A NOTE ON TAMELY RAMIFIED POLYNOMIALS

J. P. BUHLER

Let f(x) be a monic polynomial with coefficients in a Dedekind ring A. If P is a prime ideal and A_P denotes the completion of A at P then f(x) is said to be integrally closed at P if $A_P[X]/(f(X))$ is isomorphic to a product of discrete valuation rings. The purpose of this note is to show that if f(x) appears to be tamely ramified and integrally closed at P (in terms of its discriminant and factorization mod P) then in fact it is.

If $f(\alpha) = 0$, where f(x) is a monic irreducible polynomial with coefficients in Z, then the ring $Z[\alpha]$ is of finite index in the ring R of algebraic integers in $Q(\alpha)$. The full ring of integers can be obtained by applying a very general algorithm due to Zassenhaus ([6]). There are well known cases where this is unnecessary. If, for instance, f(x) is an Eisenstein polynomial at p, or if p^2 does not divide the discriminant of f(x), then the polynomial f(x) is integrally closed at p (which is equivalent to saying that p does not divide the index $[R: Z[\alpha]]$). The theorem below asserts that if the power of p that divides the discriminant of f(x) is consistent with the factorization of f(x) mod P and the hypothesis that R is tamely ramified at p, then f(x) is integrally closed at p.

If P is a prime ideal in the Dedekind ring A let $v_P: A \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ denote the corresponding normalized valuation. Let d(g) and Disc (g) denote the degree and discriminant of a polynomial g(x).

THEOREM. Suppose that $f(x) \in A[x]$ is a monic polynomial that satisfies

(a) $f(x) \equiv \prod g_i(x)^{e_i} \mod P$

(b) $v_P(\text{Disc}(f)) = \Sigma_i (e_i - 1)d(g_i)$

where the $g_i(x) \in (A/P)[x]$ are distinct monic, irreducible and separable polynomials. Then f(x) is integrally closed at P. Moreover, $p \nmid e_i$ and $A_P[x]/(f(x))$ is isomorphic to a product of discrete valuation rings that are tamely ramified over A_P .

The proof given in the third section is an easy consequence of a purely local result given in the second section. The first section recalls some basic formulas concerning resultants.

REMARKS. (1) It is a standard fact that if f(x) is integrally closed and tamely ramified at P then conditions (a) and (b) must

hold. If the characteristic of A/P is larger than n = d(f) then the ramification has to be tame. Thus the test above usually determines the power of P in the discriminant of the root field in the case in which char (A/P) > n: it can fail only if $v_P(\text{Disc}(f)) \ge 4$.

(2) The condition that f(x) be integrally closed at P is equivalent to saying that every ideal in A[x]/(f(x)) lying over P is invertible, or to saying that the index (in the sense of [2], p. 10) of A[x]/(f(x)) in the maximal order in K[x]/(f(x)) is prime to P (where K is the fraction field of A).

1. Resultants. Let f(x) and g(x) be polynomials with coefficients in any ring and let R(f, g) denote their resultant (which could be defined, for instance, as the determinant of the "Sylvester matrix" formed from the coefficients). Let L(g) denote the leading coefficient of the polynomial g(x).

The following properties of the resultant R(f, g) are standard and will be used freely below. Proofs can be found in [1] and [5].

R1.
$$R(f, g) = L(g)^{d(f)} \prod_{i=1}^{d(g)} f(\alpha_i)$$
 if $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{d(g)}$ are the roots of $g(x)$
= $L(g)^{d(f)}$ if $d(g) = 0$

R2.
$$R(g, f) = (-1)^{d(f)d(g)}R(f, g)$$

R3. R(fg, h) = R(f, h)R(g, h)

R4. $R(f, g) = L(g)^{d(f)-d(r)}R(r, g)$ if f = qg + r

- R5. there exist polynomials a(x), b(x) such that R(f, g) = af + bg
- R6. Disc $(f) = (-1)^{d(f)(d(f)-1)/2} R(f, f')$
- R7. Disc $(fg) = \text{Disc } (f) \text{ Disc } (g)R(f, g)^2$.

REMARK. The resultant R(f, g) can be efficiently computed by forming a "polynomial remainder sequence" ([3]) $f_1 = f, f_2 = g, f_3, \cdots$ with

$$c_i f_i = d_i f_{i+1} + f_{i+2}, \deg (f_{i+2}) < \deg (f_{i+1})$$
 .

The relationship R4 then can be used to express $R(f_i, f_{i+1})$ in terms of $R(f_{i+1}, f_{i+2})$. It is easy to check that this algorithm can be used to compute the discriminant of a polynomial of degree n in $O(n^2)$ steps, as opposed to the usual algorithms (e.g., taking the determinant of the Sylvester matrix or of the power sum matrix) which take $O(n^3)$ steps.

2. A local result. Throughout this section A will be a discrete valuation ring with valuation $v: A \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$, uniformizing parameter π , and residue field k of characteristic p. Moreover let f(x) be a monic polynomial with coefficients in A that satisfies

(a)' $f(x) \equiv g(x)^e \mod \pi$, where $\overline{g}(x) \in k[x]$ is irreducible and

separable

(b)'
$$v(\text{Disc}(f)) = d(f) - d(g) = (e - 1)d(g).$$

Let B_f denote the ring A[x]/(f(x)). It is easy to show ([4], Lemma 4 of Chapter I, §6) that B_f is a local ring with unique maximal ideal $(\pi, g(x))$ and residue field $k[x]/(\overline{g}(x))$. The goal of this section is to show that (a)' and (b)' imply that B_f is a discrete valuation ring.

We follow the pattern of [4] and use the fact that a local noetherian ring is a discrete valuation ring if its maximal ideal is principal and is generated by a nonnilpotent element ([4], Prop. 2 of Chapter I, §2). In fact we will show that π is in the ideal generated by g(x) so that the maximal ideal is $(\pi, g(x)) = (g(x))$ and the ring must be a discrete valuation ring as claimed.

Use (a)' to define a polynomial h(x) by

$$f(x) = g(x)^e + \pi h(x) .$$

LEMMA. v(R(g, h)) = 0.

Assume this lemma for the moment. By the definition of h(x), R4, and R3 it follows that v(R(f, h)) = 0. By R5 it follows that there exist $a(x), b(x) \in A[x]$ such that

$$1 = af + bh \; .$$

Now work in the ring $B_f = A[x]/(f(x))$. We have

$$1 = b(x)h(x) \qquad g(x)^e = -\pi h(x)$$

so that $\pi = -b(x)g(x)^e$. Hence the maximal ideal in B_f is generated by g(x). This reduces the proof of the assertion that B_f is a discrete valuation ring to the proof of the lemma.

Proof of the lemma. Put n = d(f), m = d(g). By (b)' together with R6

$$v(R(f,\,f'))=v(R(g^{e}+\pi h,\,eg'g^{e-1}+\pi h'))=n-m$$
 .

Note that it is clear from this formula that e is prime to p. Indeed, if p divides e then the second term above is divisible by π so that by R1 and R3 the valuation would be at least n.

Without loss of generality we can assume that A is complete. Since

$$f'\equiv eg'g^{e^{-1}} \operatorname{mod} \pi$$

and since eg' is relatively prime to $g^{e^{-1}}(\overline{g}$ is irreducible and separable) it follows from Hensel's lemma that we can find polynomials a(x) and b(x) such that

$$f' = (eg' + \pi a)(g^{e-1} + \pi b)$$

with $d(b) < d(g^{e^{-1}})$. Substituting in * yields

$$** \quad n-m = v(R(g^e + \pi h, eg' + \pi a)) + v(R(g^e + \pi h, g^{e-1} + \pi b))$$

Now apply the obvious fact that if the coefficients of two pairs of monic polynomials are congruent mod π then their resultants are congruent mod π . This shows that the first term on the right of ** is zero since

$$v(R(g, eg')) = 0.$$

In the second term rearrange to take advantage of R4:

$$egin{aligned} v(R(g^{e}+\pi h,\,g^{e^{-1}}+\pi b)) &= v(R(g(g^{e^{-1}}+\pi b)+\pi(h-bg),\,g^{e^{-1}}+\pi b)) \ &= v(R(\pi,\,g^{e^{-1}}+\pi b)) + v(R(h-bg,\,g^{e^{-1}}+\pi b)) \ &= m(e-1) + v(R(h-bg,\,g^{e^{-1}}+\pi b)) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Since $R(h - bg, g^{e^{-1}} + \pi b) \equiv R(h - bg, g)^{e^{-1}} \equiv R(h, g)^{e^{-1}} \mod \pi$ we are forced to conclude that v(R(h, g)) = 0 which finishes the proof of the lemma.

The above results can be summarized as follows:

PROPOSITION. Suppose that f(x) is a monic polynomial with coefficients in a discrete valuation ring and that f(x) satisfies

(a)' $f(x) \equiv g(x)^{e} \mod \pi$, where g(x) is irreducible and separable $\mod \pi$,

(b)' v(Disc(f)) = (e - 1)d(g).

Then $p \nmid e$ and $B_f = A[x]/(f(x))$ is a discrete valuation ring with residue field $k[x]/(\bar{g}(x))$ and maximal ideal (g(x)).

COROLLARY. With the above notation, f(x) is irreducible, B_f is integrally closed, and B_f is tamely ramified over A.

Proof. As in Chapter I, \S 6, corollary to Proposition 15 of [4].

REMARKS. (1) It can be shown that the irreducibility criterion above reduces to the Eisenstein irreducibility criterion if e = 1 and d(f) is prime to p.

(2) It is clear from the proof of the lemma that the valuation of the discriminant given in (b)' is in fact a lower bound on the discriminant of a polynomial that factors mod π as in (a)'.

3. Proof of the theorem. Now let the notation be as in the statement of the theorem: A is a Dedekind ring with prime ideal

P, v_P is the corresponding valuation, A_P is the completion of *A* at *P*, and f(x) is a monic irreducible polynomial satisfying (a) and (b).

By Hensel's lemma we can find polynomials $G_i(x) \in A_P[x]$ such that

$$G_i(x) \equiv g_i(x)^{e_i} \mod P$$

 $f(x) = \prod G_i(x)$.

By remark (2) above

$$v_P(\text{Disc}(G_i)) \ge (e_i - 1)d(g_i)$$
 .

The iteration of R7 shows that the discriminant of a product is divisible by the product of the discriminants so that

$$v_P(\text{Disc}(f)) \ge \Sigma v_P(\text{Disc}(G_i)) \ge \Sigma (e_i - 1) d(g_i) = v_P(\text{Disc}(f))$$

(using the hypothesis (b)). Therefore we must have equality throughout and $v_P(\text{Disc }(G_i)) = (e_i - 1)d(g_i)$. The proposition of the preceding section applies to the polynomial $G_i(x)$ and we conclude that

$$A_P[x]/(f(x)) \simeq \prod A_P[x]/(G_i(x))$$

is a product of discrete valuation rings and that f(x) is integrally closed at P. Also the e_i 's are prime to p and f(x) is tamely ramified at P. This finishes the proof of the theorem.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. I would like to thank Bill Waterhouse for several valuable conversations concerning the above material.

References

1. Z. I. Borevich and I. R. Shafarevich, Number Theory, Academic Press, 1966.

J. W. S. Cassels and A. Frolich, Algebraic Number Theory, Academic Press, 1967.
G. E. Collins, Subresultants and reduced polynomial remainder sequences, J.

Assoc. Comput. Math., 14 (1967), 128-142.

4. J. -P. Serre, Corps Locaux, Hermann, 1968.

5. R. Swan, Factorization of polynomials over finite fields, Pacific J. Math., 12 (1962), 1099-1106.

6. H. Zassenhaus, On Hensel Factorization II, Symposia Mathematica, vol. XV, (1975), 499-513.

Received December 22, 1978.

PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802