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#### Abstract

Several number theoretic and identity properties of three special second order recurring sequences are established. These are used to develop a necessary and sufficient condition for any integer of the form $2^{n} 3^{m} A-1\left(A<2^{n+1} 3^{m}-1\right)$ to be prime. This condition can be easily implemented on a computer.


1. Introduction. Various tests for primality of integers of the form $2^{n} A-1$ and $3^{n} A-1$ are currently available; for example, Lehmer [2] and Riesel [5] have developed necessary and sufficient conditions for $2^{n} A-1$ to be prime when $A<2^{n}$ and Williams [6] has given a necessary and sufficient condition for the primality of $2 A 3^{n}-1$ when $A<4 \cdot 3^{n}-1$. Of special concern to Riesel was the determination of the primality of $3 A 2^{n}-1$; in this paper we present a simple necessary and sufficient condition for $2^{n} 3^{m} A-1$ to be prime when $A<2^{n+1} 3^{m}-1$. In order to obtain this result we must first develop some properties of a special set of second order linear recurring sequences.

Let $a, b$ be two integers and put $\alpha=a+b \rho, \beta=a+b \rho^{2}$, where $\rho^{2}+\rho+1=0$. We define for any integer $n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{n}=\frac{\rho \alpha^{n}-\rho^{2} \beta^{n}}{\rho-\rho^{2}} \\
& S_{n}=\frac{\rho^{2} \alpha^{n}-\rho \beta^{n}}{\rho-\rho^{2}}, \\
& T_{n}=\frac{\alpha^{n}-\beta^{n}}{\rho-\rho^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that $R_{0}=1, S_{0}=-1, T_{0}=0, R_{1}=a-b, S_{1}=-a, T_{1}=b$. Putting $G=\alpha+\beta=2 a-b$ and $H=\alpha \beta=a^{2}-a b+b^{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{n+2} & =G R_{n+1}-H R_{n}, \\
S_{n+2} & =G S_{n+1}-H S_{n},  \tag{1.1}\\
T_{n+2} & =G T_{n+1}-H T_{n} .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that $R_{n}, S_{n}, T_{n}$ are integers for any nonnegative integral value of $n$.

In the next sections of this paper we present a number of identities satisfied by the $R_{n}, S_{n}, T_{n}$ functions. We also develop some of their number theoretic properties. It should be noted that
the function $T_{n}$ is simply a constant multiple $b$ of the Lucas function $U=\left(\alpha^{n}-\beta^{n}\right) /(\alpha-\beta)$; hence, many of its properties are easily deduced from the well-known (see, for example, [2]) properties of the Lucas functions.
2. Some identities. We first note that from the definition of $R_{n}, S_{n}, T_{n}$, we obtain the fundamental identity

$$
R_{n}+S_{n}+T_{n}=0
$$

We can easily verify for any integers $m, n$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{m+n} & =R_{m} R_{n}-T_{m} T_{n} \\
S_{m+n} & =T_{m} T_{n}-S_{m} S_{n}  \tag{2.1}\\
T_{m+n} & =S_{m} S_{n}-R_{m} R_{n}=T_{m} R_{n}-S_{m} T_{n}=R_{m} T_{n}-T_{m} S_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $m=1$, we get
$R_{n+1}=a R_{n}+b S_{n}, \quad S_{n+1}=(a-b) S_{n}-b R_{n}, \quad T_{n+1}=(b-a) R_{n}-a S_{n}$.
Putting $n=m$, we see that

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{2 n}=-S_{n}\left(2 R_{n}+S_{n}\right), \quad S_{2 n}=R_{n}\left(2 S_{n}+R_{n}\right), \\
T_{2 n}=T_{n}\left(R_{n}-S_{n}\right) ; \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

also, by using these results and putting $m=2 n$ above, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{3 n}=S_{n}^{3}-3 S_{n} R_{n}^{2}-R_{n}^{3}, \quad S_{3 n}=R_{n}^{3}-3 S_{n}^{2} R_{n}-S_{n}^{3}, \\
T_{3 n}=-3 R_{n} S_{n} T_{n}=-\left(R_{n}^{3}+S_{n}^{3}+T_{n}^{3}\right) . \quad\left(\mathrm{Use}-R_{n}^{3}=\left(S_{n}+T_{n}\right)^{3} .\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Since

$$
H^{n} R_{-n}=-S_{n}, \quad H^{n} S_{-n}=-R_{n}, \quad H^{n} T_{-n}=-T_{n},
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{gather*}
H^{m} R_{n-m}=T_{m} T_{n}-S_{m} R_{n}, \quad H^{m} S_{n-m}=R_{m} S_{n}-T_{m} T_{n},  \tag{2.3}\\
H^{m} T_{n-m}=S_{m} R_{n}-R_{m} S_{n}=R_{m} T_{n}-T_{m} R_{n}=T_{m} S_{n}-R_{m} T_{n}
\end{gather*}
$$

If, in the first of these formulas, we put $n=m$, we have $R_{0} H^{n}=$ $T_{n}^{2}-R_{n} S_{n}$; hence, we can deduce the following:

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{n}^{2}-R_{n} S_{n}=R_{n}^{2}-T_{n} S_{n}=S_{n}^{2}-T_{n} R_{n}=H^{n}  \tag{2.4}\\
T_{n}^{2}+R_{n} T_{n}+R_{n}^{2}=R_{n}^{2}+S_{n} R_{n}+S_{n}^{2}=S_{n}^{2}+T_{n} S_{n}+T_{n}^{2}=H^{n}, \\
T_{n} S_{n}+S_{n} R_{n}+R_{n} T_{n}=-H^{n} .
\end{gather*}
$$

More generally, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{n}^{2}-R_{n-m} R_{n+m}=S_{n}^{2}-S_{n-m} S_{n+m}=T_{n}^{2}-T_{n-m} T_{n+m}=H^{n-m} T_{m}^{2} \\
& R_{n}^{2}-T_{n-m} S_{m+n}=S_{n}^{2}-R_{n-m} T_{m+n}=T_{n}^{2}-S_{n-m} R_{n+m}=H^{n-m} R_{m}^{2} \\
& R_{n}^{2}-S_{n-m} T_{n+m}=S_{n}^{2}-T_{n-m} R_{m+n}=T_{n}^{2}-R_{n-m} S_{m+n}=H^{n-m} S_{m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{n+m}^{2}-H^{2 m} R_{n-m}^{2}=T_{2 m} S_{2 n}, \quad S_{n+m}^{2}-H^{2 m} S_{n-m}^{2}=T_{2 m} R_{2 n} \\
T_{n+m}^{2}-H^{2 m} T_{n-m}^{2}=T_{2 m} T_{2 n}
\end{gathered}
$$

A great many other identities satisfied by these functions can be developed; for example, since

$$
R_{n}+S_{n}+T_{n}=0, \quad R_{n} S_{n}+S_{n} T_{n}+R_{n} T_{n}=-H^{n}
$$

we can use Waring's formula (see, for example, [4] p. 5) to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{n}^{m}+S_{n}^{m}+T_{n}^{m}= \begin{cases}\sum_{j=0}^{[r / 3]} \frac{(r-j-1)!2 r}{(2 j)!(r-3 j)!} H^{(r-3 j) n}\left(R_{n} S_{n} T_{n}\right)^{2 j} & (m=2 r) \\
\sum_{j=0}^{[(r-1) / 3]} \frac{(r-1-j)!(2 r+1)}{(2 j+1)!(r-1-3 j)!} H^{(r-1-3 j) n}\left(R_{n} S_{n} T_{n}\right)^{2 j+1}\end{cases} \\
& \quad\left(R_{n} S_{n}\right)^{m}+\left(S_{n} T_{n}\right)^{m}+\left(T_{n} R_{n}\right)^{m} \\
& \quad=(-1)^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{[m / 3]}(-1)^{j} \frac{(m-2 j-1)!m}{(m-3 j)!j!} H^{n(m-3 j)}\left(R_{n} S_{n} T_{n}\right)^{2 j}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $m>0$. From these we deduce the rather interesting identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{n}^{4}+S_{n}^{4}+T_{n}^{4}=2 H^{2 n} \\
& R_{n}^{7}+S_{n}^{7}+T_{n}^{7}=7 H^{2 n} R_{n} S_{n} T_{n} \\
& R_{n}^{10}+S_{n}^{10}+T_{n}^{10}=2 H^{5 n}+15 H^{2 n} R_{n}^{2} S_{n}^{2} T_{n}^{2} \\
& R_{n}^{5} S_{n}^{5}+R_{n}^{5} T_{n}^{5}+S_{n}^{5} T_{n}^{5}=5 H^{2 n} R_{n}^{2} S_{n}^{2} T_{n}^{2}-H^{5 n}
\end{aligned}
$$

The following identities are also of some interest:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(S_{n}\left(S_{n}^{2}-3 H^{n}\right)\right)^{3}+\left(T_{n}\left(T_{n}^{2}-3 H^{n}\right)\right)^{3}+\left(R_{n}\left(R_{n}^{2}-3 H^{n}\right)\right)^{3} \\
& =3\left(R_{n} S_{n} T_{n}\right)^{3}, \\
& \left(R_{n} S_{n}\left(H^{n}+T_{n}^{2}\right)\right)^{4}+\left(R_{n} T_{n}\left(H^{n}+S_{n}^{2}\right)\right)^{4}+\left(S_{n} T_{n}\left(H^{n}+R_{n}^{2}\right)\right)^{4} \\
& =H^{8 n}+28 H^{2 n}\left(R_{n} S_{n} T_{n}\right)^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Both of these formulas can be derived by expanding the powers of the binomials and using the formulas above for expressions of the form $R_{n}^{j}+S_{n}^{j}+T_{n}^{j}$ and $\left(R_{n} S_{n}\right)^{j}+\left(S_{n} T_{n}\right)^{j}+\left(T_{n} R_{n}\right)^{j}$.

If we put $W_{n}=R_{n}-S_{n}, \quad X_{n}=S_{n}-T_{n}=2 S_{n}+R_{n}, \quad Y_{n}=T_{n}-$ $R_{n}=-2 R_{n}-S_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{n}+X_{n}+Y_{n}=0, \\
3 R_{n}=W_{n}-Y_{n}, \quad 3 S_{n}=X_{n}-W_{n}, \quad 3 T_{n}=Y_{n}-X_{n} \\
R_{2 n}=S_{n} Y_{n}, \quad S_{2 n}=R_{n} X_{n}, \quad T_{2 n}=T_{n} W_{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 W_{m+n}=W_{m} W_{n}+Y_{m} X_{n}+Y_{n} X_{m} \\
& 3 X_{m+n}=Y_{m} Y_{n}+X_{m} W_{n}+W_{m} X_{n} \\
& 3 Y_{m+n}=X_{m} X_{n}+Y_{m} W_{n}+W_{m} Y_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

and from these we are able to derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{2 n}=\left(W_{n}^{2}+2 X_{n} Y_{n}\right) / 3=X_{n} Y_{n}+H^{n}=W_{n}^{2}-2 H^{n} \\
& Y_{2 n}=\left(X_{n}^{2}+2 W_{n} Y_{n}\right) / 3=W_{n} Y_{n}+H^{n}=X_{n}^{2}-2 H^{n} \\
& X_{2 n}=\left(Y_{n}^{2}+2 X_{n} W_{n}\right) / 3=W_{n} X_{n}+H^{n}=Y_{n}^{2}-2 H^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 X_{3 n}=X_{n}^{3}+3 X_{n}^{2} Y_{n}-Y_{n}^{3} \\
& 3 Y_{3 n}=Y_{n}^{3}+3 Y_{n}^{2} X_{n}-X_{n}^{3} \\
& W_{3 n}=X_{n} Y_{n} W_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Many other identities similar to those satisfied by the $R_{n}, S_{n}, T_{n}$ functions are satisfied by $W_{n}, X_{n}, Y_{n}$ functions.
3. Some number theoretic results. In the discussion that follows we will assume that $a$ and $b$ satisfy the following two properties:

$$
\begin{gather*}
(a, b)=1  \tag{1}\\
a \not \equiv-b(\bmod 3) \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

It follows from (1) and (2) that $(G, H)=1$. We can now develop several divisibility properties of the $R_{n}, S_{n}, T_{n}$ functions. We will also assume in what follows that $n, m$ represent positive integers.

Lemma 1. For any $n,\left(R_{n}, H\right)=\left(S_{n}, H\right)=\left(T_{n}, H\right)=1$.
Proof. If $p$ is any prime divisor of $R_{n}$ and $H$, then by (1.1) $p$ is a divisor of $R_{n-1}$. By continuing this reasoning, we see that $p \mid R_{1}$. If $p \mid R_{1}$ and $p \mid H$, then $R_{0}=1$ and $p \mid G$, which is impossible. In the same way we see that $\left(S_{n}, H\right)=1$. Also, if $p \mid\left(T_{n}, H\right)$, then by
the above reasoning $p \mid T_{1}=b$. Since $p \mid H$, we have $p \mid a$ and consequently $p \mid G$.

Lemma 2. For any $n,\left(R_{n}, S_{n}\right)=\left(S_{n}, T_{n}\right)=\left(T_{n}, R_{n}\right)=1$.
Proof. If $p$ is any prime divisor of any two of $R_{n}, S_{n}, T_{n}$, then by (2.4) $p$ must divide $H$, which is impossible by the preceding lemma.

Since $T_{n}$ is a simple multiple of the Lucas function $U_{n},\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ is divisibility sequence, i.e., $T_{n} \mid T_{m}$ whenever $n \mid m$. The analogous properties of $R_{n}$ and $S_{n}$ are given in

THEOREM 1. Suppose $n \mid m$. If $m / n \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$, then $R_{n} \mid R_{m}$ and $S_{n} \mid S_{m}$; if $m / n \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$, then $R_{n}\left|S_{m}, S_{n}\right| R_{m}$; if $m / n \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 3)$, then $R_{n}\left|T_{m}, S_{n}\right| T_{m}$.

Proof. From the identities of $\S 1$ we see that $R_{n}\left|S_{2 n}, S_{n}\right| R_{2 n}$, $R_{n}\left|T_{3 n}, S_{n}\right| T_{3 n}$. Now since $T_{3 n} \mid T_{3 k n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{(3 k+t) n} & =R_{3 k n} R_{t n}-T_{3 k n} T_{t n} \\
& \equiv R_{3 k n} R_{t n}\left(\bmod R_{n} S_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $t=1, R_{n} \mid R_{(3 k+t) n}$; if $t=2, S_{n} \mid R_{(3 k+t) n}$. The remaining results are proved in a similar manner.

Let $T_{\omega(m)}$ be the first term of the sequence

$$
T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, \cdots, T_{n}
$$

in which $m$ occurs as a factor. We will call $\omega=\omega(m)$ the "rank of apparition" of $m$. From the theory of Lucas functions, it follows that if $m \mid T_{n}$, then $\omega(m) \mid n$ and consequently that $\left(T_{m}, T_{n}\right)=T_{(m, n)}$. We also have the result that if $(H, m)=1$, then $\omega(m)$ always exists.

We now define $\omega_{1}=\omega_{1}(m)$ and $\omega_{2}=\omega_{2}(m)$ as analogues of $\omega(m)$. We say for a given $m$ that $R_{\omega_{1}}$ and $S_{\omega_{2}}$ are respectively the first term of the sequences

$$
\left\{R_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \text { and }\left\{S_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \text { which } m \text { divides }
$$

It is not in general true that $\omega_{1}(m)$ or $\omega_{2}(m)$ exist for any $m$ such that $(m, H)=1$. In the results that follow we give some characterization of those values of $m$ such that $\omega_{1}(m)$ or $\omega_{2}(m)$ do exist. In Theorems 2, 3, 4, and Lemma 3 we give results concerning $R_{n}$ and $\omega_{1}$ only; however, analogous results involving $S_{n}$ and $\omega_{2}$ for each of these are also true and their proofs are similar.

Theorem 2. If $(m, H)=1$ and $\omega_{1}$ exists, then $\omega_{2}$ exists, $3 \mid \omega$, $\omega_{1}=\omega / 3$ or $2 \omega / 3$, and $\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}=\omega$.

Proof. Suppose $\omega_{1} \geqq \omega$. We have

$$
\omega_{1}=q \omega+r \quad\left(0 \leqq r<\omega \leqq \omega_{1}\right)
$$

and

$$
0 \equiv R_{\omega_{1}}=R_{q \omega} R_{r}-T_{q \omega} T_{r} \equiv R_{q \omega} R_{r}(\bmod m)
$$

Since $m \mid T_{q \omega}$ and $\left(T_{q \omega}, R_{q \omega}\right)=1$, we see that $m \mid R_{r}$, which is impossible. Thus, $\omega_{1}<\omega$.

Since $m \mid T_{3 \omega_{1}}$, we must have $\omega \mid 3 \omega_{1}$; since $\omega>\omega_{1}$, we see that $3 \mid \omega$ and $\omega_{1}=\omega / 3$ or $2 \omega / 3$. Now

$$
H^{\omega_{1}} S_{\omega-\omega_{1}}=S_{\omega} R_{\omega_{1}}-T_{\omega} T_{\omega_{1}} \equiv 0(\bmod m) ;
$$

thus, $m \mid S_{\omega-\omega_{1}}$ and $\omega_{2} \leqq \omega-\omega_{1}<\omega$. Since as with $\omega_{1}, m \mid T_{3 \omega_{2}}$, it follows that $\omega \mid 3 \omega_{2}$, so $\omega_{2}=\omega / 3$ or $2 \omega / 3$. Now if $\omega_{1}=\omega_{2}=\omega / 3$ or $2 \omega / 3$, then $R_{\omega_{1}}+S_{\omega_{1}}+T_{\omega_{1}}=0$ implies $m \mid T_{\omega_{1}}$, which is a contradiction since $\omega_{1}<\omega$. Thus, since $\omega_{1} \neq \omega_{2}$, we must have $\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}=\omega$.

Theorem 3. If $(m, H)=1$ and $m \mid R_{n}$, then $\omega_{1}$ exists and either $\omega_{1} \mid n$ and $n / \omega_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ or $w_{2} \mid n, \omega_{2}=\omega_{1} / 2$ and $n / \omega_{2} \equiv-1(\bmod 6)$.

Proof. Let $n=3 \omega_{1} q+r\left(0 \leqq r<3 \omega_{1}\right)$; then

$$
0 \equiv R_{n}=R_{3 \omega_{1 q} q} R_{r}-T_{3 \omega_{1} q} T_{r} \equiv R_{3 \omega_{1 q} q} R_{r}(\bmod m)
$$

and $m \mid R_{r}$. We now distinguish two cases.

Case 1. $\omega_{1}=\omega / 3$. Here we have $r<\omega$ and $3 r<3 \omega$. Since $m \mid T_{3 r}$, we see that $3 r=\omega$ or $2 \omega$. If $3 r=2 \omega$, then $r=\omega_{2}$, which, since $\left(R_{r}, S_{r}\right)=1$, is impossible. Thus, $r=\omega / 3=\omega_{1}, \omega_{1} \mid n$ and $n / \omega_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$.

Case 2. $\omega_{1}=2 \omega / 3$. In this case we see that $r<2 \omega$ and $3 r<6 \omega$. Thus, $3 r$ is one of $\omega, 2 \omega, 4 \omega, 5 \omega$. If $3 r=\omega$ or $4 \omega$, then $r=\omega_{2}$ or $4 \omega_{2}$. Since $\left(R_{r}, S_{r}\right)=1$, this is impossible. Thus $r=\omega_{1}$ or $\omega+\omega_{1}$. If $r=\omega_{1}$, we have $\omega_{1} \mid n$ and $n / \omega_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$; if $r=$ $\omega+\omega_{1}$, then $n=3 \omega_{1} q+\omega+\omega_{1}=6 \omega_{2} q+3 \omega_{2}+2 \omega_{2}=(6 q+5) \omega_{2}$.

Corollary. Under the conditions of Theorem 3, we must have $n \equiv \omega_{1}\left(\bmod 3^{\nu+1}\right)$, where $3^{\nu} \| \omega_{1}, \nu \geqq 0$.

Theorem 4. If $m$ and $n$ are integers such that ( $m, n$ ) $=1$, then $\omega_{1}(m n)$ exists if and only if $\omega_{1}(m)$ and $\omega_{1}(n)$ exist and $\omega_{1}(m) \equiv \omega_{1}(n)$ $\left(\bmod 3^{\nu+1}\right)$, where $3^{\nu} \| \omega_{1}(m), \nu \geqq 0$.

Proof. Suppose $\Omega_{1}=\omega_{1}(m n)$ exists; then clearly $\omega_{1}=\omega_{1}(m)$ and $\omega_{1}^{*}=\omega_{1}(n)$ exist and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Omega_{1} \equiv \omega_{1}\left(\bmod 3^{\nu+1}\right) & \left(3^{\nu} \| \omega_{1}\right) \\
\Omega_{1} \equiv \omega_{1}^{*}\left(\bmod 3^{\nu^{*}+1}\right) & \left(3^{\nu^{*}} \| \omega_{1}^{*}\right)
\end{array}
$$

It follows that $\nu=\nu^{*}$ and $\omega_{1} \equiv \omega_{1}^{*}\left(\bmod 3^{\nu+1}\right)$.
If $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{1}^{*}$ exist and $\omega_{1} \equiv \omega_{1}^{*}\left(\bmod 3^{\nu+1}\right)\left(3^{\nu} \| \omega_{1}\right)$, put $\Omega=\left[\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{*}\right]$. We see that

$$
\frac{\Omega}{\omega_{1}} \equiv \frac{\Omega}{\omega_{1}^{*}} \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3)
$$

If $\Omega / \omega_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$, then $R_{\Omega} \equiv 0(\bmod m n)$; if $\Omega / \omega \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$, then $S_{\Omega} \equiv R_{2 \Omega} \equiv 0(\bmod m n)$. In either case we see that $\omega_{1}(m n)$ must exist.

In order to continue our discussion of the existence of $\omega_{1}(m)$ and $\omega_{2}(m)$ it is necessary to consider the question of the existence of $\omega_{1}\left(p^{n}\right), \omega_{2}\left(p^{n}\right)$, where $p$ is a prime. This is done in the next section.
4. Some results modulo $p$. From the theory of Lucas functions we know that if $p^{2}>2$, and $p^{2} \| T_{n}$ then $p^{\lambda+\nu} \| T_{n p}$; also, if $p^{\lambda}=2$ and $2 \mid T_{n}$, then $4 \mid T_{2 n}$. We will attempt to discover similar results for $R_{n}$ and $S_{n}$. We must deal with the special case $p=3$ separately.

Lemma 3. If $3^{\nu} \| R_{m}$ when $\nu \geqq 1$, then $3^{\nu} \| R_{m n}$ when $n \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$; otherwise, $3 \nmid R_{m n}$.

Proof. Certainly $3^{\nu} \mid R_{m n}$ when $n \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ (Theorem 1 ); suppose $3^{\nu+1} \mid R_{m n}$. Now $3^{\nu+2} \mid T_{9 m}$ and $3^{\nu+2} \mid T_{3 m n}$; hence, $3^{\nu+2} \mid T_{3 m}=\left(T_{9 m}, T_{3 m n}\right)$, which is impossible. If $3 \mid R_{m n}$ when $n \not \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$, then since $3 \mid R_{m}$, we have $3 \mid\left(T_{m}, R_{m}\right)$ or $3 \mid\left(R_{m}, S_{m}\right)$, neither of which is possible.

We deal now with any prime $p \neq 3$.
THEOREM 5. Let $p$ be any prime which is not 3 and suppose $\lambda>1$. If $p^{\lambda} \neq 2$ and $p^{\lambda} \| R_{m}$, then $p^{\lambda+\nu} \| R_{m p^{\nu}}$ when $p^{\nu} \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ and $p^{\lambda+\nu} \| S_{m p^{\nu}}$ when $p^{\nu} \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$. If $p^{\lambda} \neq 2$ and $p^{2} \| S_{m}$, then $p^{\lambda+\nu} \| S_{m p^{\nu}}$ when $p^{\nu} \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$ and $p^{\lambda+\nu} \| R_{m p^{\nu}}$ when $p^{\nu} \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$. If $2 \mid R_{m}$, then $4 \mid S_{2 m}$; if $2 S_{m}$, then $4 \mid R_{2 m}$.

Proof. From the definitions of $R_{n}$ and $S_{n}$ it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho^{2} S_{m p}-\rho R_{m p}=\left(\rho^{2} S_{m}-\rho R_{m}\right)^{p} \\
& \rho S_{m p}-\rho^{2} R_{m p}=\left(\rho S_{m}-\rho^{2} R_{m}\right)^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose $p \neq 2$. If $p^{2} \| R_{m}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho^{2} S_{m p}-\rho R_{m p} \equiv \rho^{2 p} S_{m}^{p}-p \rho^{2 p-1} R_{m} S_{m}^{p-1}\left(\bmod p^{\lambda+2}\right), \\
& \rho S_{m p}-\rho^{2} R_{m p} \equiv \rho^{p} S_{m}^{p}-p \rho^{p+1} R_{m} S_{m}^{p-1}\left(\bmod p^{\lambda+2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore,

$$
R_{m p} \equiv p R_{m} S_{m}^{p-1}\left(\bmod p^{2+2}\right) \quad \text { when } \quad p \equiv 1(\bmod 3)
$$

and

$$
S_{m p} \equiv p R_{m} S_{m}^{p-1}\left(\bmod p^{\lambda+2}\right) \quad \text { when } \quad p \equiv-1(\bmod 3)
$$

We get similar results when $p^{2} \| S_{m}$. Thus the theorem is true for $\nu=1$. That it is true for a general $\nu$ can be easily shown by induction on $\nu$. When $p=2$ we prove the theorem by using the identities (2.2).

When $p \neq 3$, we see that $\omega_{1}\left(p^{n}\right)$ and $\omega_{2}\left(p^{n}\right)$ both exist when $\omega_{1}(p)$ and $\omega_{2}(p)$ exist. We need now only consider the problem of when $\omega_{1}(p), \omega_{2}(p)$ exist. Since $3 \mid T_{3}$, we see that $\omega_{1}\left(3^{n}\right)$ exists only if $3^{n} \mid R_{1}$ or $3^{n} \mid S_{1}$ and similarly for $\omega_{2}\left(3^{n}\right)$.

Let $p(\neq 3)$ be a prime. If $p \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$, let

$$
\pi=r+s \rho,
$$

where $r \equiv-1(\bmod 3), 3 \mid s$ and $N(\pi)=\pi \bar{\pi}=r^{2}-s r+s^{2}=p ; \quad$ if $p \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$, let $\pi=\bar{\pi}=p, N(\pi)=p^{2}$. We have $\pi$ a prime in the Eisenstein field $Q(\rho)$ and we define $[\mu \mid \pi]$ to the cubic character of $\mu \in Q[\rho]$ modulo $\pi$. That is

$$
\mu^{(N(\pi)-1) / 3} \equiv\left[\frac{\mu}{\pi}\right](\bmod \pi)
$$

and

$$
\left[\frac{\mu}{\pi}\right]=1, \quad \rho, \quad \text { or } \quad \rho^{2}
$$

THEOREM 6. If $p \equiv \varepsilon(\bmod 3)$, where $|\varepsilon|=1$, and $[H \alpha \mid \pi]=\rho^{\eta}$, then $p \mid R_{(p-s) / 3}$ when $\eta=2, p \mid S_{(p-\varepsilon) / 3}$ when $\eta=1$, and $\rho \mid T_{(p-\varepsilon) / 3}$ when $\eta=0$.

Proof. We consider two possible cases.
Case 1. $\varepsilon=+1$. In this case $N(\pi)=p$,

$$
\alpha^{p} \equiv \alpha(\bmod p), \quad \text { and } \quad(\alpha H)^{(p-1) / 3} \equiv \rho^{\eta}(\bmod \pi) ;
$$

hence,

$$
\alpha^{2(p-1) / 3} \beta^{(p-1) / 3} \equiv \rho^{\eta}(\bmod \pi)
$$

and

$$
\alpha^{(p-1) / 3} \equiv \rho^{2 \eta} \beta^{(p-1) / 3}(\bmod \pi)
$$

The theorem follows easily from this result and the definition of $R_{n}, S_{n}$ and $T_{n}$.

Case 2. $\quad \varepsilon=-1$. In this case $N(\pi)=p^{2}, \alpha^{p} \equiv \beta(\bmod p)$,

$$
(\alpha H)^{\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 3} \equiv \alpha^{\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 3} \equiv\left(\alpha^{p-1}\right)^{(p+1) / 3} \equiv(\beta / \alpha)^{(p+1) / 3}(\bmod p)
$$

It follows that

$$
\alpha^{(p+1) / 3} \equiv \rho^{2 \eta} \beta^{(p+1) / 3}(\bmod p)
$$

If $\eta=0$ and $p \not \equiv \varepsilon(\bmod 9)$, then $\omega_{1}(p)$ and $\omega_{2}(p)$ can not exist; for, in this case, $\omega \mid(p-\varepsilon) / 3$ and $3 \nmid \omega$. If, on the other hand, $\eta \neq 0$, then $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ do exist and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{1} & \equiv 2 \eta(p-\varepsilon) / 3\left(\bmod 3^{\nu}\right) \\
\omega_{2} & \equiv \eta(p-\varepsilon) / 3\left(\bmod 3^{\nu}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $3^{2} \| p-\varepsilon$. The question of whether $\omega_{1}=2 \omega_{2}$ or $\omega_{1}=\omega_{2} / 2$ seems to be rather difficult. We can give some simple results on this but we first require

Theorem 7. If $p$ is a prime such that $p \equiv \varepsilon(\bmod 6),|\varepsilon|=1$, $\lambda=(p-\varepsilon) / 6$, and $\sigma=(H \mid p)$ (Legendre symbol), then one and only one of $W_{\lambda}, X_{\lambda}, Y_{\lambda}, R_{\lambda}, S_{\lambda}, T_{\lambda}$ is divisible by $p$ and that one is given in the table below according to the value of $\sigma$ and $\eta$.

| $\sigma$ | $\eta$ | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 | $W_{\lambda}$ | $X_{\lambda}$ | $Y_{\lambda}$ |
| 1 | $T_{\lambda}$ | $R_{\lambda}$ | $S_{\lambda}$ |

Proof. If $\varepsilon=1, \alpha^{p-\varepsilon} \equiv \beta^{p-\varepsilon} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$; if $\varepsilon=-1, \alpha^{p-\varepsilon} \equiv \beta^{p-\varepsilon} \equiv$ $\alpha \beta=H(\bmod p)$; hence, we easily obtain the result that

$$
R_{6 \lambda} \equiv H^{(1-\varepsilon) / 2}, \quad S_{6 \lambda} \equiv-H^{(1-\varepsilon) / 2}, \quad T_{6 \lambda} \equiv 0(\bmod p)
$$

Thus, $W_{6 \lambda} \equiv 2 H^{(1-\varepsilon) / 2}$ and

$$
2 H^{(1-\varepsilon) / 2} \equiv W_{3 \lambda}^{2}-2 H^{(p-\varepsilon) / 2} \equiv W_{3 \lambda}^{2}-2 \sigma H^{(1-\varepsilon) / 2}(\bmod p)
$$

If $\sigma=-1$, then $p \mid W_{3 \lambda}$ and since

$$
W_{n}^{2}+3 T_{n}^{2}=4 H^{n}
$$

$p \nmid T_{3 \lambda}$. Now $p \mid W_{\lambda} X_{\lambda} Y_{\lambda}$ and the prime $p$ can divide only one of $W_{\lambda}$, $X_{2}$ or $Y_{\lambda}$; for, if it divided any two of these it would divide the third. It follows that it would also divide $R_{\lambda}, S_{\lambda}$, and $T_{\lambda}$, which is impossible. If $p \mid W_{\lambda}$, then $p \mid T_{2 \lambda}$ and $\eta=0$; if $p \mid X_{\lambda}$, then $p \mid S_{2 \lambda}$ and $\eta=1$; if $p \mid Y_{\lambda}$, then $p \mid R_{2 \lambda}$ and $\eta=2$.

If $\sigma=1$, then $p \nmid W_{3 \lambda}$ and since $T_{6 \lambda} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, we must have $p \mid T_{3 \lambda}$; thus, $p \mid T_{\lambda} S_{\lambda} R_{\lambda}$. If $p \mid T_{\lambda}$, then $p \mid T_{2 \lambda}$ and $\eta=0$; if $p \mid S_{\lambda}$ then $p \mid R_{2 \lambda}$ and $\eta=2$; if $p \mid R_{\lambda}$, then $p \mid S_{2 \lambda}$ and $\eta=1$.

When $p$ is a prime, $p \equiv 1(\bmod 12)$, and $(H \mid p)=1$, we can obtain a further refinement of the results of Theorem 7. We first require

Lemma 4. If $p \equiv 1(\bmod 12), \alpha=a+b \rho, p \nmid a^{2}-a b+b^{2}, \pi_{p}=$ $r+s \rho$ and $\tau=(a s-b r \mid p)($ Legendre symbol), then in $Q(\rho)$

$$
\alpha^{(p-1) / 2} \equiv \tau\left(\bmod \pi_{p}\right)
$$

Proof. The proof of this result is completely analogous to the proof given by Dirichlet [1] of a similar result concerning the value of $\alpha^{(p-1) / 2}(\bmod \pi)$, when $\alpha, \pi \in Q(i), i^{2}=1$.

THEOREM 8. Let $p$ be a prime such that $p \equiv 1(\bmod 12)$, $(H \mid p)=1, \pi_{p}=r+s \rho$. If $\tau=(a s-b r \mid p), \nu=\tau(H \mid p)_{4}$, and $\mu=$ ( $p-1$ )/12, then one and only one of $W_{\mu}, X_{\mu}, Y_{\mu}, R_{\mu}, S_{\mu}, T_{\mu}$ is divisible by $p$ and that one is given in the table below according to the value of $\nu$ and $\eta$.

| $\nu$ | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 | $W_{\mu}$ | $Y_{\mu}$ | $X_{\mu}$ |
| 1 | $T_{\mu}$ | $S_{\mu}$ | $R_{\mu}$ |

Proof. Since $W_{(p-1) / 2}=\alpha^{(p-1) / 2}+\beta^{(p-1) / 2} \quad$ and $\quad \alpha^{(p-1) 2} \beta^{(p-1) / 2} \equiv 1$ $(\bmod p)$, we see that $W_{(p-1) / 2} \equiv 2 \tau\left(\bmod \pi_{p}\right)$ and consequently $W_{(p-1) / 2} \equiv$ $2 \tau(\bmod p)$.
Now

$$
W_{(p-1) / 2}=W_{(p-1) / 4}^{2}-2 H^{(p-1) / 4} ;
$$

thus, $p \mid W_{3 \mu}$ when $\nu=-1$ and $p \mid T_{3 \mu}$ when $\nu=1$.
The remainder of the theorem follows by using reasoning similar to that used in the proof of Theorem 7.

Using Theorem 7 , we see that if $\eta \neq 0, \sigma=-1$, and if $(p-\varepsilon) / 3$ has no prime divisors which are of the form $6 t-1$, then $\omega_{1}=\omega_{2} / 2$
when $\eta=2$ and $\omega_{2}=\omega_{1} / 2$ when $\eta=1$. For suppose $\eta=2, \sigma=-1$ and $2 \lambda=(p-\varepsilon) / 3$. Since $Y_{\lambda} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ we see that $S_{\lambda} \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and $R_{2 \lambda} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$.
Hence

$$
2 \lambda=\omega_{1}(3 k+1),
$$

or

$$
2 \lambda=\omega_{2}(6 k-1), \quad \text { where } \quad \omega_{1}=2 \omega_{2}
$$

Since no prime factor of the form $6 t-1$ divides $\lambda$, we must have

$$
2 \lambda=\omega_{1}(3 k+1)
$$

If $\omega_{1}=2 \omega_{2}, \lambda=(3 k+1) \omega_{2}$ and $p \mid S_{\lambda}$ which is not so; thus, $\omega_{1}=\omega_{2} / 2$.
5. Primality testing and pseudoprimes. In this section we require the symbol $[A+B \rho \mid C+D \rho]$ of Williams and Holte [7]. In [7] it is shown how this symbol may be easily evaluated. It is also pointed out that if $C+D \rho$ is a prime of $Q(\rho)$, then $[A+B \rho \mid C+D \rho]$ is the cubic character of $A+B \rho$ modulo $C+D \rho$. We are now able to give the main result of this paper.

Theorem 9. Let $N=2^{n} 3^{m} A-1$, where $n>1$, $A$ is odd, and $A<2^{n+1} 3^{m}-1$. If $(H \mid N)=-1$ (Jacobi symbol), $[a+b \rho \mid N]=\rho^{n}$ $(\eta \neq 0)$, then $N$ is a prime if and only if

$$
X_{L} \equiv 0(\bmod N) \quad \text { when } \quad \eta=1
$$

$o r$

$$
Y_{L} \equiv 0(\bmod N) \quad \text { when } \quad \eta=2
$$

Here $L=(N+1) / 6$.
Proof. If $N$ is a prime, $[a+b \rho \mid N]$ is the cubic character of $\alpha H$ modulo $N$; hence, $N \mid X_{L}$ when $\eta=1$ and $N \mid Y_{L}$ when $\eta=2$.

If $N \mid X_{L}$, then $N \mid T_{6 L}$. If $p$ is any prime divisor of $T_{2 L}$ or $T_{3 L}$, then $p$ must divide one of $T_{L}, W_{L}, R_{L}, S_{L}$. From the simple identities which relate $R_{k}, S_{k}, T_{k}$ to $W_{k}, X_{k}, Y_{k}$, we see that if $p \mid X_{L}$, then $p$ must divide two of $R_{L}, S_{L}$, and $T_{L}$, which is impossible; hence $\left(N, T_{2 L}\right)=\left(N, T_{3 L}\right)=1$. Let $p$ be any prime divisor of $N$ and let $\omega=\omega(p)$. We have $\omega \mid 6 L$ but $\omega \nmid 2 L$ and $\omega \nmid 3 L$; thus, $2^{n} \mid \omega$ and $3^{m} \mid \omega$. Since $\omega \mid p \pm 1$, we have

$$
p=2^{n} 3^{m} u \pm 1
$$

Since $N=p S$ for some $S$, we have $S=2^{n} 3^{m} v \pm 1$ and $A=2^{n} 3^{m} u v \pm$
$(v-u)$. Now $A$ is odd and $n>1$; hence, one of $u$, $v$ must be even and $A \geqq 2^{n+1} 3^{m}-1$, which is not possible; thus, $N$ is a prime. Similarly, it can be shown that if $N \mid Y_{L}$, then $N$ is a prime.

This criterion for the primality of $N$ can be easily implemented on a computer by making use of the identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{2 k} & =-S_{k}\left(2 R_{k}+S_{k}\right) \\
S_{2 k} & =R_{k}\left(2 S_{k}+R_{k}\right) \\
R_{k+1} & =a R_{k}+b S_{k} \\
S_{k+1} & =(a-b) S_{k}-b R_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The values of $a, b$ can be easily found by trial and then $R_{L}, S_{L}$ determined modulo $N$ by using the above identities in conjunction with a power technique such as that of Lehmer [3].

It is of some interest to determine whether there exist composite values of $N=2^{n} 3^{m} A-1$ such that $A \geqq 2^{n+1} 3^{m}-1,[a+b \rho \mid N]=\rho^{\eta}$, $\eta \neq 0,(H \mid N)=-1$, and

$$
X_{L} \equiv 0(\bmod N) \quad \text { when } \quad \eta=1
$$

or

$$
Y_{L} \equiv 0(\bmod N) \quad \text { when } \quad \eta=2 \quad(L=(N+1) / 6)
$$

Such values of $N$ can be considered as a type of pseudoprime. In fact, if $N \equiv-1(\bmod 3),[H(a+b \rho) \mid N]=\rho^{\eta}, \sigma=(H \mid N)$, we define $N$ to be an $\alpha$-pseudoprime to base $a+b \rho$ if it divides the appropriate entry of Table 1 with $\lambda=(N+1) / 6$. For example, if $\sigma=-1$, $\rho=2, N$ is an $\alpha$-pseudoprime if

$$
Y_{(N+1) / 6} \equiv 0(\bmod N)
$$

A systematic search of all composite $\alpha$-pseudoprimes $\left(<10^{6}\right)$ to base $2+3 \rho$ produced the following:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
N=5777=53 \cdot 109 & \eta=1, & \sigma=1 \\
N=31877=127 \cdot 251 & \eta=0, & \sigma=-1 \\
N=513197=41 \cdot 12517 & \eta=0, & \sigma=-1 \\
N=915983=47 \cdot 19489 & \eta=1, & \sigma=1
\end{array}
$$

None of these has both $\sigma=-1$ and $\eta \neq 0$. Such $\alpha$-pseudoprimes seem to be rather rare; however, they do exist. For example, let $q, p_{1}$, be primes such that $q \equiv 1(\bmod 3), p_{1}=6 q-1$ and select $a, b$ such that $\left[a+b \rho \mid p_{1}\right]=\rho^{2}$ and $\left(H \mid p_{1}\right)=-1$. If $p_{2}$ is prime such that $p_{2} \equiv 13(\bmod 36),\left(p_{2}, p_{1}(2 b-a)\right)=1$ and $Y_{q} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p_{2}\right)$, then $N=p_{1} p_{2}$ is an $\alpha$-pseudoprime to base $a+b \rho$ and

$$
N \mid X_{(N+1) / 6},
$$

$(N \mid H)=-1,[a+b \rho \mid N]=\rho$. To prove this we first note that $p_{1} \mid Y_{q}$ and $p_{2} \mid Y_{q}$; hence, $N \mid Y_{q}$. We also have $p_{2} \mid R_{2 q}, p_{2} \nmid S_{q}$ and $p_{2} \nmid R_{2}=$ $Y_{1} S_{1}$; therefore, $\omega_{1}\left(p_{2}\right)=2 q, \quad \omega_{2}\left(p_{2}\right)=4 q$ and $\omega\left(p_{2}\right)=6 q$. Since $\omega\left(p_{2}\right) \mid p_{2}-1$, we see that $12 q \mid p_{2}-1$ and $\left(p_{2}-1\right) / 12 q \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$; consequently, $R_{\left(p_{2}-1\right) / 6} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p_{2}\right),\left(H \mid p_{2}\right)=+1$, and $\left[H(a+b \rho) \mid \pi_{2}\right]=\rho$. Now $p_{1} p_{2}+1 \equiv 0(\bmod 6 q)$ and $\left(p_{1} p_{2}+1\right) / 6 q \equiv-1(\bmod 6)$; hence,

$$
X_{\left(p_{1} p_{2}+1\right) / 6} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p_{1} p_{2}\right),
$$

$\left(H \mid p_{1} p_{2}\right)=\left(H \mid p_{1}\right)\left(H \mid p_{2}\right)=-1$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\frac{a+b \rho}{p_{1} p_{2}}\right] } & =\left[\frac{a+b \rho}{p_{1}}\right]\left[\frac{H(a+b \rho)}{\pi_{2}}\right]\left[\frac{H(a+b \rho)}{\bar{\pi}_{2}}\right]=\left[\frac{(a+b \rho)^{2}\left(a+b \rho^{2}\right)}{\bar{\pi}_{2}}\right] \\
& =\left[\frac{\left(a+b \rho^{2}\right)^{2}(a+b \rho)}{\pi_{2}}\right]=\left[\frac{(a+b \rho)^{2}\left(a+b \rho^{2}\right)}{\pi_{2}}\right]^{-1}=\rho
\end{aligned}
$$

If we put $q=5449, p_{1}=32693, a=2, b=3$, we have $\left(H \mid p_{1}\right)=$ $-1,\left[a+b \rho \mid p_{1}\right]=\rho^{2}$. We also find that the prime 653881 divides $Y_{544}$; hence, $N=32693 \cdot 653881=21377331533$ is an $\alpha$-pseudoprime to base $2+3 \rho$ and $N \mid X_{(N+1) / 6}$.
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