

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF LOCAL EQUI-CONNECTEDNESS

KATSURO SAKAI

Let  $X$  be a semi-locally contractible metrizable space. We show that  $X$  is locally equi-connected (LEC) if and only if  $X$  has a local mixer introduced by van Mill and van de Vel [MV<sub>1,2</sub>].

Throughout this paper, all spaces are metrizable and maps are continuous. Let  $X$  be a space. We will use the same symbol  $\Delta X$  to denote the diagonals of  $X^2$  and  $X^3$ , that is,

$$\Delta X = \{(x, x): x \in X\} \quad \text{or} \quad = \{(x, x, x): x \in X\},$$

and we will let

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^* X &= \{(x, y, z) \in X^3: x = y \text{ or } y = z \text{ or } z = x\} \\ &= \bigcup_{x \in X} (X \times \{x\} \times \{x\} \cup \{x\} \times X \times \{x\} \cup \{x\} \times \{x\} \times X) \end{aligned}$$

A *local mixer* for  $X$  is a map  $\mu: U \rightarrow X$  of a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\Delta^* X$  in  $X^3$  to  $X$  which satisfies the following condition:

- (\*) if  $((x_n, y_n, z_n))_{n=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of points in  $X^3$  such that the sequences  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  both converge to  $a \in X$ , then the sequences  $(\mu(x_n, y_n, z_n))_{n=m}^\infty$ ,  $(\mu(x_n, z_n, y_n))_{n=m}^\infty$  and  $(\mu(z_n, x_n, y_n))_{n=m}^\infty$  converge to  $a$  for some  $m$ ;

or, equivalently, (see [MV<sub>2</sub>, Lemma 2.3]):

for each  $x \in X$  and for each neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$ , there exists a neighborhood  $W$  of  $x$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\#) \quad E(W) &= (X \times W \times W) \cup (W \times X \times W) \\ &\quad \cup (W \times W \times X) \subset \mu^{-1}(V), \end{aligned}$$

that is,  $E(W) \subset U = \text{dom } \mu$  and  $\mu(E(W)) \subset V$ .

When  $U = X^3 = \text{dom } \mu$ , we call  $\mu$  a *mixer* for  $X$ . If  $X$  is compact, then (\*) (or (#)) is equivalent to the condition that

$$\mu(x, x, y) = \mu(x, y, x) = \mu(y, x, x) = x$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . The concept of a (local) mixer for a (compact) metric space was introduced by van Mill and van de Vel [MV<sub>1,2</sub>].

We say that  $X$  is *locally equi-connected* (LEC) provided there is a map  $\lambda: U \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ , where  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $\Delta X$  in  $X^2$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(x, y, 0) &= x, \quad \lambda(x, y, 1) = y && \text{for all } (x, y) \in U, \\ \lambda(x, x, t) &= x && \text{for all } x \in X, t \in [0, 1];\end{aligned}$$

the map  $\lambda$  is called a (*local*) *equi-connecting function*. When  $U = X^2$ , we say that  $X$  is *equi-connected* (EC). These concepts were introduced by Fox [F] (cf. [S], [H], [D] and [C]).

A space  $X$  is said to be *semi-locally contractible* if each point of  $X$  has a neighborhood which is contractible in  $X$ ; a space  $X$  is said to be *semi-locally path-connected* if each point of  $X$  has a neighborhood whose any two points can be joined by a path in  $X$ . In [MV<sub>1,2</sub>], van Mill and van de Vel showed that

(i) each semi-locally path-connected space admitting a (local) mixer is  $(LC^\infty) C^\infty$ ;

(ii) each A(N)R has a (local) mixer; and

(iii) each contractible space admitting a mixer is EC and each semi-locally contractible *separable* space with a local mixer is LEC.

In this paper, we will show that each (L)EC space has a (local) mixer (Theorem I), and each semi-locally contractible space admitting a local mixer is LEC (Theorem II). These results generalize (ii) and (iii). From these results we obtain the following characterization of (local) equi-connectedness.

**THEOREM.** *A metrizable space is (L)EC if and only if it is (semi-locally) contractible and has a (local) mixer.*

First, we will prove the following:

**THEOREM I.** *If  $X$  is LEC, then  $X$  has a local mixer. If  $X$  is EC, then  $X$  has a mixer.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be a neighborhood of  $\Delta X$  in  $X^2$  and  $\lambda: U \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  an equi-connecting function. For each  $a \in X$ , let  $U'_a$  be a neighborhood of  $a$  in  $X$  so that  $U'^2_a \subset U$ . There is an open neighborhood  $U''_a$  of  $a$  such that  $U'''_a \subset U'_a$  and  $\lambda(U''^2_a \times [0, 1]) \subset U'_a$  [D, Lemma 2.3]. By [BP, Ch. II, Theorem 4.1], there exists a metric  $d$  on  $X$  compatible with the topology of

$X$  and such that  $\{\{x \in X: d(x, a) \leq 1\}: a \in X\}$  refines  $\{U_a'': a \in X\}$ . We define a metric  $d^*$  on  $X^3$  by

$$d^*((x, y, z), (x', y', z')) = \max\{d(x, x'), d(y, y'), d(z, z')\}.$$

Then  $d^*$  induces the product topology of  $X^3$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$V_0(n) = \{(x, y, z) \in X^3: d^*((x, y, z), \Delta X) \leq 1/n\}.$$

Observe that for each  $(x, y, z) \in V_0(1)$  and for each  $s, t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\lambda(\lambda(x, y, s), z, t)$  is well defined. Put

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \bigcup_{a \in X} X \times \{a\} \times \{a\}, \\ X_2 &= \bigcup_{a \in X} \{a\} \times X \times \{a\}, \\ X_3 &= \bigcup_{a \in X} \{a\} \times \{a\} \times X, \end{aligned}$$

and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , define

$$V_i(n) = \{(x, y, z) \in X^3: d^*((x, y, z), X_i) \leq 1/4n\}.$$

Then we have a neighborhood

$$V = V_0(1) \cup V_1(1) \cup V_2(1) \cup V_3(1)$$

of  $\Delta^*X = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3$ . For  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , put

$$Y_i = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (V_i(n) \setminus \text{int } V_0(n)).$$

Since  $V_i(n) \setminus \text{int } V_0(n-1) \subset V_i(n-1) \setminus \text{int } V_0(n-1)$  for each  $n > 1$ , it follows that

$$Y_i = \bigcup_{n > 1} (V_i(n) \cap (V_0(n-1) \setminus \text{int } V_0(n))) \cup (V_i(1) \setminus \text{int } V_0(1)),$$

that is,  $Y_i$  is a union of closed sets which is locally finite in  $V \setminus \Delta X$ . Thus  $Y_i$  is a closed neighborhood of  $X_i \setminus \Delta X$  in  $V \setminus \Delta X$ . Moreover,  $Y_1, Y_2$  and  $Y_3$  are mutually disjoint. Indeed, if not, then we can assume without loss of generality that there exists a point

$$(x, y, z) \in (V_1(n) \setminus \text{int } V_0(n)) \cap (V_2(m) \setminus \text{int } V_0(m))$$

for some  $n \leq m$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d^*((x, y, z), (b, a, a)) &\leq 1/4n \\ d^*((x, y, z), (a', b', a')) &\leq 1/4m \leq 1/4n \end{aligned}$$

for some  $a, a', b, b' \in X$ . Since

$$d(x, a) \leq d(x, a') + d(a', z) + d(z, a) \leq 3/4n < 1/n,$$

we have  $d^*((x, y, z), (a, a, a)) < 1/n$ ; hence  $(x, y, z) \in \text{int } V_0(n)$ . This is a contradiction.

Let  $f, g: V \setminus \Delta X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be Urysohn functions such that

$$f(Y_2 \cup Y_3) = 0, \quad f(Y_1) = 1; \quad g(Y_3) = 0, \quad g(Y_1 \cup Y_2) = 1.$$

We define  $\mu: V \rightarrow X$  by

$$\mu(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} \lambda(\lambda(x, y, f(x, y, z)), z, g(x, y, z)) & \text{if } (x, y, z) \in V_0(1) \setminus \Delta X, \\ z & \text{if } (x, y, z) \in Y_1 \cup Y_2, \\ x & \text{if } (x, y, z) \in Y_3 \cup \Delta X. \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $\mu$  is well defined and continuous at each point of  $V \setminus \Delta X$ . We will show the condition (#), which implies  $\mu$  is continuous at each point of  $\Delta X$  and  $\mu$  is a local mixer for  $X$ .

Let  $a \in X$  and  $W$  be a neighborhood of  $a$ . By [D, Lemma 2.3] there exists a neighborhood  $W''$  of  $a$  such that  $W'' \subset \{x \in X: d(x, a) \leq 1\}$  and

$$\lambda(\lambda(W''^2 \times [0, 1]) \times W'' \times [0, 1]) \subset W.$$

Note that  $W''^3 \subset V$  and  $\mu(W''^3) \subset W$ . Choose  $n > 1$  so that

$$\{x \in X: d(x, a) \leq 1/n + 1/4n\} \subset W''$$

and put

$$W' = \{x \in X: d(x, a) \leq 1/4n\}.$$

Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E(W') &= (X \times W' \times W') \cup (W' \times X \times W') \cup (W' \times W' \times X) \\ &\subset V_1(n) \cup V_2(n) \cup V_3(n) \subset V. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $E(W') \cap V_0(n) \subset W''^3$ . It follows that  $\mu(E(W') \setminus V_0(n)) \subset W$ . Since  $(E(W') \setminus V_0(n)) \cap V_i(n) \subset Y_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , it is easily seen that  $\mu(E(W') \setminus V_0(n)) \subset W$ . Therefore  $\mu(E(W')) \subset W$ .

In the case  $U = X$ , using a metric  $d$  on  $X$  such that the diameter of  $X$  with respect to  $d$  is less than 1, we have a mixer  $\mu$  for  $X$  because  $V = X$ .  $\square$

Next, improving the technique of van Mill and van de Vel [MV<sub>2</sub>, Theorem 3.1], we will prove the following without separability:

**THEOREM II.** *Let  $X$  be a semi-locally contractible space. If  $X$  has a local mixer, then  $X$  is LEC.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  be a local mixer for  $X$ . Using the semi-local contractibility of  $X$  and the A. H. Stone Theorem (e.g., see [BP, Ch. II Theorem 2.1]),  $X$  has a locally finite  $\sigma$ -discrete cover  $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_n$  by open sets contractible within  $X$ , where each  $\mathcal{U}_n$  is discrete in  $X$ . For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , let  $F_U: U \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  be a contraction of  $U$  onto some  $x_U \in X$ , that is,

$$F_U(x, 0) = x \quad \text{and} \quad F_U(x, 1) = x_U \quad \text{for all } x \in U.$$

Take a closed cover  $\{A(U): U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  and an open cover  $\{B(U): U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  of  $X$  so that

$$A(U) \subset B(U) \subset \text{cl } B(U) \subset U$$

for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , let  $f_U: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a Urysohn function such that

$$f_U(\text{cl } B(U)) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f_U(X \setminus U) = 0.$$

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define a homotopy  $F^n: X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  by

$$F^n(x, t) = \begin{cases} F_U(x, f_U(x) \cdot t) & \text{if } x \in U \in \mathcal{U}_n, \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is locally finite and each  $\mathcal{U}_n$  is discrete, there exists an open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $X$  each element of which meets at most finitely many elements of  $\mathcal{U}$  and at most one element of  $\mathcal{U}_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ , let  $k(V)$  be the number of  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $V \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . And for each  $0 \leq i \leq k(V)$ , let  $\mathcal{W}(V, i)$  be an open cover of  $V$  such that

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{W}(V, k(V)) \leq_\mu \mathcal{W}(V, k(V) - 1) \leq_\mu \cdots \\ \leq_\mu \mathcal{W}(V, 1) \leq_\mu \mathcal{W}(V, 0) = \{V\};$$

$$(2) \quad \text{if } W \in \mathcal{W}(V, i), U \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and } W \cap A(U) \neq \emptyset, \text{ then } W \subset B(U),$$

where  $\mathcal{W}' \leq_\mu \mathcal{W}$  means that for each  $W' \in \mathcal{W}'$  there is some  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  such that

$$E(W') = (X \times W' \times W') \cup (W' \times X \times W') \\ \cup (W' \times W' \times X) \subset \mu^{-1}(W),$$

where this relation is denoted by  $W' \subset_\mu W$ . Now we have an open neighborhood,

$$W^* = \bigcup \{W^2: W \in \mathcal{W}(V, k(V)) \text{ for some } V \in \mathcal{V}\},$$

of  $\Delta X$  in  $X^2$ .

We will construct two maps

$$G, H: W^* \times [1, \infty) \rightarrow X$$

as follows:

$$G(x, y, 1) = x; \quad H(x, y, 1) = y,$$

and for  $t \in [n, n + 1]$ , inductively,

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, y, t) &= \mu(G(x, y, n), H(x, y, n), F^n(G(x, y, n), t - n)); \\ H(x, y, t) &= \mu(G(x, y, n), H(x, y, n), F^n(H(x, y, n), t - n)). \end{aligned}$$

We will show that  $G$  and  $H$  are well defined and for each  $W \in \mathcal{W}(V, k(V))$ ,  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ , there is some  $n(W) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{if } x, y \in W \text{ and } t \geq n(W), \text{ then} \\ &G(x, y, t) = G(x, y, n(W)) = H(x, y, n(W)) = H(x, y, t). \end{aligned}$$

To this end, let  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $W \in \mathcal{W}(V, k(V))$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} \{U \in \mathcal{U}: V \cap U \neq \emptyset\} &= \{U_i: i = 1, 2, \dots, k(V)\}, \\ U_i &\in \mathcal{U}_{n(i)} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k(V), \\ n(1) &< n(2) < \dots < n(k(V)). \end{aligned}$$

By (1), take  $W_i \in \mathcal{W}(V, i)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k(V)$ , so that

$$(4) \quad W = W_{k(V)} \subset_{\mu} W_{k(V)-1} \subset_{\mu} \dots \subset_{\mu} W_1 \subset_{\mu} W_0 = V.$$

Since  $W_{k(V)} \cap U = \emptyset$  for any  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ ,  $n < n(1)$ , it follows that  $G$  and  $H$  are well defined on  $W^2 \times [1, n(1)]$  and

$$G(x, y, t) = x, \quad H(x, y, t) = y \quad \text{for each } (x, y, t) \in W^2 \times [1, n(1)].$$

Suppose  $G$  and  $H$  are well defined on  $W^2 \times [1, n(i)]$  and

$$(5) \quad G(W^2 \times [1, n(i)]) \cup H(W^2 \times [1, n(i)]) \subset W_{k(V)-i+1}.$$

From the definition and (4), it follows that  $G$  and  $H$  are well defined on  $W^2 \times [n(i), n(i) + 1]$  and

$$G(W^2 \times [n(i), n(i) + 1]) \cup H(W^2 \times [n(i), n(i) + 1]) \subset W_{k(V)-i}.$$

Since  $W_{k(V)-i} \cap U = \emptyset$  for any  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ ,  $n(i) < n < n(i + 1)$ , it follows that  $G$  and  $H$  are well defined on  $W^2 \times [n(i) + 1, n(i + 1)]$  and

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, y, t) &= G(x, y, n(i) + 1), \quad H(x, y, t) = H(x, y, n(i) + 1) \\ &\text{for each } (x, y, t) \in W^2 \times [n(i) + 1, n(i + 1)], \end{aligned}$$

where we consider  $n(k(V) + 1) = \infty$  and  $[1, \infty] = [1, \infty)$ . Thus, by induction, we conclude that  $G$  and  $H$  are well defined on  $W^2 \times [1, \infty)$  and

satisfy condition (5) for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k(V)$ . Next, we will find  $n(W) \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\{A(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  covers  $X$ , there is a  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $W \cap A(U) \neq \emptyset$ , however  $U = U_{i_0}$  for some  $i_0 = 1, 2, \dots, k(V)$  because  $V \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Thus we have some  $i_0 = 1, 2, \dots, k(V)$  such that

$$W_{k(V)-i_0+1} \cap A(U_{i_0}) \neq \emptyset.$$

From (2) and (5), it follows that

$$G(W^2 \times \{n(i_0)\}) \cup H(W^2 \times \{n(i_0)\}) \subset B(U_{i_0}) \subset U_{i_0} \in \mathcal{U}_{n(i_0)}.$$

Recall that  $f_{U_{i_0}}(\text{cl } B(U_{i_0})) = 1$ . This implies

$$F^{n(i_0)}(B(U_{i_0}) \times \{1\}) = F_{U_{i_0}}(B(U_{i_0}) \times \{1\}) = x_{U_{i_0}}.$$

Hence from the definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, y, n(i_0) + 1) &= H(x, y, n(i_0) + 1) \\ &= \mu(G(x, y, n(i_0)), H(x, y, n(i_0)), x_{U_{i_0}}) \end{aligned}$$

for each  $x, y \in W$ . Put  $n(W) = n(i_0) + 1$ . Then (3) follows from the definition and the property of a (local) mixer.

Now we define  $G', H' : W^* \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  by

$$\begin{aligned} G'(x, y, t) &= \begin{cases} G(x, y, 1/t) & \text{if } t \neq 0, \\ G(x, y, n(W)) & \text{if } t = 0 \text{ and } x, y \in W; \end{cases} \\ H'(x, y, t) &= \begin{cases} H(x, y, 1/t) & \text{if } t \neq 0, \\ H(x, y, n(W)) & \text{if } t = 0 \text{ and } x, y \in W. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

From (3) these are obviously continuous and

$$G' \mid W^* \times \{0\} = H' \mid W^* \times \{0\}.$$

Thus we have an equi-connecting function  $\lambda : W^* \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$\lambda(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} G'(x, y, 1 - 2t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ H'(x, y, 2t - 1) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

In the following, we will consider after J. Dugundji [D] a condition that a space with a local mixer is an ANR. Let  $\mu$  be a (local) mixer for a space  $X$ . For  $A \subset X$  define  $A^{(\mu, 1)} = \mu(A^3)$  when  $A^3 \subset \text{dom } \mu$ , and inductively, define  $A^{(\mu, n+1)} = \mu((A^{(\mu, n)})^3)$  when  $(A^{(\mu, n)})^3 \subset \text{dom } \mu$ . We define  $A^{(\mu, \infty)} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A^{(\mu, n)}$  if each  $A^{(\mu, n)}$  is well defined. For  $A \subset B (\subset X)$ , we say that  $A$  is  $\mu$ -stable in  $B$  provided  $A^{(\mu, \infty)}$  is well defined and  $A^{(\mu, \infty)} \subset B$ .

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $X$  be semi-locally contractible. If  $X$  has a local mixer  $\mu$  with the property:*

- (\*\*) *for each  $x \in X$  and each neighborhood  $W$  of  $x$ , there is neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  which is  $\mu$ -stable in  $W$ ,*

*then  $X$  is an ANR.*

*Proof.* From Theorem II,  $X$  is LEC. By [D, Theorem 3.2], we may show that each open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  has an open refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  such that every partial realization  $f: K^0 \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  of the 0-skeleton of any polytope  $K$  extends to a full realization of  $K$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ . This follows from (\*\*) and the following lemma:

**LEMMA.** *Let  $X$  be semi-locally path-connected and have a local mixer  $\mu$ . Assume that an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  has an open refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  such that each  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  is  $\mu$ -stable in some  $W \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then every partial realization  $f: K^0 \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  of the 0-skeleton of any polytope  $K$  extends to a full realization of  $K$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ .*

*Proof.* We define an extension of  $f$  over  $K$  by induction on the skeletons of  $K$ . Assume  $f$  has been extended to a map  $f_n: K^n \rightarrow X$  so that

$$f_n(\sigma) \subset \bigcap \{V^{(\mu,n)}: f(\sigma \cap K^0) \subset V \in \mathcal{V}\}$$

for each closed simplex  $\sigma$  of  $K^n$ , where  $K^n$  denotes the  $n$ -skeleton of  $K$ . We denote the closed unit  $(n+1)$ -ball and unit  $n$ -sphere in  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  by  $\mathbf{B}^{n+1}$  and  $\mathbf{S}^n$ , respectively. Let  $\tau$  be any  $(n+1)$ -simplex and  $h_\tau: \mathbf{B}^{n+1} \rightarrow \tau$  a fixed homeomorphism. Note that

$$f_n h_\tau(\mathbf{S}^n) = f_n(\partial\tau) \subset \bigcap \{V^{(\mu,n)}: f(\tau \cap K^0) \subset V \in \mathcal{V}\}.$$

Using the technique of [MV<sub>1</sub>, Theorem 1.3], we have an extension  $g_\tau: \mathbf{B}^{n+1} \rightarrow X$  of  $f_n h_\tau|_{\mathbf{S}^n}$  such that

$$g_\tau(\mathbf{B}^{n+1}) \subset \bigcap \{V^{(\mu,n+1)}: f(\tau \cap K^0) \subset V \in \mathcal{V}\}.$$

Define a map  $f_{n+1}: K^{n+1} \rightarrow X$  by

$$f_{n+1}|_\tau = g_\tau h_\tau^{-1}: \tau \rightarrow X$$

on each  $(n+1)$ -simplex  $\tau$  of  $K$ . Then  $f_{n+1}$  is an extension of  $f_n$  such that

$$f_{n+1}(\tau) \subset \bigcap \{V^{(\mu,n+1)}: f(\tau \cap K^0) \subset V \in \mathcal{V}\}$$



for each closed simplex  $\tau$  of  $K^{n+1}$ . Thus we have an extension  $\tilde{f}: K \rightarrow X$  defined by  $\tilde{f}|K^n = f_n$  on each  $K^n$ . This extension  $\tilde{f}$  is obviously a full realization of  $K$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ .  $\square$

REMARK. Let  $\mu: U \rightarrow X$  be a map of a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\Delta X$  in  $X^3$  to  $X$ . We will call  $\mu$  a *local weak mixer* provided  $\mu$  satisfies the following condition:

$$(w) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu(x, x, y) &= \mu(x, y, x) = \mu(y, x, x) = x \\ &\text{if } (x, x, y), (x, y, x), (y, x, x) \in U. \end{aligned}$$

When  $U = X^3$ , we call  $\mu$  a *weak mixer*. The properties of a local mixer used in the proof of Theorem II are (w) and:

$$(\#)' \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{for each } x \in X \text{ and for each neighborhood } V \text{ of } x \text{ in } X, \text{ there} \\ &\text{exists a neighborhood } W \text{ of } x \text{ such that } W \times W \times X \subset \mu^{-1}(V), \end{aligned}$$

and then  $\text{dom } \mu$  is a neighborhood of  $\Delta X \times X$  in  $X^3$  rather than of  $\Delta^* X$ . And moreover, if we assume  $X$  is locally contractible then it suffices that  $\text{dom } \mu$  is a neighborhood of  $\Delta X$  in  $X^3$  and  $(\#)'$  can be replaced by:

$$(\#)'' \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{each } x \in X \text{ has a neighborhood } W_x \text{ in } X \text{ such that for any} \\ &\text{neighborhood } V \text{ of } x \text{ there is some neighborhood } W \text{ of } x \\ &\text{with } W \times W \times W_x \subset \mu^{-1}(V). \end{aligned}$$

If  $X$  is locally compact, then a (local) weak mixer satisfies  $(\#)''$ . Thus we have

THEOREM. *A locally compact metrizable space is LEC if and only if it is locally contractible and has a local weak mixer.*

From this theorem, it follows that:

COROLLARY. *Let  $X$  be locally compact and locally contractible. If  $X$  has a local weak mixer then it has a local mixer. And, moreover, if  $X$  is contractible then it has a mixer.*

Supplement: In  $[MV_2]$  it is a question whether every Banach space has a “natural” mixer. In Euclidean space let  $\mu(x, y, z)$  be the inner center of the triangle with vertices  $x, y$  and  $z$ . Then  $\mu$  is clearly the mixer. T.

Yagasaki gave a “natural” mixer for each *convex set*  $X$  in a *normed space* as follows:

$$\mu(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\|x - y\| + \|y - z\| + \|z - x\|} \\ \cdot \{ \|y - z\| \cdot x + \|z - x\| \cdot y + \|x - y\| \cdot z \} & \text{if } (x, y, z) \notin \Delta X, \\ x \text{ } (= y = z) & \text{if } (x, y, z) \in \Delta X, \end{cases}$$

where  $\| \cdot \|$  denotes the norm. In fact, if  $(x, y, z) \notin \Delta X$  and  $\|x - a\|, \|y - a\| < \varepsilon$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mu(x, y, z) - a\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\|x - y\| + \|y - z\| + \|z - x\|} \\ & \quad \times \{ \|y - z\| \cdot \|x - a\| + \|z - x\| \cdot \|y - a\| + \|x - y\| \cdot \|z - a\| \} \\ & < \frac{1}{\|y - z\| + \|z - x\|} \{ \|y - z\| \cdot \varepsilon + \|z - x\| \cdot \varepsilon + \|x - y\| \cdot \|z - a\| \} \\ & = \varepsilon + \frac{\|x - y\|}{\|y - z\| + \|z - x\|} \|z - a\|. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\|z - a\| < 2\varepsilon$ , then

$$\frac{\|x - y\|}{\|y - z\| + \|z - x\|} \|z - a\| \leq \|z - a\| < 2\varepsilon.$$

If  $\|z - a\| \geq 2\varepsilon$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|x - y\|}{\|y - z\| + \|z - x\|} \|z - a\| & < \frac{\varepsilon}{\|z - a\| - \varepsilon} \|z - a\| \\ & = \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon/\|z - a\|} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - 1/2} = 2\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - y\| & \leq \|x - a\| + \|y - a\| < 2\varepsilon, \\ \|z - x\| & \geq \|z - a\| - \|x - a\| > \|z - a\| - \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly,  $\|y - z\| < \|z - a\| - \varepsilon$ . Therefore  $\|\mu(x, y, z) - a\| < 3\varepsilon$ . Since  $\mu$  is symmetric, this implies  $\mu$  is continuous at each point of  $\Delta X$  (hence at any point of  $X^3$ ) and  $\mu$  satisfies (#) (equivalently, (\*)). Therefore  $\mu$  is a mixer for  $X$ .

The author would like to thank T. Yagasaki for his definition of natural mixer.

## REFERENCES

- [BP] C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, *Selected Topics in Infinite-Dimensional Topology*, PWN MM 58, Warszawa 1975.
- [C] D. W. Curtis, *Some theorems and examples on local equiconnectedness and its specializations*, Fund. Math., **72** (1971), 101–113.
- [D] J. Dugundji, *Locally equiconnected space and absolute neighborhood retracts*, Fund. Math., **62** (1965), 187–193.
- [F] R. Fox, *On fibre spaces*, II, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **49** (1943), 733–735.
- [H] C. J. Himmelberg, *Some theorems on equiconnected and locally equiconnected spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **115** (1965), 43–53.
- [MV<sub>1</sub>] J. van Mill and M. van de Vel, *On an internal property of absolute retracts*, Topology Proceedings, **4** (1979), 193–200.
- [MV<sub>2</sub>] ———, *On an internal property of absolute retracts*, II, Topology and its Appl., **13** (1982), 59–68.
- [S] J.-P. Seere, *Homologie singulière des espaces fibrés*, Ann. of Math., **54** (1951), 425–505.

Received February 17, 1982 and in revised form October 4, 1982.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA  
SAKURA-MURA, IBARAKI 305  
JAPAN

