

EXTENDED WEAK-*DIRICHLET ALGEBRAS

TAKAHIKO NAKAZI

Let (X, \mathcal{A}, m) be a probability measure space and A a subalgebra of $L^\infty(m)$, containing the constant functions. Srinivasan and Wang defined A to be a weak-*Dirichlet algebra if $A + \bar{A}$ (the complex conjugate) is weak-*dense in $L^\infty(m)$ and the integral is multiplicative on A , $\int fg dm = \int f dm \int g dm$ for $f, g \in A$. In this paper the notion of extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra is introduced; A is an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra if $A + \bar{A}$ is weak-*dense in $L^\infty(m)$ and if the conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ to some sub σ -algebra \mathcal{B} is multiplicative on A . Then most of important theorems proved for weak-*Dirichlet algebras are generalized in the context of extended weak-*Dirichlet algebras, for instance, Szegő's theorem and Beurling's theorem. Besides, our approach will yield several theorems which were not known even for weak-*Dirichlet algebras.

1. Introduction. This paper presents a generalization of a portion of the theory of analytic functions in the unit disc. The theory to be extended consists of some basic theorems related to the Hardy class H^p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$). For example, (i) the theorem of Szegő, on mean-square approximation of 1 by polynomials which vanish at the origin, (ii) Beurling's theorem on invariant subspaces of H^2 , (iii) the factorization of H^p functions into products of "inner" and "outer" functions, (vi) Jensen inequality. The paper was inspired by the work of Srinivasan and Wang [13]. They introduced weak-*Dirichlet algebras for a generalized analytic function theory. Suppose A is an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra of $L^\infty = L^\infty(m)$, defined in the abstract. The abstract Hardy spaces $H^p = H^p(m)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, associated with A are defined as follows. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, H^p is the $L^p = L^p(m)$ -closure of A , while H^∞ is defined to be the weak-*closure of A in L^∞ . In operator algebras, A is called a subdiagonal algebra by Arveson [1]. Independently by the author [12], A is called an algebra on which m is quasi-multiplicative, in the study of invariant subspaces of weak-*Dirichlet algebras [12].

Let B be the algebra of continuous, complex-valued functions on the torus $T^2 = \{(z, w) \in C^2: |z| = |w| = 1\}$ which are uniform limits of polynomials in $z^n w^m$ where $(n, m) \in \{(n, m) \in Z^2; m > 0\} \cup \{(n, 0) \in Z^2: n \geq 0\}$. Denote by m the normalized Haar measure on T^2 , then B is a weak-*Dirichlet algebra of L^∞ . Set $A = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \bar{z}^n B$, then A is not a weak-*Dirichlet algebra of L^∞ , but it is an extended one. When

\mathcal{A} is the σ -algebra of all Borel sets on T^2 , let \mathcal{B} be the sub σ -algebra of \mathcal{A} consisting of all Borel sets of the form $E \times T$ where E is a Borel set on T . Let $E^{\mathcal{B}}$ denote the conditional expectation for \mathcal{B} . We show, if $f \in B$, then

$$\int_{T^2} \log |f| dm \geq \int_{T^2} \log |E^{\mathcal{B}}(f)| dm \geq \log \left| \int_{T^2} f dm \right|.$$

There exists f in B such $\int_{T^2} \log |E^{\mathcal{B}}(f)| dm \not\geq \log \left| \int_{T^2} f dm \right|$. Let $w \in L^1$, $w \geq 0$. Even if $\int_{T^2} \log w dm = -\infty$, if $E^{\mathcal{B}}(\log w) > -\infty$ a.e., then there exists f in $H^2(B)$ with $w = |f|^2$ where $E^{\mathcal{B}}(\log w)$ is defined by $\lim_{0 < \varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E^{\mathcal{B}}\{\log(w + \varepsilon)\}$. Set $I = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n B$, then

$$\inf_{g \in I} \int_{T^2} |1 - g|^2 w dm = \int_{T^2} \exp E^{\mathcal{B}}(\log w) dm.$$

2. **Extended weak-*Dirichlet algebras.** We define an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebras formally.

DEFINITION 1. Let (X, \mathcal{A}, m) be a probability measure space. Let $E^{\mathcal{B}}$ denote the conditional expectation for the sub σ -algebra \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} . An extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra is an algebra of $L^\infty = L^\infty(m)$ such that (i) the constant functions lie in A ; (ii) $A + \bar{A}$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ ; (iii) for all f and g in A , $E^{\mathcal{B}}(fg) = E^{\mathcal{B}}(f)E^{\mathcal{B}}(g)$; (iv) $E^{\mathcal{B}}(A) \subseteq A \cap \bar{A}$.

When $E^{\mathcal{B}}(A) = \{1\}$, the space spanned by 1, then $E^{\mathcal{B}}(f) = \int_X f dm$ for f in A , and hence A is a weak-*Dirichlet algebra. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, let $I^p = \{f \in H^p: E^{\mathcal{B}}(f) = 0\}$ and let $I = \{f \in A: E^{\mathcal{B}}(f) = 0\}$. Suppose $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. For any subset $M \subset L^p$, denote by $[M]_p$ the L^p -closure of M (weak-*closure for $p = \infty$). For any measurable subset E of X , the function χ_E is the characteristic function of E . If $f \in L^p$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$), write $E(f)$ for the support set of f . The following lemma is well known [10] and the proof is easy.

LEMMA 1. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$\int_X |E^{\mathcal{B}}(f)|^p dm \leq \int_X |f|^p dm \quad f \in L^p.$$

For f in L^∞ , $\|E^{\mathcal{B}}(f)\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$, where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is an essential sup-norm in L^∞ . Moreover $E^{\mathcal{B}}$ is a weak-*continuous linear operator from L^∞ into L^∞ .

LEMMA 2. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $E^{\mathcal{B}}(H^p) = [E^{\mathcal{B}}(A)]_p$ and $I^p = [I]_p$.

The proof is clear by Lemma 1.

PROPOSITION 1. Suppose $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

(1) I is an ideal of A and I^p is a closed (for $p = \infty$ weak-*closed) invariant subspace of L^p .

(2) I is a maximum ideal with the property that if J is an ideal of A which contains I , then $J = E^{\mathcal{A}}(J) + I$ and $E^{\mathcal{A}}(J)$ is an ideal of $E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)$.

(3) I^p is a maximum invariant subspace with the property that if J^p is a closed invariant subspace of H^p with $I^p \subseteq J^p \subseteq H^p$, then $J^p = \chi_E E^{\mathcal{A}}(H^p) \oplus I^p = \chi_E H^p \oplus (1 - \chi_E) I^p$ where χ_E belongs to $[E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)]_{\infty}$ and \oplus denotes algebraic direct sum.

(4) I (or I^{∞}) is a maximum ideal of A (or H^{∞}) which is contained in $A_0 = \left\{ f \in A: \int_x f dm = 0 \right\}$ (or $H_0^{\infty} = \left\{ f \in H^{\infty}: \int_x f dm = 0 \right\}$).

Proof. Since $E^{\mathcal{A}}(fg) = E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)E^{\mathcal{A}}(g)$ for all f and g in A , (1) is clear.

(2) It is clear that if J is an ideal of A which contains I , then $J = E^{\mathcal{A}}(J) + I$ and $E^{\mathcal{A}}(J)$ is an ideal of $E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)$. Suppose I' is an ideal with the above property, then $\ker E^{\mathcal{A}}|_{I'} \subseteq I$. $E^{\mathcal{A}}(I') + I \supseteq I'$ and $E^{\mathcal{A}}(I') + I$ is an ideal of A . By the assumption on I' , $E^{\mathcal{A}}(I') + I = E^{\mathcal{A}}(I') + I'$ and hence $E^{\mathcal{A}}(I') + I = I'$. Thus $I' \supseteq I$.

(3) can be shown as in the proof of (2), using Lemma 2. For $E^{\mathcal{A}}(A) \cdot E^{\mathcal{A}}(J^p) \subseteq E^{\mathcal{A}}(J^p) \subseteq [E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)]_p = L^p(X, \mathcal{B}, m)$ and so $E^{\mathcal{A}}(J^p) = \chi_E [E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)]_p$ for some χ_E in $[E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)]_{\infty} = L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{B}, m)$.

(4) Set $J = \left\{ f \in A: \int_x f g dm = 0 \text{ for all } g \text{ in } A \right\}$, then J is a maximum ideal of A which is contained in A_0 . We shall show $J = I$. Since $J \supseteq I$, by (2), $J = E^{\mathcal{A}}(J) + I$. If $f \in E^{\mathcal{A}}(J)$, then $\bar{f} \in A$ and hence $\int_x |f|^2 dm = 0$. Thus $E^{\mathcal{A}}(J) = \{0\}$ and $I = J$. The proof for I^{∞} is similar to the above.

LEMMA 3. $E^{\mathcal{A}}(A) = A \cap \bar{A}$ and for $p \geq 2$, $E^{\mathcal{A}}(H^p) = H^p \cap \bar{H}^p$ and hence $[A \cap \bar{A}]_p = H^p \cap \bar{H}^p$.

Proof. By Lemma 2, $E^{\mathcal{A}}(H^p) \subseteq H^p \cap \bar{H}^p$. We shall show that $H^p \cap \bar{H}^p \subseteq E^{\mathcal{A}}(H^p)$. If $f \in H^p \cap \bar{H}^p$, then both $f - E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)$ and $\bar{f} - \overline{E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)}$ lie in I^p . Since $p \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x |f - E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)|^2 dm &= \int_x E^{\mathcal{A}}\{(f - E^{\mathcal{A}}(f))(\bar{f} - \overline{E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)})\} dm \\ &= \int_x E^{\mathcal{A}}(f - E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)) \overline{E^{\mathcal{A}}(f - E^{\mathcal{A}}(f))} dm = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so $f = E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)$ a.e.. The proof for $E^{\mathcal{A}}(A) = A \cap \bar{A}$ is similar to

the above.

Let \mathcal{L}^∞ be a commutative von Neumann algebra of operators on L^2 which is contained in L^∞ and let \mathcal{B} be the σ -algebra of measurable subsets E of X for which the characteristic functions χ_E lie in \mathcal{L}^∞ . Then \mathcal{B} is a sub σ -algebra of \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{L}^\infty = L^\infty(\mathcal{B}) = L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, m)$. We say $E^\mathcal{B}$ is the conditional expectation for \mathcal{L}^∞ (or \mathcal{B}).

PROPOSITION 2. *Let A be a weak-*closed algebra of L^∞ such that (i) the constant functions lie in A ; (ii) $A + \bar{A}$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ . Let $E^\mathcal{B}$ be the conditional expectation for $A \cap \bar{A}$ and let $K = L^2 \ominus H^2$ where ' \ominus ' denotes the orthogonal complement of H^2 in L^2 . Then $E^\mathcal{B}$ is multiplicative on A if and only if $H^2 \cap \bar{H}^2 = [A \cap \bar{A}]_2$ and $\bar{K} \subset H^2$.*

Proof. Suppose $E^\mathcal{B}$ is multiplicative on A . Then Lemma 3 implies $H^2 \cap \bar{H}^2 = [A \cap \bar{A}]_2$. Since $H^2 = H^2 \cap \bar{H}^2 \oplus I^2$ and $A + \bar{A}$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ , $L^2 = H^2 \oplus \bar{I}^2$ and so $K = \bar{I}^2$.

Suppose $H^2 \cap \bar{H}^2 = [A \cap \bar{A}]_2$ and $\bar{K} \subset H^2$. Then $H^2 = H^2 \cap \bar{H}^2 \oplus \bar{K}$. Since $H^2 \cap \bar{H}^2 = [A \cap \bar{A}]_2$ and $E^\mathcal{B}(A) = A \cap \bar{A}$, $E^\mathcal{B}(H^2) = [E^\mathcal{B}(A)]_2 = [A \cap \bar{A}]_2 = H^2 \cap \bar{H}^2$ and hence $\ker E^\mathcal{B}|_{H^2} = \bar{K}$. By the definition of K , $\bar{K} \cap L^\infty$ and so $(\ker E^\mathcal{B}|_{H^2}) \cap L^\infty$ is an ideal of $B = H^2 \cap L^\infty$. Since $\ker E^\mathcal{B}|_B = (\ker E^\mathcal{B}|_{H^2}) \cap L^\infty$, $\ker E^\mathcal{B}|_B$ is an ideal and hence $E^\mathcal{B}$ is multiplicative on A .

Later in § 5 we shall use this proposition to show that an algebra, consists of analytic functions defined by a flow, is an (extended) weak-*Dirichlet algebra.

DEFINITION 2. By Jensen's inequality, we mean the following statement:

$$E^\mathcal{B}(\log |f|) \geq \log |E^\mathcal{B}(f)|$$

for every f in A , where $E^\mathcal{B}(\log |f|)$ is defined by $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E^\mathcal{B}\{\log(|f| + \varepsilon)\}$.

If $E^\mathcal{B}(A) = \{1\}$, then $E^\mathcal{B}(w) = \int_X w dm$ and hence $\int_X \log |f| dm \geq \log \left| \int_X f dm \right|$. Then it is known [15, Corollary 2.4.6.] that m is a Jensen measure.

LEMMA 4. *Let B be $\{1\} + I$, then B is a subalgebra of A and for all f and g in B ,*

$$\int_X fg dm = \int_X f dm \int_X g dm.$$

The proof is clear.

PROPOSITION 3. $E^{\mathcal{E}}(A) = A \cap \bar{A}$ and for $p \geq 1$, $E^{\mathcal{E}}(H^p) = H^p \cap \bar{H}^p$ and hence $[A \cap \bar{A}]_p = H^p \cap \bar{H}^p$.

Proof. If $f \in B = \{1\} + 1$, then $E^{\mathcal{E}}(f) = \int_x f dm$. By Theorem 2 in §3, Jensen's inequality is valid for A . By the definition of Jensen's inequality, it follows that for all f in B ,

$$\int_x \log |f| dm \geq \log \left| \int_x f dm \right|.$$

If g in $[B]_1$ is a real-valued function, then it must be a constant [5, p. 140]. Hence if $f \in H^p \cap \bar{H}^p$, then both $f - E^{\mathcal{E}}(f)$ and $\bar{f} - \overline{E^{\mathcal{E}}(f)}$ lie in $I^p (\subseteq [B]_1)$ and so $f = E^{\mathcal{E}}(f)$ a.e.. Thus $E^{\mathcal{E}}(H^p) \supseteq H^p \cap \bar{H}^p$ and by Lemma 2 $E^{\mathcal{E}}(H^p) = H^p \cap \bar{H}^p$.

PROPOSITION 4. Suppose $1 < p \leq \infty$. Then

$$H^p \oplus \bar{I}^p = H^p \cap \bar{H}^p \oplus I^p \oplus \bar{I}^p = L^p.$$

Proof. Since $A + \bar{A}$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ , by Lemma 3, $E^{\mathcal{E}}(A) + I + \bar{I}$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ . By Lemma 1, $[E^{\mathcal{E}}(A)]_p \oplus [I + \bar{I}]_p = L^p$. By Theorem 2 in §3, m is a Jensen measure for $B = \{1\} + I$. Hence by [9] and Lemma 4, $[I + \bar{I}]_p = I^p \oplus \bar{I}^p$.

$[E^{\mathcal{E}}(A)]_\infty$ is a commutative von Neumann algebra as operators on L^2 .

LEMMA 5. Let $E^{\mathcal{E}}$ be an conditional expectation for $[E^{\mathcal{E}}(A)]_\infty$, then $E^{\mathcal{E}} = E^{\mathcal{E}}$. Hence $\mathcal{B} = \{X, \phi\}$ if and only if $E^{\mathcal{E}}(A) = \{1\}$.

Proof. For all f in A $E^{\mathcal{E}}(f) = E^{\mathcal{E}}(E^{\mathcal{E}}(f)) = E^{\mathcal{E}}(f)$. For $E^{\mathcal{E}}(f) \in [E^{\mathcal{E}}(A)]_\infty$. Since $A + \bar{A}$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ , it follows that $E^{\mathcal{E}} = E^{\mathcal{E}}$.

Now we shall show the main lemma which is used later and is trivial for weak-*Dirichlet algebras, i.e., $E^{\mathcal{E}}(A) = \{1\}$. We do not use Jensen's inequality to show it.

LEMMA 6. Suppose $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $v \in L^p$. If for all f and g in I , $\int_x v(f + \bar{g}) dm = 0$, then v lies in $E^{\mathcal{E}}(H^p) = [E^{\mathcal{E}}(A)]_p$.

Proof. Since $A + \bar{A}$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ and so $E^{\mathcal{E}}(A) + I + \bar{I}$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ , by Lemma 1, it follows that $[E^{\mathcal{E}}(A)]_p \oplus [I + \bar{I}]_p = L^p$. Let $E^{\mathcal{E}}$ be a conditional expectation for $[E^{\mathcal{E}}(A)]_\infty$ then $E^{\mathcal{E}} = E^{\mathcal{E}}$ by Lemma 5. Hence $E^{\mathcal{E}}(L^p) = E^{\mathcal{E}}(H^p)$ and so $\ker E^{\mathcal{E}}|_{L^p} = [I + \bar{I}]_p$.

If $v \in L^p$ annihilates $I + \bar{I}$, i.e., $\int_X v(g + \bar{f})dm = 0$ for all f and g in I , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X (v - E^{\mathcal{B}}(v))(g + \bar{f})dm &= - \int_X E^{\mathcal{B}}(v)(g + \bar{f})dm \\ &= - \int_X E^{\mathcal{B}}(v)E^{\mathcal{B}}(g + \bar{f})dm = 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $v - E^{\mathcal{B}}(v)$ annihilates $I + \bar{I}$ too. Since $v - E^{\mathcal{B}}(v)$ lies in $[I + \bar{I}]_p$, it follows that $v = E^{\mathcal{B}}(v)$ a.e.. For if $k \in L^q$ with $1/p + 1/q = 1$, since $v - E^{\mathcal{B}}(v)$ annihilates $I + \bar{I}$ and it lies in $[I + \bar{I}]_p$,

$$\int_X k(v - E^{\mathcal{B}}(v))dm = \int_X E^{\mathcal{B}}(k)(v - E^{\mathcal{B}}(v))dm = 0.$$

Thus for any k in L^q , $\int_X k(v - E^{\mathcal{B}}(v))dm = 0$ and so $v = E^{\mathcal{B}}(v)$ a.e.

3. Invariant subspaces and Jensen's inequality. Let A be an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra of L^∞ with respect to $E^{\mathcal{B}}$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, a closed subspace M of L^p is called invariant if $f \in M$ and $g \in A$, then $fg \in M$.

DEFINITION 3. Let M be a closed invariant subspace of L^p for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. (i) M is called type I if

$$\chi_E M \supseteq \chi_E [IM]_p$$

for every nonzero $\chi_E \in [E^{\mathcal{B}}(A)]_\infty$ so that $\chi_E M \neq \{0\}$. (ii) M is called type II if M^\perp is type I where $M^\perp = \left\{ f \in \chi_E L^s : \int_X fg dm = 0 \text{ for all } g \in M \right\}$ and F is a support set of M and $1/p + 1/s = 1$, and if M contains no nontrivial invariant subspace of type I. (iii) M is called type III if $M = [IM]_p$ and $M^\perp = [IM^\perp]_s$ where $1/p + 1/s = 1$.

If $\mathcal{B} = \{X, \phi\}$ or $E^{\mathcal{B}}(A) = \{1\}$, then an invariant subspace of type I is a simply invariant subspace [15], for then $[E^{\mathcal{B}}(A)]_\infty$ is the complex field.

PROPOSITION 5. Suppose $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and M is an invariant subspace of L^p . Then

$$M = \chi_{E_1} M \oplus \chi_{E_2} M \oplus \chi_{E_3} M$$

where χ_{E_1} , χ_{E_2} , and χ_{E_3} belongs to $[E^{\mathcal{B}}(A)]_\infty$, $\chi_{E_1} + \chi_{E_2} + \chi_{E_3} = 1$. $\chi_{E_1} M$ is type I, $\chi_{E_2} M$ is type II and $\chi_{E_3} M$ is type III. This decomposition is unique.

The proof is parallel to [12, Theorem 1] and we omit it.

THEOREM 1. *Let M be an invariant subspace of L^2 .*

(1) *M is type I if and only if*

$$M = \chi_E q H^2$$

where χ_E belongs to $[E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)]_{\infty}$ and q is unimodular. If $M = \chi_E q' H^2$ with another unimodular q' , then $\chi_E q' = \chi_E F q$ where F is a unimodular function in $[E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)]_{\infty}$.

(2) *If M is type II, then*

$$M = \chi_E q I^2$$

where χ_E belongs to $[E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)]_{\infty}$ and q is unimodular.

The proof is almost parallel to [12, Theorem 2] if we use Lemma 6. The proof of the part of 'only if' is only nontrivial by that $\bar{I}^2 = L^2 \ominus H^2$. We shall give a sketch of the proof.

Let M be type I and let $R = M \ominus [IM]_2$. Observe that for any f in R ,

$$\int_X g |f|^2 dm = 0 \quad (g \in I).$$

Then by Lemma 6, it follows that $|f|^2$ lies in $E^{\mathcal{A}}(H^1)$. By Lemma 2 and Lemma 5, $E^{\mathcal{A}}(H^1) = L^1(X, \mathcal{B}, m)$. Hence $|f|$ lies in $E^{\mathcal{A}}(H^1)$ and $\chi_{E(f)} \in [E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)]_{\infty}$. Let E be the support set of R , then there exists f_0 in R with $E(f_0) = E$. Define

$$q(x) = \begin{cases} f_0(x)/|f_0(x)| & x \in E \\ 1 & x \notin E, \end{cases}$$

then $\chi_E q$ lies in M . By the assumption on M and that $H^2 \oplus \bar{I}^2 = L^2$, it follows that $M = \chi_E q H^2$.

COROLLARY 1. [15, Theorem 2.2.1]. *Suppose $\mathcal{B} = \{X, \phi\}$, M is a simply invariant subspace in L^2 if and only if $M = q H^2$, where q is unimodular and the q is unique up to multiplication by a constant of absolute value 1.*

In the proofs of Propositions 3 and 4, we used Jensen's inequality for A . We now prove it. Let $w \in L^1$, $w \geq 0$ and ε is any positive number. Define $E^{\mathcal{A}}(\log w)$ by $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E^{\mathcal{A}}\{\log(w + \varepsilon)\}$.

THEOREM 2. *Jensen's inequality is valid for H^{∞} .*

Proof. Let f be an invertible element in H^{∞} , then $\log |f| \in L^{\infty}$. Let $E^{\mathcal{A}}$ be an conditional expectation for $[E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)]_{\infty}$, then by Lemma 5 $E^{\mathcal{A}} = E^{\mathcal{A}}$. Since $L^{\infty} = E^{\mathcal{A}}(L^{\infty}) \oplus [I + \bar{I}]_{\infty}$, $E^{\mathcal{A}}(\log |f|) \in E^{\mathcal{A}}(L^{\infty})$ and

$\log |f| - E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) \in [I + \bar{I}]_{\infty}$. Hence $\log |f| - E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|)$ lies in the uniqueness subspace of $[B]_{\infty} = [\{1\} + I]_{\infty}$ by Lemma 4 and [5, p. 103]. By [5, p. 103], there exists f_2 in $[B]_{\infty}$ such that $\log |f| - E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) = \log |f_2|$. Set $f_1 = \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|)$, then $f_1 \in E^{\mathcal{D}}(H^{\infty})$. Since both f_1 and f_2 are invertible in H^{∞} , $f_1 f_2$ is in H^{∞} too and

$$\begin{aligned} \log |f| &= E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) + \log |f| - E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) \\ &= \log |f_1| + \log |f_2| = \log |f_1 f_2|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f = q f_1 f_2$ for some unimodular q in $E^{\infty}(H^{\infty})$, $\log |f_1| = \log |q f_1|$ and $E^{\mathcal{D}}(f) = q f_1 E^{\mathcal{D}}(f_2)$. Since $E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f_2|) = 0$,

$$\int_X \log |f_2| dm = \log \left| \int_X f_2 dm \right| = 0$$

and so $f_2 = c + f_{2,0}$ for a constant c of absolute value 1 and for $f_{2,0} \in [I]_{\infty}$. Thus for any invertible f in H^{∞} ,

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) &= \log |f_1| = \log |c q f_1| \\ &= \log |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)|. \end{aligned}$$

For all f in H^{∞} and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $E^{\mathcal{D}}\{\log(|f| + \varepsilon)\} \geq \log |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)|$. For $\log(|f| + \varepsilon) \in L^{\infty}$ and so there exists an invertible g in H^{∞} with $\log(|f| + \varepsilon) = \log |g|$, using Theorem 1 as in the proof of [15, Lemma 2.4.3]. Now we can use the method of Hoffman [6, Theorem 4.1]. Let $h = f g^{-1}$, then $|h| = |f|/|g| = |f|/(|f| + \varepsilon) \leq 1$. By Lemma 1, $|E^{\mathcal{D}}(h)| \leq 1$ and so $|E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| |E^{\mathcal{D}}(g)|^{-1} \leq 1$,

$$\log |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| \leq \log |E^{\mathcal{D}}(g)|.$$

Since g is invertible in H^{∞} , by the first half of this proof, $\log |E^{\mathcal{D}}(g)| = E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |g|) = E^{\mathcal{D}}\{\log(|f| + \varepsilon)\}$. Thus

$$E^{\mathcal{D}}\{\log(|f| + \varepsilon)\} = \log |E^{\mathcal{D}}(g)| \geq \log |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)|.$$

COROLLARY 2. *For every f in A ,*

$$(1) \quad \int_X \log |f| dm \geq \int_X \log |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| dm$$

$$(2) \quad \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) dm \geq \int_X |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| dm$$

(1) of this corollary is known [1, Corollary 4.4.6]. Our proof is different.

COROLLARY 3. *For every f in H^1 ,*

$$E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) \geq \log |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)|$$

and so

$$\int_X \log |f| \, dm \geq \int_X \log |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| \, dm$$

$$\int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) \, dm \geq \int_X |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| \, dm.$$

Proof. Using Fatou's lemma for the conditional expectation (easily shown), as in the proof of [3, p. 122], we can show this corollary.

4. Szegő's theorem and factorization theorems. Let A be an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra of L^∞ with respect to $E^{\mathcal{D}}$. In this section we shall show Szegő's theorem which is different from that in Arveson [1, p. 611].

DEFINITION 4. A function h in H^1 is called outer if $[hA]_1 = H^1$.

If h is outer, then $|h| > 0$ a.e. and $|E^{\mathcal{D}}(h)| > 0$ a.e.; in particular, $\chi_E h \notin [hI]_1$ for every nonzero χ_E in $[E^{\mathcal{D}}(A)]_\infty$. If h, h' are outer and $|h| = |h'|$, then $h = qh'$ for some unimodular q in $[E^{\mathcal{D}}(A)]_\infty$.

LEMMA 7. If $f \in L^2$ and $\chi_E f \notin [fI]_2$ for every χ_E in $[E^{\mathcal{D}}(A)]_\infty$ with $\chi_E f \neq 0$, then $f = \chi_{E(f)} qh$ where h is outer and q is unimodular.

Proof. Our assumption implies that $[fA]_2$ is an invariant subspace of type I, and hence by Theorem 1, $[fA]_2 = \chi_{E(f)} qH^2$ for some unimodular q . Now this lemma is clear.

As we noted in the proof of Theorem 2, H^∞ is a logmodular algebra on the maximal ideal space of L^∞ by Lemma 7. In general, m is not multiplicative on H^∞ . However $E^{\mathcal{D}}$ is multiplicative on H^∞ . Moreover if we use the method of Srinivasan and Wang [15, pp. 230-231], it is easy to show the following.

- (a) $H^1 = \left\{ f \in L^1: \int_X fg \, dm = 0 \text{ for all } g \text{ in } I \right\}$.
- (b) $H^\infty = H^1 \cap L^\infty$.

If D is a subalgebra such that $D \supseteq H^\infty$ and it is an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra with respect to $E^{\mathcal{D}}$, then $D = H^\infty$. For $I^\infty \subseteq \ker E^{\mathcal{D}}|_D$ and by Proposition 4 $[\ker E^{\mathcal{D}}|_D]_2 \subseteq I^2$. So $[\ker E^{\mathcal{D}}|_D]_2 = I^2$ and $[D]_2 = H^2$ by Proposition 4. By (b), it follows that $D = H^\infty$.

THEOREM 3. Let $w \in L^1$, $w \geq 0$. Then

$$\inf_{g \in I} \int_X |1 - g|^2 w \, dm = \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log w) \, dm,$$

where $E^{\mathcal{E}}(\log w)$ is defined by $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E^{\mathcal{E}}\{\log(w + \varepsilon)\}$.

Proof. We shall use the method of Srinivasan and Wang [15, Theorem 2.5.5]. We can show the inequality of arithmetic and geometric means for conditional expectation. So if v is a real function in L^1 and $\exp v \in L^1$, then $\exp E^{\mathcal{E}}(v) \leq E^{\mathcal{E}}(\exp v)$. Fix $w \in L^1$, $w \geq 0$. Hence for any g in I and any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |1 - g|^2 (w + \varepsilon) dm &\geq \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{E}}\{\log |1 - g|^2 (w + \varepsilon)\} dm \\ &= \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{E}}(\log |1 - g|^2) \exp E^{\mathcal{E}}\{\log (w + \varepsilon)\} dm. \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 3,

$$\int_X |1 - g|^2 (w + \varepsilon) dm \geq \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{E}}\{\log (w + \varepsilon)\} dm.$$

As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |1 - g|^2 w dm &\geq \int_X \exp \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E^{\mathcal{E}}\{\log (w + \varepsilon)\} dm \\ &= \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{E}}(\log w) dm \end{aligned}$$

for all g in I , which is one half of theorem.

Fix any $\varepsilon > 0$.

$$\inf_{g \in I} \int_E |1 - g|^2 (w + \varepsilon) dm > 0$$

for all nonzero χ_E in $[E^{\mathcal{E}}(A)]_{\infty}$. For by the first half of theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{g \in I} \int_X |1 - g|^2 \chi_E (w + \varepsilon) dm \\ \geq \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{E}}\{\log \chi_E (w + \varepsilon)\} dm \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For let $E^{\mathcal{E}_1}$ be a conditional expectation for $\chi_E[E^{\mathcal{E}}(A)]_{\infty}$ and let $E^{\mathcal{E}_2}$ be a conditional expectation for $(1 - \chi_E)[E^{\mathcal{E}}(A)]_{\infty}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &E^{\mathcal{E}}\{\log \chi_E (w + \varepsilon)\} \\ &= \lim_{0 < \delta \rightarrow 0} E^{\mathcal{E}}[\log \{\chi_E (w + \varepsilon) + \delta\}] \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} (\chi_E E^{\mathcal{E}}[\log \{\chi_E (w + \varepsilon) + \delta\}] + (1 - \chi_E) E^{\mathcal{E}}[\log \{\chi_E (w + \varepsilon) + \delta\}]) \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} [E^{\mathcal{E}_1}\{\log (w + \varepsilon) + \delta\} + E^{\mathcal{E}_2}(\log \delta)] \\ &= \chi_E E^{\mathcal{E}_1}\{\log (w + \varepsilon)\} + \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} (1 - \chi_E) \log \delta = -\infty. \end{aligned}$$

So $\chi_E (w + \varepsilon)^{1/2} \notin [(w + \varepsilon)^{1/2} I]_2$ for all nonzero χ_E in $[E^{\mathcal{E}}(A)]_{\infty}$ and hence

by Lemma 6, there exists an outer function h_ε in H^2 with $|h_\varepsilon|^2 = w + \varepsilon$. Hence if $w \in L^1$, by Corollary 3,

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{g \in I} \int_X |1 - g|^2 w dm \\ & \leq \inf_{g \in I} \int_X |1 - g|^2 (w + \varepsilon) dm \\ & = \inf_{g \in I} \int_X |1 - g|^2 (w + \varepsilon) dm = \int_X |E^{\mathcal{B}}(h_\varepsilon)|^2 dm \\ & \leq \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{B}}(\log |h_\varepsilon|^2) dm = \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{B}}\{\log(w + \varepsilon)\} dm. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

REMARK. We shall state Szegő's theorem in Arveson [1, pp. 611-615]. Let $w \in L^1$, $w \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf \left\{ \int_X |u - g|^2 w dm; g \in I, u \in E^{\mathcal{B}}(A) \text{ and } \int_X \log |u| dm \geq 0 \right\} \\ & = \exp \int_X \log w dm. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 4. [15, Theorem 2.5.5.] Suppose $\mathcal{B} = \{X, \phi\}$. Let $w \in L^1$, $w \geq 0$. Then

$$\inf_{g \in I} \int_X |1 - g|^2 w dm = \exp \int_X \log w dm.$$

Proof. Since $[E^{\mathcal{B}}(A)]_\infty$ is the complex field, $\int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{B}}(\log w) dm = \exp \int_X \log w dm$ and so Theorem 3 implies this corollary. This corollary can be shown by Szegő's theorem in Arveson, too.

COROLLARY 5. $h \in H^1$ is outer if and only if $|E^{\mathcal{B}}(h)| > 0$ and

$$\int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{B}}(\log |h|) dm = \int_X |E^{\mathcal{B}}(h)| dm.$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{B} = \{X, \phi\}$, then $h \in H^1$ is outer if and only if

$$\exp \int_X \log |h| dm = \int_X h dm \neq 0.$$

Proof. If $h \in H^1$ is outer, then there exists h_1 in H^2 , which is outer, such that $h = h_1^2$. Then by Theorem 3,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |E^{\mathcal{D}}(h)| dm &= \int_X |E^{\mathcal{D}}(h_1)|^2 dm = \inf_{g \in I} \int_X |1 - g|^2 |h_1|^2 dm \\ &= \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |h_1|^2) dm = \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |h|) dm . \end{aligned}$$

To prove the ‘if’ part, if $|E^{\mathcal{D}}(h)| > 0$, a.e. then $h = qh_1^2$ by Lemma 7 for $h_1 \in H^2$ is outer and $q \in H^\infty$ is uni-modular. Then our condition gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |h|) dm &= \int_X |E^{\mathcal{D}}(q)| |E^{\mathcal{D}}(h_1^2)| dm \\ &\leq \int_X |E^{\mathcal{D}}(h_1^2)| dm = \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |h_1^2|) dm . \end{aligned}$$

Thus $|E^{\mathcal{D}}(q)| = E^{\mathcal{D}}(q)$ a.e.. Since $|q| = 1$ a.e.,

$$E^{\mathcal{D}}(|q - E^{\mathcal{D}}(q)|^2) = 0 ,$$

and hence $q = E^{\mathcal{D}}(q)$. This shows that h is outer.

If $f \in H^\infty$, by (2) in Corollary 2

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) dm &\geq \exp \int_X \log |f| dm \\ &\geq \exp \int_X \log |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| dm \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) dm &\geq \int_X |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| dm \\ &\geq \exp \int_X \log |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| dm . \end{aligned}$$

If f is invertible in H^∞ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) dm &= \int_X |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| dm \\ &\geq \exp \int_X \log |f| dm = \exp \int_X \log |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| dm . \end{aligned}$$

Moreover if $|E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| = \text{constant}$ a.e., then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) dm &= \int_X |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| dm = \exp \int_X \log |f| dm \\ &= \exp \int_X \log |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| dm . \end{aligned}$$

In general,

$$\int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) dm \geq \exp \int_X \log |f| dm$$

and

$$\int_X |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| dm \geq \exp \int_X \log |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| dm.$$

THEOREM 4.

- (1) Every f in H^1 with $\int_E \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) dm > 0$, for any $\chi_E \in [E^{\mathcal{D}}(A)]_{\infty}$ so that $\chi_E f \neq 0$, is a product of two H^2 functions.
- (2) A function f in H^1 is a product $\chi_{E(f)} q F$ of an inner function q (i.e., $q \in H^{\infty}$ with $|q| = 1$ a.e.) and an outer function F if and only if $\int_E \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) dm > 0$ for any $\chi_E \in [E^{\mathcal{D}}(A)]_{\infty}$ so that $\chi_E f \neq 0$.
- (3) A nonnegative function w in L^1 is of the form $\chi_{E(w)} |h|$ for some outer h in H^1 if and only if $\int_E \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log w) dm > 0$ for any $\chi_E \in [E^{\mathcal{D}}(A)]_{\infty}$ so that $\chi_E f \neq 0$.

Proof. (1) By Theorem 3, for every nonzero $\chi_E \in [E^{\mathcal{D}}(A)]_{\infty}$ so that $\chi_E f \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{g \in I} \int_X |1 - g|^2 \chi_E |f| dm &= \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log \chi_E |f|) dm \\ &= \int_E \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) dm > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $M_w = [wA]_2$ and $w = \sqrt{|f|}$, then M_w is an invariant subspace of type I. By Theorem 1, $M_w = \chi_{E(w)} q H^2$ and so $|f| = w^2 = \chi_{E(f)} q^2 h^2$ where $|q| = 1$ a.e. and $h \in H^2$. This implies (1). (2) and (3) follows as in the proof of [15, Theorem 2.5.9] and (1).

We can write Theorem 4 in another form.

THEOREM 4'.

- (1) Every f in H^1 with $\chi_{E(f)} E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) > -\infty$ a.e. on $E(f)$, is a product of two H^2 functions.
- (2) A function f in H^1 is a product $\chi_{E(f)} q F$ of an inner function q and an outer function F if and only if $\chi_{E(f)} E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) > -\infty$ a.e. on $E(f)$.
- (3) A nonnegative function w in L^1 is of the form $|h|$ for some outer h in H^1 if and only if $\chi_{E(w)} E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log w) > -\infty$ a.e. on $E(w)$.

If $\mathcal{B} = \{X, \phi\}$, then Theorems 4 and 4' implies [15, Theorem 2.5.9].

5. Some theorems concerning L^p . We wish to extend some of our theorems in §§3, 4 from L^2 to L^p to general p , i.e., Theorems 1, 3, and 4. However if we use the method of Srinivasan and Wang [15, pp. 242-247], they follow easily. So we omit the proofs. But

we shall give two important invariant subspace theorems, known when $\mathcal{B} = \{X, \phi\}$ [12, Lemma 1].

THEOREM 5. *Suppose $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between invariant subspaces M_p of L^p and (weak-*closed for $q = \infty$) invariant subspaces M_q of L^q , such that $M_q = M_p \cap L^q$, and M_p is the closure in L^p of M_q .*

Proof. If $w \in L^1$, $w \geq 0$ and $\log w \in L^1$, then $w = |g|^2$ with outer g in H^2 . For then $E^{\mathcal{B}}(\log w) > -\infty$ a.e. and so we can apply Theorem 4'. We shall show that $M_p \cap L^\infty$ is dense in M_p . Let f be in M_p . We shall use the well known method [6, p. 12]. For each n let $k_n = \min(1, n|f|^{-1})$, then $0 \leq k_n \leq 1$, $k_n \leq k_{n+1} \leq \dots \rightarrow 1$ a.e., and $\log k_n \in L^1$. For each k_n , there exists an outer g_n in H^∞ with $k_n = |g_n|$. Moreover we can assume that $E^{\mathcal{B}}(g_n) > 0$ a.e.. For $|E^{\mathcal{B}}(g_n)| > 0$ a.e., let $q_n = \text{sgn } E^{\mathcal{B}}(g_n)$, then $E^{\mathcal{B}}(\bar{q}_n g_n) = \bar{q}_n E^{\mathcal{B}}(g_n) > 0$ a.e.. Again $\bar{q}_n g_n$ is outer with $k_n = \bar{q}_n g_n$. Write $\bar{q}_n g_n$ as g_n again. We shall show that g_n tends to the constant function in norm, and on a subsequence almost everywhere. Fix n , then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a h in I such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X E^{\mathcal{B}}(g_n) dm + \varepsilon &= \inf_{g \in I} \int_X |1 - g|^2 |g_n| dm + \varepsilon > \int_X |1 - h|^2 |g_n| dm \\ &\geq \exp \int_X \log |1 - h|^2 dm \times \exp \int_X \log |g_n| dm. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2 and as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, for each n ,

$$\int_X E^{\mathcal{B}}(g_n) dm \geq \exp \int_X \log |g_n| dm.$$

By Fatou's lemma, it follows that $\exp \int_X \log |g_n| dm \rightarrow 1$ and hence $\int_X g_n dm = \int_X E^{\mathcal{B}}(g_n) dm \rightarrow 1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |g_n - 1|^2 dm &= \int_X |g_n|^2 dm + 1 - 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_X g_n dm \\ &\leq 2 - 2 \int_X g_n dm \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

There exists a subsequence $\{g_{n_k}\}$ such that $g_{n_k} \rightarrow 1$ a.e.. Since $g_{n_k} f \in M_p \cap L^\infty$, f is a limit of bounded functions in M_p . Since $M_p \cap L^\infty$ is dense in M_p , it is clear that $M_p \cap L^q$ is dense in M_p . By the first half of theorem, as in the proof of [6, p. 12], we can show that $[M_q]_p \cap L^q = M_q$.

PROPOSITION 6. *If M is an invariant subspace of $L^p(m)$ ($1 \leq p$*

$\leq \infty$), then $\chi_{E(M)}q \in M$ for some unimodular q and the support set $E(M)$ of M . Moreover

$$|M| = \chi_{E_0} \cdot \chi_{E(M)} |H^p(m)| + (1 - \chi_{E_0}) \chi_{E(M)} |L^p(m)|,$$

where $\chi_{E_0}M$ is the largest subspace that contains no nontrivial reducing subspace of L^∞ and $\chi_{E_0}M \subseteq M$ and $|M| = \{|f|; f \in M\}$.

Proof. By Theorem 4, if u is a real-valued function in L^∞ , then there is $h \in H^\infty$ such that $e^u = |h|$ and $h^{-1} \in H^\infty$. Hence by [14, Theorem] and Theorem 5, the former half of this proposition follows. The latter half can be shown as in the proof of [14, Corollary 5].

6. Weak-*Dirichlet algebras. Let A be a weak-*Dirichlet algebra of L^∞ , i.e., it is an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra with respect to $E^\mathcal{B}$ which is a conditional expectation for \mathcal{B} with $\mathcal{B} = \{X, \phi\}$. Then m is multiplicative on A . Suppose B^∞ is any weak-*closed subalgebra of L^∞ which contains A . The measure m was called in [12] quasi-multiplicative on B^∞ if $\int_X f^2 dm = 0$ for every f in B^∞ such that $\int_E f dm = 0$ for all χ_E in B^∞ . It is a consequence of the definition of a weak-*Dirichlet algebra that if f is in H^∞ and $\int_E f dm = 0$ for all χ_E in H^∞ , then $\int_X f^2 dm = 0$. Let

$$B_0^\infty = \left\{ f \in B^\infty : \int_X f dm = 0 \right\}$$

and let I_B^∞ be a maximum weak-*closed ideal of B^∞ in B_0^∞ [12, Lemma 2]. I_B^∞ is given by $\left\{ f \in B^\infty : \int_X f g dm = 0 \text{ for all } g \text{ in } B^\infty \right\}$. Let \mathcal{L}_B^∞ be a self-adjoint part of B^∞ . Suppose $E^\mathcal{B}$ is a conditional expectation for \mathcal{L}_B^∞ .

PROPOSITION 7. Suppose B^∞ is any weak-*closed subalgebra of L^∞ which contains A . Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) m is quasi-multiplicative on B^∞ .
- (2) $[B^\infty \cap \bar{B}^\infty]_2 = [B^\infty]_2 \cap [\bar{B}^\infty]_2$.
- (3) $E^\mathcal{B}$ is multiplicative on B^∞ .
- (4) B^∞ is an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra with respect to $E^\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) is known in [12, Theorem 4]. Since $B^\infty + \bar{B}^\infty$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ , (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) is clear.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3). Let $K = L^2 \ominus [B^\infty]_2$, then $[I_B^\infty]_2 = \bar{K}$ by [12, Lemma 2] and so $K \subset [B^\infty]_2$. Proposition 2 implies this equivalence.

By Proposition 7, [12, Theorem 2] is a corollary of Theorem 1. For w in L^1 with $w \geq 0$, $\log w \in L^1$ if and only if $w = |g|$ for some outer function g in H^1 [15, Theorem 2.5.9]. Since g is outer, $\exp \int_X \log |g| dm = \left| \int_X g dm \right| > 0$. We want to know when $\log w \notin L^1$. Suppose B^∞ is any weak-*closed subalgebra of L^∞ which contains H^∞ properly and on which $E^\mathcal{B}$ is multiplicative. Even if $\log w \notin L^1$, it can happen that $E^\mathcal{B}(\log w) > -\infty$ a.e.. Then by Theorem 4', $w = |g|$ for some g in $[B]_1$ with $[gI_B^\infty]_1 = [I_B^\infty]_1 \subset H^1$. If $g \in H^1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left| \int_X g dm \right| = \exp \int_X \log |g| dm = \exp \int_X E^\mathcal{B}(\log |g|) dm \\ &\leq \int_X \exp E^\mathcal{B}(\log |g|) dm = \int_X |E^\mathcal{B}(g)| dm, \end{aligned}$$

and $[gA]_1 \subsetneq H^1$. In general, $[gA]_1 = q[B^\infty]_1$ for some unimodular q in H^∞ or $H^\infty = \{h \in L^\infty: h[gA]_1 \subseteq [gA]_1\}$ and $[gA]_1$ is type III for H^∞ .

Set $A_0 = \{f \in A: \int f dm = 0\}$, then Szegő's theorem implies

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \inf_{g \in A_0} \int_X |1 - g|^2 w dm &= \inf_{g \in H_0^\infty} \int_X |1 - g|^2 w dm \\ &= \exp \int_X \log w dm. \end{aligned}$$

When $B^\infty \supsetneq H^\infty$ and $E^\mathcal{B}$ is multiplicative on B^∞ , $H_0^\infty \supsetneq I_B^\infty$. By Theorem 3

$$(2) \quad \inf_{g \in I_B^\infty} \int_X |1 - g|^2 w dm = \int_X \exp E^\mathcal{B}(\log w) dm.$$

If $f \in \mathcal{L}_B^\infty \cap H^\infty$ and $g \in I_B^\infty$, then by Theorem 2,

$$\int_X \log |f + g| dm \geq \int_X \log |f| dm \geq \log \left| \int_X f dm \right|.$$

Now we shall show other versions of Szegő's theorem.

$$(3) \quad \inf_{u \in H_0^\infty \cap \mathcal{L}_B^\infty} \int_X |1 - u|^2 w dm = \exp \int_X \log |E^\mathcal{B}(w)| dm.$$

For since $H^\infty = H^\infty \cap \mathcal{L}_B^\infty + I_B^\infty$ [12], it follows that $H^\infty \cap \mathcal{L}_B^\infty$ is a weak-*Dirichlet algebra of \mathcal{L}_B^∞ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\inf_{u \in H_0^\infty \cap \mathcal{L}_B^\infty} \int_X |1 - u|^2 w dm \\ &= \inf \int_X E^\mathcal{B}(|1 - u|^2 w) dm = \inf \int_X |1 - u|^2 E^\mathcal{B}(w) dm \\ &= \exp \int_X \log E^\mathcal{B}(w) dm. \end{aligned}$$

Fix $v \in \mathcal{L}_B^\infty$ with v^{-1} in \mathcal{L}_B^∞ .

$$(2)' \quad \inf_{g \in I_B^\infty} \int_X |v - g|^2 w dm = \int_X \exp E^\mathcal{D}(\log w) |v|^2 dm.$$

For the $L^2(|v|^2 w dm)$ -closure of $v^{-1} I_B^\infty$ contains I_B^∞ and so by (2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{g \in I_B^\infty} \int_X |1 - v^{-1}g|^2 |v|^2 w dm \\ &= \inf_{g \in I_B^\infty} \int_X |1 - g|^2 |v|^2 w dm = \int_X \exp E^\mathcal{D}(\log |v|^2 w) dm \\ &= \int_X \exp E^\mathcal{D}(\log w) |v|^2 dm. \end{aligned}$$

The following is Szegő's theorem by Arveson [1, pp. 611-615]. We shall give another proof to connect (4) with (2) and (2)'.

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad & \inf \left\{ \int_X |v - g|^2 w dm; g \in I_B^\infty, v \in \mathcal{L}_B^\infty \text{ and } \right. \\ & \left. \int_X \log |v| dm \geq 0 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \int_X \exp E^\mathcal{D}(\log w) |v|^2 dm; v \in \mathcal{L}_B^\infty \text{ and } \right. \\ & \left. \int_X \log |v| dm \geq 0 \right\} \\ &= \exp \int_X \log w dm. \end{aligned}$$

For

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \int_X \log w dm \\ &= \inf \left\{ \int_X e^u w dm; u \in L_R^\infty \text{ and } \int_X u dm = 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7 and Theorem 2, there exists f in $(H^\infty)^{-1}$ such that $E^\mathcal{D}(\log |f|) = p^{-1} E^\mathcal{D}(u) = \log |E^\mathcal{D}(f)|$ and so $\int_X \log |E^\mathcal{D}(f)| dm = 0$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \int_X \log w dm \\ &= \inf \left\{ \int_X |f|^2 w dm; f \in (H^\infty)^{-1} \text{ and } \int_X \log |E^\mathcal{D}(f)| dm = 0 \right\} \\ &\geq \inf \left\{ \int_X |v - g|^2 w dm; g \in I_B^\infty, v \in \mathcal{L}_B^\infty \text{ and } \int_X \log |v| dm \geq 0 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \int_X \exp E^\mathcal{D}(\log |v - g|^2) \exp E^\mathcal{D}(\log w) dm; g \in I_B^\infty, \right. \\ & \quad \left. v \in \mathcal{L}_B^\infty \text{ and } \int_X \log |v| dm \geq 0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \inf \left\{ \int_X \exp E^{\mathscr{D}}(\log w) \cdot |v|^2 dm; v \in \mathscr{L}_B^\infty \text{ and} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \int_X \log |v| dm \geq 0 \right\} \\
&\geq \inf \left\{ \exp \int_X \log w dm \exp \int_X \log |v|^2 dm; v \in \mathscr{L}_B^\infty \text{ and} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \int_X \log |v| dm \geq 0 \right\} \\
&\geq \exp \int_X \log w dm .
\end{aligned}$$

7. Applications.

(I) Let G be a compact abelian group dual to a discrete group Γ . The Haar measure m on G is finite, and normalized so that $m(G) = 1$. Suppose a semigroup P is given in Γ such that $\Gamma = P \cup (-P)$, i.e., P orders Γ . Let A be the set of all trigonometric polynomials f on G the form $f = \sum a_\lambda \chi_\lambda$ ($\lambda \in P$). Let \mathscr{L}^∞ be the weak-*closed linear span of $\sum a_\lambda \chi_\lambda$ ($\lambda \in P \cap (-P)$) and let $E^{\mathscr{D}}$ be the conditional expectation for \mathscr{L}^∞ . Then A is an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra with respect to $E^{\mathscr{D}}$.

In particular, when $P \cap (-P) = \{0\}$, it is called that P orders Γ totally. Then A is a weak-*Dirichlet algebra. Let P_α be a semigroup of Γ which contains P properly. Let H_α be the weak-*closed linear span of all trigonometric polynomials f on G of the form $f = \sum a_\lambda \chi_\lambda$ ($\lambda \in P_\alpha$). Define $\mathscr{L}^\infty = \mathscr{L}_\alpha^\infty$ and $E^{\mathscr{D}} = E^{\mathscr{D}(\alpha)}$ as the above. Then H_α is not a weak-*Dirichlet algebras, but it is an extended one with respect to $E^{\mathscr{D}}$. Let I_α be the weak-*closed linear span of all trigonometric polynomials f on G of the form $f = \sum a_\lambda \chi_\lambda$ ($\lambda \notin -P_\alpha$). Then $I_\alpha = \ker E^{\mathscr{D}}|_{H_\alpha} = I_{H_\alpha}^\infty$.

(II) Let (X, \mathscr{A}, m) be a probability measure space and $\{T_t; t \in R\}$ be a flow. Suppose m is invariant under T_t . The action of R on X induces a weak-*continuous, one-parameter group $\{T_t\}_{t \in R}$ of automorphism of $L^\infty = L^\infty(m)$. They are defined by

$$\int_X T_t f(x) g(x) dm(x) = \int_X f(T_{-t} x) g(x) dm(x)$$

for f in L^∞ and g in L^1 . For each element f in L^∞ and a function ϕ in $L^1(R)$, we define the convolution $f * \phi$ in M by

$$f * \phi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t) T_t f dm .$$

The above integral exists in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_x f^* \phi g dm &= \langle f^* \phi, g \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t) \left(\int T_t f g dm \right) dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t) \langle T_t f, g \rangle dt\end{aligned}$$

for g in L^1 [2, Proposition 1.6]. Define the ideals of $L^1(R)$ $J(f)$ by

$$J(f) = \{\phi \in L^1(R) : f^* \phi = 0\}.$$

The hull of the ideal $J(f)$ is said to be the spectrum of f and is denoted by $\text{sp } f$. A is defined to be the set of all f in L^∞ with $\text{sp } f \subseteq [0, \infty)$.

Let $d\nu = dt/\pi(1+t^2)$ and $L^\infty(R \times X) = L^\infty(\nu \times m)$, where $\nu \times m$ is a completion of the product measure of ν and m . Set $F(t, x) = T_t f(x)$ for f in L^∞ , then $F(t, x) \in L^\infty(R \times X)$. Set $q = (1 - it)(1 + it)^{-1}$, then $q \in H^\infty(R)$ and there exists $\sum_{-N}^N f_n^{(N)} q^n$ such that

$$\iint \left| F(t, x) - \sum_{-N}^N f_n^{(N)} q^n \right|^2 d\nu dm \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $f_n^{(N)} \in L^\infty(m)$ and $H^\infty(R)$ is the class of all functions ϕ in $L^\infty(R)$ such that $\text{sp } \phi \subseteq [0, \infty)$. If $\text{sp } f \subseteq [0, \infty)$, then it is easy to show that $\int_x T_t f g dm \in H^\infty(R)$ for every g in L^1 and hence it follows that

$$\iint \left| F(t, x) - \sum_0^N f_n^{(N)} q^n \right|^2 d\nu dm \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus $T_t f(x) = F(t, x) \in H^\infty(R)$ a.e. $x(m)$. If $T_t f(x) = F(t, x) \in H^\infty(R)$ a.e. $x(m)$, then it is clear that $\int_x T_t f g dm \in H^\infty(R)$ for every g in L^1 and hence $\text{sp } f \subseteq [0, \infty)$. This implies that A is a weak-*closed subalgebra of L^∞ which contains the constants. Let $\mathcal{L}^p = \{f \in L^p : T_t f = f\}$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $E^\mathcal{L}$ be a conditional expectation for \mathcal{L}^∞ .

THEOREM 6. [11] [8]. *A is an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra with respect to $E^\mathcal{L}$. If the flow is ergodic, then A is a weak-*Dirichlet algebra.*

We shall give the proof in which spectral condition (cf. [2] [8]) is not used but Proposition 2 is used.

LEMMA 8 [11]. *Suppose $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then*

$$\{f \in L^p : \text{sp } f \subseteq \{0\}\} = \{f \in L^p : T_t f = f \text{ a.e.}\}.$$

Proof. If $T_t f = f$, since $\langle f^* \phi, g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle \hat{\phi}(0)$ for every g in L^q , then $\text{sp } f \subseteq \{0\}$. If $\text{sp } f \subseteq \{0\}$, set $F(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} T_t f g dm$. Then we can

show as in the proof of [4, p. 50] that $\text{sp } F \subseteq -\text{sp } f$. Hence F is a constant a.e. on R and $T_t f = f$ a.e..

LEMMA 9 [4, Proposition 2]. *Suppose $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then if $f \in L^p$*

$$\int_x f g dm = 0 \quad \text{for all } g \text{ in } A,$$

then $\text{sp } f \subseteq [0, \infty)$.

Proof. For any h in L^∞ and any ϕ in $L^1(R)$, $\langle f * \phi, h \rangle = \langle f, h * \tilde{\phi} \rangle$ where $\tilde{\phi}(t) = \phi(-t)$. Hence if $\hat{\phi}(s) = 1$ for $s < 0$ with $\text{supp } \hat{\phi} \subseteq (-\infty, 0)$, it follows that $f * \phi = 0$. This implies $\text{sp } f \subseteq [0, \infty)$.

The proof of Theorem 6. If $f \in L^1$, $\int_x f(k + \bar{h}) dm = 0$ for all h, k in A , then $\text{sp } f \subseteq \{0\}$ by Lemma 9. By Lemma 8, $T_t f = f \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and f annihilates $A \cap \bar{A} = \mathcal{L}^\infty$. Since \mathcal{L}^∞ is dense in \mathcal{L}^1 , $f = 0$ a.e.. Thus $A + \bar{A}$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ . In order to prove that $E^\mathcal{A}$ is multiplicative, by Proposition 2, it is sufficient to show that $K = L^2 \ominus H^2 \subset \bar{H}^2$ and $[A \cap \bar{A}]_2 = H^2 \cap \bar{H}^2$. Set $\mathcal{H}^2 = \{f \in L^2: \text{sp } f \subseteq [0, \infty)\}$, then $\mathcal{H}^2 \supseteq H^2$. Since $\mathcal{H}^2 \cap \bar{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \mathcal{L}^2$ and $A \cap \bar{A} = \mathcal{L}^\infty$, it is clear that $[A \cap \bar{A}]_2 = H^2 \cap \bar{H}^2$. By Lemma 9, $K \subset \bar{\mathcal{H}}^2$. So if $H^2 = \mathcal{H}^2$, the proof is complete. If $f \in \mathcal{H}^2 \ominus H^2$, then $\text{sp } f \subseteq \{0\}$ and hence $f \in \mathcal{L}^2$. While $\mathcal{L}^2 \subset H^2$, this implies $f = 0$ a.e..

(III) Let $C(X_1)$ be the set of all continuous complex-valued functions on a compact Hausdorff space X_1 and let A_2 be a function algebra on a compact Hausdorff space X_2 . Moreover let \bar{A}_2 be a Dirichlet algebra of $C(X_2)$, i.e., $A_2 + \bar{A}_2$ is uniformly dense in $C(X_2)$. Suppose A is the set of all functions of the form; for $u, v \in C(X_1)$ and $f \in A_2$, $u + vf$. Then A is a subalgebra of $C(X_1 \times X_2)$.

Let m_1 be any probability measure on X_1 and m_2 be a nontrivial representing measure of any complex homomorphism of A_2 . Let \mathcal{A} be the σ -algebra of all Borel sets of $X_1 \times X_2$ and m be the completion of $m_1 \times m_2$. Let \mathcal{B} be the σ -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} consisting of all Borel sets of the form $E_1 \times X_2$ where E_1 is a Borel set of X_1 . Let $E^\mathcal{B}$ denote the conditional expectation for \mathcal{B} . Then A is an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra of $L^\infty(m)$ with respect to $E^\mathcal{B}$. For it is clear that (i) the constant functions lie in A ; (ii) $A + \bar{A}$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ ; (iv) $E^\mathcal{B}(A) \subseteq A \cap \bar{A}$. For $u, u', v, v' \in C(X_1)$ and $g, g' \in A_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E^\mathcal{B}(\{u + vg\}\{u' + v'g'\}) \\ &= uu' + u'v \int_{X_2} g dm_2 + uv' \int_{X_2} g' dm_2 + vv' \int_{X_2} g dm_2 \times \int_{X_2} g' dm_2 \\ &= E^\mathcal{B}(u + vg) E^\mathcal{B}(u' + v'g'). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that (iii) for all f and g in A , $E^{\mathcal{D}}(fg) = E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)E^{\mathcal{D}}(g)$. Then $I = \{f \in A: E^{\mathcal{D}}(f) = 0\} = \left\{u + vg: \int_{X_2} g dm_2 = 0 \text{ and } v \in C(X_1), g \in A_2\right\}$.

REFERENCES

1. W. B. Arveson, *Analyticity in operator algebras*, Amer. J. Math., **89** (1967), 578-642.
2. ———, *Operator algebras and measure preserving automorphism*, Acta Math., **118** (1967), 95-109.
3. A. Browder, *Introduction to Function Algebras*, W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1969.
4. F. Forelli, *Analytic and quasi-invariant measures*, Acta Math., **118** (1967), 33-59.
5. T. Gamelin, *Uniform Algebras*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1969.
6. H. Helson, *Analyticity on Compact Abelian Groups*, *Algebras in analysis*, Williamson, J. H., Academic Press, London, New York, San Francisco, 1975, 1-62.
7. K. Hoffman, *Analytic functions and logmodular Banach algebras*, Acta Math., **108** (1962), 271-317.
8. S. Kawamura and J. Tomiyama, *On subdiagonal algebras associated with flows in operator algebras*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **29** (1977), 73-90.
9. H. König, *On the Marcel Riesz estimation for conjugate functions in the abstract Hardy algebra situation*, Commentationes Math., (to appear).
10. S-T. C. Moy, *Characterization of conditional expectation as a transformation on function spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **4** (1954), 47-63.
11. P. S. Muhly, *Function algebras and flows*, Acta Sci. Math., **35** (1973), 111-121.
12. T. Nakazi, *Invariant subspaces of weak-*Dirichlet algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **69** (1977), 151-167.
13. ———, *Quasi-maximal ideals and quasi-primary ideals of weak-*Dirichlet algebras*, (in preprint).
14. ———, *Helson's existence theorem of function algebras*, (in preprint).
15. T. P. Srinivasan and Ju-Kwei Wang, *Weak-*Dirichlet algebras*, Proc. Internat. Sympos. On Function Algebras (Tulane Univ., 1965), Scott-Foresman, Chicago, Ill., 1966, 216-249.

Received August 17, 1978.

RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF APPLIED ELECTRICITY
HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY
SAPPORO, JAPAN

