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#### Abstract

In this paper we consider shifts on the hyperfinite $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor arising as a generalization of a construction of Powers. We determine the conjugacy classes of certain of these shifts.


1. Introduction. Let $R$ be the hyperfinite $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor with normalized trace tr. A shift $\alpha$ on $R$ is an identity-preserving *-endomorphism which satisfies $\bigcap_{m \geq 1} \alpha^{m}(R)=\mathbf{C}$. We say that $\alpha$ has shift index $n$ if the subfactor $\alpha(R)$ has the same index $n=[R: \alpha(R)]$ in $R$ as defined by Jones, in [2].

In [3] Powers considered shifts of index 2 on $R$. These were constructed using functions $\sigma: \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\} \rightarrow\{-1,1\}$ and sequences $\left\{u_{j}: j \in\right.$ $\mathbf{N}\}$ of self-adjoint unitaries satisfying $u_{i} u_{j}=\sigma(|i-j|) u_{j} u_{i}$. If $A(\sigma)$ is the $*$-algebra generated by the $\left\{u_{j}\right\}$ and tr is the normalized trace on $A(\sigma)$ defined by $\operatorname{tr}(w)=0$ for any non-trivial word in the $u_{i}$, the GNS construction ( $\pi_{\mathrm{tr}}, H_{\mathrm{tr}}, \Omega_{\mathrm{tr}}$ ) gives rise to the von Neumann algebra $M=\pi_{\mathrm{tr}}(A(\sigma))^{\prime \prime}$. Different characterizations were given in [3] and [4] for $M$ to be the hyperfinite factor $R$. In [4] it was shown this is the case if and only if the sequence $\{\ldots, \sigma(2), \sigma(1), \sigma(0), \sigma(1), \sigma(2), \ldots\}$ is aperiodic. For this case, the shift $\alpha$ on $M=R$ defined by the relations $\alpha\left(\pi_{\mathrm{tr}}\left(u_{i}\right)\right)=\pi_{\mathrm{tr}}\left(u_{i+1}\right)$ has index 2. In [3] it was shown that the $\sigma$-sequence above is a complete conjugacy invariant for $\alpha$. (We say shifts $\alpha, \beta$ are conjugate if there exists an automorphism $\gamma$ of $R$ such that $\alpha=\gamma \cdot \beta \cdot \gamma^{-1}$.)
Motivated by [3], Choda in [1] considered shifts of index $n$, defined on $R$ by $\alpha\left(u_{j}\right)=u_{j+1}$, for a sequence of unitaries $\left\{u_{j}\right\}$ generating $R$, and satisfying $\left(u_{j}\right)^{n \cdot}=1, u_{1} u_{j+1}=\sigma(j) u_{j+1} u_{1}$, where $\sigma: \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\} \rightarrow$ $\{1, \exp (2 \pi i / n)\}$. In this setting and under the assumption $\alpha(R)^{\prime} \cap R=$ $\mathbf{C} 1$ she characterizes the normalizer $N(\alpha)$ of $\alpha$ (see Definition 3.4) and the unitary $\alpha$-generators of $R$.

In this paper we generalize some of the results of $[1,3,4]$. In §2 we consider, for a fixed $n$, algebras generated by sequences $\left\{u_{j}\right\}$ of unitaries, of order $n$, and satisfying $u_{1} u_{j+1}=\sigma(j) u_{j+1} u_{1}$ for functions $\sigma: \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\} \rightarrow \Omega_{n}$, the set of $n$th roots of unity. We determine
necessary and sufficient conditions for these algebras, under the GNS representation for a certain trace, to generate the hyperfinite $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor $R$ in the weak closure [Theorem 2.6]. If $\alpha$ is the shift determined by the equations $\alpha\left(u_{i}\right)=u_{i+1}$, then $[R: \alpha(R)]=n$. If $n=2$ or 3 it follows from [2] that $\alpha(R)^{\prime} \cap R=\mathbf{C}$. Here we show the somewhat surprising result that $\alpha(R)^{\prime} \cap R=\mathbf{C} 1$ regardless of the index (Theorem 3.2), so that Choda's assumption holds automatically. Finally we use this result to determine $N(\alpha)$ and show how Powers' techniques generalize to characterize the conjugacy classes of shifts of prime index $n$.
2. Factor condition. We begin by considering in more detail the construction of the last section. Fix an integer $n>1$. Let $\Omega_{n}$ be the $n$th roots of unity, and $\sigma: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \Omega_{n}$ a function with $\sigma(0)=1$ and $\sigma(j)^{-1}=\sigma(-j)$. Consider the sequence $\left\{u_{j}: j \in \mathbf{N}\right\}$ of distinct unitary operators, each of order $n$, and satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i} u_{j}=\sigma(i-j) u_{j} u_{i} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the $u_{j}$ generate a $*$-algebra, $A(\sigma)$, consisting of linear combinations of words $w$ of the form $w=u_{1}^{i_{1}} u_{2}^{i_{2}} \cdots u_{m}^{i_{m}}$. From (1) one observes that for words $w, w^{\prime}$ in $A(\sigma)$ there is a $\lambda \in \Omega_{n}$ such that $w w^{\prime}=\lambda w^{\prime} w$.

Define a trace $\operatorname{tr}$ on $A(\sigma)$ by setting $\operatorname{tr}(1)=1$ and $\operatorname{tr}(w)=0$ if $w$ is a word not a scalar multiple of the identity. Passing to the GNS construction ( $\pi_{\mathrm{tr}}, H_{\mathrm{tr}}, \Omega_{\mathrm{tr}}$ ) we see that the representation $\pi_{\mathrm{tr}}$ is faithful (note that for distinct words $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{m}$, and $A=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} w_{i}, a_{i} \in$ C, $\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{*} A\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|a_{i}\right|^{2}$ ) so that we shall identify $A(\sigma)$ with its image $\pi_{\mathrm{tr}}(A(\sigma))$ under $\pi_{\mathrm{tr}}$. Let $\left\|\|_{2}\right.$ be the trace norm on $A(\sigma)$ given by $\|A\|_{2}^{2}=\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{*} A\right)$. Then we observe that $H_{\mathrm{tr}}$ is the space of $l^{2}$-summable series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} \delta_{w_{l}}$, where $\left\{w_{i}: i \in \mathbf{N}\right\}$ is a sequence consisting of distinct words in the $u_{j}$, and $\delta_{w}\left(w^{\prime}\right)=0$ if $w^{*} w^{\prime} \neq \lambda 1, \delta_{w}\left(w^{\prime}\right)=\lambda$ if $w^{*} w^{\prime}=\lambda 1$. Let $A$ lie in the center of $A(\sigma)^{\prime \prime}$, and suppose $A \delta_{1}=\sum a_{i} \delta_{w_{1}}$. Then for all words $w \in A(\sigma)$,

$$
w^{*} A w \delta_{1}=\sum a_{i} \delta_{w^{*} w_{i} w} .
$$

Since $\delta_{1}$ is separating for $A(\sigma)^{\prime \prime}$ we have $w_{i} w=w w_{i}$ for all $i$ with $a_{i} \neq 0$. From this relation it follows immediately that $A(\sigma)^{\prime \prime}$ has nontrivial center if and only if there are non-trivial words in the center. We record this in the following (cf. [3, Theorem 3.9], [4, Theorem 3.4]).

Lemma 2.1. Let $A(\sigma)$ and $\operatorname{tr}$ be as above. Then $A(\sigma)^{\prime \prime}$ has non-trivial center if and only if there exists a non-trivial word in $A(\sigma)$ such that $w^{\prime} w=w w^{\prime}$ for all words $w^{\prime}$ in $A(\sigma)$.

We may uniquely define a $*$-endomorphism $\alpha$ on $A(\sigma)^{\prime \prime}$ by setting $\alpha\left(u_{i}\right)=u_{i+1}$. To show $\alpha$ is a shift, let $A \in \bigcap \alpha^{m}\left(A(\sigma)^{\prime \prime}\right)$, with $\operatorname{tr}(A)=0$ and $\|A\| \leq 1$. Then given $\varepsilon>0$ there are positive integers $N<M$ and a $B$ in the unit ball of the algebra $\mathscr{B}$ generated by $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}$, (resp., $C$ in the unit ball of the algebra $\mathscr{C}$ generated by $\left.u_{N+1}, \ldots, u_{M}\right)$ such that $\left\|(A-B) \delta_{1}\right\|<\varepsilon$ (resp., $\left.\left\|(A-C) \delta_{1}\right\|<\varepsilon\right)$. Then there are distinct non-trivial words $w_{i} \in \mathscr{B}$ (resp., $w_{j}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{C}$ ) so that

$$
B=b_{0} 1+\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i} w_{i} \quad\left(\text { resp., } C=c_{0} 1+\sum_{j=1}^{1} c_{j} w_{j}^{\prime}\right)
$$

From $|\operatorname{tr}(A-B)| \leq\left\|(A-B) \delta_{1}\right\|<\varepsilon$ we have $\left|b_{0}\right|<\varepsilon$, and similarly, $\left|c_{0}\right|<\varepsilon$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|A\|_{2}^{2} & =\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{*} A\right)=\left(A \delta_{1}, A \delta_{1}\right) \\
& \leq\left|\left((A-B) \delta_{1}, A \delta_{1}\right)\right|+\left|\left(B \delta_{1},(A-C) \delta_{1}\right)\right|+\left|\left(B \delta_{1}, C \delta_{1}\right)\right| \\
& <\varepsilon+\varepsilon+\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(C^{*} B\right)\right|=2 \varepsilon+\left|\overline{c_{0}} b_{0}\right|<2 \varepsilon+\varepsilon^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary, $\|A\|_{2}=0$, so $A=0$. thus $\bigcap \alpha^{m}\left(A(\sigma)^{\prime \prime}\right)$ consists of scalar multiples of the identity, and we have verified the following.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\alpha$ be the $*$-endomorphism defined on $A(\sigma)^{\prime \prime}$ by $\alpha\left(u_{i}\right)$ $=u_{i+1}$. Then $\alpha$ is a shift.

Definition 2.3. Let $w=\lambda u_{j_{1}}^{k_{j_{1}}} \cdots u_{j_{l}}^{k_{l_{l}}}$, with $|\lambda|=1, k_{j_{1}} \neq 0 \bmod n$, $k_{j_{l}} \neq 0 \bmod n$, and $j_{1}<j_{2}<\cdots<j_{l}$. Then the length of $w$ is $j_{l}-j_{1}+1$. If $w=\lambda 1$ then $w$ has length 0 .

Theorem 2.4. Suppose $n=p$ where $p$ is prime. Let $\left\{a_{j}: j \in \mathbf{Z}\right\}$ be a sequence of integers such that $a_{0}=0, a_{-j}=-a_{j}$. Define $\sigma: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \Omega_{p}$ by $\sigma(j)=\exp \left(2 \pi i a_{j} / p\right)$. Then $A(\sigma)^{\prime \prime}$ is the hyperfinite $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor if and only if $\left(\ldots, a_{-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots\right)$ is aperiodic when viewed as a sequence over $\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [4, Theorem 2.3]. If $A(\sigma)^{\prime \prime} \neq R$ there is by Lemma 2.1 a non-trivial word $w=u_{1}^{l_{0}} \cdots u_{m+1}^{l_{m}}$ in its center. If $w=\alpha\left(w^{\prime}\right)$ for some word $w^{\prime}$ it is easy to show $w^{\prime}$ is also central, so we may assume $l_{0} \neq 0 \bmod p$. We may also assume $l_{m} \neq 0(p)$
and that $m+1$ is the minimum length among all central words. If $v=u_{1}^{q_{0}} \cdots u_{m+1}^{q_{m}}$ is another such word it is apparent using (1) that $v=\lambda w^{c}$ for some integer $c$, some $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$. For let $c$ satisfy $\mathrm{cl}_{m}=q_{m}(p)$, then by (1) one sees that $w^{c} v^{-1}$ is a central word of shorter length than $w$, and must therefore be a scalar multiple of 1 .
Now $u_{j} w=w u_{j}$ for all $j$. Setting $j=1$, and using (1) repeatedly, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1} w & =u_{1} u_{1}^{l_{0}} u_{2}^{l_{1}} \cdots u_{m+1}^{l_{m}}=\sigma(0)^{l_{0}} u_{1}^{l_{0}} u_{1} u_{2}^{l_{1}} \cdots u_{m+1}^{l_{m}} \\
& =\sigma(0)^{l_{0}} \sigma(1)^{l_{1}} u_{1}^{l_{0}} u_{2}^{l_{1}} u_{1} u_{3}^{l_{2}} \cdots u_{m+1}^{l_{m}} \\
& =\left[\sigma(0)^{l_{0}} \sigma(1)^{l_{1}} \cdots \sigma(m)^{l_{m}}\right] w u_{1}=\exp \left(2 \pi i\left(\sum_{s=0}^{m} l_{s} a_{s}\right) / p\right) w u_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\sum_{s=0}^{m} l_{s} a_{s}=0(p)$. Making similar calculations for $u_{j} w=w u_{j}$ one obtains the following homogeneous system over $\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& l_{0} a_{0}+l_{1} a_{1}+l_{2} a_{2}+\cdots+l_{m} a_{m}=0(p) \\
&-l_{0} a_{1}+l_{1} a_{0}+l_{2} a_{1}+\cdots+l_{m} a_{m-1}=0(p) \\
& \vdots  \tag{2}\\
&-l_{0} a_{m}-l_{1} a_{m-1}-l_{2} a_{m-2}-\cdots-l_{m} a_{1}=0(p)
\end{align*}
$$

Rewriting one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
A L=[0,0, \ldots]^{T} \bmod p \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L=\left[l_{0}, \ldots, l_{m}\right]^{T}$, and

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{rrrrr}
a_{0} & a_{1} & a_{2} & \cdots & a_{m}  \tag{4}\\
-a_{1} & a_{0} & a_{1} & \cdots & a_{m-1} \\
-a_{2} & -a_{1} & a_{0} & \cdots & a_{m-2}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Let $A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots$ be the rows of $A$. From the symmetry of $A$ it is straightforward to observe that for $q \geq m$,

$$
l_{0} A_{1}+l_{1} A_{q-1}+\cdots+l_{m} A_{q-m}=[0,0, \ldots, 0],
$$

so that the rank of $A$ (over $\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z}$ ) coincides with the rank of the matrix $A^{\prime}$ consisting of the first $m+1$ rows of $A$. By the argument in the previous paragraph, central words of minimal length correspond to solutions $K$ of $A^{\prime} K=[0,0, \ldots, 0]^{T}$, so the only solutions to this equation are of the form $K=c L, c \in \mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z}$. Hence $A$ has a rank $m$ over $\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z}$.

From the symmetry of $A^{\prime}$ one observes $A^{\prime} \tilde{L}=[0,0, \ldots, 0]^{T}$, where $\tilde{L}=\left[l_{m}, \ldots, l_{0}\right]^{T}$. Hence $\tilde{L}=c L$ for some $c$ in $\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z}$. Hence if $\left(A_{0}\right)_{j}$ is the row vector obtained from $A_{j}$ by reversing the order of the entries then $\left(A_{0}\right)_{j}$ has inner product 0 with $L$. This fact, and the property that rows $A_{m+1}, A_{m+2}, \ldots$ are in the span of rows $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}$ imply that $B L=[0,0, \ldots, 0]^{T}$, where $B$ is a row consisting of any $m+1$ consecutive entries of the sequence ( $\ldots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots$ ). Therefore, for any $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, if $B_{j}=\left[a_{j}, \ldots, a_{j+m}\right], B_{j+1}^{T}=C\left(B_{j}^{T}\right)$, where

$$
C=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & & & & & \\
c l_{0} & c l_{1} & & \ldots & & c l_{m-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $c$ is an integer such $c l_{m}=-1(p) . C$ is invertible over $\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z}$, so $C^{s}=I$ for some $s$, and therefore $B_{j+s}=B_{j}$, all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, so that $\left(\ldots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is periodic.

Conversely, suppose the sequence is periodic, with period length $m$. Consider the homogeneous system $A X=[0,0, \ldots]^{T}$, where $X=$ $\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right]^{T}$ and $A$ is as above. Using the periodicity $a_{j}=a_{j+m}$ one observes that the $(m+j)$ th equation coincides with the $j$ th equation, for all $j$, so the system $A X=0$ reduces to $m$ equations in $m+1$ unknowns. Let $L=\left[l_{0}, \ldots, l_{m}\right]^{T}$ be a non-trivial solution. Then repeated use of (1) shows that the (non-trivial) word $w=u_{1}^{l_{0}} \cdots u_{m+1}^{l_{m}}$ lies in the center, so that $A(\sigma)^{\prime \prime}$ is not a factor.

Corollary 2.5. Suppose $n=p^{r}$ where $p$ is prime. Let $\left\{a_{j}: j \in \mathbf{Z}\right\}$ be a sequence of integers such that $a_{0}=0, a_{-j}=-a_{j}$ and $\sigma: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow$ $\Omega_{n}$ the function defined by $\sigma(j)=\exp \left(2 \pi i a_{j} / p^{r}\right)$. Then $A(\sigma)^{\prime \prime}$ is the hyperfinite $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor if and only if $\left(\ldots, a_{-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots\right)$ is an aperiodic sequence over $\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z}$.

Proof. Suppose $A(\sigma)^{\prime \prime}$ has non-trivial center. Then there is a nontrivial word $w$ in the center. Since $w^{p^{r}}=\lambda 1$, some $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, we may assume by replacing $w$ with an appropriate power $w^{p^{k}}$ if necessary, that $w$ is a non-trivial word such that $w^{p}=\lambda 1$. As in the proof of the theorem we may assume further that $w$ has minimal length among all such central words and that

$$
w=u_{1}^{k_{0}} \cdots u_{m+1}^{k_{m}},
$$

where $k_{0} \neq 0 \bmod p^{r}$. Moreover, since $w^{p}$ is a scalar it follows from (1) that $p^{r-1}$ divides $k_{j}$, for all $j$.

We have $u_{j} w=w u_{j}$ for all $j \in \mathbf{N}$. Calculating as in the preceding proof one derives the system

$$
\begin{gathered}
k_{0} a_{0}+k_{1} a_{1}+\cdots+k_{m} a_{m}=0\left(p^{r}\right) \\
-k_{0} a_{1}+k_{1} a_{0}+\cdots+k_{m} a_{m-1}=0\left(p^{r}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $l_{j}=k_{j} / p^{r-1}$, then we obtain the same system as in (3), where $L=\left[l_{0}, \ldots, l_{m}\right]^{T}$. Hence the sequence (..., $\left.a_{-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots\right)$ is periodic over $\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z}$, as before.

Conversely, if the sequence is periodic, with period $m$, we showed there is a non-trivial solution $L$ to the system $A L=0(\bmod p)$. Let $k_{j}=l_{j} p^{r-1}$. Since $l_{0} \neq 0(p), k_{0} \neq 0\left(p^{r}\right)$ so that $K=\left[k_{0}, \ldots, k_{m}\right]^{T}$ is a non-trivial solution to the system $A K=0\left(\bmod p^{r}\right)$. It is then straightforward to show that the corresponding word $w=u_{1}^{k_{0}} \cdots u_{m+1}^{k_{m}}$ commutes with the $\left\{u_{j}\right\}$ so that $w$ is central and $A(\sigma)^{\prime \prime}$ is not a factor.

The corollary allows us to proceed to the general case. Let $n$ have prime factorization $p_{1}^{r_{1}} \cdots p_{s}^{r_{s}}$. Let $\Omega_{n}$ be the $n$th roots of unity. Let

$$
\phi: \mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} / p_{1}^{r_{1}} \mathbf{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{Z} / p_{s}^{r_{s}} \mathbf{Z}
$$

be the isomorphism given by $k \rightarrow\left(k n_{1} P_{1}, \ldots, k n_{s} P_{s}\right)$ where $P_{q}=$ $n /\left(p_{q}^{r_{q}}\right)$ and $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{s}$ satisfy $\sum_{q} n_{q} P_{q}=1$. We denote by $\phi(k)_{q}$ the $q$ th entry of $\phi(k), \phi(k)_{q} \in \mathbf{Z} / p_{q}^{r_{q}}$.

As before, let $\left\{u_{j}: j \in \mathbf{N}\right\}$ be unitaries, each of order $n$, satisfying $u_{i} u_{j}=\sigma(i-j) u_{j} u_{i}$, for some function $\sigma: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \Omega_{n}$ satisfying $\sigma(0)=1$ and $\sigma(j)^{-1}=\sigma(-j)$. For fixed $j \in \mathbf{N}$ and $q \in\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$ set $u_{j q}=$ $u_{j}^{n_{q} P_{q}}$. The following properties are easily verified:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{j}=\prod_{q=1}^{s} u_{j q}  \tag{5.1}\\
\alpha\left(u_{j q}\right)=u_{j+1, q}, \quad j \in \mathbf{N} . \tag{5.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Also, using (1) we have the properties

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{i q} u_{j q^{\prime}}=u_{j q^{\prime}} u_{i q} \quad \text { if } q \neq q^{\prime}  \tag{5.3}\\
& u_{i q} u_{j q}=\sigma(i-j)^{\left(n_{q} P_{q}\right)^{2}} u_{j q} u_{i q} \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $A(\sigma)_{q}, \quad 1 \leq q \leq s$ be the subalgebra of $A(\sigma)$ generated by the $\left\{u_{j q}: j \in \mathbf{N}\right\}$.

Theorem 2.6. $A(\sigma)^{\prime \prime}$ is a factor if and only if $A(\sigma)_{q}^{\prime \prime}$ is a factor, for each $q$.

Proof. Suppose $A \in A(\sigma)_{q_{0}}^{\prime} \cap A(\sigma)_{q_{0}}^{\prime \prime}$. Then $A \in A(\sigma)_{q}^{\prime}$ for all $q \neq q_{0}$, by (5.3). Hence $A \in A(\sigma)^{\prime} \cap A(\sigma)^{\prime \prime}$ since the algebras $A(\sigma)_{q}$ generate $A(\sigma)$. So if $A$ is non-trivial, $A(\sigma)^{\prime \prime}$ cannot be a factor.

Conversely, suppose $A(\sigma)^{\prime \prime}$ is not a factor. Then there is a nontrivial word $w=u_{1}^{l_{1}} \cdots u_{m}^{l_{m}}$ in $A(\sigma)$, by Lemma 2.1. Using (1) and (5) there is a $\lambda$ of modulus 1 such that

$$
w=\lambda \prod_{q=1}^{s}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} u_{j q}^{l_{j}}\right)
$$

Choose $q_{0}$ such that $w_{q_{0}}=\prod_{j=1}^{m} u_{j q_{0}}^{l_{j}}$ is non-trivial. Since $u_{k q} w=w u_{k q}$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}, q \neq q_{0}$, it follows from (5.3) that $u_{k q_{0}} w_{q_{0}}=w_{q_{0}} u_{k q_{0}}$. Hence $w_{q_{0}}$ is central in $A(\sigma)_{q_{0}}$ and $A(\sigma)_{q_{0}}^{\prime \prime}$ is not a factor.

REMARK. It is straightforward to show that if each $A(\sigma)_{q}^{\prime \prime}$ is a factor then $A(\sigma) \cong \otimes_{q} A(\sigma)_{q}$. We omit the proof since we do not require this result.

Theorem 2.7. Let $\left\{k_{j}: j \in \mathbf{Z}\right\}$ be a sequence in $\mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z}$ such that $k_{-j}=-k_{j}$ and $\sigma: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \Omega_{n}$ the function given by $\sigma(j)=\exp \left(2 \pi i k_{j} / n\right)$. Let $\phi: \mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} / p_{1}^{r_{1}} \mathbf{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{Z} / p_{s}^{r_{s}} \mathbf{Z}$ be the mapping defined above. Then $A(\sigma)^{\prime \prime}$ is a factor if and only if, for each $q, 1 \leq q \leq s$, the sequence

$$
\left(\ldots, \phi\left(k_{-2}\right)_{q}, \phi\left(k_{-1}\right)_{q}, \phi\left(k_{0}\right)_{q}, \phi\left(k_{1}\right)_{q}, \phi\left(k_{2}\right)_{q}, \ldots\right)
$$

is aperiodic over $\mathbf{Z} / p_{q} \mathbf{Z}$.
Proof. We have, for fixed $q$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1 q} u_{j+1, q} & =u_{1}^{n_{q} P_{q}} u_{j+1}^{n_{q} P_{q}}=\sigma(j)^{\left(n_{q} P_{q}\right)^{2}} u_{j+1}^{n_{q} P_{q}} u_{1}^{n_{q} P_{q}} \\
& =\sigma(j)^{\left(n_{q} P_{q}\right)^{2}} u_{j+1, q} u_{1 q}=\exp \left(2 \pi i k_{j} / n\right)^{\left(n_{q} P_{q}\right)^{2}} u_{j+1, q} u_{1 q} \\
& =\left[\prod_{c} \exp \left(2 \pi i\left[k_{j} n_{c} /\left(p_{c}^{r} c\right)\right]\right)\right]^{\left(n_{q} P_{q}\right)^{2}} u_{j+1, q} u_{1 q} \\
& =\exp \left(2 \pi i n_{q} k_{j} /\left(p_{q}^{r_{q}}\right)\right)^{\left(n_{q} P_{q}\right)^{2}} u_{j+1, q} u_{1 q} \\
& =\exp \left(2 \pi i \phi\left(k_{j}\right)_{q} /\left(p_{q}^{r_{q}}\right)\right)^{n_{q}^{2} P_{q}} u_{j+1, q} u_{1 q}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 2.5, therefore, the von Neumann algebra $A(\sigma)_{q}^{\prime \prime}$ is a factor if and only if the sequence $\left(\ldots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is aperiodic $\bmod p_{q}$, where $a_{j}=\phi\left(k_{j}\right)_{q}\left(n_{q}^{2} P_{q}\right)$. But $n_{q}^{2} P_{q}$ is relatively prime
to $p_{q}$, so the sequence above is aperiodic over $\mathbf{Z} / p_{q} \mathbf{Z}$ if and only if $\left(\ldots, \phi\left(k_{-1}\right)_{q}, \phi\left(k_{0}\right)_{q}, \phi\left(k_{1}\right)_{q}, \ldots\right)$ is also. The preceding theorem now yields the result.
3. A conjugacy invariant for generalized shifts. In what follows we shall adhere to the following assumptions and notation. Let $n>1$ be a fixed integer, and let $\sigma: \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\} \rightarrow \Omega_{n}$ be a mapping such that under the trace $\operatorname{tr}$, the algebra $A(\sigma)$ generated by the words $u_{j}, j \in \mathbf{N}$, has weak closure $A(\sigma)^{\prime \prime}$ isomorphic to $R$, the hyperfinite $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor. As before, $\alpha$ is the shift on $R$ determined by the conditions $\alpha\left(u_{i}\right)=u_{i+1}$.

The following result justifies the terminology shift of index $n$.
Theorem 3.1. The subfactor $\alpha(R)$ of $R$ has index $[R: \alpha(R)]=n$.
Proof. For $i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$, let $V_{i}$ be the subspace $V_{i}=\overline{\alpha(R) u_{1}^{i}}$ in $L^{2}(R, \operatorname{tr})$. Then the $V_{i}$ span $L^{2}(R, \operatorname{tr})$. Moreover, if $w, w^{\prime}$ are any words in $\alpha(R)$, we have $\operatorname{tr}\left(\left[w u_{1}^{i}\right]^{*}\left[w^{\prime} u_{1}^{j}\right]\right)=0$ for $i \neq j$. Since $\alpha(R)$ is the strong closure of linear combinations of words we see that the $V_{i}$ are orthogonal subspaces. The rest of the argument follows through exactly as in the proof of [2, Example 2.3.2].

Theorem 3.2. Let $\alpha$ be a shift on $R$ constructed as above. Then $\alpha(R)^{\prime} \cap R=\mathbf{C} 1$.

Proof. Let $\left\{w_{i}: i \in \mathbf{N}\right\}$ be a sequence of non-trivial words of $A(\sigma)$ such that $w_{i}^{*} w_{j} \neq \lambda 1$ for any $i \neq j$ and if $w$ is a non-trivial word of $A(\sigma)$ then $w=\lambda w_{i}$ for some $i$ and some $\lambda$ of modulus 1 .

Suppose $A \in \alpha(R)^{\prime} \cap R$, then we have $A \delta_{1}=a_{0} \delta_{1}+\sum a_{i} \delta_{w_{i}}$, for some $a_{i} \in \mathbf{C}$, as in the discussion preceding Lemma 2.1. Then for $w \in \alpha(R)$,

$$
a_{0} \delta_{w}+\sum a_{i} \delta_{w_{i} w}=A w \delta_{1}=w A \delta_{1}=a_{0} \delta_{w}+\sum a_{i} \delta_{w w_{i}}
$$

Since $\delta_{1}$ is separating for $R$ there are non-trivial words in $\alpha(R)^{\prime} \cap R$ if $A$ is non-trivial.

Assuming $\alpha(R)^{\prime} \cap R$ is non-trivial, and arguing as in Corollary 2.5, there exists a non-trivial word $w \in \alpha(R)^{\prime} \cap R$ such that $w^{p}=\lambda 1$ for some prime $p$ dividing [ $R: \alpha(R)$ ]. Since $\alpha(R)$ is a factor, $w \notin \alpha(R)$, so $w$ has the form $u_{1}^{k_{0}} u_{2}^{k_{1}} \cdots u_{m+1}^{k_{m}}$ with $k_{0} \neq 0 \bmod n$. Moreover, we may assume that $m+1$ is the minimal length among all words $w$ in $\alpha(R)^{\prime} \cap R$ such that $w^{p}$ is a scalar multiple of 1 .

Since $w^{p}=\lambda 1$ it follows from (1), then, that $n / p$ divides each $k_{j}$. Hence $w$ lies in the subalgebra $A$ of $A(\sigma)$ generated by $u_{1}^{\left(n / p^{r}\right)}$ and its
shifts, where $p^{r}$ is the largest power of $p$ dividing $n$. By Theorem 2.6, $A^{\prime \prime}$ is a subfactor of $A(\sigma)^{\prime \prime}$, and by hypothesis, $w \in \alpha(A)^{\prime} \cap A^{\prime \prime}$. Set $v_{1}=u_{1}^{\left(n / p^{\prime}\right)}$, and $v_{j+1}=\alpha^{j}\left(v_{1}\right)$. From the preceding paragraph, we have $w=v_{1}^{q_{0}} \ldots v_{m+1}^{q_{m}}$, where $q_{j}=k_{j} p^{r} / n$. Let $\sigma^{\prime}: \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\} \rightarrow \Omega_{p^{r}}$ be the function satisfying $v_{i} v_{j}=\sigma^{\prime}(|i-j|) v_{j} v_{i}$, and let $\left\{a_{j}: j \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}\right\}$ be integers such that

$$
\sigma^{\prime}(j)=\exp \left(2 \pi i a_{j} / p^{r}\right)
$$

Since $A^{\prime \prime}$ is a factor, the sequence $\left(\ldots,-a_{2},-a_{1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is aperiodic $\bmod p$, by Corollary 2.5 .

From $v_{1} w \neq w v_{1}, v_{j} w=w v_{j}, j \geq 2$, we obtain, as in Corollary 2.5, the following system of equations over $\mathbf{Z} / p^{r} \mathbf{Z}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{0} a_{0}+q_{1} a_{1}+\cdots+q_{m} a_{m} & \neq 0\left(p^{r}\right) \\
-q_{0} a_{1}+q_{1} a_{0}+\cdots+q_{m} a_{m-1} & =0\left(p^{r}\right) \\
-q_{0} a_{2}-q_{1} a_{1}+\cdots+q_{m} a_{m-2} & =0\left(p^{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $p^{r-1}$ divides each $q_{j}$ we obtain the system

$$
\begin{array}{r}
l_{0} a_{0}+l_{1} a_{1}+\cdots+l_{m} a_{m} \neq 0(p) \\
-l_{0} a_{1}+l_{1} a_{0}+\cdots+l_{m} a_{m-1}=0(p) \tag{6}
\end{array}
$$

by setting $l_{j}=q_{j} / p^{r-1}$.
Define a new sequence $z_{1}, \ldots$ of unitaries of order $p$ satisfying $z_{i} z_{j}=\sigma^{\prime \prime}(|i-j|) z_{j} z_{i}$, where $\sigma^{\prime \prime}(j)=\exp \left(2 \pi i a_{j} / p\right)$. From Corollary 2.5 the $z_{j}$ generate a factor $M$ under the usual trace representation, with shift $\beta$ satisfying $\beta\left(z_{i}\right)=z_{i+1}$ and $[M: \beta(M)]=p$. By $[1$, Theorem 3.7] $\beta(M)^{\prime} \cap M$ is trivial. But (6) implies that $z_{1}^{l_{0}} \cdots z_{m+1}^{l_{m}}$ lies in $\beta(M)^{\prime} \cap M$, a contradiction. Hence (6) cannot hold, and $\alpha(R)^{\prime} \cap R$ is trivial.

Definition 3.3. Let $\alpha, \beta$ be shifts on $R$. Then $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are conjugate if there is a $\gamma \in \operatorname{Aut}(R)$ such that $\alpha=\gamma \cdot \beta \cdot \gamma^{-1}$.

The preceding definition appears in [3], where it is shown, [3, Theorem 3.6], that for shifts of index 2 the corresponding functions $\sigma=$ $\sigma_{\alpha}: \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\} \rightarrow\{-1,1\}$ are a complete conjugacy invariant (cf. also [1]). Using techniques essentially the same as Powers' we prove an analogue for more general shifts.

We need the following definition.
Definition 3.4. Let $\alpha$ be a shift of index $n$ of $R$. The normalizer $N(\alpha)$ is the subset of unitary elements $V$ of $R$ such that $V \alpha^{k}(R) V^{*}=$ $\alpha^{k}(R)$ for all $k$.

Theorem 3.5. A unitary $V \in R$ lies in $N(\alpha)$ if and only if $V$ is a scalar multiple of a word in $A(\sigma)$.

Proof. It is obvious that words lie in $N(\alpha)$. Suppose $V \in N(\alpha)$. Let $\theta \in \operatorname{Aut}(R)$ be defined by $\theta\left(u_{1}\right)=\zeta u_{1}$, where $\zeta=\exp (2 \pi i / n)$, and $\theta\left(u_{j}\right)=u_{j}$ for $j>1$ (see [1, Corollary 3.8]). It is straightforward to show that $\alpha(R)$ is the fixed point algebra of $\theta$. We show that $\theta(V)=$ $\zeta^{k} V$ for some $k$.

Let $W \in \alpha(R)$, then $V^{*} W V \in \alpha(R)$, so $V^{*} W V=\theta\left(V^{*} W V\right)=$ $\theta\left(V^{*}\right) W \theta(V)$. Hence $V \theta\left(V^{*}\right) \in \alpha(R)^{\prime} \cap R$. Therefore $V=\lambda \theta(V)$, by the preceding theorem. Since $\theta^{n}=\mathrm{id}, V=\theta^{n}(V)=\lambda \theta^{n-1}(V)=\cdots=$ $\lambda^{n} V$, so $\lambda$ is an $n$th root of unity, i.e., $\theta(V)=\zeta^{k_{1}} V$ for some $k_{1}$.

Let $Z_{1}=u_{1}^{-k_{1}} V$, then $\theta\left(Z_{1}\right)=Z_{1}$, so $Z_{1} \in \alpha(R)$, and there is a $V_{1} \in R$ such that $\alpha\left(V_{1}\right)=Z_{1}$. Hence $V=u_{1}^{k_{1}} \alpha\left(V_{1}\right)$. Also $V_{1} \in N(\alpha)$, so that for some $k_{2}, \theta\left(V_{1}\right)=\zeta^{k_{2}} V_{1}$. Hence $Z_{2}=u_{1}^{-k_{2}} V_{1}$ lies in $\alpha(R)$. There is then a $V_{2}$ in $R$ such that $\alpha\left(V_{2}\right)=Z_{2}$, and therefore,

$$
V=u_{1}^{k_{1}} Z_{1}=u_{1}^{k_{1}} \alpha\left(V_{1}\right)=u_{1}^{k_{1}} \alpha\left(u_{1}^{k_{2}} Z_{2}\right)=u_{1}^{k_{1}} u_{2}^{k_{2}} \alpha^{2}\left(V_{2}\right)
$$

Continuing in this fashion we find that for any $m$ there are constants $k_{j}$ and a unitary $V_{m+1}$ such that

$$
V=u_{1}^{k_{1}} u_{2}^{k_{2}} \cdots u_{m}^{k_{m}} \alpha^{m+1}\left(V_{m+1}\right)
$$

Let $s=\sup \left\{m: k_{m} \neq 0 \bmod n\right\}$. We shall show that $s$ is finite.
To do so, we make the following observation (cf. [3, Lemma 3.3]). If $w$ is a non-trivial word generated by $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{q}$ and $w^{\prime}$ is any word in $R$, then $\operatorname{tr}\left(w \alpha^{l}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right)=0$, for $l \geq q$. Since any $A \in R$ is a strong limit of linear combinations of words in $R$ then $\operatorname{tr}\left(w \alpha^{l}(A)\right)=0$, for $l \geq q$.

Given $\varepsilon>0$ there is a $q \in \mathbf{N}$ and words $w_{i}$ in the algebra generated by $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{q}$ such that $\left\|V-V_{0}\right\|_{2}<\varepsilon$, where $V_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{c} a_{i} w_{i}$. Let $m>q$ be an integer such that $k_{m} \neq 0 \bmod n$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon & >\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(V^{*}\left[V-V_{0}\right]\right)\right| \\
& =\left|1-\operatorname{tr}\left(\alpha^{m+1}\left(V_{m+1}^{*}\right) u_{m}^{-k_{m}} \cdots u_{1}^{-k_{1}} V_{0}\right)\right|=1,
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction if $\varepsilon<1$. This yields the result.

Using the preceding characterization of the elements of $N(\alpha)$, we may obtain the following results on the conjugacy classes of shifts of prime index.

Corollary 3.6. Let $\alpha$ be a shift of prime index $p$ constructed as above. Let $u, v$ be $\alpha$-generators of $R$. Then $u=\mu v^{k}$ for some $k$ relatively prime to $p$, and some $\mu$ in $\Omega_{p}$.

Proof. Since $u$ and $v$ are $\alpha$-generators, and since each is an element of $N(\alpha)$, then by Theorem 3.5, $u=\mu v^{k_{0}} \alpha\left(u^{k_{1}}\right) \cdots \alpha^{m}\left(v^{k_{m}}\right)$, and $v=\nu u^{t_{0}} \alpha\left(u^{t_{1}}\right) \cdots \alpha^{m}\left(u^{t_{m}}\right)$, for some $m \in \mathbf{N}, \mu, \nu \in \Omega_{p}$, and integers $t_{j}, k_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, m$. Substituting the latter expression for $v$ into the first equation, we obtain $u=\zeta u^{q_{0}} \alpha\left(u^{q_{1}}\right) \cdots \alpha^{2 m}(u)^{q_{2 m}}$, for some $\zeta \in \Omega_{p}$, where $q_{j}=k_{j} t_{0}+k_{j-1} t_{1}+\cdots+k_{0} t_{j}$ modulo $(p)$. An argument similar to the proof of [3, Theorem 3.4] shows that $q_{j}=0$ modulo ( $p$ ), for $j>1$. If $t_{r}$ is the last non-zero exponent in the expression for $v$, then starting with the expression for $q_{m+r}$ and working backwards to $q_{r+1}$, one observes successively that $k_{m}=k_{m-1}=\cdots=k_{1}=0$. Hence $u=\mu v^{k_{0}}$.

REMARK. The result above does not hold for shifts of general index. Taking $n=4$, for example, one checks that if $u$ is an $\alpha$-generator, then so is $v=u \alpha\left(u^{2}\right)$, since $u=\mu \nu \alpha\left(v^{2}\right)$, some $\mu \in \Omega_{4}$.

We omit the proof of the following result, which is virtually identical to the proof of [3, Theorem 3.6].

Corollary 3.7. Let $\alpha, \beta$ be shifts of prime index $p$ on $R$, constructed as above. Then $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are conjugate if and only if they correspond to the same $\sigma$-function $\sigma: \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\} \rightarrow \Omega_{p}$.

Corollary 3.8. There are an uncountable number of non-conjugate shifts of $R$ of prime index $p$ constructed as above.

Proof. This follows immediately since there are uncountably many functions $\sigma$ satisfying the statement of Theorem 2.7.

In [3] Powers introduced the notion of outer conjugacy for shifts. We say that shifts $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are outer conjugate if there are a $\gamma \in \operatorname{Aut}(R)$ and a unitary $U \in R$ such that $\alpha \in \operatorname{Ad}(U)=\gamma \cdot \beta \cdot \gamma^{-1}$. The index of a shift is an outer conjugacy invariant, and so is the first positive
$m\left(m \in\{2,3, \ldots\} \cup\{\infty\}\right.$, by Theorem 3.2) such that $\alpha^{m}(R)$ has nontrivial relative commutant. It is not known if this condition is also sufficient, even in the case of shifts of index 2 (cf. [3]).
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