# AMALGAMATED SUMS OF ABELIAN $l$-GROUPS 


#### Abstract

Keith R. Pierce

A class $\mathscr{K}$ of algebraic structures is said to have the amalgamation property if, whenever $G, H_{1}$, and $H_{2}$ are in $\mathscr{K}$ and $\sigma_{1}: G \rightarrow H_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}: G \rightarrow H_{2}$ are embeddings, then for some $L$ in $\mathscr{K}$ there are embeddings $\tau_{1}: H_{1} \rightarrow L$ and $\tau_{2}: H_{2} \rightarrow L$ such that $\sigma_{1} \tau_{1}=\sigma_{2} \tau_{2}$. Since this property has important universal-algebraic implications, this author has attempted to determine which well-known classes of abelian latticeordered groups (l-groups) have the amalgamation property. Theorem 1 lists those that do, and Theorem 2 lists those that do not. Finally, we focus our attention on one important class - Archimedian $l$-groups - in which the amalgamation property fails, and derive some sufficient conditions on $G, H_{1}$, and $H_{2}$ for amalgamation to occur.


Unless otherwise stated, all $l$-groups are abelian. For the basic theory of $l$-groups, see [3]. We write $A \oplus^{*} B$ for the sum, lexicographically ordered from the right, of an $l$-group $A$ and an $o$-group $B$, while we write $A \oplus B, \Pi_{i} A_{i}, \Sigma_{i} A_{i}$ for the cardinal sum or product of $l$-groups, ordered componentwise. For the $o$-groups of reals and integers we reserve the letters $R$ and $Z . \mathscr{C}(G)$ and $\mathscr{P}(G)$ denote respectively the poset of convex $l$-subgroups and the complete Boolean algebra of polar subgroups of $G$. If $S \subseteq G$ then $G(S)$ denotes the convex $l$-subgroup of $G$ generated by $S$.

Referring to the definition in the first paragraph, we call ( $G, H_{1}$, $H_{2}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ ) an amalgam and say that $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ embed the amalgam in $L$. We shall occasionally simplify the notation by assuming that $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are inclusion maps.

Theorem 1. The following classes have the amalgamation property:
(a) all (abelian) l-groups
(b) o-groups
(c) l-groups with a finite basis
(d) l-groups with ACC on $\mathscr{C}(G)$
(e) l-groups with $D C C$ on $\mathscr{C}(G)$
(f) l-groups with $A C C$ and $D C C$ on $\mathscr{C}(G)$.
(g) direct sums of subgroups of $R$, that is, Archimedian l-groups with property $(F)$.

A universal-algebraic proof of (a) and (b) can be found in [6], and a constructive proof of (b), via Hahn embeddings, is found in
[7]. We gain a little more by examining the following constructive proof of (a). First we need some preliminary results about prime subgroups, which have the flavor of the theory of prime ideals in rings. A proof of Lemma 1, for the abelian case, is contained in [5]. We include a proof here in which this hypothesis is eliminated.

Lemma 1. Let $G$ be a (not necessarily abelian) l-group and let $S \subseteq G^{+}$be closed under finite meets. If $C \in \mathscr{C}(G)$ is maximal with respect to being disjoint from $S$, then $C$ is prime.

Proof. Let $a \wedge b=0$. If neither $a$ nor $b$ is in $C$ then $G(C, a)$ and $G(C, b)$, properly containing $C$, contain elements $s$ and $t$ respectively of $S$. But then $s \wedge t \in S \cap G(C, a) \cap G(C, b)=S \cap G(C, a \wedge b)=$ $S \cap C$, a contradiction. Thus one of $a$ and $b$ is in $C$, and therefore $C$ is prime.

Lemma 2. If $G$ is an l-subgroup of the (not necessarily abelian) l-group $H$, then for every prime subgroup $P$ of $G$ there is a prime subgroup $Q$ of $H$ such that $Q \cap G=P$ (l-groups have "going up"). Furthemore, if $P$ is a minimal prime subgroup then $Q$ can be chosen as a minimal prime subgroup of $H$.

Proof. Since $G \cap H(P)=P$, we can extend $H(P)$ to an $l$-subgroup $Q$ of maximal with respect to missing $G^{+} \backslash P$. By Lemma $1, Q$ is prime, and $Q$ must intersect $G$ exactly in $P$. If $P$ is a minimal prime then let $Q^{\prime}$ be a minimal prime subgroup contained in $Q$. Since $Q^{\prime} \cap G$ is a prime of $G$ inside $P$, then $Q^{\prime} \cap G=P$.

We turn now to the proof of Theorem 1, part (a): Let $\left\{P_{\alpha}: \alpha \in A\right\}$ and $\left\{Q_{\beta}: \beta \in B\right\}$ be collections of prime subgroups of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ respectively which intersect trivially. By Lemma 2, for each $\alpha$ in $A$ there is a prime subgroup $Q$ of $H_{2}$ such that $Q_{\alpha} \cap G=P_{\alpha} \cap G$, and for each $\beta$ in there is a prime subgroup $P_{\beta}$ of $H_{1}$ such that $P_{\beta} \cap G=$ $Q_{\beta} \cap G$. For each $\gamma$ in $A \cup B, G / P_{\gamma} \cap G$ is canonically an o-subgroup of the o-groups $H_{1} / P_{r}$ and $H_{2} / Q_{r}$, whence by part (b) there exists an $o$-group $L_{\gamma}$ and embeddings $\tau_{1 r}: H_{1} / P_{\gamma} \rightarrow L_{\gamma}$ and $\tau_{2 r}: H_{2} / Q_{r} \rightarrow L_{r}$ which agree on $G / P_{\gamma} \cap G$. Let $L=\Pi_{r} L_{\gamma}$ and define $l$-embeddings $\tau_{1}: H_{1} \rightarrow L$ and $\tau_{2}: H_{2} \rightarrow L$ by setting $h \tau_{1}(\gamma)=\left(h+P_{\gamma}\right) \tau_{1 \gamma}$ and $k \tau_{2}(\gamma)=\left(k+Q_{\gamma}\right) \tau_{2 \gamma}$. $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ evidently agree on $G$, and $L$ is therefore the desired amalgamation.

Parts (c) through (f) involve classes of $l$-groups which can be represented as subdirect products of finitely many o-groups, each of which has the corresponding chain conditions on its convex subgroups. If one inspects the proof of (b) found in [7], one finds that these
properties of o-groups can be preserved under amalgamation. Therefore the above construction will not lead out of these classes.

For (g), suppose $G \leqq H_{1}=\sum_{\alpha \in A} H_{1 \alpha}$ and $G \leqq H_{2}=\sum_{\beta \in B} H_{2 \beta}$, where $H_{1 \alpha}, H_{2 \beta} \leqq R$. Let $\left\{g_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ be a basis for $G$. Since each element of $G$ is a real linear combination of basis elements, then every $l$ homomorphism on $G$ is uniquely determined by its action on the basis, and therefore it suffices to find embeddings of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ into a direct sum of reals which agree on the basis for $G$. For each $i \in I$ let $A_{i}=\left\{\alpha \in A: g_{i}(\alpha)>0\right\}$ and let $B_{i}=\left\{\beta \in B: g_{i}(\beta)>0\right\}$. Let $\Gamma=\left[\bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i} \times B_{i}\right] \cup\left[A \backslash \bigcup_{i \in I} B_{i}\right] \cup\left[B \backslash \bigcup_{i \in I} B_{i}\right]$ and let $L^{\prime}=\Pi_{r \in \Gamma} R_{r}$, Where $R_{\gamma}=R$. Define the embeddings $\tau_{1}: H_{1} \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ and $\tau_{2}: H_{2} \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ componentwise as follows: For $\gamma=(\alpha, \beta) \in A_{i} \times B_{i}$ define $h \tau_{1}(\gamma)=h(\alpha) / g_{i}(\alpha)$ and $k \tau_{2}(\gamma)=k(\beta) / g_{2}(\beta)$; for $\gamma=\alpha \in A \backslash \bigcup_{i} A_{i}$ define $h \tau_{1}(\gamma)=h(\alpha)$ and $k \tau_{2}(\gamma)=0$; and for $\gamma=\beta \in B \backslash \bigcup_{i} B_{i}$ define $h \tau_{1}(\gamma)=0$ and $k \tau_{2}(\gamma)=k(\beta)$. Evidently $H_{1} \tau_{1}+H_{2} \tau_{2} \leqq L=\sum_{r} R_{\gamma}$ and the embeddings agree on the basis for $G$. Thus the amalgam has been embedded in $L$.

Remark. There are classes $\mathscr{K}$ for which the amalgamation property is a trivial consequence of (a), for reason that any abelian $l$-group is embeddable in a member of $\mathscr{K}$. Two rather trivial examples are
(a) $l$-groups with basis (take for $L$ the direct product of $o$ groups), and
(b) compactly generated $l$-groups (see [2] for a proof that every abelian l-group is embeddable in such a group).

Theorem 2. The following classes do not have the amalgamation property:
(a) l-groups with property ( $F$ )
(b) direct sums of o-groups
(c) Archimedian l-groups
(d) Archimedian l-groups with basis
(e) subdirect products of subgroups of $R$
(f) hyper-archimedian l-groups.

Proof. For (a) and (b) let $G$ be the o-group $\left\langle a_{1}\right\rangle \oplus^{*}\left\langle a_{2}\right\rangle \oplus^{*}$ $\left\langle a_{3}\right\rangle \oplus^{*} \cdots$, let $H_{1}=G \oplus G \oplus G \oplus G \oplus \cdots$, and let $H_{2}=G \oplus *\langle c\rangle$. Let $\sigma_{2}: G \rightarrow H_{2}$ be the natural inclusion map, and embed $G$ in $H_{1}$ by defining

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1} \sigma_{1}=\left(a_{1}, 0,0, \cdots\right) \\
& a_{2} \sigma_{1}=\left(a_{2}, a_{2}, 0,0, \cdots\right), \\
& a_{3} \sigma_{1}\left(a_{3}, a_{3}, a_{3}, 0, \cdots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so on. Suppose that this amalgam is embedded in $L$ via the
maps $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$. For each natural number $i$ let $h_{i} \in H_{1}$ have $i^{\text {th }}$ component $a_{i}$ and zeros elsewhere. Then $h_{i} \tau_{i} \leqq a_{i} \sigma_{1} \tau_{1}=\alpha_{i} \sigma_{2} \tau_{2} \leqq c \tau_{2}$, which implies that $c \tau_{2}$ bounds an infinite set of mutually orthogonal elements. Thus $L$ could neither have property ( $F$ ) nor be a sum of o-groups.

For (c), (d) and (e), let $H_{1}=\Pi_{i \epsilon \omega} Z_{i}$, let $G$ be the $l$-subgroup of $H_{1}$ consisting of all sequences which are eventually constant, and let $H_{2}=G \oplus Z$. Embed $G$ in $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ be letting $\sigma_{1}$ be inclusion and setting $g \sigma_{2}=\left(g, g_{\infty}\right)$, where $g_{\infty}=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} g(i)$. Suppose that this amalgam is embedded in $L$. Let $x=(1,2,3,4, \cdots) \in H_{1}, z=(1,1$, $1, \cdots) \in G$, and $y=((0,0, \cdots), 1) \in H_{2}$. We will show that, in $L, x \tau_{1}$ exceeds every multiple of $y \tau_{2}$, and thus $L$ cannot be Archimedian. By Lemma 2.17 of [3] it suffices to show that $n\left(y \tau_{2}\right) \leqq x \tau_{1}(\bmod P)$ for every prime $P$ of $L$. Now if $y \tau_{2} \in P$ this is obvious. If $y \tau_{2} \notin P$ then $M \sigma_{2} \tau_{2} \subseteq P$, where $M=\sum Z_{i} \leqq G$, since every element of $M \sigma_{2}$ is orthogonal to $y$. Since $n\left(z \sigma_{1}\right) \leqq x\left(\bmod M \sigma_{1}\right)$, and since $y \leqq z \sigma_{2}$, then $n\left(y \tau_{2}\right) \leqq n\left(z \sigma_{2} \tau_{2}\right)=n\left(z \sigma_{1} \tau_{1}\right) \leqq x \tau_{1}$ modulo $M \sigma_{1} \tau_{1}=M \sigma_{2} \tau_{2}$ and hence also modulo $P$.

For (f), let $G=\sum_{i \in \omega} Z_{i}$, and embed $G$ in $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, the hyperArchimedian $l$-subgroups of $\Pi_{i \in \omega} Z_{i}$ generated respectively by $G$ and $h=(1,1, \cdots)$ and $G$ and $K=(1,2,3, \cdots)$. Assume that this amalgam were embedded in $L$. For each natural number $m$ let $P_{m+1}=\left\{x \in H_{1}\right.$ : $x(m+1)=0\}$, and by Lemma 2 let $Q_{m+1}$ be a prime of $L$ such that $Q_{m+1} \cap H_{1} \tau_{1}=P_{m+1} \tau_{1}$. Now $\left(Q_{m+1} \cap H_{2} \tau_{2}\right) \tau_{2}^{-1}$ is a prime subgroup of $H_{2}$, in fact, an elementary argument shows that it is the prime $R_{m+1}=\left\{x \in H_{2}\right.$ : $x(m+1)=0\}$. Let $g$ be the element of $G$ whose $(m+1)$-coordinate is 1 and whose other coordinates are 0 . Since $m h=m g\left(\bmod P_{m+1}\right)$ and $m g<k\left(\bmod R_{m+1}\right)$ then $0<m\left(h \tau_{1}\right)<k \tau_{2}\left(\bmod Q_{m+1}\right)$. Thus there is no natural number $m$ for which $\left[k \tau_{2}-\left(m h \tau_{1} \wedge k \tau_{2}\right)\right] \wedge h \tau_{1}=0$, and hence $L$ cannot be hyper-Archimedian.

Archimedian Amalgamations. Our first construction makes use of Bernau's representation of Archimedian l-groups, found in [1], which we summarize here; if $B$ is a maximal set of mutually orthogonal positive elements of $G$ and $X$ is the Stone space (compact Hausdorff and extremally disconnected space) associated with $\mathscr{P}(G)$, then there is an $l$-embedding $\eta$ of $G$ into $D(X)$, the $l$-group of almost finite continuous extended-real-valued functions on $X$, with the properties
(a) $G \eta$ is a large subgroup of $D(X)$ (i.e., if $0<f \in D(x)$ then $0<g \eta<n f$ for some $g \in G$ and some natural number $n$ ),
(b) $B \eta$ is a set of characteristic functions of a family of mutually disjoint clopen subsets of $X$ whose union is dense in $X$, and
(c) for each $g \in G, S(g \eta)$, the support of $g \eta$, is the clopen subset of $X$ corresponding to the polar subgroup $\{g\}^{\prime \prime}$.

Moreover, there is the following uniqueness: If $Y$ is a Stone space and if $\eta^{\prime}$ is an l-embedding of $G$ into $D(Y)$ having properties (a) and (b), then there is a homeomorphism $\theta$ from $X$ to $Y$ such that $(g \eta)(x)=\left(g \eta^{\prime}\right)(x \theta)$ for all $g \in G$ and all $x \in X$.

One consequence of (c) which we shall use is the following: If $M \in \mathscr{P}(G)$ and if $Y$ is the clopen subset of $X$ corresponding to $M$, then, under the natural association, $Y$ is the Stone space of $\mathscr{P}(M)$, every element of $M$ is zero outside $Y$, and $\eta^{\prime}=\eta \mid M$ is an $l$-embedding of $M$ into $D(Y)$ satisfying (a) and (b). Furthermore, if $B \cap M$ is a maximal orthogonal subset of $M$, then (c) will also be satisfied.

Theorem 3. If $\left(G, H_{1}, H_{2}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is an amalgam of Archimedian l-groups in which $G \sigma_{i}$ is a large subgroup of a polar $M_{i}$ of $H_{i}(i=1,2)$. then the amalgam is embeddable in an Archimedian l-group.

Proof. First pick a maximal orthogonal subset $A$ of $G$, and then extend $A \sigma_{i}$ to a maximal orthogonal subset $B_{i}$ of $H_{i}$. Let $X_{i}$ be the Stone space of $\mathscr{P}\left(H_{i}\right)$ and, via $B_{i}$, let $\eta_{i}$ be the $l$-embedding of $H_{i}$ into $D\left(X_{i}\right)$ satisfying (a)-(c) above. Because "largeness" is a transitive relation (cf [4]), it follows from the above remarks that $\sigma_{i} \eta_{i}$ is an $l$-embedding of $G$ into $D\left(Y_{i}\right)$ satisfying (a) and (b), where $Y_{i}$ is the clopen subset of $X_{i}$ corresponding to $M_{i}$. Thus by uniqueness, $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ are homeomorphic, and, if we actually identify $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}, \sigma_{1} \eta_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2} \eta_{2}$ are identical on $Y_{1}=Y_{2}$ and zero elsewhere. We now form the disjoint union $Z=Y_{1} \cup\left(X_{1} \backslash Y_{1}\right) \cup\left(X_{2} \backslash Y_{2}\right)$ and let $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ be the natural embedding of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ respectively into $D(Z)$. Since $\sigma_{1} \tau_{1}=\sigma_{2} \tau_{2}$, this finishes the proof of the theorem.

Our second construction requires a modification of Bernau's embedding. Let $X$ be a topological space and let $F^{*}(X)$ be the set of all real-valued functions which are defined and continuous on a dense open subset of $x$. If lattice and group operations are defined pointwise, with domain of the resultant being the intersection of the domains of the operands, then $F^{*}(X)$ is an abelian lattice-ordered semigroup with zero. Saying that $f$ and $g$ are equivalent if they agree on a dense open subset of $X$ defines a congruence relation on $F^{*}(X)$, and the quotient structure, which we denote by $F(X)$, is an Archimedian $l$-group.

An Archimedian l-group $G$ is said to be amalgamable (in Archimedian $l$-groups) if every amalgam ( $G, H_{1}, H_{2}$ ) of Archimedian $l$ groups is embeddable in an Archimedian $l$-group.

ThEOREM 4. Direct sums of subgroups of the reals are amalgamable in Archimedian l-groups.

Proof. We divide the proof into two parts, the sum of which proves something stronger than the theorem.
(A) Subgroups of the reals are amalgamable. Let $g$ be a positive element of $G$, let $B_{i}$ be a maximal orthogonal subset of $H_{i}$ containing $g$, and, via $B_{i}$, embed $H_{i}$ in $D\left(X_{i}\right)$ in the manner described above. Let $Y_{i}$ denote the support of $g$ in $X_{i}$, and let $Z$ be the disjoint union of the sets $Y_{1} \times Y_{2}, X_{1} \backslash X_{1}$, and $X_{2} \backslash X_{2}$, topologized in the obvious manner. Define an embedding $\tau_{1}: H_{1} \rightarrow D(Z)$ by

$$
h \tau_{1}(z)= \begin{cases}h(x) & \text { if either } z=(x, w) \text { or } z=x \in X_{1} \backslash Y_{1} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and define $\tau_{2}: H_{2} \rightarrow D(Z)$ in an analogous fashion. Clearly $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ agree on $G$ since $g \tau_{1}$ and $g \tau_{2}$ are both the characteristic function of $Y_{1} \times Y_{2}$. But $Z$ may not be a Stone space, since products of Stone spaces are not necessarily Stone spaces, and thus $H_{1} \tau_{1} \cup H_{2} \tau_{2}$ may not generate a group in $D(Z)$. However, the natural map from $D(Z)$ to $F(Z)$ embeds the amalgam in an Archimedian l-group.
(B) Direct sums of amalgamable l-groups are amalgamable. Let $G=\sum_{\alpha \in A} G_{\alpha}$, each $G_{\alpha}$ being amalgamable. If $M_{i \alpha}=\left(G_{\alpha} \sigma_{i}\right)^{\prime}$, then $H_{i} / M_{i \alpha}$ is Archimedian, since quotients of Archimedian $l$-groups by polar subgroups are Archimedian, and $G_{\alpha}$ is naturally l-embedded in $H_{i} / M_{i \alpha}$. Suppose that $\tau_{1 \alpha}$ and $\tau_{2 \alpha}$ embed the amalgam ( $G_{\alpha}, H_{1} / M_{1 \alpha}$, $\left.H_{2} / M_{2 \alpha}\right)$ in the Archimedian $l$-group $L_{\alpha}$. Let $M_{i}=\left(\bigcup_{\alpha} G_{\alpha} \sigma_{i}\right)^{\prime \prime}$, let $L=H_{1} / M_{1} \oplus H_{2} / M_{2} \oplus \prod_{\alpha} L_{\alpha}$, and define maps from $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ into $L$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h \tau_{1}=\left(h+M_{1}, 0, \cdots,\left(h+M_{1 \alpha}\right) \tau_{1 \alpha}, \cdots\right), \\
& h \tau_{2}=\left(0, h+M_{2}, \cdots,\left(h+M_{2 \alpha}\right) \tau_{2 \alpha}, \cdots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $M_{i} \cap\left(\bigcap_{\alpha} M_{i \alpha}\right)=0$, then $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ are l-embeddings. Furthermore, $G_{\alpha} \sigma_{1} \tau_{1}=G_{\alpha} \sigma_{2} \tau_{2}$ for each $\alpha$, and so they must agree on the direct sum.
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