

# UNIQUENESS OF STRONG SOLUTIONS TO STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN THE PLANE WITH DETERMINISTIC BOUNDARY PROCESS

J. YEH

**Under the assumption of the existence of a weak solution and the pathwise uniqueness of solutions, existence and uniqueness of a strong solution to the stochastic differential system of non Markovian type in the plane**

$$dX_z = \alpha(z, X) dB_z + \beta(z, X) dz \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbf{R}_+^2,$$

$$\partial X = x$$

**is obtained where  $x$  is a continuous real valued function on  $\partial\mathbf{R}_+^2$ .**

**1. Introduction.** Consider a stochastic differential equation of non-Markovian type in the plane

$$(1.0) \quad dX_z = \alpha(z, X) dB_z + \beta(z, X) dz$$

i.e.,

$$(1.1) \quad X_{s,t} - X_{0,t} - X_{s,0} + X_{0,0} = \int_{R_z} \alpha(\zeta, X) dB_\zeta + \int_{R_z} \beta(\zeta, X) d\zeta$$

for  $z = (s, t) \in \mathbf{R}_+^2$  and  $R_z = [0, s] \times [0, t]$  where  $B$  is an  $\{\mathfrak{F}_z\}$ -Brownian motion on an equipped probability space  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P; \mathfrak{F}_z)$  with  $\partial B = 0$ ,  $\partial B$  being the restriction of  $B$  to the boundary  $\partial\mathbf{R}_+^2$  of  $\mathbf{R}_+^2$ , and consider the boundary condition

$$(1.2) \quad \partial X = x$$

where  $x$  is a fixed element in the space  $\partial W$  of all continuous real valued functions on  $\partial\mathbf{R}_+^2$ . Let  $W$  be the space of all continuous real valued functions on  $\mathbf{R}_+^2$ . The coefficients  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are real valued functions on  $\mathbf{R}_+^2 \times W$  satisfying certain measurability conditions that imply that for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $\alpha(z, X(\cdot, \omega))$  and  $\beta(z, X(\cdot, \omega))$  depend only on that part of the sample function  $X(\cdot, \omega)$  which precedes  $z$  in the sense of the partial ordering of  $\mathbf{R}_+^2$ . We refer to [8] or [10] for these measurability conditions.

In this article, by an equipped probability space we mean a complete probability measure space  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$  with an increasing and right continuous family  $\{\mathfrak{F}_z, z \in \mathbf{R}_+^2\}$  of sub- $\sigma$ -fields of  $\mathfrak{F}$ , each containing all the null

sets in  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ . We do not assume the conditional independence of  $\mathfrak{F}_z^1 = \sigma(\bigcup_{\nu \in \mathbf{R}_+} \mathfrak{F}_{s,\nu})$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_z^2 = \sigma(\bigcup_{u \in \mathbf{R}_+} \mathfrak{F}_{u,t})$  relative to  $\mathfrak{F}_z$  for  $z = (s, t) \in \mathbf{R}_+^2$  since this condition is not needed for the existence of our stochastic integrals with respect to an  $\{\mathfrak{F}_z\}$ -Brownian motion.

**DEFINITION 1.** By a solution of the stochastic differential equation (1.1) we mean a pair of 2-parameter stochastic processes  $(X, B)$  on an equipped probability space  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P; \mathfrak{F}_z)$  such that  $B$  is an  $\{\mathfrak{F}_z\}$ -Brownian motion with  $\partial B = 0$ ,  $X$  is an  $\{\mathfrak{F}_z\}$ -adapted process whose sample functions are all continuous on  $\mathbf{R}_+^2$  and the stochastic integrals in (1.1) exist and satisfy (1.1) with probability 1.

**DEFINITION 2.** We say that the stochastic differential equation (1.1) satisfies the pathwise uniqueness condition if whenever  $(X, B)$  and  $(X', B)$  with the same  $B$  are two solutions of (1.1) on the same equipped probability space and  $\partial X = \partial X'$  then  $X = X'$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{B}(W)$  be the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the cylinder sets in  $W$ . With respect to the metric of uniform convergence on the compact subsets of  $\mathbf{R}_+^2$ ,  $W$  is a complete separable metric space and the  $\sigma$ -field of the Borel sets in  $W$  is equal to  $\mathfrak{B}(W)$ . Let  $m_W$  be the Wiener measure on  $(W, \mathfrak{B}(W))$  concentrated on those elements of  $W$  which vanish on  $\partial \mathbf{R}_+^2$ . For  $z \in \mathbf{R}_+^2$ , let  $\mathfrak{B}_z(W)$  be the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the cylinder sets  $\{w \in W; w(\zeta) \in E\}$  where  $E \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R})$  and  $\zeta \leq z$ . We write  $\mathfrak{B}_z(W)^*$  for the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $\mathfrak{B}_z(W)$  and the subsets of the null sets in  $(W, \mathfrak{B}(W), m_W)$ .

**DEFINITION 3.** A solution  $(X, B)$  of (1.1) on an equipped probability space  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P; \mathfrak{F}_z)$  is called a strong solution of the boundary value problem (1.1) and (1.2) if there exists a transformation  $F$  of  $W$  into  $W$  such that

- 1° for every  $z \in \mathbf{R}_+^2$ ,  $F$  is  $\mathfrak{B}_z(W)^*/\mathfrak{B}_z(W)$  measurable,
- 2°  $X(\cdot, \omega) = F[B(\cdot, \omega)]$  for a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

In [8] we showed that if the coefficients  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in (1.1) satisfy a certain Lipschitz condition then (1.1) satisfies the pathwise uniqueness condition. There we also showed that under the Lipschitz condition and an order of growth condition on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  a strong solution exists for (1.1) with a nondeterministic boundary condition. In the present paper we study the independence of the transformation  $F$  in Definition 3 from the equipped probability space  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P; \mathfrak{F}_z)$ . The main result is the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $x \in \partial W$  be fixed. Suppose the stochastic differential system (1.1) and (1.2) has a solution on some equipped probability space and (1.1) satisfies the pathwise uniqueness condition. Then there exists a transformation  $F$  of  $W$  into  $W$ , unique up to a null set in  $(W, \mathfrak{B}(W), m_W)$ , such that*

- 1° *for every  $z \in \mathbf{R}_+^2$ ,  $F$  is  $\mathfrak{B}_z(W)^*/\mathfrak{B}_z(W)$  measurable,*
- 2° *if  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P; \mathfrak{F}_z)$  is an equipped probability space on which there exists an  $\{\mathfrak{F}_z\}$ -Brownian motion  $B$  with  $\partial B = 0$ , then  $X \equiv F[B]$  is a solution of the stochastic differential system (1.1) and (1.2) on the equipped probability space  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P; \mathfrak{F}_z)$ .*
- 3° *any solution  $(X, B)$  of the differential system (1.1) and (1.2) satisfies  $X = F[B]$ .*

The proof of this theorem is given in §3. In constructing a unique strong solution we adopt Ikeda and Watanabe's approach in [7].

**2. Some lemmas for the construction of a unique strong solution.** In what follows we write  $W_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1$  and 2 for copies of  $W$ . Let  $(X, B)$  be a solution to the stochastic differential system (1.1) and (1.2) on an equipped probability space  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P; \mathfrak{F}_z)$  and let  $Q$  be the probability distribution of  $(X, B)$  on the measurable space  $(W_1 \times W_0, \mathfrak{B}(W_1 \times W_0))$  where  $\mathfrak{B}(W_1 \times W_0)$  is the  $\sigma$ -field of the Borel sets in  $W_1 \times W_0$  in its product topology.

Let  $\pi$  be the projection of  $W_1 \times W_0$  onto  $W_0$ . The probability distribution on  $(W_0, \mathfrak{B}(W_0))$  of the transformation  $\pi$  defined on the probability space  $(W_1 \times W_0, \mathfrak{B}(W_1 \times W_0), Q)$  is then the Wiener measure  $m_W$ .

Let  $Q^{(\cdot)}$  with  $Q^{w_0}(A_1)$  for  $(A_1, w_0) \in \mathfrak{B}(W_1) \times W_0$  be a regular conditional probability of  $Q$  under  $\pi$ , i.e.,

(C.1) for every  $w_0 \in W_0$ ,  $Q^{w_0}$  is a probability measure on  $(W_1, \mathfrak{B}(W_1))$ .

(C.2) for every  $A_1 \in \mathfrak{B}(W_1)$ ,  $Q^{(\cdot)}(A_1)$  is  $\mathfrak{B}(W_0)$  measurable,

(C.3) for every  $A_1 \in \mathfrak{B}(W_1)$  and  $A_0 \in \mathfrak{B}(W_0)$

$$Q(A_1 \times A_0) = \int_{A_0} Q^{w_0}(A_1) m_W(dw_0).$$

From these defining properties of the regular conditional probability follows that if  $\mathfrak{C}_1 = \{W_1, \phi\}$  and  $A_1 \in \mathfrak{B}(W_1)$  then

$$(2.0) \quad Q(A_1 \times W_0 | \mathfrak{C}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{B}(W_0))(w_1, w_0) = Q^{w_0}(A_1)$$

for all  $w_1 \in W_1$  for a.e.  $w_0$  in  $(W_0, \mathfrak{B}(W_0), m_W)$ .

The existence of a regular conditional probability  $Q^{(\cdot)}$  is ensured by the fact that both the domain  $W_1 \times W_0$  and the image space  $W_0$  of the transformation  $\pi$  are complete separable metric spaces (see Parthasarathy [4]). The following lemma is an extension of Neveu's proof in [3] for a lemma by Yamada and Watanabe [7].

LEMMA 1. For  $z = (s, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ , let

$$\mathfrak{B}_z^0(W) = \mathfrak{B}_z(W),$$

$$\mathfrak{B}_z^1(W) = \sigma\{w(u, v), u \in [0, s], v \in [0, \infty), w \in W\},$$

$$\mathfrak{B}_z^2(W) = \sigma\{w(u, v), u \in [0, \infty), v \in [0, t], w \in W\},$$

$$\mathfrak{B}_z^3(W) = \sigma\{w(u, v), u \in [0, s] \text{ or } v \in [0, t], w \in W\}.$$

Then for every  $A_1 \in \mathfrak{B}_z^j(W_1)$ ,  $Q^{(\cdot)}(A_1)$  is  $\mathfrak{B}_z^j(W_0)^*$  measurable for  $j = 0, 1, 2$  or  $3$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$\mathfrak{B}_z^4(W) = \sigma\{w(u, v) - w(0, v) - w(u, t) + w(0, t),$$

$$u < s, t < v, w \in W\},$$

$$\mathfrak{B}_z^5(W) = \sigma\{w(u, v) - w(s, v) - w(u, 0) + w(s, 0),$$

$$s < u, v < t, w \in W\},$$

$$\mathfrak{B}_z^6(W) = \sigma\{w(u, v) - w(s, v) - w(u, t) + w(s, t),$$

$$s < u, < v, w \in W\}.$$

Consider the case where  $A_1 \in \mathfrak{B}_z^3(W_1)$ . Let us show that  $\mathfrak{B}_z^3(W_1) \otimes \mathfrak{B}_z^3(W_0)$  and  $\mathfrak{C}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{B}_z^6(W_0)$  are independent with respect to  $Q$ . Now for a transformation  $\psi$  of  $\Omega$  into  $W_1 \times W_0$  defined by

$$\psi(\omega) = (X(\cdot, \omega), B(\cdot, \omega)) \in W_1 \times W_0 \quad \text{for } \omega \in \Omega,$$

we have

$$\psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{B}_z^3(W_1) \otimes \mathfrak{B}_z^3(W_0)) \subset \mathfrak{F}_z^1 \vee \mathfrak{F}_z^2$$

and, denoting  $z = (s, t)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{B}_z^6(W_0)) \\ &= \sigma\{B(u, v) - B(s, v) - B(u, t) + B(s, t), s \leq u, t \leq v\}. \end{aligned}$$

The two  $\sigma$ -fields on the right sides of the last two expressions are independent with respect to  $P$  since  $B$  is an  $\{\mathfrak{B}_z\}$ -Brownian motion on  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P; \mathfrak{F}_z)$ . This then implies the independence of  $\mathfrak{B}_z^3(W_1) \otimes \mathfrak{B}_z^3(W_0)$  and  $\mathfrak{C}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{B}_z^6(W_0)$  with respect to  $Q$ .

According to a well known theorem in probability theory, if  $\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_3$  are sub- $\sigma$ -fields of  $\mathfrak{A}$  in a probability space  $(S, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$  such that  $\mathfrak{A}_1 \vee \mathfrak{A}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_3$  are independent, then

$$\mu(A_1 | \mathfrak{A}_2) = \mu(A_1 | \mathfrak{A}_2 \vee \mathfrak{A}_3) \quad \text{for any } A_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1.$$

With  $\mathfrak{A}_1 = \mathfrak{B}_z^3(W_1) \otimes \mathfrak{B}_z^3(W_0)$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}_2 = \mathfrak{C}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{B}_z^3(W_0)$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_3 = \mathfrak{C}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{B}_z^6(W_0)$  and noting  $\mathfrak{B}_z^3(W_0) \vee \mathfrak{B}_z^6(W_0) = \mathfrak{B}(W_0)$ , we have for our  $A_1 \in \mathfrak{B}_z^3(W_1)$

$$\begin{aligned} Q(A_1 \times W_0 | \mathfrak{C}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{B}_z^3(W_0))(w_1, w_0) \\ = Q(A_1 \times W_0 | \mathfrak{C}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{B}(W_0))(w_1, w_0) \\ \text{for a.e. } (w_1, w_0) \text{ in } (W_1 \times W_0, \mathfrak{C}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{B}_z^3(W_0), Q) \\ \text{i.e., for all } w_1 \in W \text{ for a.e. } w_0 \text{ in } (W_0, \mathfrak{B}_z^3(W_0), m_w). \end{aligned}$$

From this and from (2.0), we have the  $\mathfrak{B}_z^3(W_0)^*$ -measurability of  $Q^{(\cdot)}(A_1)$ .

Next consider the case where  $A_1 \in \mathfrak{B}_z^0(W_1)$ . For  $\psi$  as defined above we have

$$\psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{B}_z^0(W_1) \otimes \mathfrak{B}_z^0(W_0)) \subset \mathfrak{F}_z$$

and, denoting  $z = (s, t)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}_1 \otimes (\mathfrak{B}_z^4(W_0) \vee \mathfrak{B}_z^5(W_0) \vee \mathfrak{B}_z^6(W_0))) \\ = \sigma\{B(u', v') - B(u, v') - B(u', v) + B(u, v) \text{ where } s < u \\ \text{or } t < v \text{ and } u < u' \text{ and } v < v'\}. \end{aligned}$$

The two  $\sigma$ -fields on the right sides of the last two expressions are independent with respect to  $P$  since  $B$  is an  $\{\mathfrak{F}_z\}$ -Brownian motion on  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P; \mathfrak{F}_z)$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{B}_z^0(W_1) \times \mathfrak{B}_z^0(W_0)$  and

$$\mathfrak{C}_1 \otimes (\mathfrak{B}_z^4(W_0) \vee \mathfrak{B}_z^5(W_0) \vee \mathfrak{B}_z^6(W_0))$$

are independent with respect to  $Q$ . With

$$\mathfrak{A}_1 = \mathfrak{B}_z^0(W_1) \otimes \mathfrak{B}_z^0(W_0), \mathfrak{A}_2 = \mathfrak{C}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{B}_z^0(W_0)$$

and  $\mathfrak{A}_3 = \mathfrak{C}_1 \otimes (\mathfrak{B}_z^4(W_0) \vee \mathfrak{B}_z^5(W_0) \vee \mathfrak{B}_z^6(W_0))$  and noting

$$\mathfrak{B}_z^0(W_0) \vee \mathfrak{B}_z^4(W_0) \vee \mathfrak{B}_z^5(W_0) \vee \mathfrak{B}_z^6(W_0) = \mathfrak{B}(W_0)$$

we have for  $A_1 \in \mathfrak{B}_z^0(W_1)$

$$Q(A_1 \times W_0 | \mathfrak{C}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{B}_z^0(W_0)) = Q(A_1 \times W_0 | \mathfrak{C}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{B}(W_0)).$$

From this and from (2.0) follows the  $\mathfrak{B}_z^0(W_0)$ -\*-measurability of  $Q^{(\cdot)}(A_1)$ .

The case where  $A_1 \in \mathfrak{B}_z^j(W_1)$  where  $j = 1$  or  $2$  can be treated likewise.  $\square$

Let  $x \in \partial W$  be fixed. For  $i = 1$  and  $2$ , let  $(X_i, B_i)$  be a solution of the stochastic differential system (1.1) and (1.2) on an equipped probability space  $(\Omega_i, \mathfrak{F}_i, P_i; \mathfrak{F}_{i,z})$ . Let  $Q_i$  be the probability distribution of  $(X_i, B_i)$  on  $(W_i \times W_0, \mathfrak{B}(W_i \times W_0))$  and let  $Q_i^{w_0}(A_i), (A_i, w_0) \in \mathfrak{B}(W_i) \times W_0$ , be a regular conditional probability of  $Q_i$  under the projection  $\pi_i$  of  $W_i \times W_0$  onto  $W_0$ .

Let  $\Omega = W_1 \times W_2 \times W_0$ . On  $\mathfrak{B}(\Omega) = \mathfrak{B}(W_1 \times W_2 \times W_0)$  define a probability measure  $P$  by setting

$$(2.1) \quad P(A_1 \times A_2 \times A_0) = \int_{A_0} Q_1^{w_0}(A_1) Q_2^{w_0}(A_2) m_W(dw_0)$$

$$\text{for } A_i \in \mathfrak{B}(W_i), \quad i = 0, 1, 2.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be the completion of  $\mathfrak{B}(\Omega)$  with respect to  $P$  and let  $\mathfrak{N}$  be the collection of the null sets in  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ . Then let

$$\mathfrak{B}_z = \mathfrak{B}_z(W_1) \otimes \mathfrak{B}_z(W_2) \otimes \mathfrak{B}_z(W_0)$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \mathfrak{F}_z = \bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} \sigma(\mathfrak{B}_{s+\varepsilon, t+\varepsilon} \cup \mathfrak{N}) \quad \text{for } z = (s, t) \in \mathbf{R}_+^2.$$

We then have an equipped probability space  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P; \mathfrak{F}_z)$ .

LEMMA 2. *On the equipped probability space  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P; \mathfrak{F}_z)$  defined by (2.1) and (2.2), let a 2-parameter stochastic process  $B_0$  be defined by setting*

$$(2.3) \quad B_0(z, \omega) = w_0(z) \quad \text{for } \omega = (w_1, w_2, w_0) \in \Omega.$$

*Then  $B_0$  is an  $\{\mathfrak{F}_z\}$ -Brownian motion on  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P; \mathfrak{F}_z)$  with  $\partial B_0 = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $B_0$  is an  $\{\mathfrak{F}_z\}$ -adapted stochastic process with continuous sample functions and  $\partial B_0 = 0$ . Thus, to show that  $B_0$  is an  $\{\mathfrak{F}_z\}$ -Brownian motion it remains to show that for  $z < z'$

$$E\left[\exp\{iuB_0((z, z'))\} | \mathfrak{F}_z^1 \vee \mathfrak{F}_z^2\right] = \exp\left\{-\frac{u^2}{2} m_L((z, z'))\right\} \quad \text{for } u \in \mathbf{R},$$

where

$$B_0((z, z']) = B_0(s', t') - B_0(s, t') - B_0(s', t) + B_0(s, t)$$

for  $z = (s, t)$  and  $z' = (s', t')$  and  $m_L$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . For this, it is sufficient to show that for every  $A_i \in \mathfrak{B}_z^3(W_i)$ ,  $i = 0, 1$  and  $2$

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbf{E} \left[ \exp \{ iu B_0((z, z')) \} 1_{A_1 \times A_2 \times A_0} \right] \\ = \exp \left\{ -\frac{u^2}{2} m_L((z, z')) \right\} P(A_1 \times A_2 \times A_0).$$

Now by (2.1) and (2.3), the left side of (2.4) is equal to

$$\int_{W_0} \exp \{ iu w_0((z, z')) \} Q_1^{w_0}(A_1) Q_2^{w_0}(A_2) 1_{A_0}(w_0) m_W(dw_0).$$

Since  $Q_i^{w_0}(A_i)$  is a  $\mathfrak{B}_z^3(W_0)^*$ -measurable function of  $w_0 \in W_0$  for our  $A_i \in \mathfrak{B}_z^3(W_i)$  for  $i = 1$  and  $2$  by Lemma 1, we have the independence of  $w_0((z, z'))$  and  $Q_1^{w_0}(A_2) Q_2^{w_0}(A_2) 1_{A_0}(W_0)$  as random variables on  $(W_0, \mathfrak{B}(W_0)^*, m_W)$  where  $\mathfrak{B}(W_0)^*$  is the completion of  $\mathfrak{B}(W_0)$  with respect to  $m_W$ . The last integral is then equal to

$$\int_{W_0} \exp \{ iu w_0((z, z')) \} m_W(dw_0) \cdot \int_{A_0} Q_1^{w_0}(A_1) Q_2^{w_0}(A_2) m_W(dw_0) \\ = \exp \left\{ -\frac{u^2}{2} m_L((z, z')) \right\} P(A_1 \times A_2 \times A_0)$$

which is equal to the right side of (2.4). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be two probability measures on  $(S, \mathfrak{B}(S))$  where  $S$  is a complete separable metric space and  $\mathfrak{B}(S)$  is the  $\sigma$ -field of Borel sets in  $S$ . Let  $D$  be the diagonal in  $S \times S$ , i.e.,*

$$D = \{(s_1, s_2) \in S \times S; s_1 = s_2\}.$$

*If  $(\mu \times \nu)(D) = 1$ , then there exists a unique  $s_0 \in S$  such that  $\mu(\{s_0\}) = \nu(\{s_0\}) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\rho$  be the metric on  $S$ . Then  $\rho(s_1, s_2)$  for  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  is a continuous function on  $S \times S$  in its product topology and is thus  $\mathfrak{B}(S \times S)$  measurable. Then the diagonal  $D$  being the subset of  $S \times S$  on which  $\rho$  is equal to 0 is a member of  $\mathfrak{B}(S \times S)$ . Thus  $(\mu \times \nu)(D)$  is defined.

Suppose  $(\mu \times \nu)(D) = 1$ . If  $\mu \neq \nu$  on  $\mathfrak{B}(S)$  then there exists  $A \in \mathfrak{B}(S)$  such that  $\mu(A) \neq \nu(A)$ , say  $\mu(A) > \nu(A)$ . Then  $\nu(A^c) > 0$  so that

$$(\mu \times \nu)(A \times A^c) = \mu(A)\nu(A^c) > 0.$$

But  $(A \times A^c) \cap D = \emptyset$  and this implies  $(\mu \times \nu)(A \times A^c) = 0$ , contradicting the last inequality. Therefore  $\mu = \nu$  on  $\mathfrak{B}(S)$ .

If there exists  $A \in \mathfrak{B}(S)$  such that  $\mu(A) \in (0, 1)$  then  $\mu(A^c) \in (0, 1)$  also so that

$$(\mu \times \nu)(A \times A^c) = \mu(A)\mu(A^c) \in (0, 1).$$

But this contradicts the equality  $(\mu \times \nu)(A \times A^c) = 0$  which is implied by  $(A \times A^c) \cap D = \emptyset$ . Therefore no  $A \in \mathfrak{B}(S)$  can have  $\mu(A) \in (0, 1)$  and consequently  $\mu(A) = 0$  or  $1$  for every  $A \in \mathfrak{B}(S)$ .

Since a separable metric space is a Lindelöf space, for every positive integer  $n$  there exist countably many closed spheres in  $S$ , each with diameter  $n^{-1}$ , whose union is  $S$ . The  $\mu$ -measure of each of these spheres is either  $0$  or  $1$ . No two spheres with  $\mu$ -measure  $1$  can be disjoint for otherwise we would have  $\mu(S) \geq 2$ . Let  $K_n$  be the closed set which is the intersection of all those spheres with  $\mu$ -measure  $1$ . Then  $\mu(K_n) = 1$  and the diameter  $\delta(K_n) \leq 1/n$ . Consider the sequence of closed sets  $K_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . By the same reason as above  $K_n \cap K_m \neq \emptyset$  for  $n \neq m$ . If we let  $C_n = \bigcap_{m=1}^n K_m$  then we have a decreasing sequence of closed sets  $C_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  with  $\mu(C_n) = 1$  and  $\delta(C_n) \leq n^{-1}$  for every  $n$ . Since  $S$  is a complete metric space and  $\delta(C_n) \downarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  there exists  $s_0 \in S$  such that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n = \{s_0\}$ . Then  $\mu(\{s_0\}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(C_n) = 1$ . Since  $\mu(S) = 1$  such  $s_0 \in S$  is unique.  $\square$

**3. Proof of the Theorem.** With fixed  $x \in \partial W$  assume that the stochastic differential system (1.1) and (1.2) has a solution on some equipped probability space and assume that (1.1) satisfies the pathwise uniqueness condition.

For  $i = 1$  and  $2$  let  $(X_i, B_i)$  be a solution of (1.1) and (1.2) on an equipped probability space  $(\Omega_i, \mathfrak{F}_i, P_i; \mathfrak{F}_{i,z})$ . Let  $Q_i, Q_i^{w_0}(A_i)$  and  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P; \mathfrak{F}_z)$  be as in the construction in §2 following the proof of Lemma 1.

Let  $B_0$  be the  $\{\mathfrak{B}_z\}$ -Brownian motion on the equipped probability space  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P; \mathfrak{F}_z)$  defined by (2.3). Introduce two 2-parameter stochastic processes  $Y_i$  for  $i = 1$  and  $2$  on  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P; \mathfrak{F}_z)$  by setting

$$(3.1) \quad Y_i(z, \omega) = w_i(z) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbf{R}_+^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega = (w_1, w_2, w_0) \in \Omega.$$

Then  $(Y_i, B_0)$  and  $(X_i, B_i)$  have the same probability distribution  $Q_i$  on  $(W_i \times W_0, \mathfrak{B}(W_i \times W_0))$  so that  $(Y_i, B_0)$  is a solution of (1.1) and (1.2) on  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P; \mathfrak{F}_z)$  for  $i = 1$  and  $2$ . Thus by the pathwise uniqueness condition we have  $Y_1 = Y_2$ , i.e.,

$$Y_1(\cdot, \omega) = Y_2(\cdot, \omega) \quad \text{for a.e. } \omega \text{ in } (\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P),$$



in other words,

$$w_1 = w_2 \quad \text{for } P \text{ a.e. } \omega = (w_1, w_2, w_0) \in \Omega.$$

Since  $P$  is defined by (2.1), this implies that there exists a null set  $N_0$  in  $(W_0, \mathfrak{B}(W_0), m_W)$  such that

$$(3.2) \quad (Q_1^{w_0} \times Q_2^{w_0})\{(w_1, w_2) \in W_1 \times W_2; w_1 = w_2\} = 1 \quad \text{for } w_0 \in N_0^c.$$

Since  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are copies of  $W$  which is a complete separable metric space (3.2) implies according to Lemma 3 that for every  $w_0 \in N_0^c$  there exists a unique  $w \in W$  such that

$$(3.3) \quad Q_1^{w_0}(\{w\}) = Q_2^{w_0}(\{w\}) = 1.$$

Let  $F$  be a function defined by

$$(3.4) \quad F(w_0) = w \quad \text{for } w_0 \in N_0^c$$

where  $w$  on the right side is the unique element in  $W$  satisfying (3.3) for our  $w_0 \in N_0^c$ . Thus

$$Q_1^{w_0} = Q_2^{w_0} = \delta_{F(w_0)} \quad \text{on } \mathfrak{B}(W) \text{ for } w_0 \in N_0^c.$$

Let us verify that  $F$  satisfies the condition 1° in our Theorem. Thus, for  $z \in \mathbf{R}_+^2$ , let  $A \in \mathfrak{B}_z(W)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} F^{-1}(A) &= \{w_0 \in W_0; Q_1^{w_0}(\{w\}) = 1 \text{ for some } w \in A\} \\ &= \{w_0 \in W_0; Q_1^{w_0}(A) = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 1,  $A \in \mathfrak{B}_z(W)$  implies that  $\mathfrak{B}_z(W_0)^*$ -measurability of  $Q_1^{w_0}(A)$  as a function of  $w_0 \in W_0$ . Thus  $F^{-1}(A) \in B_z(W_0)^*$ , i.e.,  $F$  is  $\mathfrak{B}_z(W_0)^*/\mathfrak{B}_z(W)$  measurable.

To verify the condition 3° in the Theorem, note that from (3.4), (3.1) and (2.3)

$$F[B_0(\cdot, \omega)] = Y_i(\cdot, \omega) \quad \text{for } B_0(\cdot, \omega) \in N_0^c \text{ for } i = 1 \text{ and } 2.$$

Then since  $B_0$  and  $Y_i$  are the images of  $B_i$  and  $X_i$  in  $\Omega = W_1 \times W_2 \times W_0$  the last equality implies

$$F[B_i(\cdot, \omega_i)] = X_i(\cdot, \omega_i) \quad \text{for a.e. } \omega_i \text{ in } (\Omega_i, \mathfrak{F}_i, P_i) \text{ for } i = 1 \text{ and } 2$$

proving 3°. Note also that since  $F$  is common to  $i = 1$  and  $2$  and is defined up to a null set in  $(W_0, \mathfrak{B}(W_0), m_W)$ , we have the uniqueness of  $F$  up to a null set in  $(W, \mathfrak{B}(W), m_W)$ .

Finally if  $(\Omega_3, \mathfrak{F}_3, P_3; \mathfrak{F}_{3,z})$  is an equipped probability space on which there exists an  $\{\mathfrak{F}_{3,z}\}$ -Brownian motion  $B_3$  with  $\partial B_3 = 0$ , then

$(X_3, B_3)$  with  $X_3$  defined by  $X_3 = F[B_3]$  has the same probability distribution on  $(W \times W, \mathfrak{B}(W \times W))$  as  $(Y_1, B_0)$  so that  $(X_3, B_3)$  is a solution of (1.1) and (1.2) on  $(\Omega_3, \mathfrak{F}_3, P_3; \mathfrak{F}_{3,z})$ . Thus condition 2° of the Theorem is satisfied. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Cairoli and J. B. Walsh, *Stochastic integrals in the plane*, Acta Math., **134** (1975), 111–183.
- [2] N. Ikeda and S. Watanabe, *Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes*, (1981), North-Holland, New York.
- [3] J. Neveu, *Integrales stochastiques et applications*, Cours de troisieme cycle, (1971-72), Universite Paris VI.
- [4] K. R. Parthasarathy, *Probability Measures on Metric Spaces*, (1967), Academic Press, New York.
- [5] P. Priouret, *Processus de Diffusion et Equations Differentielles Stochastiques*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics vol. **390** (1974), Springer-Verlag.
- [6] C. Tudor, *On the existence and the uniqueness of solutions to stochastic integral equations with two-dimensional time parameter*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl., **24** (1979), 817–827.
- [7] T. Yamada and S. Watanabe, *On the uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 11-1 (1970), 155–167.
- [8] J. Yeh, *Existence of strong solutions for stochastic differential equations in the plane*, Pacific J. Math., **97** (1981), 217–247.
- [9] ———, *Existence of weak solutions to stochastic differential equations in the plane with continuous coefficients*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **290** (1985), 345–361.
- [10] ———, *Two-Parameter Stochastic Differential Equations, Real and stochastic Analysis*, edited by M. M. Rao, (1986), John Wiley and Sons.

Received February 5, 1986.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
IRVINE, CA 92717