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#### Abstract

The purpose of this note is to give a proof independent of high-dimensional surgery theory of the following embedding result:


Theorem. Let $\Sigma^{3}$ be the homology 3 -sphere resulting from a Dehn surgery of type $1 / 2 a$ on a knot in $S^{3}$. Then $\Sigma^{3}$ smoothly embeds in $S^{5}$ with complement a homotopy circle.

This theorem illustrates the connection between two major areas of ignorance in low-dimensional topology. For instance, if the homology sphere $\Sigma^{3}$ bounds a contractible 4 -manifold $V^{4}$, then, using the 5-dimensional Poincaré conjecture, we see that $\Sigma^{3} \times 0 \hookrightarrow \Sigma^{3} \times D^{2} U$ $V^{4} \times S^{1}$ is a smooth embedding of $\Sigma$ into $S^{5}$ with complement homotopy equivalent to a circle. Conversely, if $\Sigma$ smoothly embeds in $S^{5}$ with $S^{5}-\Sigma \simeq S^{1}$, and if the Browder-Levine fibering theorem [1] holds in dimension 5 , then $S^{5}-\Sigma^{3} \times \grave{D}^{2}$ fibers over $S^{1}$, and the fiber is necessarily contractible.

High dimensional surgery theory can be used to completely solve this problem. Given $\Sigma^{3}$, convert $\Sigma^{3} \times T^{2}$ to $K \simeq S^{3} \times T^{2}$ via surgery, with $\Sigma^{3} \subset K$ (see [6]). By work of Kirby-Siebenmann, $K$ is homeomorphic to $S^{3} \times T^{2}$. Lifting to the universal cover, we get $\Sigma \subset S^{3} \times$ $R^{2} \subset S^{5}$, and we see that every homology 3 -sphere topologically embeds in $S^{5}$ with complement a homotopy circle. However, if $\Sigma$ has nontrivial Rochlin invariant, a standard argument shows that the embedding cannot be smooth or PL. (If it were smooth (PL), make the homotopy equivalence $f: S^{5}-\Sigma^{3} \times D^{2} \rightarrow S^{1}$ transverse to a point $p \in S^{1}$. Then $f^{-1}(p)$ would be a smooth (PL) spin manifold $V^{4}$ with zero signature and $\partial V=\Sigma$, contradicting the fact that $\Sigma$ has nontrivial Rochlin invariant.) If $\Sigma$ has trivial Rochlin invariant, the argument in [8] shows that the embedding can be taken to be smooth or PL. (See [7] for a much deeper analysis of knotting of homology 3 -spheres in $S^{5}$.) Nevertheless, it seems desirable to give a more elementary construction for these embeddings when possible. It would be nice if these methods, together with the Kirby-Rolfsen calculus for links in $S^{3}$, could provide the desired embeddings for all $\Sigma^{3}$ with zero Rochlin invariant.

This proof grew out of studying Fintushel and Pao's attempt [3] to show that Scharlemann's possibly exotic $S^{3} \times S^{1} \# S^{2} \times S^{2}$ is standard [6]. The basic construction is from [3] and will be described below.

I would like to thank the referee for very useful and constructive comments.

Proof of the theorem. Let $K \subset S^{3}$ be a smooth knot, and let $\Sigma^{3}$ be the homology 3 -sphere resulting from a Dehn surgery on $K$ of type $1 / 2 a$. Let $m$ and $\iota$ be a meridian and preferred longitude of $K$. It is not hard to see that surgery on the curve $\ell \times\left\{{ }^{*}\right\}$ in the 4-manifold $\Sigma^{3} \times S^{1}$ produces a manifold homotopy equivalent to $S^{3} \times$ $S^{1} \# S^{2} \times S^{2}$ or $S^{3} \times S^{1} \# S^{2} \widetilde{\times} S^{2}$, depending on the framing used, where $S^{2} \widetilde{\times} S^{2}$ is the nontrivial $S^{2}$ bundle over $S^{2}$. We will sketch the proof ([3]) that the manifold is in fact diffeomorphic to $S^{3} \times$ $S^{1} \# S^{2} \times S^{2}$, assuming we use the framing which produces an even 4-manifold, and we will also keep track of homology generators for future use.

Think of surgery on $\ell \times\left\{^{*}\right\}$ in $\Sigma^{3} \times S^{1}$ as follows: First remove a tubular neighborhood $T \approx S^{1} \times D^{2} \times S^{1}$ of $\ell \times S^{1}$ in $\Sigma^{3} \times S^{1}$, leaving $\left(S^{3}-K \times \dot{D}^{2}\right) \times S^{1}$. Let $X \approx S^{1} \times D^{3}$ be a tubular neighborhood of $\ell \times$ $\left\{^{*}\right\}$, where $X$ sits in $T$ in the obvious fashion, so that $\overline{T-X}=S^{1} \times$ $D^{2} \times I$. To surger $\ell$, replace $X$ by $D^{2} \times S^{2}$, identifying $S^{1} \times$ polar caps $\} \subset D^{2} \times S^{2}$ with $S^{1} \times D^{2} \times\{ \pm 1\} \subset S^{1} \times D^{2} \times I$.

The identification $D^{2} \times S^{2} \bigcup_{S^{1} \times D^{2} \times\{ \pm 1} S^{1} \times D^{2} \times I$ produces a 4 manifold $P^{4}$ which can be identified as the result of plumbing two copies of $S^{2} \times D^{2}$ at two points. The boundary of $P^{4}$ is $T^{3}$ with homology generators $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ as follows: $e_{1}$ is a meridian of $S^{1} \times D^{2} \times$ $I, e_{2}$ is that longitude of $S^{1} \times D^{2} \times I$ which, after being isotoped across a plumbing point, becomes a meridian to $D^{2} \times$ equator $\subset D^{2} \times$ $S^{2}$, and $e_{3}$ generates $H_{1}\left(P^{4}\right) \cong \boldsymbol{Z}$. Actually, $e_{3}$ is defined only modulo multiples of $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$, but $P^{4}$ admits self-diffeomorphisms taking any generator of $H_{1}(P)$ to any other generator (see [2], Lemma 3.3), so we can ignore this point.

If we let $N$ denote the result of surgery on $\ell \times\left\{{ }^{*}\right\}$ in $\Sigma^{3} \times S^{1}$ (using the framing induced from the zero framing of $\ell$ in $\Sigma^{3}$ ), we see that $N$ is the union of $P^{4}$ and $\left(S^{3}-K \times \grave{D}^{2}\right) \times S^{1}$ defined by the matrix

$$
m\left(\begin{array}{lll}
e_{1} & e_{2} & e_{3} \\
m \\
\iota & 0 & 0 \\
2 a & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $m$ is a meridian to $K$ in $S^{3}$, and $h$ generates the circle factor in $\left(S^{3}-K \times D^{2}\right) \times S^{1}$.

Notice that there are two natural 2-spheres in $P^{4}$, the cores of
the two copies of $S^{2} \times D^{2}$. We have $H_{2}(P) \cong \boldsymbol{Z}^{2}$, generated by the cores, which we denote $A$ and $B$, where $A$ corresponds to the $S^{2}$ added in the surgery, and $B$ is

$$
D^{2} \times\{\text { north and south poles }\} \cup S^{1} \times\{0\} \times I
$$

in the decomposition $P=D^{2} \times S^{2} \cup S^{1} \times D^{2} \times I$. Also, $H_{2}\left(T^{3}=\partial P^{4}\right) \cong$ $Z^{3}$, generated by $e_{1} \wedge e_{2}, e_{1} \wedge e_{3}$, and $e_{2} \wedge e_{3}$, which we write as $e_{12}, e_{13}, e_{23}$. The inclusion $T^{3} \hookrightarrow P^{4}$ induces $e_{12} \mapsto 0, e_{13} \mapsto A, e_{23} \mapsto B$. Finally,

$$
H_{2}\left(\left(S^{3}-K \times \dot{D}^{2}\right) \times S^{1}\right) \cong Z
$$

generated by $m \wedge h$.
Examination of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for $N$ yields $H_{2}(N) \cong$ $\boldsymbol{Z}^{2}$, with explicit generators. The 2 -sphere $A$ is one generator. Since $e_{2}$ bounds a disk in $P$, and is glued to $\ell$, which bounds a Seifert surface in $S^{3}-K \times \dot{D}^{2}$, we may glue the disk to the surface to produce the other generator, which we refer to as the generator arising from $e_{2}$. Notice that $B$ is trivial in $H_{2}(N)$.

Now create $W^{5}$ by adding a 2 -handle to $\Sigma^{3} \times S^{1} \times I$ along $\ell \times$ $\left\{^{*}\right\} \times\{1\}$, producing a cobordism from $\Sigma^{3} \times S^{1}$ to $N$. The class of $A$ in $H_{2}(N)$ dies in $H_{2}(W)$, while the class arising from $e_{2}$ lives in $H_{2}(W)$. In fact, it is easy to see that

$$
H_{i}(W)= \begin{cases}Z, & i=0,1,2,3,4 \\ 0, & i=5\end{cases}
$$

with all of $H_{*}(W)$ coming from $H_{*}(N)$.
Now, as first observed by Pao [5], $P^{4}$ admits the following selfdiffeomorphism: remove one copy of $S^{2} \times D^{2}$ and replace it by an element in the kernel of $\pi_{1} S O(2) \rightarrow \pi_{1} S O(3)$. This idea can easily be used to produce a self-diffeomorphism $f$ which fixes $e_{3}$ and one of $e_{1}, e_{2}$ (say $e_{2}$ ), and takes $e_{1}$ to $e_{1}$ plus an even multiple of $e_{2}$. (To do this we remove and replace B.) This gives the following diagram:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P \longleftrightarrow \partial P \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
2 a & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)}\left(S^{3}-K \times \dot{D}^{2}\right) \times S^{1} \\
& f \\
& P \longleftrightarrow \partial P \xrightarrow[\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)]{ }\left(S^{3}-K \times \dot{D}^{2}\right) \times S^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The top row gives $N$, the bottom $S^{3} \times S^{1} \# S^{2} \times S^{2}$, yielding

$$
N \underset{\approx}{\underset{\approx}{f}} S^{3} \times S^{1} \# S^{2} \times S^{2}
$$

We can also create $V^{5}$, a cobordism from $S^{3} \times S^{1}$ to $S^{3} \times S^{1} \#$ $S^{2} \times S^{2}$, by attaching a 2 -handle along $\ell \times\left\{^{*}\right\} \times\{0\}$ in $S^{3} \times S^{1} \times I$. Glue $W$ to $V$ using the diffeomorphism $f$, creating a cobordism $X^{5}$ from $\Sigma^{3} \times S^{1}$ to $S^{3} \times S^{1}$.

The point is this: The class of $A$ in $H_{2}(N)$ is taken to the corresponding class in $H_{2}\left(S^{3} \times S^{1} \# S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)$, which dies in $H_{2}(V)$. This is certainly not true geometrically, since $f$ takes $A$ to $A+2 a B$ (essentially, $A$ is altered by the "belt trick"), but $B$ is homologically trivial. The class in $H_{2}(N)$ arising from $e_{2}$ is geometrically taken to the corresponding class in $H_{2}\left(S^{3} \times S^{1} \# S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)$.

Now examine $H_{*}(X)$. Since $A$ bounds $D^{3}$ in $W$, and $A+2 a B$ bounds a 3 -chain in $V$, we produce a generator in $H_{3}(X)$. This 3cycle has intersection number $\pm 1$ with the generator of $H_{2}(W)$ arising from $e_{2}$, and the generator of $H_{2}(W)$ arising from $e_{2}$ is identified with a class in $H_{2}(V)$ which we can represent by an embedded 2-sphere (with trivial normal bundle), since $\ell$ bounds a singular disk in $S^{3}$.

Now surger the generator of $H_{2}(X)$. Standard sequences for this surgery show that this simultaneously kills the generator of $H_{2}(X)$ and its dual in $H_{3}(X)$. The result is a homology product, $Y$, from $\Sigma^{3} \times S^{1}$ to $S^{3} \times S^{1}$, and $\pi_{1} Y \cong Z$, coming from the circle factor in either boundary component. If we now glue $D^{4} \times S^{1}$ to $Y$ along $S^{3} \times S^{1}$, and glue $\Sigma^{3} \times D^{2}$ along $\Sigma^{3} \times S^{1}$, we have a simply-connected homology 5 -sphere, hence $S^{5}$. Thus, we have a smooth embedding of $\Sigma^{3}$ in $S^{5}$ with $\pi_{1}\left(S^{5}-\Sigma^{3} \times \dot{D}^{2}\right) \cong \boldsymbol{Z}$.

Actually, it follows from [6] that for every homology 3 -sphere $\Sigma, \Sigma \times S^{1}$ is homology-cobordant to $S^{3} \times S^{1}$. The argument is as follows: embed $\Sigma^{3}$ in $S^{5}$ and remove a tubular neighborhood $\Sigma^{3} \times D^{2}$

of $\Sigma$ and a tubular neighborhood $S^{1} \times D^{4}$ of a meridian to the knotted $\Sigma^{3}$. The result is a homology-cobordism $Y^{5}$ from $\Sigma^{3} \times S^{1}$ to $S^{3} \times S^{1}$, and $\pi_{1}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(Y)$ is trivial. In general, $\pi_{1} Y$ will be mysterious.

Consider the universal cover $\tilde{X}$ : We have $H_{2}(\tilde{X}) \cong \boldsymbol{Z}(\boldsymbol{Z})$ and $H_{3}(\tilde{X}) \cong \boldsymbol{Z} \oplus \boldsymbol{Z}(\boldsymbol{Z})$. If we do $\boldsymbol{Z}$ surgeries equivariantly, killing the $\boldsymbol{Z}(\boldsymbol{Z})$ factors, the result is $\tilde{Y}$. To create $\widehat{S^{5}-\Sigma \times D^{2}}$, attach $D^{4} \times \boldsymbol{R}$ to $\tilde{Y}$ along $S^{3} \times \boldsymbol{R}$. This kills $H_{3}(\tilde{Y})$, and thus $\widehat{S^{5}-\Sigma \times D^{2}}$ is contractible, so that $S^{5}-\Sigma \times{ }^{\circ} D^{2}$ is a $K(\boldsymbol{Z}, 1)$. This proves the theorem.

Remarks. (1) Surgery of type $1 / 2 a$ on a knot in $S^{3}$ results in a homology 3 -sphere with zero Rochlin invariant, by [4].
(2) The proof is equally valid for (a) knots in homology spheres which bound contractible 4 -manifolds, or (b) surgeries of type $1 / 2 a_{i}$, $i=1, \cdots, n$, on a link of $n$ components, provided the components are algebraically unlinked (by doing $n$ times as many surgeries). In particular, the theorem is valid for connected sums of $\Sigma$ 's as above.
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