  is normal.*

*Proof.* We first note that it follows from condition (1), Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 that  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is normal for every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$  and  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ . Let  $K, \bar{R}$  and  $\bar{R}_i$  be the same as defined in the preceding. Let  $\alpha \in \bar{R}$ ,  $\alpha = \sum_{i=m}^n \alpha_i$  for some nonnegative integers  $m$  and  $n$  and  $\alpha_i \in \bar{R}_i$ . Let  $\alpha_i = b_{ij}/a_{il}$  where  $j - l = i$ ,  $b_{ij} \in R_j$  and  $a_{il} \in R_l$ . If  $a_{il}$  is a unit in  $R$  then  $\alpha_i \in R$ . If  $a_{il}$  is a nonunit, then the nonzero homogeneous principal ideal  $(a_{il})R$  has a primary decomposition  $\bigcap_{t=1}^u \mathfrak{q}_t$  with  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_u$  as the associated prime ideals. In view of [10; Theorem 9 and Corollary; pp. 153–154] we may assume that  $\mathfrak{q}_t$ 's and  $\mathfrak{p}_t$ 's are homogeneous, (2) implies that  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_t) = 1$  for  $t = 1, 2, \dots, u$ . Thus  $R_{\mathfrak{p}_t}$  is normal for  $t = 1, 2, \dots, u$ .  $\alpha_i$  is integral over  $R$  implies that  $\alpha_i$  is integral over  $R_{\mathfrak{p}_t}$  for  $t = 1, 2, \dots, u$ . Hence  $\alpha_i \in R_{\mathfrak{p}_t}$  for  $t = 1, 2, \dots, u$ . Therefore  $b_{ij} \in \bigcap_{t=1}^u ((a_{il})R_{\mathfrak{p}_t} \cap R) = \bigcap_{t=1}^u \mathfrak{q}_t = (a_{il})R$ . Thus  $\alpha_i = b_{ij}/a_{il} \in R$  and  $\alpha = \sum_{i=m}^n \alpha_i \in R$ .  $R$  is therefore normal.

Let  $A = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be a polynomial ring over a field  $K$ . The smallest integer  $d$  such that any chain of syzygies of the  $A$ -module  $M$  terminates at  $(d + 1)$ th step is called the cohomological dimension of  $M$  and is denoted by  $\text{coh.d.}(M)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A} \subset A$  be a homogeneous ideal such that  $\mathfrak{A} \neq (0)$ ,  $\neq (1)$ ,  $\text{coh.d.}(\mathfrak{A}) \leq n$  and it is  $n$  if and only if  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)A$  is an associated prime ideal of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $l$  be a form in  $A$ , and  $l \notin K$ . If  $\mathfrak{A} : l = \mathfrak{A}$  then  $\text{coh.d.}(\mathfrak{A}, l) = 1 + \text{coh.d.}(\mathfrak{A})$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$  be a Noetherian graded integral domain generated over  $R_0$  by nonzero homogeneous elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of degree 1. Assume that  $R_0$  contains a subfield  $k$  over which  $R_0$  and  $k(x) = k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  are linearly disjoint and  $R_0$  is normal. Assume  $\text{tr.deg}_k k(x) > 0$ . Let  $R_0[X] = R_0[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be the polynomial ring over  $R_0$  in indeterminates  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be the ideal such that  $R_0[x] \cong R_0[X]/\mathfrak{B}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B} \cap k[X]$ , and let  $S = R_0 - \{0\}$ .*

(1) *If, for each  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(R_0[x])$ ,  $R_0[x]_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  is normal and  $\text{coh.d.} S^{-1}\mathfrak{B} < n - 1$ , then  $k[x]$  is normal.*

(2) *If  $R_0$  and  $k(x)$  are both separable over  $k$ , and if  $R_0[x]_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  is normal*

for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(R_0[x])$ , and  $\text{coh.d.}S^{-1}\mathfrak{B} < n - 1$  then  $R_0[x]$  is normal.

(3) If  $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  is normal for each  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(R)$  and if  $\text{coh.d.}\mathfrak{B} \cdot S^{-1}R_0[X] = n - 1$  then  $R_0[x]$  is not normal.

*Proof.* (1) Both  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  are homogeneous ideals,  $k[x]$  is graded. As projective scheme  $\text{Proj}(R_0[x]) \cong \text{Proj}((S^{-1}R_0)[x])$  [1, Prop. (2.4.7), p. 30]. Therefore  $(S^{-1}R_0)[x]$  is locally normal, i.e.  $(S^{-1}R_0)[x]_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  is normal for each  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(S^{-1}R_0[x])$ . Since  $\text{tr.deg.}S^{-1}R_0[x] > 0$ . If  $\text{coh.d.}S^{-1}\mathfrak{B} < n - 1$ , by [9, Theorem 3, p. 619],  $S^{-1}R_0[x]$  is normal. Therefore  $S^{-1}R_0[x]_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is normal for every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(S^{-1}R_0[x])$ . Since  $(S^{-1}R_0)[x]_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap k(x) = k[x]_{\mathfrak{p}^c}$  as shown in the preceding, where  $\mathfrak{p}^c = \mathfrak{p} \cap k[x]$ .  $k[x]_{\mathfrak{p}^c}$  is normal. By the Corollary to Theorem 3,  $\text{Spec}(k[x]) = \{\mathfrak{p}^c \mid \mathfrak{p}^c \in \text{Spec}(S^{-1}R_0)[x]\}$ , we have that  $k[x]_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is normal for every  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(k[x])$ . Therefore  $k[x]$  is normal.

(2) By (1),  $k[x]$  is normal.  $R_0$  is normal. It follows from Theorem 3,  $R_0[x]$  is normal.

(3) If  $\text{coh.d.}\mathfrak{B} \cdot S^{-1}R_0[X] = n - 1$ , then it is well known that for a form  $l$  in  $R_0[X]$  prime to  $\mathfrak{B}$  i.e.  $\mathfrak{B} : l = \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\text{coh.d.}(\mathfrak{B}, l) \cdot S^{-1}R_0[X] = n$ . Therefore  $(\mathfrak{B}, l) \cdot S^{-1}R_0[X]$  has  $(X) \cdot S^{-1}R_0[X]$  as an associated prime ideal. Since  $\dim \mathfrak{B} \cdot S^{-1}R_0[X] > 0$ ,  $(\mathfrak{B}, l)S^{-1}R_0[X]$  has an embedded associated prime. On the other hand, it is easy to see that  $(X)S^{-1}R_0[X] \cap R_0[X] = (X)R_0[X]$ . Therefore it follows from [5, Lemma 7c, p. 50] that  $(\mathfrak{B}, l)R_0[X]$  has  $(X)R_0[X]$  as an embedded associated prime ideal. Let  $(\bar{l})R_0[X] = (\mathfrak{B}, l)R_0[X]/\mathfrak{B}$ . Therefore  $(\bar{l})R_0[x]$  is a principal homogeneous ideal having  $(x) \cdot R_0[x]$  as an embedded associated prime ideal. It follows from Theorem 4 that  $R$  is not normal.

**4. Integral closure of a graded ring.** In this section, we study a general graded ring,  $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ . Let  $F$  be the total quotient ring of  $R$ , and let  $\bar{R}$  be the integral closure of  $R$  in  $F$ . In case of a graded domain, the integral closure  $\bar{R}$  of  $R$  in its quotient field  $K$  is again graded and  $\bar{R}_i = \bar{R} \cap K_i$  for  $i \geq 0$ . We investigate  $\bar{R}$  when  $R$  is not an integral domain. A ring  $R$  is normal if  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an integral domain and integrally closed in its quotient field for each  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ .

Let  $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ . Let  $U$  be the set of all nonzero divisors of  $R$ . Let  $F$  be the total quotient ring and let  $F_i = \{r_i/u_j \mid r_i \in R_i, u_j \in R_j \cap U, l - j = i\}$ . These are the notations going to be used in the sequel.

**THEOREM 6.** Assume  $U \cap R_l \neq \emptyset$  and let  $u_i \in U \cap R_l$ . Then (1) the ring  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i$  is a direct sum, and  $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i = F_0[u_1, 1/u_1]$ ,  $F = F_0[u_1]_U$ ,  $u_i$  is algebraically independent over  $F_0$ , and  $F_i = F_0 \cdot u_i^i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $F_0$  is Noetherian then so is  $F$ . (2)  $F_0$  is reduced, i.e.  $F_0$  has no nonzero nilpotent element, if and only if  $R$  is reduced. (3) If  $R$  is reduced and  $F_0$  is

Noetherian, then  $F_0[u_1]$  is integrally closed in  $F$ . (4) If  $R$  is reduced and  $F_0$  is Noetherian, then  $\bar{R}$  is a graded subring of  $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i$ .

*Proof.* (1) It follows from the definition of  $F_i$ 's that each  $F_i$  is an additive group and  $F_i \cdot F_j \subset F_{i+j}$ .  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i$  is a ring. Let  $f_k + \dots + f_s \in \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i$ . Suppose  $f_k + \dots + f_s = 0$ . Let  $f_m = r_m/u_{j_m}$  where  $l_m - j_m = m$  and  $m = k, \dots, s$ . Let  $u = \prod_{m=k}^s u_{j_m}$ . Then  $uf_k + \dots + uf_s = 0$  in  $R$ , and  $uf_k, \dots, uf_s$  are homogeneous elements of distinct degrees. Therefore  $uf_k = \dots = uf_s = 0$ . Thus  $f_k = \dots = f_s = 0$ , and the sum  $\sum F_i$  is therefore a direct sum. Let  $f_k \in F_k$ . Then  $f_k/u_1^k \in F_0$ . Therefore  $f_k \in F_0 \cdot u_1^k$  and  $F_k = F_0 \cdot u_1^k$ . Hence  $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i = F_0[u_1, 1/u_1]$ . For any  $f \in F$ ,

$$f = (f_k + \dots + f_s)/u = \frac{1}{u} \left( \frac{f_k}{u_1^k} u_1^k + \dots + \frac{f_s}{u_1^s} u_1^s \right).$$

Therefore  $F = F_0[u_1, 1/u_1]_U = F_0[u_1]_U$ .  $u_1$  is algebraically independent over  $F_0$ . Indeed, let  $a_0 u_1^n + a_1 u_1^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0$ , where  $a_i \in F_0$  and  $a_0 \neq 0$ . Writing  $a_i = r_i/u_{j_i}$  with  $l_i - j_i = i$ , we have  $a_i u_1^{n-i} \in F_{n-i}$ . Therefore  $a_i u_1^{n-i} = 0$ , and  $a_i = 0$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore  $u_1$  is algebraically independent over  $F_0$ .

If  $F_0$  is Noetherian, then so is  $F_0[u_1]$ . Now  $F = F_0[u_1]_U$ . Therefore  $F$  is also Noetherian.

(2) It is obvious that  $R$  is reduced implies that  $F_0$  is reduced. Conversely, we note if  $(x_m/u_1^m)^n = 0$ , then  $x_m = 0$ . Also if  $y_m \in R_m$  such that  $y_m^n = 0$  then  $(y_m/u_1^m) = 0$ . Thus  $y_m = 0$ . Now let  $y$  be a nilpotent element in  $R$ . Write  $y = y_k + \dots + y_s$ . For some positive integer  $b$ ,  $y^b = (y_k + \dots + y_s)^b = 0$ . Thus  $y_k^b = 0$  and then  $(y_{k+1} + \dots + y_s)^b = 0$  and so on we get  $y_m^b = y_{m+1}^b = \dots = y_s^b = 0$ , so  $y_m = \dots = y_s = 0$ . Therefore  $y = 0$  and  $R$  is reduced.

(3)  $F_0$  is reduced. It follows from that  $F = F_0[u_1]_U$  and that  $u_1$  is transcendental over  $F_0$ , the nonzero divisors of  $F_0$  are the same as the nonzero divisors of  $R$  in  $F_0$ . Let  $U_0$  be the set of all nonzero divisors of  $F_0$ . Let  $u_0 \in U_0$ , then  $u_0 = r_m/u_m$  where  $u_m \in U$  and  $r_m \in R_m$ . Moreover  $r_m \in U$  also. Thus  $u_0$  is a unit i.e.  $U_0$  is a multiplicative group in  $F_0$ . Hence the total quotient ring  $(F_0)_{U_0} = F_0$ . Since  $F_0$  is Noetherian and reduced, therefore,  $F_0 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s G_i$  where  $G_i$ 's are fields. It follows from [2; Proposition (6.5.2), p. 146] that  $F_0$  is normal.

It follows from [5; Proposition (1.7.8), p. 116] that  $F_0[u_1]$  is normal. Since  $F_0[u_1]$  is a polynomial ring in  $u_1$ , and  $F_0$  is reduced, therefore  $F_0[u_1]$  is also reduced.  $F_0$  is Noetherian implies that  $F$  is Noetherian. Then  $F = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H_i$  where  $H_i$ 's are fields. Thus it follows from [2; Proposition (6.5.2), p. 146] that  $F_0[u_1]$  is integrally closed.

Note: Let  $A = Z/(4)[X]$ , the polynomial ring in  $X$  over  $Z/(4)$ .  $Z/(4)$  is integrally closed, while  $A$  is not. Indeed, let  $y = (x + 1)/(x - 1)$ ,  $y^2 - 1 = 0$ ,  $y \notin A$ .

(4) Let  $x \in \bar{R}$ . Since  $R \subset R_0[u_i]$ ,  $x$  is integral over  $F_0[u_i]$ . By (3),  $\bar{R} \subset F_0[u_i]$ . The rest of the proof is practically the same argument used in the proof of [10; Theorem 11, p. 157]. We summarize the proof: Let  $x \in \bar{R}$ ,  $x = x_k + \cdots + x_s$ ,  $k \leq s$ ,  $x_k \neq 0$  is called the initial homogeneous term. We want to show that each  $x_i$ ,  $i = k, \cdots, s$ , is integral over  $R$  also. Since  $x \in \bar{R} \subset \Sigma F_i$ , there exists  $u_m \in R_m \cap U$  for some positive integer  $m$ , such that  $u_m x \in R$ . Case (a), if  $R$  is Noetherian, then  $R[x]$  is a finite  $R$ -module. There exists an integer  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $u_m^\lambda x^i \in R$  for all integer  $i \geq 0$ . Let  $d = u_m^\lambda$ . Then  $dR[x] \subset R$ . The initial homogeneous term  $dx^i$  is  $dx_k^i$ .  $dx^i \in R$  implies  $dx_k^i \in R$ . Therefore  $x_k^i \in (1/d)R$ , a Noetherian  $R$ -module. Therefore  $R[x_k] \subset R \cdot 1/d$  is a Noetherian  $R$ -submodule. Therefore  $x_k$  is integral over  $R$ . Repeating that argument to  $x - x_k = x_{k+1} + \cdots + x_s$ , we conclude that  $x_i \in \bar{R}$  for  $i = k, \cdots, s$ . Therefore  $\bar{R}$  is graded in this case. Next we look at case (b):  $R$  is not Noetherian. Let  $x \in \bar{R}$ , and  $x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0$  where  $a_1, \cdots, a_n \in R$ . As in case (a), there is a homogeneous nonzero divisor  $d \in R$  such that  $dx_k^i \in R$ . Let  $\{y_1, \cdots, y_N\} = \{d, dx_k, \text{ and homogeneous components of } a_i\}$ . Let  $A = k[y_1, \cdots, y_N]$ , where  $k = Z$  or  $Z/(n)$  according to whether  $R$  is of characteristic 0 or  $n > 0$ .  $A \subset R$ . Let  $A_q = A \cap R_q$ . Then  $A = \Sigma A_a$  is a graded subring of  $R$ .  $U \cap A$  contains  $d$ . Therefore  $A_{U \cap A}$ , the total quotient ring of  $A$ , contains  $x_k$ , and hence contains  $x$  also. Thus the above integral relation takes place in  $A_{U \cap A}$ . Since  $A$  is Noetherian, therefore case (a) is applicable. Therefore  $x_k$  is integral over  $A$ . hence  $x_k$  is integral over  $R$ .

## REFERENCES

1. A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné, *Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique II*, IV Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 8 (1961), No. 20 (1964).
2. ———, *Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1971).
3. R. Hartshorne, *Introduction to Algebraic Geometry*, Chapter III, Lecture Notes, University of California, Berkeley (1973).
4. S. Lang, *Introduction to Algebraic Geometry*, Interscience, New York (1964).
5. H. Matsumura, *Commutative Algebra*, W. A. Benjamin Inc., New York (1970).
6. M. Nagata, *A general theory of algebraic geometry over Dedekind domains II*, Amer. J. Math., 80 (1958), pp. 382–420.
7. ———, *Local rings*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
8. Y. Nakai, *On the arithmetic normality of hyperplane sections of algebraic varieties*, *Memoirs of the College of Science, University of Kyoto, Series A*, 29 (1955), 159–163, *Corrections*, 30 (1957), 201.
9. A. Seidenberg, *The hyperplane sections of arithmetically normal varieties*, Amer. J. Math., 94 (1972), 609–630.
10. O. Zariski, P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra II*, Van Nostrand, Princeton-Toronto-London-New York (1960).

Received November 10, 1975 and in revised form December 2, 1975.

