

THE IWASAWA INVARIANT μ FOR QUADRATIC FIELDS

FRANK GERTH III

We let k_0 be a quadratic extension field of the rational numbers, and we let l be a rational prime number. In this paper we show that there exists a constant c (depending on k_0 and l) such that the Iwasawa invariant $\mu(K/k_0) \leq c$ for all Z_l -extensions K of k_0 . In certain cases we give explicit values for c .

1. **Introduction.** We let \mathbf{Q} denote the field of rational numbers, and we let l denote a rational prime number. We let k_0 be a finite extension field of \mathbf{Q} , and we let K be a Z_l -extension of k_0 (that is, K/k_0 is a Galois extension whose Galois group is isomorphic to the additive group of the l -adic integers Z^l). We denote the intermediate fields by $k_0 \subset k_1 \subset k_2 \subset \dots \subset k_n \subset \dots \subset K$, where $\text{Gal}(k_n/k_0)$ is a cyclic group of order l^n . We let A_n denote the l -class group of k_n (that is, the Sylow l -subgroup of the ideal class group of k_n). In [5, §4.2], Iwasawa proves that $|A_n| = l^{e_n}$, where

$$(1) \quad e_n = \mu l^n + \lambda n + \nu$$

for n sufficiently large, and μ, λ, ν are rational integers (called the Iwasawa invariants of K/k_0) which are independent of n . Also $\mu \geq 0$ and $\lambda \geq 0$.

Next we let W be the set of all Z_l -extensions of k_0 . If $K \in W$, we define

$$W(K, n) = \{K' \in W \mid [K \cap K': k_0] \geq l^n\}.$$

Thus $W(K, n)$ consists of all Z_l -extensions of k_0 that contain k_n , where k_n is the unique subfield of K such that $[k_n: k_0] = l^n$. We topologize W by letting $\{W(K, n) \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a neighborhood basis for each $K \in W$. It can be proved that W is compact with this topology (see [4, §3]). Next we let W' be the set of Z_l -extensions of k_0 with only finitely many primes lying over l . In [4, Proposition 3 and Theorem 4], Greenberg proves that W' is an open dense subset of W and that the Iwasawa invariant μ is locally bounded on W' . So if $K \in W'$, there exists an integer n_0 and a constant c depending only on K such that $\mu(K'/k_0) < c$ for all Z_l -extensions K' of k_0 with $[K \cap K': k_0] \geq l^{n_0}$. Greenberg suggests that perhaps μ is bounded on W ; that is, perhaps there exists a constant c such that $\mu(K'/k_0) < c$ for every $K' \in W$. If there is only one prime of k_0 above l , then Greenberg does prove in [4, Theorem 6] that μ is bounded on W .

In this paper we shall prove that μ is bounded on W if k_0 is a

quadratic extension of \mathbf{Q} . We state this result as follows.

THEOREM 1. *Let k_0 be a quadratic extension of \mathbf{Q} , and let l be a rational prime number. Then there exists a constant c (depending on k_0 and l) such that $\mu(K/k_0) \leq c$ for all \mathbf{Z}_l -extensions K of k_0 .*

2. *Proof of Theorem 1.* We let the notation be the same as in the previous section. We let M be the composite of all \mathbf{Z}_l -extensions of k_0 , where k_0 is a finite extension field of \mathbf{Q} . It is known (see [5, Theorem 3]) that $\text{Gal}(M/k_0) \approx \mathbf{Z}_l^d$, where $r_2 + 1 \leq d \leq [k_0:\mathbf{Q}]$ and r_2 is the number of complex archimedean primes of k_0 . We note that when $k_0 = \mathbf{Q}$, there is exactly one \mathbf{Z}_l -extension F of \mathbf{Q} , and it is contained in the field obtained by adjoining to \mathbf{Q} all l^n th roots of unity for all n . Then for arbitrary k_0 , the composite field Fk_0 is one of the \mathbf{Z}_l -extensions of k_0 . (It is called the cyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_l -extension of k_0 .)

We now specialize to the case where k_0 is a quadratic extension of \mathbf{Q} . Then $1 \leq d \leq 2$. If k_0 is a real quadratic extension of \mathbf{Q} , it is known that $d = 1$ (see [5, §2.3]). So there is a unique \mathbf{Z}_l -extension K of k_0 , and hence the Iwasawa invariant μ is bounded on $W = \{K\}$. Next we suppose k_0 is an imaginary quadratic extension of \mathbf{Q} . Then $d = 2$, and hence there are infinitely many \mathbf{Z}_l -extensions of k_0 , since there are infinitely many quotient groups of \mathbf{Z}_l^2 isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}_l . So W is infinite, and we must show that μ is bounded on W . If there is only one prime of k_0 above l , then we know from [4, Theorem 6] that μ is bounded on W . Thus it remains to consider the case where k_0 is imaginary quadratic, and l decomposes in k_0 .

We let $(l) = \mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{p}_2$, where \mathfrak{p}_1 and \mathfrak{p}_2 are primes of k_0 . We recall from the theory of \mathbf{Z}_l -extensions (see [5, Theorem 1]) that no primes other than \mathfrak{p}_1 and \mathfrak{p}_2 can ramify in a \mathbf{Z}_l -extension of k_0 . We let $L = Fk_0$, the cyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_l -extension of k_0 . Since l ramifies totally in F/\mathbf{Q} and decomposes in k_0/\mathbf{Q} , then \mathfrak{p}_1 and \mathfrak{p}_2 ramify totally in L/k_0 . We let I_1 (resp., I_2) be the inertia group for \mathfrak{p}_1 (resp., \mathfrak{p}_2) for the extension M/k_0 . (We note that we get the same inertia group for \mathfrak{p}_1 no matter what prime above \mathfrak{p}_1 in M that we use because M/k_0 has abelian Galois group. A similar result holds for \mathfrak{p}_2 .) Next we claim that $I_1 \approx \mathbf{Z}_l$ and $I_2 \approx \mathbf{Z}_l$. Since \mathfrak{p}_1 and \mathfrak{p}_2 are totally ramified in L/k_0 , then I_1 and I_2 have quotient groups which are isomorphic to $\text{Gal}(L/k_0) \approx \mathbf{Z}_l$. Also the completions of k_0 at \mathfrak{p}_1 and at \mathfrak{p}_2 are isomorphic to \mathbf{Q}_l , and by local class field theory, the inertia group for the maximal abelian l -extension of \mathbf{Q}_l is isomorphic to the subgroup $U = \{1 + \alpha l \mid \alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_l\}$ of the group of units of \mathbf{Q}_l . Since $U \approx \mathbf{Z}_l$ when $l \neq 2$, then I_1 and I_2 are isomorphic to quotient groups of \mathbf{Z}_l when $l \neq 2$. Combining the above results, we conclude that I_1 and I_2 are isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}_l

when $l \neq 2$. When $l = 2$, $U \approx \mathbf{Z}_2 \times (\mathbf{Z}_2/2\mathbf{Z}_2)$, and we still get $I_1 \approx \mathbf{Z}_2$ and $I_2 \approx \mathbf{Z}_2$ since I_1 and I_2 are subgroups of $\text{Gal}(M/k_0) \approx \mathbf{Z}_2^2$.

Now since $\text{Gal}(M/k_0) \approx \mathbf{Z}_l^2$, $I_1 \approx \mathbf{Z}_l$, $I_2 \approx \mathbf{Z}_l$, and \mathfrak{p}_1 and \mathfrak{p}_2 are totally ramified in L/k_0 , then $\text{Gal}(M/k_0)/I_1 \approx \mathbf{Z}_l$ and $\text{Gal}(M/k_0)/I_2 \approx \mathbf{Z}_l$. Thus there exists exactly one \mathbf{Z}_l -extension K_1/k_0 (resp., K_2/k_0) in which \mathfrak{p}_1 (resp., \mathfrak{p}_2) is unramified. So if K is any \mathbf{Z}_l -extension of k_0 other than K_1 and K_2 , then both \mathfrak{p}_1 and \mathfrak{p}_2 are ramified in K/k_0 (although not necessarily totally ramified). Then there are only finitely many primes of K above l , and hence by the results of Greenberg in [3], there is a neighborhood of K in W on which μ is bounded. Suppose we could show that K_1 and K_2 have neighborhoods on which μ is bounded. Then all $K \in W$ would have neighborhoods on which μ is bounded. Since W is compact, W is covered by a finite number of these neighborhoods, and hence μ would be bounded on W . So to complete the proof of Theorem 1, it suffices to show that μ is bounded on some neighborhood of K_1 and on some neighborhood of K_2 .

We consider K_l/k_0 with intermediate fields $k_0 \subset k_1 \subset k_2 \subset \dots \subset k_n \subset \dots \subset K_l$. Since \mathfrak{p}_1 is unramified in K_l/K_0 , then \mathfrak{p}_2 must ramify in K_l since by class field theory the maximal unramified abelian extension of k_0 is of finite degree over k_0 . So there are only finitely many primes of K_l above \mathfrak{p}_2 . Let t denote that finite number. Next we recall that $W(K_l, n) = \{K' \in W \mid [K_l \cap K' : k_0] \geq l^n\}$, and these sets $W(K_l, n)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, form a neighborhood basis for K_l in W . Since $\text{Gal}(M/k_0) \approx \mathbf{Z}_l^2$ and F and K_l are disjoint \mathbf{Z}_l -extensions of k_0 , then it is clear that $M = FK_l$. If f_1 is the subfield of F such that $[f_1 : k_0] = l$, then every $K' \in W(K_l, n)$ has a subfield k'_{n+1} such that $[k'_{n+1} : k_n] = l$ and $k'_{n+1} \subset f_1 k_{n+1}$. We take n large enough so that $l^n > t$. Unless $k'_{n+1} = k_{n+1}$, there are at most l^n (resp., t) primes of k'_{n+1} above \mathfrak{p}_1 (resp., \mathfrak{p}_2). Then if $k'_{n+1} \neq k_{n+1}$, there are at most l^n (resp., t) primes of K' above \mathfrak{p}_1 (resp., \mathfrak{p}_2). If we let s denote the number of primes of K' that are ramified over k_0 , then $s \leq l^n + t$. From [3, Theorem 1], we see that

$$\mu(K'/k_0) \leq e'_{n+1}/(l^{n+1} - s + 1) \leq e'_{n+1}/(l^{n+1} - l^n - t + 1),$$

where l^{n+1} is the order of the l -class group of k'_{n+1} . Since $[f_1 k_{n+1} : k'_{n+1}] = l$, then by class field theory $e'_{n+1} \leq \varepsilon_{n+1} + 1$, where l^{n+1} is the order of the l -class group of $f_1 k_{n+1}$. So if $K' \in W(K_l, n)$ and $k'_{n+1} \neq k_{n+1}$, then

$$\mu(K'/k_0) \leq (\varepsilon_{n+1} + 1)/(l^{n+1} - l^n - t + 1).$$

Now $f_1 K_l$ is a \mathbf{Z}_l -extension of f_1 . From Equation 1, $\varepsilon_n = \mu_1 l^n + \lambda_1 n + \nu_1$ for n sufficiently large, where $\mu_1 = \mu(f_1 K_l/f_1)$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda(f_1 K_l/f_1)$, $\nu_1 = \nu(f_1 K_l/f_1)$. So for n sufficiently large,

$$\varepsilon_{n+1} + 1 = \mu_1 l^{n+1} + \lambda_1(n + 1) + \nu_1 + 1$$

and

$$\mu(K'/k_0) \leq (\varepsilon_{n+1} + 1)/(\mathfrak{l}^{n+1} - \mathfrak{l}^n - t + 1) = \frac{\mu_1 \mathfrak{l}^{n+1} + \lambda_1(n + 1) + \nu_1 + 1}{\mathfrak{l}^{n+1} - \mathfrak{l}^n - t + 1}.$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_1 \mathfrak{l}^{n+1} + \lambda_1(n + 1) + \nu_1 + 1}{\mathfrak{l}^{n+1} - \mathfrak{l}^n - t + 1} = \frac{\mu_1}{1 - \mathfrak{l}^{-1}} < 3\mu_1,$$

we see that for n sufficiently large, $\mu(K'/k_0) < 3\mu_1$ for all $K' \in W(K_1, n)$. So μ is bounded on some neighborhood of K_1 . Similarly μ is bounded on some neighborhood of K_2 . Hence our proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

3. Explicit upper bounds for μ in certain cases. We first consider a real quadratic extension k_0/\mathbb{Q} . Then there is only one \mathcal{Z}_l -extension K of k_0 , namely the cyclotomic \mathcal{Z}_l -extension of k_0 . It is known that $\mu(K/k_0) = 0$ in this case (see [2]).

Now we consider an imaginary quadratic extension k_0/\mathbb{Q} . We first suppose that l ramifies or remains prime in k_0 . We let H denote the maximal unramified abelian l -extension of k_0 , and we let \mathfrak{l}^α be the exponent of $\text{Gal}(H/k_0)$. If K is any \mathcal{Z}_l -extension of k_0 with intermediate fields $k_0 \subset k_1 \subset k_2 \subset \dots \subset k_n \subset \dots \subset K$, then the primes above l in k_α ramify totally in K/k_α , and there are at most \mathfrak{l}^α such primes. Then from [3, Theorem 1], we see that $\mu(K/k_0) \leq e_\alpha$, where $\mathfrak{l}^{e_\alpha} = |A_\alpha|$. So in Theorem 1, we may take c to be the maximum of the e_α obtained from the extensions k_α of k_0 such that k_α is contained in a \mathcal{Z}_l -extension of k_0 and $[k_\alpha:k_0] = \mathfrak{l}^\alpha$. Frequently we can obtain a better upper bound for μ . For example, if M is the composite of all \mathcal{Z}_l -extensions of k_0 and if $M \cap H = k_0$, then the prime of k_0 above l is totally ramified in each \mathcal{Z}_l -extension of k_0 , and hence from [3, Corollary 1], $\mu(K/k_0) \leq e_0$ for each \mathcal{Z}_l -extension K of k_0 .

Finally we suppose that k_0 is an imaginary quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q} and that l decomposes in k_0 . In this case we shall give an explicit upper bound for μ only under certain conditions. We let M be the composite of all \mathcal{Z}_l -extensions of k_0 , and we let M_1 be the maximal extension of k_0 contained in M such that $\text{Gal}(M_1/k_0)$ has exponent l . We note that $\text{Gal}(M_1/k_0) \approx (\mathcal{Z}_l/\mathfrak{l}\mathcal{Z}_l)^2$ since $\text{Gal}(M/k_0) \approx \mathcal{Z}_l^2$, and hence M_1 contains $l + 1$ subfields of degree l over k_0 . We let $(l) = \mathfrak{p}_1$ and \mathfrak{p}_2 are primes in k_0 . We shall assume that there is exactly one prime of M_1 above \mathfrak{p}_1 and exactly one prime of M_1 above \mathfrak{p}_2 . (Note: From our discussion in §2 and our definition of M_1 , we see that there is exactly one prime of M_1 above \mathfrak{p}_1 precisely when \mathfrak{p}_1 remains prime in one of the extensions of k_0 of degree l and

ramifies in the other l extensions of degree l over k_0 . A similar result applies to \mathfrak{p}_2 .) Then there is exactly one prime of M above \mathfrak{p}_1 and exactly one prime of M above \mathfrak{p}_2 . It then follows from [3, Corollary 2] that we may take c in Theorem 1 to be the maximum of the numbers $e_i/(l-1)$ obtained from the fields k_i contained in M_1 with $[k_i:k_0] = l$. As usual, l^{e_1} is the order of the l -class group of k_1 .

In some of these situations where l decomposes in k_0 , we can actually find μ, λ, ν exactly for every Z_l -extension of k_0 . We assume that l does not divide the class number of k_0 . We let M_i be the maximal extension of k_0 contained in M such that $\text{Gal}(M_i/k_0)$ has exponent l^i . (We note that $\text{Gal}(M_i/k_0) \approx (Z_l/l^i Z_l)^2$.) We also assume that there is exactly one prime of M_1 above \mathfrak{p}_1 and exactly one prime of M_1 above \mathfrak{p}_2 . Then there is only one prime of M_i above \mathfrak{p}_1 for each i , and only one prime of M_i above \mathfrak{p}_2 for each i . We recall from §2 that there is a unique Z_l -extension K_1 (resp., K_2) of k_0 in which \mathfrak{p}_1 (resp., \mathfrak{p}_2) is unramified. Since l does not divide the class number of k_0 , then \mathfrak{p}_2 (resp., \mathfrak{p}_1) is totally ramified in K_1 (resp., K_2). So K_1 (resp., K_2) is a Z_l -extension of k_0 in which exactly one prime is ramified, and that prime is totally ramified. Since l does not divide the class number of k_0 , then l does not divide the class number of every subfield of K_1 (resp., K_2). (See [6].) So $\mu(K_1/k_0) = \lambda(K_1/k_0) = \nu(K_1/k_0) = 0$ and $\mu(K_2/k_0) = \lambda(K_2/k_0) = \nu(K_2/k_0) = 0$. If K_1 has subfields $k_0 \subset k'_1 \subset k'_2 \subset \dots \subset k'_n \subset \dots \subset K_1$, we note that $\text{Gal}(M_i/k'_i)$ is a cyclic group of order l^i for each i . Since l does not divide the class number of k'_i , and since there is only one prime of M_i (namely the prime of M_i above \mathfrak{p}_1) that is ramified over k'_i , we see that l does not divide the class number of M_i for each i . Now we let K be any Z_l -extension of k_0 with intermediate fields $k_0 \subset k_1 \subset k_2 \subset \dots \subset k_n \subset \dots \subset K$, and we suppose K_2 has intermediate fields $k_0 \subset k''_1 \subset k''_2 \subset \dots \subset k''_n \subset \dots \subset K_2$. If $K \cap K_1 = k_0$ and $K \cap K_2 = k_0$, then \mathfrak{p}_1 and \mathfrak{p}_2 are totally ramified in k_n/k_0 , and then M_n/k_n is an unramified cyclic extension of degree l^n . Since l does not divide the class number of M_n , then M_n must be the Hilbert l -class field of k_n , and hence by class field theory the l -class group of k_n is a cyclic group of order l^n for all n . So $\mu(K/k_0) = 0$, $\lambda(K/k_0) = 1$, $\nu(K/k_0) = 0$. Now suppose $K \cap K_1 = k'_j$. By arguments similar to those above, it can be proved that the l -class group of k_n is trivial if $n \leq j$ and a cyclic group of order l^{n-j} if $n > j$. So $\mu(K/k_0) = 0$, $\lambda(K/k_0) = 1$, $\nu(K/k_0) = -j$. Similarly if $K \cap K_2 = k''_j$, then $\mu(K/k_0) = 0$, $\lambda(K/k_0) = 1$, $\nu(K/k_0) = -j$.

We conclude with an example to which the results of the previous paragraph apply. We let $k_0 = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-11})$ and $l = 3$. We note that 3 does not divide the class number of k_0 , and 3 decomposes in k_0 (in fact, $3 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2$ with $\alpha_1 = (1 + \sqrt{-11})/2$ and $\alpha_2 = (1 - \sqrt{-11})/2$). If M_1 is the maximal extension of k_0 of exponent l contained in the

composite of all Z_1 -extensions of k_0 , we must show that there is only one prime ideal of M_1 above (α_1) and only one prime ideal of M_1 above (α_2) . Then the results of the previous paragraph will apply to k_0 . Now we let $E = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-11}, \zeta)$, where $\zeta = (-1 + \sqrt{-3})/2$ (a primitive cube root of unity). Then $[E: \mathbf{Q}] = 4$, and the three quadratic subfields are $k_0, \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{33}), \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. We note that there is exactly one prime of E above (α_1) and exactly one prime of E above (α_2) . Since 3 does not divide the class numbers of the quadratic subfields of E , then it is easy to see that 3 does not divide the class number of E . It then follows from Kummer theory that the maximal abelian extension of E of exponent 3 in which only primes above 3 are ramified is $E(\alpha_1^{1/3}, \alpha_2^{1/3}, \zeta^{1/3}, \varepsilon^{1/3})$, where $\varepsilon = 23 + 4\sqrt{33}$ is the fundamental unit of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{33})$. It is not difficult to see that $M_1E = E(\zeta^{1/3}, \varepsilon^{1/3})$ (cf. [1, Example 3]). Again using Kummer theory, a calculation shows that the prime of E above (α_1) remains prime in one of the cubic extensions of E contained in M_1E and ramifies in the other three cubic extensions of E contained in M_1E . A similar result is valid for the prime of E above (α_2) . It follows that there can be only one prime of M_1 above (α_1) and only one prime of M_1 above (α_2) . Hence the results of the previous paragraph apply to $k_0 = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-11})$.

Note. We have learned that the Russian mathematician V. A. Babaïcev has obtained by other methods a proof of Theorem 1 (see Math. USSR Izvestija, 10 (1976), 675-685).

REFERENCES

1. J. Carroll and H. Kisilevsky, *Initial layers of Z_1 -extensions of complex quadratic fields*, Compositio Mathematica, **32** (1976), 157-168.
2. B. Ferrero and L. Washington, *The Iwasawa invariant μ_p vanishes for abelian number fields*, to appear.
3. F. Gerth, *Upper bounds for μ in Z_1 -extensions*, to appear.
4. R. Greenberg, *The Iwasawa invariants of Γ -extensions of a fixed number field*, Amer. J. Math., **95** (1973), 204-214.
5. K. Iwasawa, *On Z_1 -extensions of algebraic number fields*, Ann. Math., **98** (1973), 246-326.
6. ———, *A note on class numbers of algebraic number fields*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, **20** (1956), 257-258.

Received April 4, 1978. Partly supported by NSF Grant MCS 78-01459.

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
AUSTIN, TX 78712