# $T^{n}$-ACTIONS ON SIMPLY CONNECTED $(n+2)$-MANIFOLDS 

Dennis McGavran

In this paper we show that, for each $n \geqq 2$, there is a unique, closed, compact, connected, simply connected ( $n+2$ )manifold, $M_{n+2}$, admitting an action of $T^{n}$ satisfying the following condition: there are exactly $n T^{1}$-stability groups $T_{1}, \cdots, T_{n}$ with each $F\left(T_{i}, M_{n+2}\right)$ connected. In this case we have $T^{n} \cong T_{1} \times \cdots \times T_{n}$. Any other action ( $T^{n}, M^{n+2}$ ), $M^{n+2}$ simply connected, can be obtained from an action ( $T^{n}, M_{n+2}$ ) by equivariantly replacing copies of $D^{4} \times T^{n-2}$ with copies of $S^{3} \times D^{2} \times T^{n-3}$. As an application, we classify all actions of $T^{n}$ on simply connected ( $n+2$ )-manifolds for $n=3$, 4 .

Several results have been obtained about $T^{n}$-actions on $(n+2)$ manifolds. Orlik and Raymond have obtained various classification theorems for the cases $n=1,2$ (see [11], [12] and [14]). Various general results have been obtained in [4] and [5] for $n>2$. This paper is a continuation of the work done in [4]. We also obtain classification theorems similar to those of [12] for $n=3,4$.

In [4] it was shown that, for each $n$, there exist actions of $T^{n}$ on simply connected $(n+2)$-manifolds. Here we prove the following.

Theorem. For each $n$, there is a unique closed, compact, connected, simply connected $(n+2)$-manifold $M_{n+2}$ admitting an action of $T^{n}$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) There are exactly $n T^{1}$-stability groups $T_{1}, \cdots, T_{n}$.
(ii) Each $F\left(T_{i}, M_{n+2}\right)$ is connected. Furthermore, $T^{n} \cong T_{1} \times \cdots \times T_{n}$.

We then show that any action ( $T^{n}, M^{n+2}$ ), $M^{n+2}$ a closed, compact, connected, simply connected ( $n+2$ )-manifold, can be obtained from an action ( $T^{n}, M_{n+2}$ ) by equivariantly replacing copies of $D^{4} \times T^{n-2}$ with copies of $S^{3} \times D^{2} \times T^{n-3}$.

The above results are applied to two specific cases. We show that if $T^{3}$ acts on a simply connected 5 -manifold, $M$, then $M$ is $M_{5}=S^{5}$ or a connected sum of copies of $S^{2} \times S^{3}$. For $T^{4}$-actions on simply connected 6 -manifolds, $M$, we show that $M$ is $M_{6}=S^{3} \times$ $S^{3}$ or $M$ is a connected sum of copies of $S^{2} \times S^{4}$ and $S^{3} \times S^{3}$.

1. Preliminaries. We shall use standard terminology and notation throughout (e.g. see [2]). Unless otherwise stated, all mani-
folds are closed, connected and compact. All actions are assumed to be locally smooth and effective.

Let $(G, M)$ and $(G, N)$ be two $G$-actions. We shall use $(G, M) \cong_{e q}$ $(G, N)$ or $M \cong{ }_{e q} N$ to mean that $M$ and $N$ are equivariantly homeomorphic. Given actions $(G, M)$ and $(H, N),(G \times H, M \times N)$ will indicate the obvious product action.

The $n$-dimensional torus $T^{n}=S^{1} \times \cdots \times S^{1}$ ( $n$ factors) can parameterized as:

$$
T^{n}=\left\{\left(e^{i \varphi_{1}}, \cdots, e^{i \varphi_{n}}\right) \mid 0 \leqq \varphi_{i} \leqq 2 \pi\right\}
$$

We simplify this as $T^{n}=\left\{\left(\varphi_{1}, \cdots, \varphi_{n}\right) \mid 0 \leqq \varphi_{i} \leqq 2 \pi\right\}$. Similarly, we write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{n}=\left\{\left(r_{1}, \theta_{1}, \cdots, r_{[n+1 / 2]}, \theta_{[n+1 / 2]}\right) \mid \sum r_{i}^{2} \leqq\right. & 1,0 \leqq \\
& \left.\theta_{[n+1 / 2]}=0 \text { if } n \text { odd }\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Of course for $S^{n}$, we have $\sum r_{i}^{2}=1$.
Example 1.1. We have an action of $T^{n}$ on $D^{4} \times T^{n-2}$ defined as follows. If $t=\left(\varphi_{1}, \cdots, \varphi_{n}\right) \in T^{n}$ and $z=\left(\left(r_{1}, \theta_{1}, r_{2}, \theta_{2}\right),\left(\theta_{3}, \cdots, \theta_{n}\right)\right) \in$ $D^{4} \times T^{n-2}$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t z=\left(\left(r_{1}, \theta_{1}+\alpha_{11} \varphi_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{1 n} \varphi_{n}, r_{2}, \theta_{2}+\alpha_{21} \varphi_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{2 n} \varphi_{n}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left(\theta_{3}+a_{31} \varphi_{1}+\cdots+a_{2 n} \varphi_{n}, \cdots, \theta_{n}+a_{n 1} \varphi_{1}+\cdots+a_{n n} \varphi_{n}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This action defines a matrix $A=\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)$. For the action to be effective, we must have $\operatorname{det}(A) \neq 0$. We shall frequently define such an action by giving the matrix $A$.

We shall often use the following (see [8]). Suppose $M$ is an $m$-manifold with boundary and $G \cong T^{n}$ acts on $M$ with $m>n$. If $M^{*}$ is a closed cone with vertex $x_{0}^{*}$ and $G_{x_{0}} \cong T^{k}, 0 \leqq k \leqq n\left(T^{0}=\mathrm{id}\right)$, then $\left(T^{n}, M\right) \cong{ }_{e q}\left(T^{n-k} \times T^{k}, T^{n-k} \times D^{m-n+k}\right)$.

Suppose $T^{n}$ acts on a simply connected $(n+2)$-manifold $M$. It was shown in [4] that the orbit space, $M^{*}$, will be $D^{2}$, with points on the boundary corresponding to singular orbits and interior points corresponding to principal orbits. Isolated points on the boundary correspond to orbits of type $T^{n-2}$ and the remaining boundary points correspond to orbits of type $T^{n-1}$. The result mentioned above shows that an invariant tubular neighborhood of an orbit of type $T^{n-2}$ will be $D^{4} \times T^{n-2}$.

It was also shown in [4] that, for all $n$, actions of $T^{n}$ on simply connected $(n+2)$-manifolds exist. The following picture shows how such actions can be constructed.


Each sector of the disk $D^{2} \cong M^{*}$ represents an invariant tubular neighborhood of an orbit of type $T^{n-2}$ which, as mentioned above, must be $D^{4} \times T^{n-2}$. These are attached to one another along subspaces of the boundary homeomorphic to $D^{2} \times T^{n-1}$. Another result of [4] is that the circle stability groups of the action must span $T^{n}$. Hence, we must have at least $n$ copies of $D^{4} \times T^{n-2}$.

We shall say that $\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$ is an adjacent pair of $T^{1}$-stability groups for an action ( $T^{n}, M^{n+2}$ ), if there is an invariant $D^{4} \times T^{n-2}$ so that the induced action ( $T^{n}, D^{4} \times T^{n-2}$ ) has stability groups $T_{1}, T_{2}$ and $T_{1} \times T_{2} . \quad\left(T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}\right)$ will be called an adjacent triple of $T_{1}-$ stability groups if $\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$ and $\left(T_{2}, T_{3}\right)$ are adjacent pairs, with invariant copies of $D^{4} \times T^{n-2},\left(D^{4} \times T^{n-2}\right)_{1}$ and $\left(D^{4} \times T^{n-2}\right)_{2}$, respectively, such that $\left(D^{4} \times T^{n-2}\right)_{1} \cap\left(D^{4} \times T^{n-2}\right)_{2} \cong D^{2} \times T^{n-1}$ and $0 \times$ $T^{n-1} \cong F\left(T_{2}, M^{n+2}\right)$. In this case $\left(D^{4} \times T^{n-2}\right)_{1}$ and $\left(D^{4} \times T^{n-2}\right)_{2}$ are said to be adjacent.
2. Orbit structure. Suppose $T^{n}$ acts on a simply connected ( $n+2$ )-manifold $M$. As mentioned above, we know that the $T^{1}$ stability groups span $T^{n}$. In this section we show that, in certain cases, $T^{n}$ is the direct product of the $T^{1}$-stability groups. If $G$ is a group and $S \subseteq G$ is a subset let $\langle S\rangle$ denote the subgroup spanned by $S$.

Lemma2.1. If $T^{n}$ acts on a simply connected ( $n+2$ )-manifold $M$, there exists an adjacent triple $\left(T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}\right)$ such that $\left\langle T_{1} \cup T_{2} \cup T_{3}\right\rangle \cong$ $T_{1} \times T_{2} \times T_{3}$.

Proof. Let $\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$ be an adjacent pair so that we have an invariant $\left(D^{4} \times T^{n-2}\right)_{1}$ with stability groups $T_{1}, T_{2}$ and $T_{1} \times T_{2}$. Write $T^{n}=T_{1} \times T_{2} \times T^{n-2}$ and parameterize so that the action ( $T^{n},\left(D^{4} \times\right.$ $\left.T^{n-2}\right)_{1}$ ) is defined by the matrix $I$ (see 1.1 ).

Consider an adjacent invariant $\left(D^{4} \times T^{n-2}\right)_{2}$ with $T^{1}$-stability
groups $T_{1}$ and $C$ so that ( $T_{2}, T_{1}, C$ ) is an adjacent triple. The action $\left(T^{n},\left(D^{4} \times T^{n-2}\right)_{2}\right)$ will be determined by a matrix of the form

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 n} \\
0 & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & & & \vdots \\
0 & a_{n 2} & \cdots & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We may consider $N=\left(D^{4} \times T^{n-2}\right)_{1} \cup_{f}\left(D^{4} \times T^{n-2}\right)_{2}$, where $f:\left(D^{2} \times T^{n-1}\right)_{1} \rightarrow$ $\left(D^{2} \times T^{n-1}\right)_{2}$ is an equivariant attaching homeomorphism, as an invariant subspace of $M . f$ is determined by $A$ in the following manner. If

$$
z=\left(\left(r_{1}, \theta_{1}, r_{2}, \theta_{2}\right),\left(\theta_{3}, \cdots, \theta_{n}\right)\right) \in\left(D^{2} \times T^{n-1}\right)_{1} \subseteq\left(D^{4} \times T^{n-2}\right)_{1}
$$

then $f(z)=\left(\left(r_{1}, \theta_{1}^{\prime}, r_{2}, \theta_{2}^{\prime}\right),\left(\theta_{3}^{\prime}, \cdots, \theta_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ where

$$
\bar{\theta}^{\prime}=\left(\theta_{1}^{\prime} \cdots \theta_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{t}=A\left(\theta_{1} \cdots \theta_{n}\right)^{t}=A \bar{\theta}
$$

To show that $f$ is equivariant, we ignore the $r$ 's for convenience. Let $\alpha=\left(\varphi_{1} \cdots \varphi_{n}\right)^{t}=\bar{\varphi} \in T^{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(f(z)) & =\alpha A \bar{\theta} \\
& =A \bar{\theta}+A \bar{\varphi} \\
& =A(\bar{\theta}+\bar{\varphi})=f(\alpha z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now note that if, for each $j$, there exists an $i>2$ with $a_{i j} \neq 0$, then $f_{\sharp}: \pi_{1}\left(\left(D^{2} \times T^{n-1}\right)_{1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(\left(D^{2} \times T^{n-1}\right)_{2}\right)$ is injective. In this case, it follows that $\pi_{1}(N) \cong Z^{n-1}$. Since $M$ is obtained, as described above, by attaching successive copies of $D^{4} \times T^{n-2}$, some attaching map must kill an element of some $\pi_{1}\left(D^{2} \times T^{n-1}\right)$. Hence, let us assume that $a_{i n}=0$ for all $i>2$.

It now follows that the stability group $C$ is defined by the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{1}+a_{12} \varphi_{2}+\cdots+a_{1 n} \varphi_{n} \equiv 0(2 \pi) \\
& a_{32} \varphi_{2}+\cdots+a_{1, n-1} \varphi_{n-1} \equiv 0(2 \pi) \\
& \vdots \\
& a_{n 2} \varphi_{2}+\cdots+a_{n, n-1} \varphi_{n-1} \equiv 0(2 \pi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the action is effective, it follows that $\varphi_{2}=\cdots=\varphi_{n-1}=0$. Hence, $C=\left\{\left(-\alpha_{1 n} \varphi_{n}, 0, \cdots, 0, \varphi_{n}\right) \mid 0 \leqq \varphi_{n} \leqq 2 \pi\right\}$. It is easy to see that $\left\langle T_{1} \cup T_{2} \cup C\right\rangle \cong T_{1} \times T_{2} \times C$.

Corollary 2.2. If $T^{n}$ acts on a simply connected ( $n+2$ )manifold, $M$, there exists an invariant $D^{2} \times S^{3} \times T^{n-3}$ with the
standard product action ( $T^{1} \times T^{2} \times T^{n-3}, D^{2} \times S^{3} \times T^{n-3}$ ).

Proof. By the lemma, one can find an adjacent triple of $T^{1}$ stability groups ( $T_{2}, T_{1}, T_{3}$ ) so that $T^{n}=T_{1} \times T_{2} \times T_{3} \times T^{n-3}$. Let $\left(D^{4} \times T^{n-2}\right)_{1}$ and $\left(D^{4} \times T^{n-2}\right)_{2}$ be the adjacent copies of $D^{4} \times T^{n-2}$ corresponding to the adjacent pairs $\left(T_{2}, T_{1}\right)$ and ( $T_{1}, T_{3}$ ), respectively. Let $N=\left(D^{4} \times T^{n-2}\right)_{1} \cup_{f}\left(D^{4} \times T^{n-2}\right)_{2}$ as in the proof of 2.1 so that we have the action $\left(T^{n}, N\right)$. We have the standard action

$$
\left(T^{n}, D^{2} \times S^{3} \times T^{n-3}\right)=\left(T_{1} \times\left(T_{2} \times T_{3}\right) \times T^{n-3}, D^{2} \times S^{3} \times T^{n-3}\right)
$$

with weighted orbit space equivalent to $N^{*}$. It follows from standard techniques that $N \cong{ }_{e q} D^{2} \times S^{3} \times T^{n-3}$.

In case there are only $n T^{1}$-stability groups we have the following much stronger result.

Theorem 2.3. Suppose $T^{n}$ acts on a simply connected $(n+2)$ manifold, $M$, so that there are exactly $n T^{1}$-stability groups $T_{1}, \cdots$, $T_{n}$ with each $F\left(T_{i}, M\right)$ connected. Then $T^{n} \cong T_{1} \times \cdots \times T_{n}$.

Proof. First remove nonintersecting neighborhoods $D^{4} \times T^{n-2}$ of each orbit of type $T^{n-2}$. We obtain a $T^{n}$-manifold with boundary, $N$, with $N^{*}$ as shown below.

$N^{*}$

Using the Seifert-Van Kampen theorem, it is easy to see that $\pi_{1}(N) \cong 0$. Let $U_{n+1} \cong T^{n} \times D^{2}$ be as shown. For each $i, 1 \leqq i \leqq n$, choose $U_{i} \cong\left(D^{3} \times T^{n-1}\right)$, so that $F\left(T_{i}, N\right) \subseteq U_{i}$ and $U_{i} \cap U_{j}=U_{n+1}$, $1 \leqq i<j \leqq n$.

Each inclusion $\pi_{1}\left(U_{n+1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(U_{i}\right)$ has kernel isomorphic to $Z$, generated by an element $z_{i} \in \pi_{1}\left(U_{n+1}\right)$ corresponding to $T_{i} \subseteq T^{n}$. Let $K=\left\langle z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right\rangle$. For each $i$, we have the following commutative
diagram.


The vertical map is the inclusion, $\rho_{n+1}$ is the natural projection and $\rho_{i}$ is defined to make the diagram commute. By the Seifert-Van Kampen theorem, we then have the commutative diagram.


Therefore $\rho_{n+1} \equiv 0$ and $K=\pi_{1}\left(U_{n+1}\right)$.
Label the $T_{i}$ 's so that $T_{1} \times \cdots \times T_{k}$ is a direct product and $k$ is a maximum. Suppose $k<n$. For each $i>k$ we have $\left\langle T_{1} \cup \cdots \cup T_{i}\right\rangle \cong$ $T^{i}$. For $1 \leqq i \leqq k$ let $C_{i}=T_{i}$ and for $i>k$ let $C_{i} \cong T^{1}$ be such that $\left\langle T_{1} \cup \cdots \cup T_{i}\right\rangle \cong C_{1} \times \cdots \times C_{i}$ and $T_{i} \nsubseteq C_{1} \times \cdots \times C_{i-1}$. Parameterize $T^{n} \cong C_{1} \times \cdots \times C_{n}$ in the obvious manner. For $1 \leqq i \leqq k$, $T_{i}=\left\{\left(0, \cdots, 0, \varphi_{i}, 0, \cdots, 0\right) \mid 0 \leqq \varphi_{i} \leqq 2 \pi\right\}$. For $i>k$, we have $T_{i}=$ $\left\{\left(c_{1 i} \varphi_{i}, \cdots, c_{i i} \varphi_{i}, 0, \cdots, 0\right) \mid 0 \leqq \varphi_{i} \leqq 2 \pi\right\}$. Let $\delta_{i j}$ be the Kronecker delta. If we write $\pi_{1}\left(U_{n+1}\right) \cong \pi_{1}\left(C_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times \pi_{1}\left(C_{n}\right)$, then for $1 \leqq i \leqq$ $k, z_{i}=\left(\delta_{i 1}, \cdots, \delta_{i n}\right)$ and for $i>k, z_{i}=\left(c_{1 i}, \cdots, c_{i i}, 0, \cdots, 0\right)$. Since $T_{i} \cap\left(C_{1} \times \cdots \times C_{k}\right) \neq \mathrm{id}$ and $T_{i} \not \equiv C_{1} \times \cdots \times C_{i-1}$ for $i>k$, we have $c_{i i}>1$. Therefore,

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & & & \\
\ddots & & & \\
& 1 & & \\
& & c_{k+1, k+1} & \\
& & \cdots & \cdots \\
& & & \\
c_{n n}
\end{array}\right) \neq 1
$$

This would imply that $K \neq \pi_{1}\left(U_{n+1}\right)$, a contradiction. Therefore $k=n$ and $T^{n} \cong T_{1} \times \cdots \times T_{n}$.
3. The manifolds $M_{n+2}$ and the construction of actions $\left(T^{n}, M^{n+2}\right)$. In this section we show the existence of basic simply connected ( $n+2$ )-manifolds admitting actions of $T^{n}$. We then show
how any action of $T^{n}$ on a simply connected $(n+2)$-manifold can be obtained from some action ( $T^{n}, M_{n+2}$ ).

Theorem 3.1. For each $n=2$ there exists a unique manifold $M_{n+2}$ admitting an action of $T^{n}$ satisfying the following condition: there are exactly $n T^{1}$-stability groups with each $F\left(T_{i}, M_{n+2}\right)$ connected.

Proof. By the construction in [4] there exists a simply connected ( $n+2$ )-manifold $M$ and an action $\theta: T^{n} \times M \rightarrow M$ with $T^{1}$ stability groups $T_{1}, \cdots, T_{n}$ satisfying the stated conditions. Let $\varphi: T^{n} \times N \rightarrow N$ be another such action with $T^{1}$-stability groups $C_{1}, \cdots, C_{n}$. We assume the $T_{i}$ 's and $C_{i}$ 's are labeled in a clockwise direction going around the orbit spaces. We must show that $M \cong N$.

By 2.3, $T^{n} \cong T_{1} \times \cdots \times T_{n}=C_{1} \times \cdots C_{n}$. We have the obvious isomorphism $f: T^{n} \rightarrow T^{n}$ with $f\left(C_{i}\right)=T_{i}$. Define an action $\theta^{\prime}: T^{n} \times$ $M \rightarrow M$ by $\theta^{\prime}(t, m)=\theta(f(t(, m)$. It is easy to see that the weighted orbit space of this action is equivalent to that for $\varphi$. By the equivariant classification theorem of [4], $M \cong N$.

While it is not true that all actions of $T^{n}$ on $M_{n+2}$ are equivalent, the above proof shows the following

Corollary 3.2. Any two actions of $T^{n}$ on $M_{n+2}$ are weakly equivalent.

The standard actions $\left(T^{2}, S^{4}\right),\left(T^{3}, S^{5}\right)$ and $\left(T^{5}, S^{3} \times S^{3}\right)$ show that $M_{4}=S^{4}, M_{5}=S^{5}$ and $M_{6}=S^{3} \times S^{3}$. The manifolds $M_{n+2}, n>4$, have not been identified at this time.

The manifolds $M_{n+2}$ provide a starting point for the construction of $T^{n}$-actions on simply connected $(n+2)$-manifolds.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose $T^{n}$ acts on a simply connected $(n+2)$ manifold $M$. Then the action $\left(T^{n}, M\right)$ can be obtained from an action $\left(T^{n}, M_{n+2}\right)$ by equivariantly replacing copies of $D^{4} \times T^{n-2}$ with copies of $S^{3} \times D^{2} \times T^{n-3}$.

Proof. Consider the action $\left(T^{n}, M\right)$. By $2.2, M$ contains an invariant $S^{3} \times D^{2} \times T^{n-3}$. When this is replaced equivariantly with a $D^{4} \times T^{n-2}$, the number of $T^{n-2}$-orbits is decreased by one. If this process is continued, $M_{n+2}$ will be obtained. Reversing the process proves the theorem.

From [4] we know that if $T^{n}$ acts on a simply connected $(n+2)$ -
manifold, $M$, then the $T^{1}$-stability groups span $T^{n}$. We now have the following.

Corollary 3.4. Suppose $T^{n}$ acts on a simply connected ( $n+2$ )manifold $M$. Then there are $T^{1}$-stability groups $T_{1}, \cdots, T_{n}$ such that $T^{n} \cong T_{1} \times \cdots \times T_{n}$.

Proof. Obtain $M_{n+2}$ from $M$ as in the proof of 3.3. Then $T^{n} \cong$ $T_{1} \times \cdots \times T_{n}$ where $T_{1}, \cdots, T_{n}$ are the $T^{1}$-stability groups of the resulting action ( $T^{n}, M_{n+2}$ ). However these will also be $T^{1}$-stability groups for the original action ( $T^{n}, M$ ).
4. The cases $n=3,4$. It was noted that $M_{4}=S^{4}, M_{5}=S^{5}$ and $M_{6}=S^{3} \times S^{3}$. These are the only $M_{n+2}$ 's identified. In fact no explicit actions of $T^{n}$ on simply connected $(n+2)$-manifolds have been identified for $n>4$.

In [12], Orlik and Raymond classify actions of $T^{2}$ on simply connected 4 -manifolds. In this section we use results of Wall, [16], and Barden, [1], to classify actions of $T^{3}$ and $T^{4}$ on simply connected 5 - and 6-manifolds, respectively.

Recall that the orbit space, $D^{2}$, of an action ( $T^{n}, M^{n+2}$ ) has isolated points on the boundary, each corresponding to an orbit of type $T^{n-2}$.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose $T^{3}$ acts on a simply connected 5-manifold $M$ so that there are $k$ distinct orbits of type $T^{1}$. If $k=3$, $M \cong S^{5}$. If $k>3, M$ is a connected sum of $k-3$ copies of $S^{2} \times S^{3}$.

Proof. If $k=3$, then $M \cong M_{5}=S^{5}$. Suppose the theorem is true for some $k \geqq 3$. Let $T^{3}$ act on $M$ with $k+1$ orbits of type $T^{1}$. $M$ is obtained from a manifold $N$ by equivariantly replacing an $S^{1} \times D^{4}$ with a $D^{2} \times S^{3}$. Since $N$ has $k$ orbits of type $T^{1}, N$ is a connected sum of $k-3$ copies of $S^{2} \times S^{3}$ or $S^{5}$ if $k-3=0$. By the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$
H^{p}(M) \cong \begin{cases}\boldsymbol{Z} & p=0,5 \\ \boldsymbol{Z}^{k-2} & p=2,3 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

By results in [1], the above construction can be done in $\boldsymbol{R}^{7}$ so $M$ embeds in $\boldsymbol{R}^{7}$. It follows that $\omega_{k}\left(\nu^{2}\right)=0$ for all $k \geqq 1$, where $\nu^{2}$ is the normal bundle of $M$ and $\omega_{k}$ is the $k^{\text {th }}$ Stiefel-Whitney class. By Whitney Duality, $\omega_{2}(M)=0$. Therefore, by [1], $M$ is a connected sum of $k-2$ copies of $S^{2} \times S^{3}$.

It is worthwhile to note that $M$ will not be an equivariant connected sum. In fact, equivariant connected sums of codimension two actions cannot exist for $n \geqq 3$ since $T^{n}$ cannot act on $S^{n+1}$ for $n \geqq 3$.

It was noted that all $T^{n}$-actions on $M_{n+2}$ are weakly equivalent. The following example shows that this is not true for $T^{n}$-actions on other simply connected $(n+2)$-manifolds.

Example 4.2. Let $T^{3}$ act on $S^{5}$ with $T^{1}$-stability groups $T_{1}, T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$ so that $T^{3}=T_{1} \times T_{2} \times T_{3}$. Define an action ( $T^{3}, S^{3} \times D^{2}$ ) as follows:

$$
t z=\left(\left(r_{1}, \theta_{1}+\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}, r_{2}, \theta_{2}+\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{3}\right),\left(r_{3}, \theta_{3}+\varphi_{1}\right)\right) .
$$

This action has $T^{1}$-stability groups $T_{2}, T_{3}$ and

$$
T_{4}=\left\{\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3}\right) \mid \varphi_{1}=\varphi_{2}=\varphi_{3}\right\}
$$

Replace the $D^{4} \times S^{1} \subseteq S^{5}$ containing $F\left(T_{2} \times T_{3}\right)$ with $S^{3} \times D^{2}$ to obtain an action ( $T^{3}, S^{2} \times S^{3}$ ) with $T^{1}$-stability groups $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}$ and $T_{4}$. However, we have another action $\left(T^{3}, S^{2} \times S^{3}\right)_{2}=\left(T_{1} \times\left(T_{2} \times T_{3}\right)\right.$, $\left.S^{2} \times S^{3}\right)$ with $T^{1}$-stability groups $T_{1}, T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$ where $F\left(T_{1}, S^{2} \times S^{3}\right)$ has two components. It is obvious that these actions are not weakly equivalent.

We now consider $T^{4}$-actions on 6 -manifolds.
Theorem 4.2. Suppose $T^{4}$ acts on a simply connected 6-manifold, $M$, with $k$ orbits of type $T^{2}$. Then $M$ is a connected sum of $k-4$ copies of $S^{2} \times S^{4}$ and $k-3$ copies of $S^{3} \times S^{3}$.

Proof. For $k=4, M \cong M_{6}=S^{3} \times S^{3}$. Assume the theorem is true for some $k \geqq 4$, and let $T^{4}$ act on $M$ with $k+1$ orbits of type $T^{2}$. $M$ is obtained from a $T^{4}$-manifold $N$ by equivariantly replacing $V_{1} \cong D^{4} \times T^{2}$ with $V_{2} \cong S^{3} \times D^{2} \times T^{1}$. Since $N$ has $k$ orbits of type $T^{2}, N$ is a connected sum of $k-4$ copies of $S^{2} \times S^{4}$ and $k-3$ copies of $S^{3} \times S^{3}$. We may assume $N=U \cup V_{1}, M=U \cup V_{2}$ and $V_{i} \cap U=S^{3} \times T^{2}$. Applying the Mayer-Vietoris sequence, it is easy to see that $H^{2}(U) \cong Z^{k-4}$. Also, by examining the pair $(U, \partial U)$, one can show that $H^{2}(\partial U) \rightarrow H^{3}(U, \partial U)$ is injective so that $H^{2}(U) \rightarrow$ $H^{2}(\partial U) \cong H^{2}\left(T^{2} \times S^{3}\right)$ is trivial. Therefore, the following sequence is exact.

$$
0 \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(V_{2}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(U \cup V_{2}\right) \longrightarrow H^{2}(M) \longrightarrow H^{2}(U) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

It follows that $H^{2}(M) \cong Z^{k-3} \cong H^{4}(M)$. Since there are no fixed
points, $\chi(M)=0$, so $H^{3}(M) \cong Z^{2 k-4}$.
Since $\omega_{2}(N)=0, \omega_{2}(U)=0$, so if $\omega_{2}(M) \neq 0$, it must be the generator of $K=\operatorname{ker}\left(H^{2}(M) \rightarrow H^{2}(U)\right.$ ) (with $Z_{2}$-coefficients). One can choose as a generator of $K$ a two cochain vanishing off $L$ where $L^{*}$ is as shown below.


Now $L$ is a closed, compact 4-manifold admitting an action of $T^{3}$ so, by [13], $L \cong L(p, q) \times S^{1}$. Since each factor of $L$ is parallelizable, $L$ is and $\omega_{2}(L)=0$. Therefore $\omega_{2}(M)=0$. The result follows from [16].
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