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Let $K$ be either the field of complex numbers $C$ or the field of real numbers $R$. Let $n$ be a fixed integer $>2$, and $\theta$ denote the number $\exp (2 \pi i / n)$. Let $f, f_{j}: C \rightarrow K$ for $j=$ $0, \cdots, n$. Define $\Lambda_{n}$ and $\Omega_{n}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda_{n}(x, y)=n^{-1}\left[\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} f\left(x+\theta^{j} y\right)\right]-f(x), \\
& \Omega_{n}(x, y)=n^{-1}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n=1} f_{j}\left(x+\theta^{j} y\right)\right]-f_{n}(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y \in C$. Our main result is the following. If ( $n+1$ ) unknown functions $f_{j}: C \rightarrow K$ for $j=0,1, \cdots, n$ satisfy the quasi mean value property $\Omega_{n}(x, y)=0$ for all $x, y \in C$, then ( $n+1$ ) unknown functions $f_{j}$ satisfy the difference functional equation $\Delta_{u}^{n} f_{j}(x)=0$ for all $u, x \in C$ and for each $j=0,1, \cdots, n$, where the usual difference operator $d_{u}$ is defined by $\Delta_{u} f(x)=f(x+u)-f(x)$. By using this result we prove somewhat stronger results than the theorem of S. Kakutani-M. Nagumo (Zenkoku, Sūgaku Danwakai, 66 (1935), 10-12) and J. L. Walsh (Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 42 (1938), 923-930) for the mean value property $\Lambda_{n}(x, y)=0$ of harmonic and complex polynomials.

1. Introduction. Throughout this note $K$ denotes either the field of complex numbers $C$ or the field of real numbers $R$. Let $n$ be a fixed integer $>2$, and $\theta$ denote the number $\exp (2 \pi i / n)$. Let $f, f_{\nu}: C \rightarrow K$ for $\nu=0,1, \cdots, n$. Define $\Lambda_{n}(x, y)$ and $\Omega_{n}(x, y)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda_{n}(x, y)=n^{-1}\left[\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} f\left(x+\theta^{\nu} y\right)\right]-f(x), \\
& \Omega_{n}(x, y)=n^{-1}\left[\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} f_{\nu}\left(x+\theta^{\nu} y\right)\right]-f_{n}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y \in C$. A function $f: C \rightarrow K$ is said to have the mean value property for polynomials if $f$ satisfies the equation

$$
\Lambda_{n}(x, y)=0 \quad \text { for all } \quad x, y \in C,
$$

while, as a generalization of the mean value property, $n+1$ functions $f_{\nu}: C \rightarrow K$ are said to have the quasi mean value property for polynomials if $f_{\nu}$ satisfy the equation

$$
\Omega_{n}(x, y)=0 \quad \text { for all } \quad x, y \in C .
$$

In 1935 S. Kakutani and M. Nagumo [19], and independently, in $1936 \mathrm{~J} . \mathrm{L}$. Walsh [29] proved the following theorems concerning the mean value property of harmonic and complex polynomials.

Theorem A. (Kakutani-Nagumo-Walsh.) If $f: C \rightarrow R$ is continuous, the mean value property $\Lambda_{n}(x, y)=0$ holds for all $x, y \in C$ if, and only if, $f(x)$ is a harmonic polynomial of degree at most $n-1$.

Theorem B. An entire function $f$ satisfies the mean value property $\Lambda_{n}(x, y)=0$ for all $x, y \in C$ if and only if $f$ is given by a complex polynomial of degree at most $n-1$.

The above Theorem A and Theorem B are direct or indirect motivations for the generalizations and applications of J. Aczél, H. Haruki, M. A. McKiernan and G. N. Sakovič [2], E. F. Beckenbach and M. Reade [3], [4], A. K. Bose [5], L. Flatto [7], [8], [9], A. Friedman and W. Littman [10], A. Garsia [11], H. Haruki [13], [14], S. Haruki [15], [16], [17], J. H. B. Kemperman and D. Girod [21], M. A. McKiernan [25], M. O. Reade [27]. For more details of functional equations of type $\Lambda_{n}(x, y)=0$, see M. A. McKiernan [26], and for the relation to Gauss' mean value theorem, harmonic functions and differential equations, see L. Zalcman [30].

The main purpose of this note is to study some more generalizations of Theorem A and Theorem B from the standpoint of the theory of finite difference functional equations.
2. P-additive symmetrical mappings, generalized polynomials and $\Delta_{y}^{n} f(x)=0$. In this section we present some notation, definitions for $p$-additive symmetrical mappings, generalized polynomials and results of S. Mazur and W. Orlicz [23] for the finite difference functional equation $\Delta_{y}^{n} f(x)=0$.

Definition. A mapping $Q^{p}: C \rightarrow K$ is called a homogeneous polynomial of degree $p$ if and only if there exists a $p$-additive symmetrical mapping $Q_{p}: C^{p} \rightarrow K$; that is, $Q_{p}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}\right)=Q_{p}\left(x_{i_{1}}, \cdots, x_{i_{p}}\right)$ for all $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}\right) \in C$ and for all permutations $\left(i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p}\right)$ of the sequence $(1, \cdots, p)$ and $Q_{p}$ is an additive function in each $x_{q}, 1 \leqq q \leqq p$, such that $Q^{p}(x)=Q_{p}(x, \cdots, x)$ for all $x \in C$. We say that $Q_{p}$ is associated with $Q^{p}$ or that $Q_{p}$ generates $Q^{p}$.

We agree that for $p=0$ a homogeneous polynomial of degree zero is a constant. If $p$ is a fixed positive integer, then $\pi_{p}: C \rightarrow C^{p}$ will denote the diagonal mapping given by $\pi_{p}(x)=(x, \cdots, x)$. It is clear from the relation $Q^{p}(x)=Q_{p}(x, \cdots, x)$ that $Q^{p}: C \rightarrow K$ is the
composition of two mappings

$$
C \xrightarrow{\pi_{p}} C^{p} \xrightarrow{Q_{p}} K \quad \text { and } \quad Q^{p}=Q_{p} \circ \pi_{p}
$$

If $Q^{p}: C \rightarrow K$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $p$, one obtains $Q^{p}(\lambda x)=\lambda^{p} Q^{p}(x)$ for any rational number $\lambda$. Indeed, the relation $Q^{p}=Q_{p}$ yields $Q^{p}(\lambda x)=Q_{p}(\lambda x, \cdots, \lambda x)=\lambda^{p} Q_{p}(x, \cdots, x)=\lambda^{p} Q^{p}(x)$ for all $x \in C$ and for any rational number $\lambda$.

Definition. Let $\beta$ be any nonnegative integer. If $f: C \rightarrow K$ is a finite sum $f=Q^{0}+Q^{1}+\cdots+Q^{\beta}$ of homogeneous polynomials, then $f$ is called a generalized polynomial of degree at most $\beta$.

For $f: C \rightarrow K$ and for $y \in C$ we define the usual difference operator $\Delta_{y}$ by $\Delta_{y} f(x)=f(x+y)-f(x)$. For $y_{i} \in C, i=1,2, \cdots, n$, we inductively define the $n$th order difference operator $\Delta_{y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}}^{n}$ by

$$
J_{y_{1} \cdots y_{n}}^{n} f(x)=\left(d_{y_{1} \cdots y_{n-1}}^{n-1}\right) A_{y_{n}} f(x)
$$

Notice that the ring of operators generated by this family of operators is commutative and distributive.

The following general theorem of S. Mazur and W. Orlicz [23] in the theory of finite difference functional equations plays a fundamental role in our study.

Fundamental theorem. Let $M, N$ be fixed integers $\geqq 0$. Let $X$ be an Abelian additive semigroup with unit element 0 and $l x=$ $x+x+\cdots+x$ for integer $l>0, x \in X$, and let $F$ be an Abelian group and $l y=y+y+\cdots+y$ for integer $l>0, y \in F$. Let $f: X \rightarrow F$. The following three statements are equivalent if $M^{N} \neq 0$ in $F$ :


(c) $f$ is a generalized polynomial of degree at most $N$, that is, $f(x)=Q^{0}+Q^{1}(x)+\cdots+Q^{N}(x)$ for all $x \in X$, where $Q^{p}: X \rightarrow F$ for $p=0,1, \cdots, N$ are homogeneous polynomials.

Note that the above Fundamental theorem clearly holds for the case $X=C$ and $F=K$.

Notation. We denote $Q_{\nu}^{p}(x)=Q_{\nu, p}(x, \cdots, x)$ for $\nu=0,1, \cdots, n$, where $Q_{\nu}^{p}: C \rightarrow K$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $p$ for $\nu=$ $0,1, \cdots, n$.

Notation. Let $Q_{(n-r, r)}(x ; y)$ denote the value of $Q_{n}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ for $x_{i}=x, i=1, \cdots, n-r$ and $x_{i}=y, i=n-r+1, \cdots, n$. In par-
ticular $Q_{(0, n)}(y ; x)=Q_{(n, 0)}(x ; y)=Q^{n}(x)$.
3. The quasi mean value property $\Omega_{n}(x, y)=0$. Our first result is the following:

Theorem 3.1. If $n+1$ unknown functions $f_{\nu}: C \rightarrow K$ for $\nu=$ $0,1, \cdots, n$ satisfy the quasi mean value property $\Omega_{n}(x, y)=0$ for all $x, y \in C$, then there exist generalized polynomials of degree at most $n-1$ such that

$$
f_{\nu}(x)=Q_{\nu}^{0}+Q_{\nu}^{1}(x)+\cdots+Q_{\nu}^{n-1}(x)
$$

for all $x \in C$ and for each $\nu=0,1, \cdots, n$.
The proof of Theorem 3.1 is based on the Lemma 3.1 below. Let $G$ and $H$ be additive Abelian groups. Let $S$ be any field and $G, H$ be a unital $S$-modules. Let $f: G \rightarrow H$ satisfy the equation

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n} \gamma_{2} f\left(x+\alpha_{i} y\right)=0 \quad \text { for all } \quad x, y \in G
$$

where $n>2$ is a given integer, $\gamma_{2} \neq 0, \alpha_{i} \neq 0\left(=\alpha_{0}\right)$ for $i=0,1, \cdots, n$ are fixed elements in $S$ and $\alpha_{j} \neq \alpha_{k}$ for $j \neq k$. The above equation is a generalization of the difference functional equation (cf. J. Aczél [1], D. Ž. Djoković [6], D. Girod and J. H. B. Kemperman [12], M. H. Ingraham [18], J. H. B. Kemperman [20], [22], G. van der Lijn [28], S. Mazur and W. Orlicz [23], M. A. McKiernan [24], [26])

$$
J_{y}^{n} f(x)=0, \quad \text { i.e., } \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}\binom{n}{i} f(x+i y)=0
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. More generally we have
Lemma 3.1. Let $f_{i}: G \rightarrow H$ for $i=0,1, \cdots, n$ satisfy the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\imath=0}^{n} f_{2}\left(x+\alpha_{i} y\right)=0 \quad \text { for all } \quad x, y \in G \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{i} \neq 0$ for $i=0,1, \cdots, n$ are fixed elements in $S$ and $\alpha_{j} \neq \alpha_{k}$ for $j \neq k$. Then equation (3.1) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{u}^{n} f_{i}(x)=0 \quad \text { for each } \quad i=0,1, \cdots, n \text { and for all } x, u \in G . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1. In view of equation (3.1) one can observe the following property.

To eliminate the $k$ th term $f_{k}, 0 \leqq k \leqq n$, we replace $x$ by $x-\alpha_{k} z_{k}$ and $y$ by $y+z_{k}$ in (3.1).

Indeed, for $k=j$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{0}\left(x-\alpha_{j} z_{j}+\alpha_{0} y+\alpha_{0} z_{j}\right)+\cdots+f_{j}\left(x+\alpha_{j} y\right) \\
+\cdots+f_{n}\left(x-\alpha_{j} z_{j}+\alpha_{n} y+\alpha_{n} z_{j}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $x, y, z_{j} \in G$. Take the difference between (3.1) and the above equation to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\left(\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{j}\right)_{j}} f_{0}\left(x+\alpha_{0} y\right)+\cdots+0+\cdots+\Delta_{\left(\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{j}\right)_{j} j} f_{n}\left(x+\alpha_{n} y\right)=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z_{j} \in G$, since $f_{j}\left(x+\alpha_{j} y\right)$ is unchanged. Thus $f_{j}$ is eliminated. If the same argument (3.3) is repeated $(n-1)$ times, then (3.4) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\left(\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{j}\right) z_{j}} 厶_{\beta_{1} z_{1}} \cdots \Delta_{\beta_{n^{z}}{ }_{n}} f_{0}\left(x+\alpha_{0} y\right)=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n} \in G$, where $\beta_{l}=\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{l}$ for $l=1,2, \cdots, n$ and $l \neq j$. In (3.5), replace $x+\alpha_{0} y$ by $x$ and set $u=\left(\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{j}\right) z_{j}=$ $\beta_{1} z_{1}=\cdots=\beta_{n} z_{n}$. Then (3.5) becomes

$$
J_{u}^{n} f_{0}(x)=0 \quad \text { for all } \quad x, u \in G .
$$

It is clear that an obvious modification can be applied for the terms $f_{k}\left(x+\alpha_{k} y\right)$ for $k=1,2, \cdots, n$ to obtain

$$
J_{u}^{n} f_{k}(x)=0 \quad \text { for each } \quad k=1,2, \cdots, n \text { and for all } x, u \in G .
$$

Thus (3.1) implies (3.2). The Lemma 3.1 is proved.
Proof of Theorem 3.1. Observe that without loss of generality we may assume one of $\alpha_{i}=0$, i.e., $\alpha_{i} \neq 0=\alpha_{n}, i=0,1, \cdots, n-1$, in Lemma 3.1 in order to obtain the same conclusion. The proof now immediately follows from Lemma 3.1 and the Fundamental theorem with $G=X=C$ and $F=S=H=K$.
4. The mean valued property $\Lambda_{n}(x, y)=0$. We first determine the general solution of the mean value property under no regularity assumptions. Then we prove somewhat stronger results than that of Theorem A and Theorem B, when some weak regularity assumptions are imposed on $f$.

Theorem 4.1. A function $f: C \rightarrow K$ satisfies the mean value property $\Lambda_{n}(x, y)=0$ for all $x, y \in C$ if and only if there exists a generalized polynomial of degree at most $n-1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=Q^{0}+Q^{1}(x)+\cdots+Q^{n-1}(x) \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in C, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the homogeneous polynomials $Q^{p}: C \rightarrow K$ for $p=1, \cdots, n-1$ must satisfy the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\delta=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{\delta}\binom{\delta}{\sigma} Q_{(\delta-\sigma, \sigma)}\left(x ; \theta^{\nu} y\right)=0 \quad \text { for all } \quad x, y \in C \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. If $f: C \rightarrow K$ satisfies $\Lambda_{n}(x, y)=0$ for all $x, y \in C$, then (4.1) immediately follows from Theorem 3.1. To show the converse, substitute (4.1) into $\Lambda_{n}(x, y)=0$ to obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1}\left(Q^{0}+Q^{1}\left(x+\theta^{\nu} y\right)+\cdots+Q^{n-1}\left(x+\theta^{\nu} y\right)\right) \\
=n\left(Q^{0}+Q^{1}(x)+\cdots+Q^{n-1}(x)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

which implies, since $Q^{n-1}\left(x+\theta^{\nu} y\right)=\sum_{\sigma=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{\sigma} Q_{(n-1-\sigma, \sigma)}\left(x ; \theta^{\nu} y\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1}\left(Q^{0}\right. & +Q^{1}(x)+\cdots+Q^{n-1}(x)+Q^{1}\left(\theta^{\nu} y\right)+\sum_{\sigma=1}^{2}\binom{2}{\sigma} Q_{(2-\sigma, \sigma)}\left(x ; \theta^{\nu} y\right) \\
& \left.+\cdots+\sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-1}\binom{n-\sigma}{\sigma} Q_{(n-1-\sigma, \sigma)}\left(x ; \theta^{\nu} y\right)\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
= & n\left(Q^{0}+Q^{1}(x)+\cdots+Q^{n-1}(x)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

But in order for (4.1) to be the general solution of $\Lambda_{n}(x, y)=0$, the homogeneous polynomials $Q^{\delta}, \delta=1,2, \cdots, n-1$, must satisfy equation (4.3). This case occurs only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1}\left\{Q^{1}\left(\theta^{\nu} y\right)+\sum_{\sigma=1}^{2}\binom{2}{\sigma} Q_{(2-\sigma, \sigma)}\left(x ; \theta^{\nu} y\right)+\cdots+\sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{\sigma} Q_{(n-1-\sigma, \sigma)}\left(x ; \theta^{\nu} y\right)\right\} \\
& \quad=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields (4.2). This proves the Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.2. If a function $f: C \rightarrow R$ satisfies $\Lambda_{n}(x, y)=0$ for all $x, y \in C$, then (4.1) holds for all $x \in C$, where $Q^{p}: C \rightarrow R$ for $p=$ $0,1, \cdots, n-1$. Moreover, $f$ is bounded on a set of positive Lebesgue measure if and only if $f$ is given by a harmonic polynomial of degree at most $n-1$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $f: C \rightarrow K$ be a generalized polynomial of degree at most $n-1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=Q^{0}+Q^{1}(x)+\cdots+Q^{n-1}(x) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in C$, where $Q^{p}: C \rightarrow K, p=0,1, \cdots, n-1$, are homogeneous polynomials. If $f$ is bounded on a set of positive Lebesgue measure, then $Q^{p}$ for $p=0,1, \cdots, n-1$ are continuous everywhere and hence so is $f$.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Replace $x$ by $M x$ for each $M=1,2, \cdots, n$. Then

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
f(x) \\
f(2 x) \\
\vdots \\
f(n x)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 2^{2} & \cdots & 2^{n-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
1 & n & n^{2} & \cdots & n^{n-1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
Q^{0} \\
Q^{1}(x) \\
\vdots \\
Q^{n-1}(x)
\end{array}\right] .
$$

We briefly write this as $|F|=|V||Q|$. Observe that $|V|$ is the van der Monde determinant and is not zero. Therefore $Q^{p}, p=0,1, \cdots$, $n-1$, can be determined uniquely in terms of $f(M x)$ for $M=$ $1,2, \cdots, n$. Since $f$ is bounded on a set of positive Lebesque measure, the $Q^{p}(x)$ for $p=0,1, \cdots, n-1$ are bounded on a set of positive Lebesgue measure for all $x$. On the other hand we have the basic identity

$$
Q_{n-1}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n-1}\right)=(1 /(n-1)!) \Delta_{x_{1}} \cdots \Delta_{x_{n-1}} Q^{n-1}(x)
$$

for all $x, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n-1}$. The right side is the sum of $2^{N-1}$ terms of the form

$$
\left((-1)^{n-1-q} /((n-1)!)\right) Q^{n-1}\left(x_{i_{1}}+\cdots+x_{i_{q}}\right)
$$

with $x=0$. But we have just proved that $Q^{p}(x)$ is bounded on a set of positive Lebesgue measure for $p=0,1, \cdots, n-1$ and for all $x$. Hence $Q_{p}$ for $p=0,1, \cdots, n-1$ are also bounded on a set of positive Lebesgue measure for all $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n-1}$. It is well-known (e.g., [20]) that an additive function $f: C \rightarrow K$ which is bounded on a set of positive measure is continuous everywhere. It follows from this theorem that a $p$-additive mapping which is bounded on a set of positive Lebesgue measure is continuous everywhere. Hence, $Q^{p}$ for each $p=0,1, \cdots, n-1$ is continuous everywhere. Equation (4.1) now shows that $f$ is continuous everywhere. This proves the Lemma 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. This is a consequence of Lemma 4.1 and Theorem A of Kakutani-Nagumo-Walsh.

For the case $K=C$ we have the following:
Theorem 4.3. If a function $f: C \rightarrow C$ satisfies $\Lambda_{n}(x, y)=0$ for all $x, y \in C$, then (4.1) holds for all $x \in C$. Further, $f$ is bounded on a set of positive Lebesgue measure if and only if $f$ is a complex polynomial of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} a_{0, s} x^{s}+\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} a_{r, r} \bar{x}^{r}, \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{x}$ denotes the conjugate of $x$.
Lemma 4.2. Let $n$ be a given integer $\geqq 1$, and let $Q_{n}: C^{n} \rightarrow C$ be an $n$-additive symmetrical mapping and continuous everywhere. Then there exist complex constants $a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ such that for all $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n} \in C$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{r=0}^{n}\left(a_{r} \sum_{\binom{n}{r}} x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{r} \bar{x}_{r+1} \bar{x}_{r+2} \cdots \bar{x}_{n}\right) . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 4.2. For $n=1$ we have

$$
Q_{1}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)=Q_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+Q_{1}\left(x_{2}\right) \quad \text { for all } \quad x_{1}, x_{2} \in C,
$$

whose continuous solutions are well-known (e.g., see J. Azcél [1, p. 217]) to be of the form

$$
Q_{1}(x)=A x+B \bar{x}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are complex constants. We now assume that (4.5) is true for $n=m \geqq 1$. For $n=m+1$ the continuous solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{m+1}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}, y+z\right)=Q_{m+1}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}, y\right)+Q_{m+1}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}, z\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}, y, z \in C$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{m+1}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}, x_{m+1}\right)=\sum_{r=0}^{m}\left(A_{r}\left(x_{m+1}\right) \sum_{\substack{m \\ r}} x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{r} \bar{x}_{r+1} \bar{x}_{r+2} \cdots \bar{x}_{m}\right) . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute (4.7) into (4.6) to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r=0}^{m}\left(A_{r}(y+z) \sum_{\binom{m}{r}} x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{r} \bar{x}_{r+1} \bar{x}_{r+2} \cdots \bar{x}_{m}\right) \\
& =\sum_{r=0}^{m}\left(A_{r}(y) \sum_{\binom{m}{r}} x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{r} \bar{x}_{r+1} \bar{x}_{r+2} \cdots \bar{x}_{m}\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{r=0}^{m}\left(A_{r}(z) \sum_{\binom{m}{r}} x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{r} \bar{x}_{r+1} \bar{x}_{r+2} \cdots \bar{x}_{m}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the uniqueness theorem of polynomial coefficients we have

$$
A_{r}(y+z)=A_{r}(y)+A_{r}(z) \quad \text { for each } \quad r=0,1, \cdots, n
$$

and $A_{r}(x)=\alpha_{r} x+\beta_{r} \bar{x}$ for each $r$, where $\alpha_{r}$ and $\beta_{r}$ are complex constants. This solution in (4.7) implies

$$
Q_{m+1}=\sum_{r=0}^{m}\left(\left(\alpha_{r} x_{m+1}+\beta_{r} \bar{x}_{m+1}\right) \sum_{\substack{m \\ r}} x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{r} \bar{x}_{r+1} \bar{x}_{r+2} \cdots \bar{x}_{m}\right)
$$

which shows that there exist complex constants $a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m+1}$ such that

$$
Q_{m+1}=\sum_{r=0}^{m+1}\left(a_{r} \sum_{\substack{m+1 \\ r}} x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{r} \bar{x}_{r+1} \bar{x}_{r+2} \cdots \bar{x}_{m+1}\right),
$$

yielding the Lemma 4.2.
Note that in particular for the case $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{r}=\bar{x}_{r+1}=$ $\bar{x}_{r+2}=\cdots=\bar{x}_{m}$, (4.5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{n}(x)=\sum_{r=0}^{n} a_{r} x^{n-r} \bar{x}^{r} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 4.3. By applying Lemma 4.1 with $K=C$ we obtain that $Q^{p}$ is continuous for each $p=0,1, \cdots, n-1$. Hence, Lemma 4.2 with (4.8) yields

$$
Q^{p}(x)=\sum_{r=0}^{p} a_{r} x^{p-r} \bar{x}^{r} \quad \text { for each } \quad p=0,1, \cdots, n-1
$$

Hence, by (4.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{r=0}^{s} a_{r, s} x^{s-r} \bar{x}^{r} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, if (4.9) is substituted in the mean value property $A_{n}(x, y)=0$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1}\left\{\left[a_{0,0}\right]\right. & +\left[a_{0,1}\left(x+\theta^{\nu} y\right)+a_{1,1}\left(\bar{x}+\bar{\theta}^{\nu} \bar{y}\right)\right] \\
& +\left[a_{0,2}\left(x+\theta^{\nu} y\right)^{2}+a_{1,2}\left(x+\theta^{\nu} y\right)\left(\bar{x}+\bar{\theta}^{\nu} \bar{y}\right)+a_{2,2}\left(\bar{x}+\bar{\theta}^{\nu} \bar{y}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& +\cdots+\left[a_{0, n-1}\left(x+\theta^{\nu} y\right)^{n-1}+a_{1, n-1}\left(x+\theta^{\nu} y\right)^{n-2}\left(\bar{x}+\bar{\theta}^{\nu} \bar{y}\right)\right.  \tag{4.10}\\
& \left.\left.+\cdots+a_{n-1, n-1}\left(\bar{x}+\bar{\theta}^{\nu} \bar{y}\right)^{n-1}\right]\right\} \\
=n & \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{r=0}^{s} a_{r, s} x^{s-r} \bar{x}^{r} .
\end{align*}
$$

By expanding both sides of (4.10) and comparing coefficients $a_{r, s}$ one observes that (4.9) satisfies the mean value property $\Lambda_{n}(x, y)=0$ if $a_{r, s}=0$ for $r \neq s, r, s=1, \cdots, n-1$, since the right side of (4.10) is independent of $y$ and $\bar{y}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1}\left(\theta^{\nu} \bar{\theta}^{\nu}\right)^{p}=n \quad \text { for } \quad p=0,1, \cdots, n-1 \\
& \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1}\left(\theta^{\nu}\right)^{p}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad p=1, \cdots, n-1 \\
& \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1}\left(\bar{\theta}^{\nu}\right)^{p}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad p=1, \cdots, n-1
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1}\left(\theta^{\nu}\right)^{j}\left(\bar{\theta}^{\nu}\right)^{l}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad j \neq l, j, l=1, \cdots, n-1
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} a_{0, s} x^{s}+\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} a_{r, r} \bar{x}^{r} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves the Theorem 4.3.
The author wishes to thank very much Professor J. Aczél for his advice and encouragement.
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