# ON THE ORDER OF DIRICHLET L-FUNCTIONS

## G. Kolesnik

1. Introduction. Let  $L(s, \chi)$  be a Dirichlet *L*-function, where  $\chi$  is a nonprincipal character (mod q) and  $s = \sigma + it$ . A standard estimate for  $L(s, \chi)$  based on bounds for  $\zeta(s, w)$ , is

$$(1) \qquad |L(s, \chi)| \leq C_{\mathfrak{i}}(\varepsilon) \boldsymbol{\cdot} \tau^{\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{1}-\sigma)+\varepsilon} q^{\mathfrak{1}-\sigma}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1,$$

where  $\tau = |t| + 2$ , c = 1/6 (see, for example, Prachar [5, (4.12)]), and in fact, c can be replaced by a constant < 1/6. An immediate application of Richert's work [6] gives

$$(2) ext{ } |L(s, \chi)| \leq C_1 au^{100(1-\sigma)3/2} q^{1-\sigma} \log^{2/3} au, ext{ } rac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1$$
 ,

which is better than (1) if  $\sigma$  is near 1.

Another estimate can easily be obtained from  $|L(1 + it, \lambda)| \leq C_2 \log \tau q$  and the functional equation of  $L(s, \lambda)$  as follows. First,

$$egin{aligned} |L(it,\,\chi)| &= 2 \cdot |(2\pi)^{it-1} q^{1/2-it} \ & imes \cos rac{1}{2} \, \pi \left(1 - it + rac{1}{2} - rac{1}{2} \, ar{\chi}(-1) 
ight) arGamma(1 - it, ar{\chi})| \ &\leq C_3 \sqrt{ au q} \log au q \;. \end{aligned}$$

Now the convexity principle yields for

$$(3) |L(s, \chi)| \leq (C_3 \sqrt{\tau q} \log \tau q)^{1-\sigma} \cdot (C_2 \log \tau q)^{\sigma} \leq C_4 (\tau q)^{1/2(1-\sigma)} \\ \times \log \tau q, 0 \leq \sigma \leq 1.$$

Neglecting dependence on  $\tau$ , Davenport [2], improved (3):

$$|L(s, \chi)| \leq C_2(\tau) q^{1/2(1-\sigma)}, \quad 0 \leq \sigma \leq 1.$$

Also, Burgess [1] improved (4) by establishing

$$|L(s, \chi)| \leq C_{ ext{i}}(arepsilon, au) q^{3/8(1-\sigma)+arepsilon}$$
 ,  $rac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1$  .

By examining Burgess' proof, it can be seen that the constant  $C(\varepsilon, \tau)$  can be taken to be  $C_2(\varepsilon)\pi^{2(1-\sigma)}$  and his result can be further sharpened to yield

$$(5) \qquad |L(s, \chi)| \leq C_6 \tau^{2(1-\sigma)} q^{3/8(1-\sigma)} C^{\omega} \log \tau, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1,$$

where  $\omega = \log q / \log \log q$ . The estimates (3), (4), and (5) are better than (1) if q is large compared to  $\tau$ .

For  $\sigma = 1/2$ , the previous estimates were improved by Fujii, Gallagher and Montgomery, [3], who showed that if P is a fixed set of primes and q is composed only of primes in P, then

$$(6) \qquad \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| \leq C(\varepsilon, P)(\tau q)^{1/6+\varepsilon}.$$

In this paper we prove two more estimates which imply (1), (4), and (5) and which are better than (2), (3), and (6) in some range of  $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$ , and q. We prove:

THEOREM 1. Let  $\chi$  be a nonprincipal character (mod q). Let  $1/2 \leq \sigma \leq 1, \tau = |t| + 2$  and  $\omega = \log q/\log \log q$ . Then

$$|L(s, \chi)| \ll au^{-\sigma} q^{3/8(1-\sigma)} C^{\omega} \log au$$
,

where C is some absolute constant.

THEOREM 2. Let  $\chi$  be a character (mod q). Let  $1/2 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ and  $\tau = |t| + 2$ . Then

$$(8) \qquad |L(s, \chi)| \ll au^{35/108(1-\sigma)}q^{1-\sigma}\log^3 au q$$
.

In particular, (7) and (8) imply

$$L\left(rac{1}{2}+it, \ \chi \ \Big|
ight) \ll \sqrt{ au} q^{3/16} C^{\omega} \log au$$

and

$$\Big| L \Big( rac{1}{2} + \, it, \,\, \chi \, \Big) \Big| \ll au^{35/216} \sqrt{\,\, q} \, \log^3 au q \,\, .$$

The estimates of  $L(s, \chi)$  for  $\sigma \in [0, 1/2]$  can be obtained by using (7) or (8) and the functional equation of  $L(s, \chi)$ .

The author expresses his gratitude to Professors P. X. Gallagher and Lowell Schoenfeld for valuable suggestions.

2. Notation.

$$egin{aligned} e(f(x)) &= \exp\left(2\pi i f(x)
ight) \,. \ &oldsymbol{\omega} &= \log q/\!\log\log q \;. \ &s &= \sigma + it, \; rac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1 \ & au &= |t|+2 \;. \end{aligned}$$

480

C denotes some appropriate absolute constant, not always the same.

3. Application of the estimate of Burgess. In this section we will show that

$$|L(s, \chi)| \ll \pi^{1-\sigma}q^{3/8(1-\sigma)}C^{\omega}\log^3 au$$
 .

We need the following result of E. Bombieri:

LEMMA. Let N and m be nonnegative integers. Let  $\alpha_j$ ,  $\beta_j$  be numbers such that  $|\alpha_j - \beta_j| \leq (2\pi m N^j)^{-1}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , and let  $f(x) = \alpha_1 x + \cdots + \alpha_m x^m$ ,  $g(x) = \beta_1 x + \cdots + \beta_m x^m$ . Let  $c_1, c_2, \cdots$  be complex, and let

$$S(\bar{\alpha},\,N)=\max_{\scriptscriptstyle 1\leq N_1< N}|\sum_{\scriptscriptstyle 1\leq n\leq N_1}c_{\scriptscriptstyle n}e(f(n))|$$
 ,

where  $\overline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_m)$ . Then  $S(\overline{\beta}, N) \leq 6S(\overline{\alpha}, N)$ .

*Proof.* For every  $N_1 \in [1, N]$  we have:

$$\sum_{1 \le n \le N_1} c_n e(g(n)) = \sum_{1 \le n \le N_1} c_n e(f(n)) \prod_{j=1}^m e((\beta_j - \alpha_j)n^j)$$
$$= \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_m=0}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\{2\pi i(\beta_j - \alpha_j)\}^{k_j}}{k_j!} \right) \sum_{1 \le n \le N_1} c_n n^{mk_m + \dots + k_1} e(f(n)) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j n^{mk_m + \dots + k_1}$$

Using Abel's summation formula, we obtain:

$$egin{aligned} S(\overlineeta,N) &\leq \sum\limits_{k_1,\ldots,k_m=0}^\infty \prod\limits_{j=1}^m rac{|2\pi(eta_j-mlpha_j)|^{k_j}}{k_j!} \cdot N^{mk_m+\ldots+k_1} \cdot 2S(\overlinelpha,N) \ &\leq 2S(\overlinelpha,N) \cdot \sum\limits_{k_1,\ldots,k_m=0}^\infty \prod\limits_{j=1}^m rac{|(2\pi(eta_j-mlpha_j)N^j|^{k_j}}{k_j!} \ &\leq 2S(\overlinelpha,N) \left(\sum\limits_{k=0}^\infty m^{-k}\!/\!k!
ight)^m \leq 6S(\overlinelpha,N) \;. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. Let  $q \ge 2$  and let M, N be integers. Let  $\chi$  be a primitive character (mod q). Then

$$|\sum_{1\leq n\leq N}|\lambda(n+M)|\leq \sqrt{N}q^{_{3/16}}C^{\omega}$$
 .

This lemma can be proven similarly to Theorem 2, [1].

LEMMA 3. Let q and N be integers such that  $q \ge 2$  and  $N \le \tau q$ . Let  $\chi$  be a primitive character (mod q). Then

$$|S| = \max_{N \leq N_1 \leq 2N} |\sum_{N+1 \leq n \leq N_1} \chi(n) n^{-it}| \ll \sqrt{N \tau \log \tau} q^{3/16} C^{\omega}$$
.

#### G. KOLESNIK

*Proof.* We can obviously suppose that  $\tau \log \tau q \leq N$  since otherwise the estimate is trivial. Taking  $H = [N(\tau \log \tau q)^{-1}]$  and  $m = [\log \tau q]$ , and dividing the sum in S into  $\leq 2NH^{-1}$  subsums, we obtain:

$$|S| \leq 2NH^{-1} \max_{N \leq M \leq 2N} \max_{1 \leq H_1 \leq H} |\sum_{M+1 \leq n \leq M+H_1} \chi(n) n^{-it}|$$
 .

For every M and  $H_1$  in the above range, we get

$$(6) \qquad \sum_{M+1 \le n \le M+H_1} \chi(n) n^{-it} | = \left| \sum_{1 \le n \le H_1} \chi(n+M) \left( \frac{n+M}{M} \right)^{-it} \right| \\ \le \left| \sum_{1 \le n \le H_1} \chi(n+M) e\left( -\frac{t}{2\pi} \left\{ \frac{n}{M} - \frac{n^2}{2M^2} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^m \cdot n^m}{mM^m} \right\} \right) \right| \\ + \frac{|t| H^{m+2}}{M^{m+1}} .$$

Let  $\beta_j = 0$  and  $\alpha_j = (-1)^j t/2\pi j M^j$ . Then for  $1 \leq j \leq m |\alpha_j - \beta_j| = |t| \cdot (2\pi j M^j)^{-1} \leq (2\pi m H^j)^{-1}$ . Applying Lemmas 1 and 2, we obtain:

$$egin{aligned} |S| &\leq |2NH^{-1}\max_{N \leq M \leq 2N}\max_{1 \leq H_1 \leq H}|\sum_{1 \leq n \leq H}\chi(n+M)| + \ 2 \ rac{ au H^{m+1}}{N^m} \ &\ll NH^{-1}\sqrt{H}q^{3/16}C^{\omega} + N au( au\log au q)^{-\log au q} \ &\ll \sqrt{N\!\cdot\! au\log au q}q^{3/16}C^{\omega} \ . \end{aligned}$$

From this, the result is easily obtained.

Now we can prove Theorem 1. First, we suppose that  $\chi$  is primitive. Let  $N = [\tau q]$ ,  $M = [\tau q^{3/8}]$ ,  $L = \log (N/M)/\log 2$ ,  $N_l = M2^l (l = 0, \dots, L)$ . Using Abel's formula, the Polya-Vinogradov estimate for character sums and Lemma 3, we get:

$$\begin{split} |L(s,\chi)| &\leq \sum_{n < M} n^{-\sigma} + |\sum_{M \leq n \leq N} \chi(n) n^{-\sigma-it}| + |\sum_{n > N} \chi(n) n^{-s}| \\ &\ll M^{1-\sigma} \log M + \sum_{l=0}^{L} \max_{N_l \leq N_l^1 \leq 2N_l} \sum_{N_l \leq n \leq N_l^1} \chi(n) n^{-\sigma-it}| \\ &+ \sum_{n > N} \tau n^{-\sigma-1} |\sum_{N \leq \sigma \leq n} \chi(n)| \\ &\ll M^{1-\sigma} \log M + \sum_{l=0}^{L} N_l^{-\sigma} \max_{N_l \leq N_l^1 \leq 2N_l} \sum_{N_l \leq n \leq N_l^1} \chi(n)^{-it}| \\ &+ \tau \sqrt{q} N^{-\sigma} \log q \\ &\ll M^{1-\sigma} \log M + \sum_{l=0}^{L} N_l^{1/2-\sigma} \sqrt{\tau} q^{3/16} C^{\omega} \sqrt{\log \tau} + \tau \sqrt{q} N^{-\sigma} \log q \\ &\ll M^{1-\sigma} \log M + L M^{1/2-\sigma} \sqrt{\tau} q^{3/16} C^{\omega} \sqrt{\log \tau} + \tau \sqrt{q} N^{-\sigma} \log q \\ &\ll M^{1-\sigma} \log M + L M^{1/2-\sigma} \sqrt{\tau} q^{3/16} C^{\omega} \sqrt{\log \tau} + \tau \sqrt{q} N^{-\sigma} \log q \\ &\ll \pi^{1-\sigma} q^{3/8(1-\sigma)} C^{\omega} \log \tau . \end{split}$$

If X is not primitive, then there is a  $q_1|q$  and a primitive

482

character  $\chi_1 \pmod{q_1}$ , associated with  $\chi$ , such that we can write (see, for example, [5, (6.12)]):

$$|L(s, \chi)| = |L(s, \chi_1)| \prod_{p \mid q} \left|1 - rac{\chi_1(p)}{p^s}
ight| \leq |L(s, \chi_1)| \cdot \prod_{p \mid q} 2 \leq |L(s, \chi_1)| \cdot 2^{\omega},$$

and the theorem follows.

4. The proof of Theorem 2. To prove Theorem 2, we need two lemmas.

LEMMA 4. Let  $t \ge 0, 0 \le a \le 1$ , and let X and  $X_1$  be integers such that  $0 < X \le X_1 \le 2X \le \tau^{143/108}$ . Then

$$S_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \equiv \sum\limits_{\scriptscriptstyle X \leq x \leq X_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}} e(t \log{(x+a)}) \ll \sqrt{X} au^{
m 35/216} \log^2 au$$
 .

*Proof.* If  $X \leq \sqrt{\tau}$ , then the result can be proven similarly to Corollary 2, [4]. The same method yields

$$(9) \qquad \qquad \sum_{X \leq x \leq X_1} e(t \log x - ax) \ll \sqrt{X} \tau^{35/216} \log^2 \tau ,$$

for  $X \leq \sqrt{\pi}$ . If  $\sqrt{\tau} \leq X \leq \tau^{143/108}$ , then, by Lemma 3 of [4]

$$|S_1| \leq \sum_{t \mid (X_1+a) \leq n \leq t \mid (X+a)} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{n} e(t \log n - an)| + 0(X \tau^{-1/2}).$$

Here  $t/(X + a) \leq \sqrt{\tau}$ . With the use of Abel's inequality, (9) yields the result for  $\sqrt{\tau} \leq X \leq \tau^{143,108}$ .

LEMMA 5. Let  $1/2 \leq \sigma \leq 1, t \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq a \leq 1$ . Then

$$\zeta(s, a) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + a)^{-s} \ll a^{-\sigma} + \tau^{35(1-\sigma)/108} \log^3 \tau$$
.

*Proof.* Let  $N = \tau^{143/108}$ . Using the Euler-Maclaurin formula [see, for example, [5], (1.7), p. 372]), we obtain similarly to [5], (5.8), p. 114:

$$egin{aligned} \zeta(s,a) &- \sum\limits_{n=0}^{N-1} (n+a)^{-s} = rac{(N+a)^{1-s}}{1-s} - s \! \int_{N}^{\infty} \! rac{x-[x]}{(x+a)^{s+1}} dx \ &= rac{(N+a)^{1-s}}{1-s} - rac{1}{2} \, s \, rac{(x-[x])^2}{(x+a)^{s+1}} \! \int_{N}^{\infty} + rac{1}{2} \, s(s+1) \int_{N}^{\infty} \! rac{(x-[x])^2}{(x+a)^{s+2}} dx \ &\ll 1 + au^2 \! \int_{N}^{\infty} \! \! u^{-\sigma-2} \! du \leq 1 + au^2 \! \cdot N^{-\sigma-1} \ll au^{35(1-\sigma)/108} \, . \end{aligned}$$

If we denote  $M = [\tau^{35/108}]$ ,  $L = [\log (N/M)/\log 2]$ ,  $N_l = M \cdot 2^l$  for  $l = 0, \dots, L$  and  $N_{L+1} = N$ , then we have

### G. KOLESNIK

$$S \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (n + a)^{-s} \ll \sum_{0 < n < M} (n + a)^{-s} + \sum_{0 \le l \le L} \left| \sum_{N_l \le n < N_{l+1}} (n + a)^{-s} \right|$$
 .

Using Abel's formula and Lemma 4, we obtain:

$$egin{array}{ll} S \ll a^{-\sigma} + M^{1-\sigma}\log M + \sum\limits_{0 \leq l \leq L} N_l^{-\sigma} \max\limits_{N_l \leq N_l' \leq N_{l+1}} |\sum\limits_{N_l \leq m{n} \leq N_l'} (n+a)^{-it}| \ \ll a^{-\sigma} + M^{1-\sigma}\log M + \sum\limits_{0 \leq l \leq L} N_l^{1/2-\sigma} \!\cdot au^{35/216}\log^2 au \ll a^{-\sigma} \ + au^{35(1-\sigma)/108}\log^3 au \;. \end{array}$$

This proves the lemma.

To prove Theorem 2, we can obviously suppose  $t \ge 1$ , otherwise the result follows from (1). Using Lemma 5, we obtain:

$$egin{aligned} |L(s, \chi)| &= |q^{-s} \sum\limits_{m=1}^q \chi(m) \zeta(s, \, m/q)| \ &< q^{-\sigma} \sum\limits_{m=1}^q ((q/m)^\sigma + au^{35(1-\sigma)/108} \log^3 au) \ll au^{35(1-\sigma)/108} q^{1-\sigma} \log^3 au q \;. \end{aligned}$$

Note Added in Proof. We would like to draw attention to a recent paper by D. R. Heath-Brown, "Hybrid bounds for Dirichlet *L*-function," Inventiones Mathematicae, 44 (1978), 149-170, which contains a better result than our Theorem 7.

#### References

1. D. A. Burgess, On character sums and L-series, II, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3), 13 (1963), 524-536.

2. H. Davenport, On Dirichlet's L-function, J. London Math. Soc., 6 (1931), 198-202.

3. A. Fujii, P. X. Gallagher, H. L. Montgomery, Some hybrid bounds for character sums and Dirichlet L-series, Colloquia Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai, 13 (1974), 41-57.

4. G. Kolesnik, On the order of  $\zeta(1/2+it)$  and  $\Delta(R)$ , Pacific J. of Math., submitted.

5. K. Prachar, Primzahlverteilung, Springer-Verlag, 1957.

6. H. E. Richert, Zur Abschätzung der Riemannschen Zetafunction in der Nähl der Vertikalen  $\sigma = 1$ , Math. Ann., **169** (1967), 97-101.

Received January 25, 1977.

California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA 91125 and State University of New York at Buffalo Buffalo, NY 14214

484