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#### Abstract

The root function $\gamma(n)$ is defined by Golomb for $n>1$ as the number of distinct representations $n=a^{b}$ with positive integers $a$ and $b$. In this paper we define a convolution $\nabla$ such that $\gamma$ is the $\nabla$-analog of the (Dirichlet) divisor function $\tau$. The structure of the ring of arithmetic functions under addition and $\nabla$ is discussed. We compute and interpret $\nabla$ analogs of the Moebius function and Euler's $\Phi$-function. Formulas and an algorithm for computing the number of distinct representations of an integer $n \geqq 2$ in the form $n=a_{1}^{a_{2}} .^{\cdot{ }^{k}}$, with $a_{i}$ a positive integer, $i=1, \cdots, k$, are given.


1. Introduction. Let $Z$ denote the set of positive integers, let $A$ denote the set of arithmetic functions (complex-valued functions with domain $Z$ ), and let $F$ denote the set of elements of $Z$ which are not $k$ th powers of any positive integer for $k>1(k \in Z)$. Note that $1 \notin F$. The divisor function $\tau$ can be defined as $\tau=\nu_{0} * \nu_{0}$, where $\nu_{0} \in A, \nu_{0}(n)=1$ for all $n \in Z$, and * is the Dirichlet convolution defined for $\alpha, \beta \in A$ by $(\alpha * \beta)(n)=\sum_{d \mid n} \alpha(d) \beta(n / d)$.

Any integer $n \geqq 2$ having canonical form $n=p_{1}^{e_{1}} \cdots p_{r}^{e_{r}}$ is uniquely expressible as $n=m^{g}$, where $g=$ g.c.d. $\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{r}\right)$ and $m \in F$. Golomb [1] defines the root function $\gamma(n)$ for $n \in Z, n>1$, as the number of distinct representations $n=a^{b}$ with $a, b \in Z$; and he notes that $\gamma(n)=\tau(g)$ for $n=m^{g}, m \in F, g \in Z$. We let $\gamma(1)=1$.

For $\alpha, \beta \in A, n=m^{g}$, with $m \in F, g \in Z$, we define the $G$-convolution ("Golomb" convolution), $\nabla$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha \nabla \beta)(n)=\sum_{d \mid g} \alpha\left(m^{d}\right) \beta\left(m^{g / d}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define $(\alpha \nabla \beta)(1)=1$. This $G$-convolution is not of the Narkiewicz type [2, 4].

In $\S 2$, we show that $\{A,+, \nabla\}$ (where $(\alpha+\beta)(n)=\alpha(n)+\beta(n)$, $n \in Z$ ) is a commutative ring with unity and we characterize the units and the divisors of zero. We define a $G$-multiplicative function and note that the set of $G$-multiplicative units in $\{A,+, \nabla\}$ forms an Abelian group under the operation $V$.

We choose to define $\nabla$ as in (1.1) because then $\left(\nu_{0} \nabla \nu_{0}\right)(n)$ equals $\gamma(n)$, the number of distinct representations of $n$ as $a^{b}, a, b \in Z$;
this is an analog of $\tau(n)=\left(\nu_{0} * \nu_{0}\right)(n)$ which is the number of distinct representations of $n$ as $a \cdot b, a, b \in Z$. In $\S 3, \nabla$-analogs of the Moebius function $\mu$, the sum of divisors function $\sigma$, and Euler's $\phi$-function are computed and interpreted.

In $\S 4$, we state formulas and an algorithm for computing the number of distinct representations of an integer $n \geqq 2$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=a_{1}^{a_{2}} \cdot .^{. a_{k}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a_{i} \in Z, i=1, \cdots, k$.
2. The ring $\{A,+, \nabla\}$. First we state some properties related to the $G$-convolution.

Theorem 2.1. (i) The system $\{A,+, \nabla\}$ is a commutative ring with unity $\varepsilon_{\nabla}$ (where $\varepsilon_{\nabla}(n)=1$ if $n=1$ or $n \in F, \varepsilon_{\nabla}(n)=0$ otherwise).
(ii) $\alpha$ is a unit in $\{A,+, \nabla\}$ if and only if $\alpha(1) \neq 0$ and $\alpha(m) \neq 0$ for all $m \in F$.
(iii) $A$ nonzero arithmetic function $\alpha$ is a nonzerodivisor in $\{A,+, \nabla\}$ if and only if $\alpha(1) \neq 0$ and for each $m \in F$ there is a positive integer $g$ such that $\alpha\left(m^{g}\right) \neq 0$.

Proof. (i) The associativity of $\nabla$ follows from (1.1) and the associativity of the Dirichlet convolution *. The commutativity of $\nabla$ and the distributivity of $\nabla$ over + follow directly from the definition of the $G$-convolution. If $n=m^{g}, g \in Z, m \in F$, then $\left(\varepsilon_{\nabla} \nabla \alpha\right)(n)=$ $\sum_{d \mid g} \varepsilon_{V}\left(m^{d}\right) \alpha\left(m^{g / d}\right)=\alpha\left(m^{g}\right)=\alpha(n) ; \quad\left(\varepsilon_{\nabla} \nabla \alpha\right)(1)=\alpha(1)$. Therefore, $\varepsilon_{V}$ is the unity element in $\{A,+, \nabla\}$.
(ii) An element $\beta$ in $A$ such that $\alpha \nabla \beta=\varepsilon_{\nabla}$ is defined if and only if $\alpha(1) \beta(1)=1, \alpha(m) \beta(m)=1$ for $m \in F$, and $\sum_{d \mid g} \alpha\left(m^{d}\right) \beta\left(m^{g / d}\right)=0$ for $m \in F, g \in Z, g>1$. Thus, $\alpha(1) \neq 0, \alpha(m) \neq 0$ for $m \in F$, if and only if $\alpha$ is a unit in $\{A,+, \nabla\}$.
(iii) If $\alpha(1)=0$, define $\beta \in A$ by $\beta(1)=1, \beta(n)=0$ if $n>1$. Then $(\alpha \nabla \beta)(n)=0$ for every $n \in Z$ and $\alpha$ is a divisor of zero. If there exists an $m \in F$ such that $\alpha\left(m^{g}\right)=0$ for every $g \in Z$, define $\beta \in A$ by $\beta(m)=1, \beta(n)=0$ for $n \in Z, n \neq m$. Then $(\alpha \nabla \beta)(n)=0$ for all $n \in Z$ and $\alpha$ is a divisor of zero.

Assume that $\alpha$ is a zero divisor in $\{A,+, \nabla\}$. Then there is some $\beta \in A, \beta \neq \bar{O}$ (where $\bar{O}(n)=0$ for all $n \in Z$ ), such that $\alpha \nabla \beta=\bar{O}$. (1) If $\beta(1) \neq 0$ then $\alpha \nabla \beta=\bar{O}$ implies that $\alpha(1) \beta(1)=0$ and that $\alpha(1)=0$. (2) If $\beta(1)=0$, let $n$ be the smallest positive integer such that $\beta(n) \neq 0$; if $n=m^{v}, m \in F, v \in Z$, we show that $\alpha\left(m^{w}\right)=0$ for all $w \in Z$. First, $(\alpha \nabla \beta)\left(m^{v}\right)=\sum_{d \mid v} \alpha\left(m^{d}\right) \beta\left(m^{v / d}\right)=0$ implies that
$\alpha(m) \beta\left(m^{v}\right)=0$ and that $\alpha(m)=0$. And $(\alpha \nabla \beta)\left(m^{2 v}\right)=0$ implies that $\alpha(m) \beta\left(m^{2 v}\right)+\alpha\left(m^{2}\right) \beta\left(m^{v}\right)=0$ and so $\alpha\left(m^{2}\right)=0$. Assume that $\alpha\left(m^{t}\right)=$ $0,1 \leqq t<r$. Then $(\alpha \nabla \beta)\left(m^{r v}\right)=\sum_{d \mid r v} \alpha\left(m^{d}\right) \beta\left(m^{r v / d}\right)=0$ implies that $\alpha\left(m^{r}\right) \beta\left(m^{v}\right)=0$ and $\alpha\left(m^{r}\right)=0$. Therefore, $\alpha\left(m^{w}\right)=0$ for all $w \in Z$ by induction. This completes the proof of the theorem.

We define $\alpha \in A$ to be $G$-multiplicative if $\alpha(1)=1$, and whenever $(a, b)=1$ and $m \in F, \alpha:\left(m^{a b}\right)=\alpha\left(m^{a}\right) \alpha\left(m^{b}\right)$.

THEOREM 2.2. The set of G-multiplicative functions which are units in $\{A,+, \nabla\}$ form an abelian group under $\nabla$.

Proof. If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are $G$-multiplicative, then $\alpha \nabla \beta$ is also; the proof is similar to that of the multiplicativity of $\alpha * \beta$ given that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are multiplicative [3, p. 93]. It is then easy to verify the required group properties.
3. The functions $\sigma_{\Gamma}, \mu_{\Gamma}, \phi_{\nabla}$. As noted earlier, $\gamma=\nu_{0} \Gamma \nu_{0}$ is the $\nabla$-analog of $\tau=\nu_{0} * \nu_{0}$. For example, $\gamma(64)=\gamma\left(2^{6}\right)=\tau(6)=4$, and 64 can be represented in the form $a^{b}$ for $a, b \in Z$ in four ways: $\left(2^{1}\right)^{6}=$ $2^{6},\left(2^{2}\right)^{3}=4^{3},\left(2^{3}\right)^{2}=8^{2}$, and $\left(2^{6}\right)^{1}=64^{1}$.

If we define $\sigma_{\nabla}$ by $\sigma_{\nabla}=\nu_{0} \nabla \nu_{1}$, then for $n=m^{g}, m \in F, g \in Z$, $\sigma_{i}(n)=\sum_{d \mid g} m^{d}$. So $\sigma_{\dot{i}}(n)$ is the sum of the $a$ 's such that $a^{b}=n$, whereas $\sigma(n)=\left(\nu_{0} * \nu_{1}\right)(n)$ is the sum of the $a$ 's such that $a \cdot b=$ $n(a, b \in Z)$.

An analog $\mu_{\sigma}$ of the Moebius function $\mu$ (where $\mu$ satisfies $\nu_{0} * \mu=\varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon(1)=1, \varepsilon(n)=0$ otherwise) is defined by $\nu_{0} \nabla \mu_{\nabla}=\varepsilon_{\nabla}$. Then $\mu_{\nu}(n)=1$ if $n=1, \mu_{\nabla}(n)=\mu(g)$ if $n=m^{g}, m \in F, g \in Z$.

Euler's $\phi$-function, which satisfies $\phi=\mu * \nu_{1}$ (where $\nu_{1}(n)=n$ for all $n \in Z$ ), has an analog $\phi_{\nabla}$ with $\phi_{\nabla}(1)=1, \phi_{\nabla}(n)=\left(\mu_{\nabla} \Gamma \nu_{1}\right)(n)=$ $\sum_{d \mid g} \mu(d) m^{g / d}$ for $n=m^{g}, m \in F, g \in Z$. Thus, $\phi_{\Gamma}(m)=m$ for $m \notin F$ and $\dot{\rho}_{:}\left(m^{p}\right)=m^{p}-m$ for $m \in F, p$ prime. If $n=m^{g}, m \in F, g \in Z$, then $\dot{\phi}_{i}(n)$ is $n$ minus the number of positive integers less than or equal to $n$ which are expressible as $r^{d}, r \in Z, d \mid g, d>1$. Here, $n$ and $r^{d}$ have a common power $d>1$ (since $n=a^{d}$ with $a=m^{g / d}$ ); this corresponds, in the computation of $\phi(n)$, to nonrelativity-prime $n$ and $m$ having a common divisor $d>1$. To illustrate, $\phi_{\Gamma}(64)=$ $2^{6}-2^{3}-2^{2}+2^{1}=64-10=54$. The ten integers of the form $r^{d}$, $r \in Z, d \mid 6, d>1, r^{d} \leqq 64$, are

$$
1^{2}, 2^{2}, 3^{2}, 4^{2}, 5^{2}, 6^{2}, 7^{2}, 8^{2}=4^{3}=2^{6}, 2^{3}, 3^{3}
$$

And, for example, $3^{2}$ and $n=8^{2}$ have common power 2 , while $2^{3}$ and $n=4^{3}$ have common power 3.

It can be verified that $\gamma, \varepsilon_{\nabla}, \nu_{0}$, and $\mu_{\nabla}$ are $G$-multiplicative functions whereas $\nu_{1}, \sigma_{\nabla}$, and $\phi_{\nabla}$ are not.

If $n=m^{g}, m \in F, g \in Z$, then $\sigma_{\Gamma}(n)=2 n$ has no solutions. But if we define a $G$-perfect number $n=m^{g}, m \in F, g \in Z$, as one such that $\Pi_{d \mid g} m^{d}=n^{2}$, then $n$ is $G$-perfect if and only if $g$ is perfect if and only if $\left(\nu_{0} * \nu_{1}\right)(g)=2 g$.
4. Power representations of $n$. If $n=m^{g}, m \in F, g \in Z$, define $\rho \in A$ by $\rho(n)=g$; define $\rho(1)=1$. Then $\gamma(n)=\tau(\rho(n))=\left(\nu_{0} \nabla \nu_{0}\right)(n)=$ $\left(\left(\nu_{0} * \nu_{0}\right) \circ \rho\right)(n)($ where $(\alpha \circ \beta)(n)=\alpha(\beta(n)))$. We note that $\mu_{\Gamma}(n)=\mu(\rho(n))$ and $\varepsilon,(n)=\varepsilon(\rho(n))$.

Let $R_{k}(n)$ denote the number of distinct representations of $n=$ $m^{g}, m \in F, g \in Z$, in the form given in (1.2). (Assume that $R_{k}(1)=1$ for all $k \in Z$.) We have the following formulas.

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1}(n) & =1 \\
R_{2}(n) & =\gamma(n)=\tau(\rho(n))=\left(\nu_{0} \nabla \nu_{0}\right)(n) \\
R_{3}(n) & =\sum_{d \mid g} \gamma(d)=\sum_{d \mid \rho(n)} \tau(\rho(d))=\left(\nu_{0} *(\tau \circ \rho)\right)(\rho(n)) \\
& =\left(\left(\nu_{0} *\left(\nu_{0} \nabla \nu_{0}\right)\right) \circ \rho\right)(n) . \\
R_{4}(n) & =\sum_{d \mid g} \sum_{r \mid \rho(d)} \gamma(r)=\sum_{d \mid \rho(n)} \sum_{r \mid \rho(d)} \tau(\rho(r))=\left(\nu_{0} *\left(\left(\nu_{0} *(\tau \circ \rho)\right) \circ \rho\right)\right)(\rho(n)) \\
& =\left(\left(\nu_{0} *\left(\left(\nu_{0} *\left(\nu_{0} \nabla \nu_{0}\right)\right) \circ \rho\right)\right) \circ \rho\right)(n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar formulas can be written for $R_{k}(n)$ for any $k \in Z$.
If $n>1$, then $R_{k}(n)$ can be computed as follows. List $d_{1}$ such that $d_{1} \mid g$, list $\rho\left(d_{1}\right)$, list $d_{2}$ such that $d_{2} \mid \rho\left(d_{1}\right)$, list $\rho\left(d_{2}\right), \cdots$, list $d_{k-2}$ such that $d_{k-2} \mid \rho\left(d_{k-3}\right)$, list $\rho\left(d_{k-2}\right)$; and $R_{k}(n)$ is the sum of the number of divisors of the entries in the final list.

For example, if $n=20^{400}, g=\rho(n)=2^{4} \cdot 5^{2}$. For $d_{1}\left|g, d_{2}\right| \rho\left(d_{1}\right)$, $d_{3} \mid \rho\left(d_{2}\right)$, we have these lists.

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{1} & =1,2,4,8,16,1 \cdot 5,2 \cdot 5,4 \cdot 5,8 \cdot 5,16 \cdot 5,1 \cdot 5^{5}, 2 \cdot 5^{2}, 4 \cdot 5^{2}, 8 \cdot 5^{2}, 16 \cdot 5^{2} \\
\rho\left(d_{1}\right) & =1,1,2,3,4, \quad 1,1,1,1,1,2, \quad 1, \quad 2, \quad 1,2 \\
d_{2} & =1,1,1,2,1,3,1,2,4, \quad 1,1,1,1,1,1,2,1,1,2,1,1,2 \\
\rho\left(d_{2}\right) & =1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,2,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1 \\
d_{3} & =1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,2,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1 \\
\rho\left(d_{3}\right) & =1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $R_{3}\left(20^{400}\right)=2 \tau(1)+\tau(2)+\tau(3)+\tau(4)+5 \tau(1)+\tau(2)+\tau(1)+\tau(2)+$ $\tau(1)+\tau(2)=22$. And $R_{4}\left(20^{400}\right)=23, R_{5}\left(20^{400}\right)=23$; in fact, $R_{k}\left(20^{400}\right)=$ 23 for $k \geqq 4$. There are four representations of $n=20^{400}$ in the form given in (1.2) for $k=4$ which correspond to $d_{1}=16$ (since $\tau(1)+\tau(1)+\tau(2)=4)$. They are

$$
a^{16^{1^{1}}}, \quad a^{4^{2^{1}}}, \quad a^{2^{4^{1}}}, \quad a^{2^{2^{2}}},
$$

where $a=335,544,320,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000$ (which is $20^{25}$ in expanded form). In only one of these representations is $a_{i} \neq 1, i=1, \cdots, 4$. In general, the number of distinct representations of $n=m^{g}, m \in F, g \in Z$, in the form given in (1.2) with the additional requirement that $a_{i} \neq 1, i=1, \cdots, k$, is the sum of the number of divisors less one of the entries in the final list (for $\rho\left(d_{k-2}\right)$ ).
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