

## PRINCIPAL AND INDUCED FIBRATIONS

C. S. HOO

In this paper, the following is proved.

**THEOREM.** Let  $F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$  be a fibration in which  $E$  and  $B$  have the homotopy type of  $CW$  complexes. Suppose that  $F$  is  $(n-1)$  connected and  $B$  is  $(m-1)$  connected, where  $m, n \geq 2$ . Let  $l = \min(m, n)$ ,  $k = \min(2m-1, 2n)$ . Suppose that there exists a map  $E \times F \rightarrow E$  of type  $(1, i)$ . If  $\pi_q(B) = 0$  for all  $q \geq n+l$ , then the fibration is Ganea principal. If further  $\pi_q(F) = 0$  for all  $q \geq n+k$ , then the fibration is induced by some map  $f: B \rightarrow Y$  for some space  $Y$ . The dual is also true.

1. All spaces in this paper are provided with a base point, and all maps and homotopies are assumed to preserve base points. In [2], Ganea proved the following.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$  be a fibration in which  $E$  and  $B$  have the homotopy type of  $CW$  complexes. Suppose that  $B$  is  $(m-1)$  connected and  $F$  is  $(n-1)$  connected, where  $m, n \geq 2$ . Let  $l = \min(m, n)$ . Suppose that  $i$  maps  $\Omega F$  into the centre of  $\Omega E$ . If  $\pi_q(B) = 0$  for all  $q \geq n+l$  and  $\pi_q(F) = 0$  for all  $q \geq n+2l-1$ , then the fibration is principal and induced by some map  $f: B \rightarrow Y$ .

In [2], Ganea calls a fibration  $F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$  principal if there exists a map  $\phi: E \times F \rightarrow E$  and an  $H$ -structure  $m: F \times F \rightarrow F$  such that  $\phi(i \times 1) = im$  and  $p\phi = P$  where  $P: E \times F \rightarrow B$  is defined by  $P(x, y) = p(x)$ . It is said to be induced by a map  $f: B \rightarrow Y$  for some space  $Y$  such that  $F \cong \Omega Y$  if it is equivalent to the pull back  $\Omega Y \rightarrow W \xrightarrow{\pi} B$  by  $f$  of the path space fibration  $\Omega Y \rightarrow PY \rightarrow Y$ , that is, if there exists a homotopy equivalence  $g: E \rightarrow W$  such that  $\pi g = p$ . In the rest of the paper, we shall refer to a fibration which is principal in the sense of Ganea as being Ganea-principal.

Various other people have considered principal fibrations slightly differently. In particular, Meyer [4], Porter [6], [7] and Nowlan [5] have considered these questions from various other points of view and have obtained interesting results. In §3, we shall briefly indicate the connection between their work and our results.

In [2], Ganea says that a map  $f: A \rightarrow X$  maps  $\Omega A$  into the centre of  $\Omega X$  if  $(\Omega f)_*: [Z, \Omega A] \rightarrow [Z, \Omega X]$  has image contained in the centre of  $[Z, \Omega X]$  for all spaces  $Z$ . It is proved there that this is equivalent

to the following. Let  $XbA \xrightarrow{L} X \vee A$  be the fibre of the usual inclusion  $X \vee A \rightarrow X \times A$ . Then  $f$  maps  $\Omega A$  into the centre of  $\Omega X$  if and only if  $\nabla(1 \vee f)L \cong *: XbA \rightarrow X$  where  $\nabla: X \vee X \rightarrow X$  is the folding map. Examples are given in [2] to show that the dimensions imposed on the homotopy of  $B$  and  $F$  are best possible.

The question of whether or not a given fibration is induced is equivalent to the question of whether or not a map is homotopic to the inclusion of the fibre of some fibration. Thus a fibration  $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$  is induced means that we can fit it into a sequence  $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B \rightarrow Y$  where any two consecutive maps form a fibre triple. Obviously, a necessary condition is that  $F \cong \Omega Y$ . Another necessary condition is that  $F \rightarrow E$  must be homotopic to the "boundary" map in the Puppe sequence of  $E \rightarrow B \rightarrow Y$ . Since this may be taken to be  $\rho/\Omega Y$  where  $\rho: E \times \Omega Y \rightarrow E$  is the operation of the loop space of the base space on the fibre  $E$ , it follows that  $\rho$  is a map of type  $(1, \partial)$  where  $\partial$  is the "boundary". We make the following definition.

**DEFINITION.** Let  $f: A \rightarrow X$  be a map. We say that  $f$  is cyclic if  $\nabla(1 \vee f): X \vee A \rightarrow X$  extends to  $X \times A$ , that is, if there exists a map  $\phi: X \times A \rightarrow X$  of type  $(1, f)$ .

The property of being cyclic is a property of the homotopy class of  $f$ . We observe that if  $F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$  is induced by some map  $f: B \rightarrow Y$ , then  $F \cong \Omega Y$ , and  $i$  may be taken to be the boundary  $\partial$  in the Puppe sequence of  $E \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{f} Y$ . Hence  $i$  is cyclic.

We note that if  $f: A \rightarrow X$  is cyclic, then  $f$  maps  $\Omega A$  into the centre of  $\Omega X$ . This follows from the fibration  $XbA \rightarrow X \vee A \rightarrow X \times A$ . If  $\nabla(1 \vee f): X \vee A \rightarrow X$  extends to  $X \times A$ , then clearly  $\nabla(1 \vee f)L \cong *$ . We intend to replace the condition " $i$  maps  $\Omega F$  into the centre of  $\Omega E$ " in Theorem 1 by the stronger condition " $i$  is cyclic." This is intended to enable us to deduce a stronger conclusion. However, we observe that, under the conditions of Theorem 1, the two statements are equivalent. This follows from the following.

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $f: A \rightarrow X$  be a map and suppose that  $A$  is  $(m-1)$  coconnected and  $X$  is  $(n-1)$  connected. Let  $l = \min(m, n)$ . Suppose that  $f$  maps  $\Omega A$  into the centre of  $\Omega X$  and that  $\pi_j(X) = 0$  for all  $j \geq m + n + l - 1$ . Then  $f$  is cyclic.

*Proof.* Consider the fibration  $XbA \xrightarrow{L} X \vee A \rightarrow X \times A$ . By hypothesis,  $\nabla(1 \vee f)L \cong *$ . We may factor the inclusion  $X \vee A \rightarrow X \times A$  as

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X \vee A & \longrightarrow & X \times A \\
 j \downarrow & \text{\textcircled{C}} & \nearrow k \\
 X \vee A \bigcup_L C(XbA) & & 
 \end{array}$$

where  $k$  extends the inclusion  $X \vee A \subset X \times A$  by mapping  $C(XbA)$  to the base point. Now from the cofibration  $XbA \xrightarrow{L} X \vee A \xrightarrow{j} X \vee A \bigcup_L C(XbA)$ , since  $\mathcal{F}(1 \vee f)L \cong *$ , we have a map  $g: X \vee A \bigcup_L C(XbA) \rightarrow X$  such that  $gj \cong \mathcal{F}(1 \vee f)$ . Now consider the following situation

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 XbA & \xrightarrow{L} & X \vee A & \longrightarrow & X \times A & \longrightarrow & X \wedge A \\
 & & \downarrow j & \text{\textcircled{C}} & \nearrow k & \text{\textcircled{O}} & \nearrow u \\
 & & X \vee A \bigcup_L C(XbA) & & & & \\
 & & & \searrow & & & \\
 & & & \Sigma(XbA) & & & 
 \end{array}$$

where  $X \times A \rightarrow X \wedge A$  is the cofibre of  $X \vee A \rightarrow X \times A$  and  $XbA \xrightarrow{L} X \vee A \xrightarrow{j} X \vee A \bigcup_L C(XbA) \rightarrow \Sigma(XbA) \rightarrow$  is the Puppe sequence of the cofibration, and  $u$  is determined in the obvious way. Since  $XbA \cong \Sigma(\Omega X \wedge \Omega A)$  (see [1]) it is easily calculated that  $XbA$  is  $n + m - 2$  connected. Hence  $X \vee A \rightarrow X \times A$  is  $n + m - 1$  connected. Also  $X \times A$  is  $(l - 1)$  connected. Applying the Serre theorem, which is dual to the Blakers-Massey theorem (see [3]), we see that  $u$  is  $n + m + l - 1$  connected. Hence by the 5-lemma, it follows that  $k$  is  $n + m + l - 1$  connected. Since  $\pi_j(X) = 0$  for all  $j \geq n + m + l - 1$ , by obstruction theory, we can find a map  $\phi: X \times A \rightarrow X$  such that  $\phi k \cong g$ . Hence  $\phi k j \cong g j \cong \mathcal{F}(1 \vee f)$ , where  $k j: X \vee A \subset X \times A$  is the inclusion. Hence  $f$  is cyclic.

REMARK. Thus in Theorem 1, we may replace the statement “ $i$  maps  $\Omega F$  into the centre of  $\Omega E$ ” by “ $i$  is cyclic.”

We need the following two facts due to Ganea [2]. Suppose  $F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$  is a fibration and suppose that  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$  is a triple, that is,  $gf = *$ . Suppose that have maps  $\phi: X \rightarrow F, \varepsilon: Y \rightarrow E$  such that  $\varepsilon f \cong i\phi$ . Let  $h_i: X \rightarrow E$  be a homotopy such that  $h_0 = i\phi, h_1 = \varepsilon f$ . Then  $\phi, \varepsilon$  and  $h_i$  define a map  $\lambda: Y \bigcup_f CX \rightarrow E \bigcup_i CF$  by  $\lambda(y) = \varepsilon(y)$  and

$$\lambda(sx) = \begin{cases} 2s\phi(x) & 0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ h_{2s-1}(x) & \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $k: Y \cup CX \rightarrow Z$  extend  $g$  by mapping  $CX$  to the base point, and let  $r: E \cup CF \rightarrow B$  extend  $p$  by mapping  $CF$  to the base point. Then the triangles in the following diagram commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \\
 \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \varepsilon & \searrow \lambda & \downarrow \beta \\
 & & Y \cup CX & \xrightarrow{k} & Z \\
 & & \downarrow \lambda & & \\
 & & E \cup CF & \xrightarrow{r} & B \\
 \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \varepsilon & & \downarrow \beta \\
 F & \xrightarrow{i} & E & \xrightarrow{p} & B
 \end{array}$$

We have the following result.

**LEMMA 1** (Ganea [2]). *Suppose that in the above situation there exist a map  $\beta: Z \rightarrow B$  such that  $\beta k \cong r\lambda$ . Then we can find maps  $\phi_1 \cong \phi$ ,  $\varepsilon_1 \cong \varepsilon$  making the squares in the following diagram commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \\
 \downarrow \phi_1 & & \downarrow \varepsilon_1 & & \downarrow \beta \\
 F & \xrightarrow{i} & E & \xrightarrow{p} & B
 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* See Lemma 1.1 of [2].

We also need the following.

**THEOREM 3** (Ganea [2]). *Suppose that  $F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$  is a fibration with  $E$  and  $B$  having the homotopy type of CW complexes. Suppose that  $B$  is  $(m-1)$  connected and  $F$  is  $(n-1)$  connected,  $m, n \geq 1$ . Suppose that  $\pi_q(F) = 0$  for all  $q \geq n + 2m - 1$ . If the fibration is Ganea-principal and if there exists a space  $Y$  and a homotopy equivalence  $F \rightarrow \Omega Y$  which is also an  $H$ -map, then the fibration is induced by some map  $f: B \rightarrow Y$ .*

We now state our main result.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$  be a fibration in which  $E$  and  $B$  have the homotopy type of CW complexes. Suppose that  $F$  is*

$(n-1)$  connected and  $B$  is  $(m-1)$  connected,  $m, n \geq 2$ . Let  $l = \min(m, n)$ ,  $k = \min(2m-1, 2n)$ . Suppose that  $i$  is cyclic. If  $\pi_q(B) = 0$  for all  $q \geq n+l$ , then the fibration is Ganea-principal. Further, if  $\pi_q(F) = 0$  for all  $q \geq n+k$ , then the fibration is induced by some map  $f: B \rightarrow Y$  for some space  $Y$ .

*Proof.* We assume that  $\pi_q(B) = 0$  for all  $q \geq n+l$ . Since  $i$  is cyclic, we can find a map  $\phi: E \times F \rightarrow E$  of type  $(1, i)$ . We factor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \vee F & \xrightarrow{\quad} & E \times F \\ & \searrow j & \nearrow k \\ & E \vee F \cup C(EbF) & \end{array} \quad \textcircled{\circ}$$

that is,  $kj: E \vee F \rightarrow E \times F$  is the usual inclusion. Let  $P: E \times F \rightarrow B$  be given by  $P(x, y) = p(x)$ . Then  $Pkj = p\phi k$ . Now, in the Puppe sequence of the cofibration

$$EbF \xrightarrow{L} E \vee F \xrightarrow{j} E \vee F \cup C(EbF) \longrightarrow \Sigma(EbF) \longrightarrow \dots$$

there is an operation

$$[E \vee F \cup C(EbF), B] \times [\Sigma(EbF), B] \longrightarrow [E \vee F \cup C(EbF), B]$$

and  $Pkj = p\phi k$  if and only if we can find a map  $\beta: \Sigma(EbF) \rightarrow B$  such that  $Pk \cong p\phi k \top \beta$  rel.  $E \vee F$ , where we denote the operation by  $\top$ . It is easily calculated that  $\Sigma(EbF)$  is  $n+l-1$  connected. Since  $\pi_q(B) = 0$  for all  $q \geq n+l$ , there is no obstruction to null-homotopy of  $\beta$ , that is,  $\beta \cong *$ . Let  $\varepsilon: \Sigma(EbF) \rightarrow E$  be the constant map. Then  $\beta \cong p\varepsilon$ , that is,  $Pk \cong p\phi k \top p\varepsilon$  rel.  $E \vee F$ . Let  $g_0 = \phi k \top \varepsilon: E \vee F \cup C(EbF) \rightarrow E$ . Then  $Pk \cong pg_0$  rel.  $E \vee F$ . Note also that  $g_0j = (\phi k \top \varepsilon)j = \phi kj$ . Since  $Pk \cong pg_0$  rel.  $E \vee F$ , and since  $p$  is a fibration, we can find a homotopy  $g_i: E \vee F \cup C(EbF) \rightarrow E$  such that  $pg_1 = Pk$ , and  $pg_id = *$  where  $d = j(i \vee 1): F \vee F \rightarrow E \vee F \rightarrow E \vee F \cup C(EbF)$ . Hence we can find a homotopy  $\nabla_i: F \vee F \rightarrow F$  such that  $i\nabla_i = g_id$ . Hence  $i\nabla_0 = g_0d = g_0j(i \vee 1) = \phi kj(i \vee 1) = \nabla(1 \vee i)(i \vee 1) = i\nabla$ , that is,  $\nabla_0 = \nabla$  and  $\nabla_1 \cong \nabla$ . We can form the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} F \vee F & \xrightarrow{d} & E \vee F \cup C(EbF) & \longrightarrow & E \vee F \cup C(EbF) \cup C(F \vee F) & \xrightarrow{S} & B \\ \nabla_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow g_1 & & \downarrow G & & \parallel \\ F & \xrightarrow{i} & E & \longrightarrow & E \cup CF & \xrightarrow{r} & B \end{array} \quad \textcircled{\circ} \quad \textcircled{\circ} \quad \textcircled{\circ}$$

where  $G$  is induced by  $\nabla_1$  and  $g_1$ ,  $r$  extends  $p: E \rightarrow B$  by mapping  $CF$  to the base point, and  $S$  extends  $Pk: E \vee F \cup C(EbF) \rightarrow B$  by mapping  $C(F \vee F)$  to the base point. We observe that  $g_1 \cong g_0 \cong \phi k$ .

Let  $H_t: E \vee F \cup C(EbF) \rightarrow E$  be a homotopy such that  $H_0 = g_1$ ,  $H_1 = \phi k$ . Let  $h_t = H_t d: F \vee F \rightarrow E \vee F \cup C(EbF) \rightarrow E$ . Then  $h_0 = H_0 d = g_1 d = i \nabla_1$ ,  $h_1 = H_1 d = \phi k d$ . Thus we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 F \vee F & \xrightarrow{kd} & E \times F & \xrightarrow{P} & B \\
 \downarrow \nabla_1 & & \downarrow \phi & \searrow & \downarrow R \\
 & & & E \times F \cup C(F \vee F) & \\
 & \circlearrowleft & & \downarrow \eta & \\
 & & & E \cup CF & \\
 & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\
 F & \xrightarrow{i} & E & \xrightarrow{p} & B
 \end{array}$$

Here  $r$  extends  $p$  by mapping  $CF$  to the base point, and  $R$  extends  $P$  by mapping  $C(F \vee F)$  to the base point. Also the maps  $\nabla_1$ ,  $\phi$  and the homotopy  $h_t$  induce  $\eta$ . We claim that  $r\eta \cong R$ . In fact, the map  $k: E \vee F \cup C(EbF) \rightarrow E \times F$  gives the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 F \vee F & \xrightarrow{d} & E \vee F \cup C(EbF) & \longrightarrow & E \vee F \cup C(EbF) \cup C(F \vee F) \\
 \downarrow 1 & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \psi \\
 F \vee F & \xrightarrow{kd} & E \times F & \longrightarrow & E \times F \cup C(F \vee F)
 \end{array}$$

where  $\psi$  is induced by  $k$  and  $1$ . Then we check that  $\eta\psi \cong G$ ,  $R\psi = S$ . Hence  $r\eta\psi \cong rG = S = R\psi$ . Since  $k$  is  $(n + 2l - 1)$  connected, by the 5-lemma, it follows that  $\psi$  is also  $n + 2l - 1$  connected. Since  $\pi_q(B) = 0$  for all  $q \geq n + l$ , there is no obstruction to a homotopy between  $r\eta$  and  $R$ . Hence  $r\eta \cong R$ . We now apply Lemma 1 and conclude that we have maps  $\nabla'_1: F \vee F \rightarrow F$ ,  $\phi': E \times F \rightarrow E$  with  $\nabla'_1 \cong \nabla_1 \cong \nabla$  and  $\phi' \cong \phi$ , and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 F \vee F & \xrightarrow{kd} & E \times F & \xrightarrow{P} & B \\
 \downarrow \nabla'_1 & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \phi' & \circlearrowleft & \parallel \\
 F & \xrightarrow{i} & E & \xrightarrow{p} & B
 \end{array}$$

Hence  $p\phi'(i \times 1) = P(i \times 1) = *$ . This means that we can find a map  $m: F \times F \rightarrow F$  with  $im = \phi'(i \times 1)$ . Let  $t: F \vee F \rightarrow F \times F$  be the inclusion. Then  $imt = \phi'(i \times 1)t = \phi'kd$  since  $(i \times 1)t = kd$ , that is,  $imt = \phi'kd = i\nabla'_1$ . Hence  $mt = \nabla'_1 \cong \nabla$ . Thus  $m$  is an  $H$ -structure. Since  $im = \phi'(i \times 1)$  we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 F \times F & \xrightarrow{i \times 1} & E \times F & \xrightarrow{P} & B \\
 \downarrow m & \textcircled{\circ} & \downarrow \phi' & \textcircled{\circ} & \parallel \\
 F & \xrightarrow{i} & E & \xrightarrow{p} & B
 \end{array}$$

that is, the fibration is Ganea-principal.

Now suppose in addition that  $\pi_q(F) = 0$  for all  $q \geq n + k$ . Then  $\pi_q(F) = 0$  for  $q \geq 3n$ . Since  $F$  is an  $H$ -space and is  $(n - 1)$  connected, it follows by Theorem C of [3] that there is a homotopy equivalence  $\theta: F \rightarrow \Omega Y$  which is also an  $H$ -map, for some space  $Y$ . In fact,  $Y$  may be constructed as follows. Applying the Hopf construction to the multiplication  $m: F \times F \rightarrow F$ , we get a map  $\Sigma(F \wedge F) \rightarrow \Sigma F$ , and hence  $\Sigma(F \wedge F) \xrightarrow{v} \Sigma F \cup C\Sigma(F \wedge F)$ . Here  $\Sigma F \cup C\Sigma(F \wedge F) = FP$  is the  $F$ -projective plane. Let  $(FP)_{3n}$  be the  $3n$ -Postnikov section of  $FP$  and let  $\pi: FP \rightarrow (FP)_{3n}$  be the projection. We take  $Y = (FP)_{3n}$  and  $\theta$  to be the map  $F \xrightarrow{\bar{v}} \Omega(FP) \xrightarrow{\Omega\pi} \Omega(FP)_{3n}$ ,  $\bar{v}$  being the adjoint of  $v$ . It is easily seen that  $\bar{v}$  is an  $H$ -map and hence  $\theta$  is an  $H$ -map. The connectivity of  $\bar{v}$  may be calculated by the Blakers-Massey theorem, and hence, it may be seen that  $\theta$  is a homotopy equivalence. The proof of the theorem is now completed by applying Theorem 3.

REMARK 1. We observe that we have separated the conditions on  $B$  and  $F$ , and from each, we have deduced a conclusion. Ganea's Theorem 1, above, uses both the conditions on  $B$  and  $F$  to deduce the conclusion that the fibration is Ganea-principal. Our proof shows that the conclusion that the fibration is Ganea-principal uses only the condition on the homotopy of  $B$ .

REMARK 2. If  $m \leq n$ , our theorem and that of Ganea are the same. However, if  $m < n$ , our theorem improves that of Ganea by allowing  $F$  to have an extra homotopy group. Thus our condition allows the fibration to be Ganea-principal even if  $\pi_{3n-1}(F) \neq 0$ , while Ganea's theorem requires that  $\pi_{3n-1}(F) = 0$ .

REMARK 3. The dimension condition on the homotopy of  $B$  is best possible. This is shown by the example given in [2]. Let  $Q$  be the rationals and let  $n \geq 4$  be even. Consider the fibration  $K(Q, n) \rightarrow K(Q, n) \vee K(Q, n) \rightarrow K(Q, n) \times K(Q, n)$ . Now  $K(Q, n) \rightarrow K(Q, n) \vee K(Q, n) \cong \Sigma(K(Q, n-1) \wedge K(Q, n-1))$ . Since  $n$  is even,  $(n-1)$  is odd, and hence  $K(Q, n-1) \cong K'(Q, n-1)$ . Hence

$$K(Q, n) \rightarrow K(Q, n) \cong \Sigma K'(Q, 2n-2) = K'(Q, 2n-1) \cong K(Q, 2n-1).$$

Thus we have a fibration

$$K(Q, 2n - 1) \longrightarrow K(Q, n) \vee K(Q, n) \longrightarrow K(Q, n) \times K(Q, n).$$

Since the fibre is a single Eilenberg-MacLane complex, by a classical result of Serre, this fibration is induced. It can only be induced by a map  $K(Q, n) \times K(Q, n) \rightarrow K(Q, 2n)$ . Thus we have a fibration

$$K(Q, n) \vee K(Q, n) \longrightarrow K(Q, n) \times K(Q, n) \longrightarrow K(Q, 2n).$$

Observe that here  $\pi_{n+l}(K(Q, 2n)) \neq 0$ ,  $n + l$  being  $2n$  here. All the other conditions of the theorem are satisfied. This fibration is not Ganea-principal since  $K(Q, n) \vee K(Q, n)$  is not an  $H$ -space.

REMARK 4. We do not know if the dimension condition on the homotopy of  $F$  in Theorem 4 is best possible or not. However, we can say that if it is not best possible, then the best possible is the condition  $\pi_q(F) = 0$  for all  $q \geq n + k + 1$ . This is because we have the following example. Let  $F = K(Z_3, 3; Z_9, 10; \lambda u(\beta u)^2)$  be the 2-stage Postnikov system, where  $u \in H^3(Z_3, 3; Z_3)$  is the fundamental class,  $\beta$  is Bockstein operator, and  $\lambda$  is induced by the coefficient homomorphism  $Z_3 \subset Z_9$ . Then  $F$  is an  $H$ -space but not a loop-space (see page 599 of [3]). Thus  $F \rightarrow F \rightarrow *$  is not induced. The map  $F \rightarrow F$  here is cyclic since an identity map is cyclic if and only if the space is an  $H$ -space. Thus all the conditions of Theorem 4 are satisfied except that  $\pi_{n+k+1}(F) \neq 0$ . In fact, we only have  $\pi_q(F) = 0$  for all  $q \geq n + k + 2$ . Thus the best possible condition on  $F$  in Theorem 4 is either  $\pi_q(F) = 0$  for all  $q \geq n + k$  or  $\pi_q(F) = 0$  for all  $q \geq n + k + 1$ .

Theorem 4 admits the following application. We assume here that all our spaces have the homotopy type of  $CW$  complexes. We recall that if we have a fibration  $F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$  where  $E$  and  $B$  are  $H$ -spaces, then if  $p$  is an  $H$ -map, it follows that  $F$  can be given an  $H$ -structure so that  $i$  is an  $H$ -map. Stasheff in [8] gives a converse under some restrictions.

THEOREM 5 (Stasheff). *Let  $F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$  be a fibration in which  $E$  and  $B$  are  $H$ -spaces. If  $F$  has a multiplication, then  $p$  is an  $H$ -map with respect to some multiplication on  $E$ , provided that  $E$  is  $(n - 1)$  connected,  $\pi_q(E) = 0$  for  $q \geq n + m$ , where  $m \geq n + 1$ , and  $B$  is  $(m - 1)$  connected and  $\pi_q(B) = 0$  for  $q \geq n + m$ .*

We observe that if  $E$  is an  $H$ -space, then  $i$  is automatically cyclic. In fact, if  $m: E \times E \rightarrow E$  is the  $H$ -structure in  $E$ , then the map  $E \times F \rightarrow E$  of type  $(1, i)$  required can be taken to be  $m(1 \times i)$ . Using this fact, it follows from Theorems 4 and 5 that we have the following.



**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$  be a fibration in which  $F$  is  $(n-1)$  connected,  $B$  is  $(m-1)$  connected, and  $\pi_q(B) = 0$  for  $q \geq 2n$ , where  $m \geq n+1$ . Suppose that  $E$  and  $B$  are  $H$ -spaces. Then  $p$  is an  $H$ -map for some multiplication on  $E$ .*

2. These theorems can be dualized. We state the dual of Theorem 4. Recall that a cofibration  $A \xrightarrow{d} X \xrightarrow{f} C$  is Ganea-principal if we can find a co- $H$ -structure  $m: C \rightarrow C \vee C$  and a map  $\phi: X \rightarrow X \vee C$  such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C \vee C & \xleftarrow{f \vee 1} & X \vee C & \xleftarrow{D} & A \\ \uparrow m & \textcircled{\circ} & \uparrow \phi & \textcircled{\circ} & \parallel \\ C & \xleftarrow{f} & X & \xleftarrow{d} & A \end{array}$$

where  $D(a) = (d(a), *)$ . We are following the terminology of [2]. The cofibration is induced if there is a space  $Y$  and a map  $g: Y \rightarrow A$  such that the cofibration is equivalent to the cofibration strictly induced by  $g$  from  $Y \rightarrow CY \rightarrow \Sigma Y$ , that is, to the triple  $A \rightarrow A \bigcup_g CY \rightarrow \Sigma Y$ . This means that there is a homotopy-equivalence  $X \rightarrow A \bigcup_g CY$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & A \bigcup_g CY \\ & \searrow d & \nearrow \\ & X & \end{array}$$

For a 1-connected  $CW$  complex  $K$ , we write  $\dim K \leq n$  to indicate that  $H_n(K)$  is free and  $H_q(K) = 0$  for  $q > n$ . We say that a map  $f: X \rightarrow A$  is cocyclic if the map  $(1 \times f)\mathcal{A}: X \rightarrow X \times A$  is compressible into  $X \vee A$ . This is homotopy property of  $f$ . The dual of Theorem 4 is the following.

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $A \xrightarrow{d} X \xrightarrow{f} C$  be a cofibration in which  $(X, A)$  is a  $CW$  pair. Suppose that  $A$  is  $(m-1)$  connected, and that  $C$  is  $n$ -connected,  $m \geq 2, n \geq 1$ . Suppose that  $f$  is cocyclic. If  $\dim A \leq n + \min(m-1, n)$ , then the cofibration is Ganea-principal. If further,  $\dim C \leq n + \min(2m-1, 2n)$ , then the cofibration is induced.*

*Proof.* Dualize the proof of Theorem 4.

3. We now briefly consider the connection between our results and the work of Meyer [4], Nowlan [5] and Porter [6], [7]. We refer the reader to these papers for detailed definitions, but we shall

indicate how their notions can be expressed in our terminology. Let  $F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$  be a fibration. Then it is an  $H$ -fibration in the sense of Meyer [4] if it is Ganea-principal, with  $i$  being cyclic and  $\phi$  being a map of type  $(1, i)$ . A principal fibration in the sense of Meyer [4] is an induced fibration in the sense of Ganea [2]. All the results in [4] concerning principal fibrations hold for induced fibrations, and all the results concerning  $H$ -fibrations hold for Ganea-principal fibrations.

An  $H$ -fibration in the sense of Porter [6] is also Ganea-principal with  $i$  being cyclic and  $\phi$  being of type  $(1, i)$ . We might emphasise that our definition of a Ganea-principal fibration does not require  $i$  to be cyclic and does not require  $\phi$  to be of type  $(1, i)$ . However, a simple examination shows that most of the results in Meyer [4] on  $H$ -fibrations and most of those in Porter [6] on  $H$ -fibrations do not require these extra conditions. They merely require that the fibrations be Ganea-principal. In particular, we mention that Theorem 1 of Porter [6] holds for Ganea-principal fibrations. There is no need to assume that  $i$  is cyclic or that  $\phi$  is of type  $(1, i)$ . The proof carries over word for word. Thus we have the following which we shall attribute to Porter.

**THEOREM 8 (Porter).** *Let  $F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$  be Ganea-principal, with  $B$  being path-connected. Let  $g_1, g_2: X \rightarrow E$  be maps with  $pg_1 \cong pg_2$ ,  $X$  being a CW complex. Then there exists a map  $u: X \rightarrow F$  with  $\phi(g_2 \times u)\Delta \cong g_1$ , where  $\Delta: X \rightarrow X \times X$  is the diagonal map.*

In [7] Porter defines his principal fibrations to be Ganea-principal with  $(F, m)$  being an associative  $H$ -space, and the map  $\phi: E \times F \rightarrow E$  of type  $(1, i)$  is required to be an associative action of  $E$ . Let  $F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$  be such a principal fibration and let  $f: B' \rightarrow B$  be a map. Let  $E_f = \{(b, e) \text{ in } B' \times E \mid f(b) = p(e)\}$ . Then  $F \rightarrow E_f \rightarrow B'$  is also a principal fibration and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F & \longrightarrow & E_f & \longrightarrow & B' \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ F & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

is a homomorphism of principal fibrations. We ask the reader to refer to Porter [7] for the definitions of the various terms we shall be using.

Let  $F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$  and  $F' \xrightarrow{i'} E' \xrightarrow{p'} B'$  be fibrations. Then we have the principal fibrations  $\Omega B \rightarrow E_p \rightarrow E$ ,  $\Omega B' \rightarrow E_{p'} \rightarrow E'$ . Theorem 12 of Porter [7] says that there exists a map  $f: B \rightarrow B'$  such that  $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$  is equivalent to the fibration induced by  $f$  from  $F' \rightarrow E' \rightarrow B'$

if and only if there exists a strong homotopy homomorphism of principal fibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega B & \longrightarrow & E_p & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow h \\ \Omega B' & \longrightarrow & E_{p'} & \longrightarrow & E' \end{array}$$

with  $g$  being a homotopy equivalence. Our results concern the case where  $F' \rightarrow E' \rightarrow B'$  is a path space fibration  $\Omega B' \rightarrow PB' \xrightarrow{\pi} B'$ . Thus under the conditions stated there on the homotopy of  $B$  and  $F$ , our Theorem 4 says that there exists a strong homotopy homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega B & \longrightarrow & E_p & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow h \\ \Omega B' & \longrightarrow & E_\pi & \longrightarrow & PB' \end{array}$$

with  $g$  being a homotopy equivalence.

Nowlan's  $H$ -fibrations [5] are our Ganea-principal fibrations. Nowlan considers fibrations in which an associative  $H$ -space  $(F, m)$  operates, but not necessarily associatively. Such fibrations are called  $A_1$ -principal fibre spaces. For example, all the various  $H$ -fibrations are such fibre spaces, and Ganea-principal fibrations are also such fibre spaces if  $(F, m)$  is associative. If instead of requiring that the action be associative, we only require that it be homotopy associative, that is, that the following diagram homotopy commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \times F \times F & \xrightarrow{\phi \times 1} & E \times F \\ \downarrow 1 \times m & & \downarrow \phi \\ E \times F & \xrightarrow{\phi} & E \end{array}$$

then we get an  $A_2$ -principal fibre space. Thus a principal fibration in the sense of Porter [7] is an  $A_2$ -principal fibre space. An  $A_n$ -principal fibre space is one where the action of  $F$  on  $E$  satisfies higher homotopy conditions. Nowlan [5] obtains a classification theorem. The notion of an  $A_\infty$ -principal fibre space is also obtained. Nowlan proves the following.

**THEOREM (Nowlan).**  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is fibre homotopy equivalent to an induced fibre space if and only if  $E$  admits an  $A_\infty$ -action of  $\Omega B$ .

## REFERENCES

1. T. Ganea, *A generalization of the homology and homotopy suspension*, Comment. Math. Helv., **39** (1965), 295-322.

2. T. Ganea, *Induced fibrations and cofibrations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **127** (1967), 442-459.
3. P. J. Hilton, *Remark on loop spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **15** (1964), 596-600.
4. J. P. Meyer, *Principal fibrations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **107** (1963), 177-185.
5. R. A. Nowlan,  *$A_n$  actions on fibre spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **21** (1971), 285-313.
6. G. J. Porter, *H-fibrations*, Quart. J. Math., **22** (1971), 23-31.
7. ———, *Homomorphisms of principal fibration: applications to classification, induced fibrations, and the extension problem*, Illinois J. Math., **16** (1972), 41-60.
8. J. Stasheff, *Extensions of H-spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **105** (1962), 126-135.

Received December 6, 1975. This research was supported by NRC Grant A3026.

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

AND

OXFORD UNIVERSITY, OXFORD, ENGLAND