

## COLORINGS OF HYPERMAPS AND A CONJECTURE OF BRENNER AND LYNDON

GIULIANA BIANCHI AND ROBERT CORI

**In this paper the following result is obtained: Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two permutations such that  $\alpha\beta$  is transitive and  $\alpha^p = \beta^q = 1$  (where  $p$  and  $q$  are distinct primes). Then the set of all permutations commuting both with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  is either reduced to the identity or one of the three cyclic groups  $C_p$ ,  $C_q$  or  $C_{pq}$ .**

**Introduction.** In this paper we answer a question raised by J. L. Brenner and R. C. Lyndon in [1]. They consider a pair of permutations  $(\alpha, \beta)$  acting on a finite set of  $n$  elements such that  $\alpha^3 = \beta^2 = 1$  and  $\alpha\beta$  is transitive. Such a pair may be considered as a (combinatorial) map with exactly one face in the terminology of [2], [4], [6] and [8], Brenner and Lyndon computed the automorphism group of such a map (which is necessarily a cyclic group) for  $n \leq 12$ . The groups they find are 1,  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$  and  $C_6$  and they conjectured that no other groups can arise.

In what follows we prove a more general result and show that if  $\alpha\beta$  is transitive and if  $p$  and  $q$  are primes ( $p \neq q$ ) such that  $\alpha^p = \beta^q = 1$  then the automorphism group of  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is one of 1,  $C_p$ ,  $C_q$ ,  $C_{pq}$ . It remains an open question to know whether  $C_{pq}$  can be found for arbitrary large values of  $n$  ( $n \gg pq$ )

Our main tool is the introduction of the concept of colorings of a hypermap. These colorings count in a certain way the number of fixed points of an automorphism of  $(\alpha, \beta)$  when it acts on the set of cells (i.e. orbits of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\alpha\beta$ ). One step in the proof is to show that an automorphism of prime order cannot have exactly one fixed point in the set of cells: such a result is well known in the theory of Riemann surfaces ([5], p. 266).

All the permutations we consider act on a finite set  $\Omega$  of  $n$  elements. We will also use the following conventions:

The product  $\alpha\beta$  of two permutations  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  is the permutation defined by  $\alpha\beta(x) = \alpha(\beta(x))$ ; for a subset  $\Omega'$  of  $\Omega$ ,  $\alpha\Omega'$  denotes the set  $\{\alpha x \mid x \in \Omega'\}$ , which has the same cardinality as  $\Omega'$ ; a permutation  $\alpha$  is *regular* if all its orbits have the same length, which is also the order of  $\alpha$ ; the number of orbits of the permutation  $\theta$  will be denoted by  $z(\theta)$ ; a permutation is transitive if  $z(\theta) = 1$ .

A hypermap is a pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of permutations such that the group  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  generated by them is transitive on  $\Omega$ . The orbits of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\alpha\beta$  are the cells of the hypermap.

An automorphism of  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is an element  $\varphi$  of  $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$  that commutes with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . By the transitivity of  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  for any  $x$  and  $y$  in  $\Omega$  there exists  $\theta$  in  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  such that  $x = \theta y$  and as for any integer  $k$ ,  $\varphi^k(x) = \theta \varphi^k(x)$  we have

$$\varphi^k x = x \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \varphi^k y = y;$$

hence an automorphism of  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is a regular permutation.

In order to study the automorphism group of a hypermap we are led to examine for a given permutation  $\theta$  the set of regular permutations  $\varphi$  commuting with  $\theta$ . This will be done in detail in the next paragraph.

**I. Commuting permutations.** We state here for later use some elementary facts about a pair of commuting permutations  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of a finite set. Throughout this section it will be assumed that  $\alpha, \beta$  act on a finite set  $\Omega$  of  $n$  elements and that the group  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  generated by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  is abelian.

We write  $\Omega/\alpha$  for the set of  $\alpha$ -orbits. As  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  commute, the actions of  $\alpha, \beta$  on  $\Omega$  induce actions of  $\alpha$  on  $\Omega/\beta$  and of  $\beta$  on  $\Omega/\alpha$ .

**LEMMA I.1.** *If  $G = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  is transitive, then any element  $\theta$  of  $G$  is regular.*

*Proof.* For any  $x$  and  $y$  in  $\Omega$  there exists  $\varphi$  in  $G$  such that  $y = \varphi x$ , since  $\theta^m x = x$  and as  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  is abelian,  $\theta^m y = \varphi \theta^m x = y$ .

**LEMMA I.2.** *If  $G = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  is transitive on  $\Omega$ , then  $\alpha$  is transitive on  $\Omega/\beta$ , and  $G$  is also transitive on the set of all intersections  $A \cap B$  for  $A \in \Omega/\alpha, B \in \Omega/\beta$ . Therefore these intersections all have the same cardinality.*

*Proof.* The first statement is clear. If  $A, A' \in \Omega/\alpha$  and  $B, B' \in \Omega/\beta$ , then  $A' = \beta^k A$  and  $B' = \alpha^h B$  for some  $h$  and  $k$  in  $Z$ . Then

$$\alpha^h \beta^k (A \cap B) = \alpha^h (A' \cap B) = A' \cap B'.$$

**LEMMA I.3.** *Let  $r$  be the common value of  $|A \cap B|$ ,  $n = |\Omega|$ , let  $a, b$  be the orders of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then there exist  $a_1, b_1$  such that  $n = a_1 b_1 r$ ,  $a = a_1 r$ ,  $b = b_1 r$ . If  $b$  is prime then  $|\Omega/\alpha| = 1$  or  $b$ .*

*Proof.* As any  $A$  and  $B$  are both unions of  $A_i \cap B_j$ ,  $r$  divides  $a$  and  $b$ , so that  $a = a_1 r$ ,  $b = b_1 r$ . Since  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are regular  $|\Omega/\alpha| = n/a$ ,  $|\Omega/\beta| = n/b$  and there are  $n^2/ab$  disjoint intersections  $A \cap B$ . Thus  $n = r \cdot (n^2/ab)$  and  $n = ab/r = a_1 b_1 r$ . If  $b$  is prime then  $r = 1$  or  $b$  and  $n/a = b$  or  $1$ .

LEMMA I.4. *If  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  is transitive, and  $a, b, r$  are as above, then there exists an integer  $k$  relatively prime with  $r$  such that  $\alpha^{n/b} = \beta^{nk/a}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\alpha$  is transitive on  $\Omega/\beta$ , and  $|\Omega/\beta| = n/b$  then  $\alpha^{n/b}$  stabilizes each  $B \in \Omega/\beta$ ; it also stabilizes each  $A \cap B$  as  $\alpha A = A$ . As  $\alpha$  is transitive on  $A$  of length  $a$ ,  $\alpha^{n/b} = \alpha^{a/r}$  is transitive on  $C = A \cap B$ . Similarly  $\beta^{n/a}$  is transitive on  $C$ . For a particular  $C$  the restrictions of  $\alpha^{n/b}$  and  $\beta^{n/a}$  to  $C$  generate the same cyclic group of order  $r$ , then for some  $k$  such that  $(k, r) = 1$ ,  $\alpha^{n/b}$  and  $\beta^{nk/a}$  have the same action on  $C$ . Thus the element  $\alpha^{n/b} \beta^{-nk/a}$  of  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  has at least one fixed point by I.1, it is the identity.

**II. Colorings.** Throughout this section we assume that  $\varphi$  is a regular permutation of order  $m$  acting on a finite set  $\Omega$  of  $n$  elements.

A *coloring* on the set  $\Omega$  is a map  $\lambda$  defined on  $\Omega$  with values in an abelian group  $R$ . For any permutation  $\alpha$  and any coloring  $\lambda$  of  $\Omega$  we define another coloring  $D_\alpha \lambda$  by setting

$$D_\alpha \lambda(x) = \lambda(\alpha(x)) - \lambda(x).$$

A coloring is said to be *orthogonal* to  $\alpha$  if  $D_\alpha \lambda$  is constant on  $\Omega$ . In this case  $\lambda(\alpha^k(x)) = \lambda(x) + k \cdot u$  where  $u$  is the constant value of  $D_\alpha \lambda$ . The length  $l$  of an orbit of  $\alpha$  must verify  $lu = 0$  in the abelian group. As we will only consider colorings orthogonal to  $\varphi$ , we will assume that  $R$  is the additive group  $Z/mZ$ . Thus the relation  $mu = 0$  is satisfied for any  $u$ .

We are now interested in the extension of a coloring vanishing on a transversal  $T$  of  $\Omega/\varphi$ , and having a given value  $v$  on an element  $x$  not in  $T$ . For such an  $x$  there exists a unique  $\bar{x}$  in  $T$  and an integer  $h$  ( $1 \leq h \leq m$ ) such that  $\varphi^h(\bar{x}) = x$ .

LEMMA II.1. *For  $v$  in  $Z/mZ$ , there exists a coloring  $\lambda$  orthogonal to  $\varphi$ , vanishing on  $T$  and such that  $\lambda(x) = v$  if and only if the equation in  $u$ ,  $hu \equiv v$ , has a solution in  $Z/mZ$ .*

*Proof.* If  $D_\alpha \lambda$  is a constant  $u$ , then  $\lambda(x) = \lambda(\bar{x}) + hu$  so that  $hu = v$ . If this equation has a solution  $u_0$  say, then for any  $y$  in  $\Omega$  there exists  $\bar{y}$  in  $T$  such that  $y = \varphi^l(\bar{y})$ ; setting  $\lambda(y) = lu_0$  we obtain the coloring  $\lambda$ .  $\square$

LEMMA II.2. *Let  $\langle \varphi, \alpha \rangle$  be abelian and  $\lambda$  be a coloring orthogonal to  $\varphi$ . Then  $D_\alpha \lambda$  is constant on the orbits of  $\varphi$ .*

*Proof.* We have to show that  $D_\alpha \lambda(\varphi x) = D_\alpha \lambda(x)$ . But as  $D_\alpha \lambda(\varphi(x)) = \lambda \alpha \varphi x - \lambda \varphi x$  and since  $\alpha$  and  $\varphi$  commute:

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha \lambda \varphi(x) &= \lambda \varphi \alpha x - \lambda \alpha x + \lambda \alpha x - \lambda x + \lambda x - \lambda \varphi x \\ &= D_\varphi \lambda(\alpha x) + D_\alpha \lambda(x) - D_\varphi \lambda(x). \end{aligned}$$

As  $D_\varphi \lambda$  is constant, also the result follows. Remark that  $D_\alpha \lambda$  defines a coloring on  $\Omega/\varphi$ . For  $A$  in  $\Omega/\varphi$ ,  $D_\alpha \lambda(A)$  denotes the common value of  $D_\alpha \lambda(x)$  for  $x$  in  $A$ .

LEMMA II.3. *Let  $\langle \varphi, \alpha \rangle$  be abelian and transitive on  $\Omega$ . Then there exists a coloring  $\lambda$  orthogonal to  $\varphi$ , such that*

$$\sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_\alpha \lambda(A) \equiv z(\alpha) \quad \text{in } Z/mZ.$$

*Proof.* Let  $|\Omega| = n$ ,  $\alpha$  have order  $a$ , and let  $r$  be the cardinality of the intersection of an orbit of  $\alpha$  with one of  $\varphi$ . As  $\alpha$  is transitive on  $\Omega/\varphi$  there exists  $x$  such that  $T = \{x, \alpha x, \dots, \alpha^{n/m-1} x\}$  is a transversal of  $\Omega/\varphi$ . Let  $y = \alpha^{n/m} x$ ; we claim that there exists  $\lambda$  vanishing on  $T$  and such that  $\lambda(y) = z(\alpha) = n/a$ .

By Lemma I.4 there exists  $k$  such that  $\varphi^{n/a \cdot k} = \alpha^{n/m}$ ; then  $y = \varphi^{n/a \cdot k}(x)$ . By II.1 such a  $\lambda$  exists if the equation

$$nku/a \equiv n/a$$

has a solution in  $Z/mZ$ .

But since  $(k, r) = 1$  there exist  $u, v$ , such that  $uk + vr = 1$ . Then

$$nku/a + nvr/a = n/a$$

and as  $nr/a = m$  (I.3), we are done.

LEMMA II.4. *Let  $G = \langle \varphi, \alpha \rangle$  be abelian. Then there exists a coloring  $\lambda$  such that  $D_\varphi \lambda$  is constant on  $G$ -orbits and such that*

$$\sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_\alpha \lambda(A) = z(\alpha).$$

*Moreover if  $\varphi$  is of prime order and fixes only one orbit of  $\alpha$  then  $\lambda$  can be found orthogonal to  $\varphi$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma II.3 for any  $G$ -orbit  $\Omega_h$  there exists a coloring  $\lambda_h$  such that  $D_\varphi \lambda_h$  is constant on  $\Omega_h$  and

$$\sum_{A \in \Omega_h/\varphi} D_\alpha \lambda_h(A) = z(\alpha_h)$$

where  $\alpha_h$  is the restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $\Omega_h$ . Taking for  $\lambda$  the union of the  $\lambda_h$  we have the result, since  $z(\alpha) = \sum z(\alpha_h)$ . If  $\varphi$  is of prime order, then by I.3  $|\Omega_h/\alpha| = 1$  or  $m$ . In the first case,  $\Omega_h$  is an  $\alpha$  orbit fixed by  $\varphi$ . This occurs only once, for  $h_0$  say; the equation to solve in  $\Omega_{h_0}$  is  $ku \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  which gives  $u = k^{-1}$  in  $Z/mZ$ . In the second case  $|\Omega_h/\alpha| = m$  and the equation to solve is  $mk'u \equiv m \pmod{m}$  which is satisfied by any  $u$ , in particular for  $u = k^{-1}$ . We thus can choose  $\lambda$  such that  $D_\alpha \lambda = u$  on any  $\Omega_h \cdot D_\alpha \lambda$  is thus constant.

LEMMA II.5. *Let  $G = \langle \varphi, \alpha \rangle$  be abelian and such that the intersection  $A_i \cap B_j$  of an orbit of  $\varphi$  with one of  $\alpha$  contains at most one element. Then for any coloring  $\lambda$  orthogonal to  $\varphi$  we have*

$$\sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_\alpha \lambda(A) = 0.$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show that the sum vanishes on each  $\alpha$  orbit in  $\Omega/\varphi$ . Let  $C$  be such an orbit; under the hypothesis of the lemma, there exists an orbit  $\Gamma$  in  $\Omega$  of length  $|C|$  and  $\sum_{c \in C} D_\alpha \lambda(c) = \sum_{x \in \Gamma} D_\alpha \lambda(x)$ . But  $\Gamma = \{x, \alpha x, \dots, \alpha^k x\}$  and the last sum is  $\sum_{i=0}^k (\lambda \alpha^{i+1} x - \lambda \alpha^i x)$  which vanishes as  $\alpha^{k+1} x = x$ .

LEMMA II.6. *Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be any two permutations commuting with  $\varphi$ , then for any coloring  $\lambda$  orthogonal to  $\varphi$  one has*

$$\sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_{\alpha\beta} \lambda(A) = \sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_\alpha \lambda(A) + \sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_\beta \lambda(A).$$

Let  $\Gamma$  be any subset of  $\Omega$  having exactly one element in each cycle of  $\varphi$ . Then

$$\sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_{\alpha\beta} \lambda(A) = \sum_{x \in \Gamma} D_{\alpha\beta} \lambda(x).$$

Since  $D_{\alpha\beta} \lambda(a) = \lambda(\alpha\beta(a)) - \lambda(\beta(a)) + \lambda(\beta(a)) - \lambda(a)$  we have

$$\sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_{\alpha\beta} \lambda(A) = \sum_{x' \in \beta(\Gamma)} D_\alpha \lambda(x') + \sum_{x \in \Gamma} D_\beta \lambda(x).$$

But  $\beta(\Gamma)$  is also a subset of  $\Omega$  having one element in each cycle of  $\varphi$  and the result follows.

### III. The main theorems.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $H = (\alpha, \beta)$  be a hypermap  $\varphi$  an automorphism of  $H$  of prime order  $p$ . Then the number of cells fixed by  $\varphi$  is necessarily different from one.*

*Proof.* Let us show that the assumption that  $\varphi$  fixes exactly one cell leads to a contradiction. Suppose that this cell is an orbit of  $\alpha$  (a similar proof holds for an orbit of  $\beta$  or  $\alpha\beta$ ). If  $\varphi$  fixes no other cycle of  $\alpha$  then  $z(\alpha) - 1$  is clearly divisible by  $p$ . Then by Lemma II.4 there exists a coloring orthogonal to  $\varphi$  such that  $\sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_\alpha \lambda(A) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , but by Lemma II.6.

$$\sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_{\alpha\beta} \lambda(A) = \sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_\alpha \lambda(A) + \sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_\beta \lambda(A)$$

and Lemma II.5 insures the nullity of  $\sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_{\alpha\beta} \lambda(A)$  and  $\sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_\beta \lambda(A)$ . As no cycle of either  $\beta$  or  $\alpha\beta$  is fixed by  $\varphi$ , we have thus found a contradiction and Theorem II.1 is proved.

**LEMMA III.1** *Let  $\varphi$  be a permutation of order  $p^2$  commuting with  $\alpha$  of order  $p$ . Then for any  $\lambda$  orthogonal to  $\varphi$*

$$p \sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_\alpha \lambda(A) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

*Proof.* We can assume that  $\langle \varphi, \alpha \rangle$  acts transitively on  $\Omega$ ; the general case is then obtained by summing over the orbits of  $\langle \varphi, \alpha \rangle$ .

Since  $\alpha$  is of order  $p$ , by Lemma I.3 the cardinality of the intersection of a cycle of  $\varphi$  and one of  $\alpha$  is either 1 or  $p$ . If it is 1, then by Lemma II.4 we have

$$\sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_\alpha \lambda(A) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

If it is  $p$ , then  $\Omega/\varphi$  has only one element. Let  $\varphi = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{p^2})$ . The sum  $\sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_\alpha \lambda(A)$  equals  $D_\alpha \lambda(b_1)$  and we find

$$D_\alpha \lambda(b_1) = \lambda(\alpha(b_1)) - \lambda(b_1).$$

But as  $\varphi$  and  $\alpha$  commute and  $\varphi$  is a cycle,  $\alpha$  is a power of  $\varphi$  and  $\alpha = \varphi^{ip}$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq p - 1$ . Thus as  $\lambda$  is orthogonal to  $\varphi$ ,  $\lambda(\alpha(b_1)) = \lambda(\varphi^{ip}(b_1)) = \lambda(b_1) + ipu$ , so that  $D_\alpha \lambda(b_1) = ipu$ , as required.

We are now able to prove our main theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $p$  and  $q$  be two distinct primes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two permutations such that*

- (1)  $\alpha\beta$  is a cycle,
- (2)  $\alpha^q = \beta^p = 1$ .

*Then the automorphism group of  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is either trivial or one of  $C_p, C_q, C_{pq}$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\text{Aut} \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  is cyclic.

Let now  $\varphi$  be an automorphism of prime order, clearly  $\varphi$  fixes one cell of the hypermap  $(\alpha, \beta)$ : the unique cycle of  $\alpha\beta$ . By Theorem 1 it fixes one more cell, if this cell is of length one then  $\varphi$  is the identity, if it is of length  $p$  or  $q$  then clearly  $\varphi$  has orbits of length dividing  $p$  or  $q$  and  $\varphi$  is of order  $p, q$  or  $1$ . This proves that  $\text{Aut} \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  is of order  $p^u q^v$ . To obtain the complete result we will show that assuming the existence of an automorphism of order  $m = p^2$  (or  $m = q^2$  similarly) we have a contradiction. Let  $\varphi$  be such an automorphism, let  $\lambda$  be the coloring constructed in Lemma II.3 for  $\alpha\beta$ , we have

$$\sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_{\alpha\beta} \lambda(A) \equiv z(\alpha\beta) \pmod{p^2} \quad (\equiv 1) \pmod{p^2}.$$

But by Lemma II.6:

$$1 \equiv \sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_{\alpha\beta} \lambda(A) \equiv \sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_{\alpha} \lambda(A) + \sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_{\beta} \lambda(A) \pmod{p^2}$$

and

$$\sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_{\beta} \lambda(A) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$$

as the cardinality  $r$  of the intersection of a cycle of  $\varphi$  and one of  $\beta$  is  $0$  or  $1$  ( $r$  dividing  $p^2$  and  $q$ ).

We thus have using Lemma III.1 and multiplying by  $p$  the above equality:

$$p \equiv p \sum_{A \in \Omega/\varphi} D_{\alpha} \lambda(A) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

Which is the contradiction we are looking for.

**Acknowledgement.** *The authors wish to thank R. C. Lyndon for many helpful comments on a preliminary version of this work.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. L. Brenner and R. C. Lyndon, *Permutations and cubic graphs*, Pacific J. Math., **104** (1983), 285–315.
- [2] R. Cori, *Un code pour les graphes planaires et ses applications*, Astérisque, Société Mathématique de France, **27** (1975).
- [3] R. Cori, A. Machi, J. G. Penaud and B. Vauquelin, *On the automorphism group of a planar hypermap*, European J. Combin., **2** (1981), 331–334.
- [4] J. Edmonds, *A combinatorial representation for polyhedral surfaces*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc., **7** (1960), 646.
- [5] H. M. Farkas and I. Kra, *Riemann Surfaces*, Springer-Verlag (1980).
- [6] A. Jacques, *Sur le genre d'une paire de substitutions*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, série A.B, **267** (1968), 625–627.
- [7] V. A. Liskovets, *A census of non isomorphic planar maps*, Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai (1–25), (1978)-Algebraic method in graph theory, p. 479–494.
- [8] T. R. S. Walsh, *Hypermap versus bipartite maps*, J. Combinatorial Theory-Ser. B, **18** (1975), 155–163.

Received December 9, 1981 and in revised form May 24, 1982.

UNIVERSITY OF BORDEAUX I  
351, COURS DE LA LIBERATION  
33405 TALENCE CEDEX, FRANCE