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#### Abstract

A $T^{2}$-knot means a 2 -torus embedded in a 4 -manifold. We define torus $T^{2}$-knots in the 4-sphere $S^{4}$ as a generalization of torus knots in $S^{3}$. We classify them up to equivalence and study the manifolds obtained by Dehn-surgery along them.


1. Introduction. Dehn-surgery, which was introduced by Dehn [1], plays an important role in knot theory and 3-dimensional manifold theory. The classical Dehn-surgery is the operation of cutting off the tubular neighborhood $N=S^{1} \times D^{2}$ of a knot in $S^{3}$ and of pasting it back via an element of $\pi_{0} \operatorname{Diff} \partial N$, which is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_{2} \mathbf{Z}$. Gluck-surgery [2] along a $2-\mathrm{knot}$ in $S^{4}$ is a 4 -dimensional version of Dehn-surgery. In this version, $N=S^{2} \times D^{2}$ and $\pi_{0} \operatorname{Diff} \partial N=(\mathbf{Z} / 2)^{3}$. $(\mathrm{Z} / 2)^{2}$ corresponds to the orientation reversing diffeomorphisms of $S^{2}$ and $\partial D^{2}$. Therefore Gluck-surgery yields at most one new manifold from one 2 -knot and it is a homotopy 4 -sphere (see [2]). Another 4-dimensional version is Dehn-surgery along a 2 -torus embedded in $S^{4}$ [7], which we call a $T^{2}$-knot in this paper. In this version, $N=$ $T^{2} \times D^{2}$ and $\pi_{0} \operatorname{Diff} \partial N=\mathrm{GL}_{3} \mathbf{Z}$. Countably many manifolds are obtained from one $T^{2}$-knot. A manifold obtained by Gluck-surgery is also obtained by Dehn-surgery along a $T^{2}$-knot (see Proposition 3.5). Dehn-surgery along an unknot is studied in [7], [9]. See also [3].

In this paper, we define a torus $T^{2}$-knot which is analogous to the torus knots in the classical knot theory, and classify them up to equivalence. Then we study the manifolds obtained by Dehn-surgeries along them.

Dehn-surgery along a torus knot is studied by Moser [8].
Theorem 1.1. (Moser [8], Propositions 3.1, 3.2, 4.) Assume that a Dehn-surgery of type $(\alpha, \beta)$ is performed along $k(p, q)$, the torus knot of type $(p, q)$. Put $|\sigma|=|p q \beta-\alpha|$. The manifold obtained is denoted by $M$.
(i) If $|\sigma| \neq 0$, then $M$ is a Seifert manifold with fibers of multiplicities $p, q,|\sigma|$.
(ii) If $|\sigma|=1$, then $M$ is a lens space $L\left(|\alpha|, \beta q^{2}\right)$.
(iii) If $|\sigma|=0$, then $M$ is the connected sum of two lens spaces $L(p, q) \# L(q, p)$.

Our results are the following. Theorem 1.3 is a generalization of Theorem 1.1.

Let $k(p, q)$ be the torus knot of type $(p, q)$ in $S^{3}$, and $B$ be a 3-ball contained in $S^{3}-k(p, q)$. Define $S(k(p, q))$ and $\tilde{S}(k(p, q))$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(S^{4}, S(k(p, q))\right)=\left(\left(S^{3}, k(p, q)\right)-\operatorname{Int} B\right) \times S^{1} \cup_{\mathrm{id}} S^{2} \times D^{2}, \\
& \left(S^{4}, \tilde{S}(k(p, q))\right)=\left(\left(S^{3}, k(p, q)\right)-\operatorname{Int} B\right) \times S^{1} \cup_{\tau} S^{2} \times D^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where id is the natural identification of $\partial B \times S^{1}$ with $S^{2} \times \partial D^{2}$ and $\tau$ is the map $(u, v) \mapsto(u v, v)$ where we identify $S^{2}$ with the Riemann sphere and $D^{2}$ with the unit disk in $\mathbf{C}$.

Proposition 1.2. (Lemma 2.6 and Proposition 2.9.) Any torus $T^{2}$ knot is equivalent to one and only one of the following:
(i) $S(k(p, q)), 1<p<q, \operatorname{gcd}(p, q)=1$;
(ii) $\tilde{S}(k(p, q)), 1<p<q, \operatorname{gcd}(p, q)=1$;
(iii) unknotted $T^{2}$-knot.

Theorem 1.3. (See Proposition 3.6, Remark 3.7, Proposition 3.9, Corollary 3.10, Proposition 3.11.) Assume that a Dehn-surgery of type $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)($ see Definition 3.2) is performed along $S(k(p, q))$ or $\tilde{S}(k(p, q))$. Put $\sigma=|p q \beta-\alpha|$. The manifold obtained is denoted by $M$.
(i) If $\sigma \neq 0$, then $M$ is the total space of a good torus fibration over $S^{2}$ with one twin singular fiber of multiplicity $p$ and two multiple tori of multiplicity $q$ and $\sigma$.
(ii) If $\sigma=1$, then $M$ is $L_{|\alpha|}$ or $L_{|\alpha|}^{\prime}$.
(iii) If $\sigma=0$, then $M$ is an irrational connected sum along circles [5] of either $L_{m}$ or $L_{m}^{\prime}$ and $L(n, r) \times S^{1}$ for some $m, n, r$.
(iv) If $\gamma=0$, then $M=\left(M_{0}-\operatorname{Int} B^{3}\right) \times S^{1} \cup_{h} S^{2} \times D^{2}$ where $M_{0}$ is the manifold obtained by a Dehn-surgery of type ( $\alpha, \beta$ ) along the torus knot of type $(p, q)$ and $h=\operatorname{id}($ if $K=S(k(p, q))), h=\tau(K=\tilde{S}(k(p, q)))$. Especially if $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)=(p q, 1,0), M=L_{p} \# L_{q}$.
( $L_{m}$ and $L_{m}^{\prime}$ are the manifold defined in [9]. See also [3].)
We use standard notations. $N(X)$ means the tubular neighborhood of $X$. All the homology groups are with coefficients in $\mathbf{Z}$ unless otherwise indicated.

## 2. Torus $T^{2}$-knots.

Definition 2.1. Let $M^{n}$ (resp. $N^{n-2}$ ) be an $n$ - (resp. $(n-2)$-) dimensional manifold. A submanifold $K$ in $M^{n}$ is called an $N^{n-2}$ knot in $M^{n}$ if $K$ is diffeomorphic to $N^{n-2}$. Let $K, K^{\prime}$ be two $N^{n-2}$ knots in $M^{n} . K$ and $K^{\prime}$ are equivalent if there exists a diffeomorphism $h:\left(M^{n}, K\right) \rightarrow\left(M^{n}, K^{\prime}\right)$.

We will be mainly concerned with $T^{2}$-knots in $S^{4}$.
Recall that a $T^{1}$-knot (i.e. a classical knot) $K$ in $S^{3}$ is called unknotted if $K$ bounds a disk $D^{2}$ in $S^{3}$.

Definition 2.2. A $T^{2}$-knot $K$ in $S^{4}$ is called unknotted if $K$ bounds a solid torus $S^{1} \times D^{2}$ in $S^{4}$.

Any two unknotted $T^{2}$-knots are equivalent.
Remark 2.3. There exist three isotopy classes of embeddings $T^{2} \rightarrow$ $S^{4}$ such that their images are unknotted (see Theorem 5.3 in [7]). But we are considering a $T^{2}$-knot itself, not its embedding map.

Recall that a $T^{1}-\mathrm{knot} K$ in $S^{3}$ is called a torus knot if $K$ is essentially embedded in $\partial N(U)$, where $U$ is an unknotted $T^{1}$-knot in $S^{3}$.

Definition 2.4. A $T^{2}$-knot $K$ in $S^{4}$ is called a torus $k n o t$ if $K$ is incompressibly embedded in $\partial N(U)$, where $U$ is an unknotted $T^{2}$ knot in $S^{4}$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $K, K^{\prime}$ be incompressible 2 -tori in $T^{3}$ such that $[K]=$ [ $K^{\prime}$ ] in $H_{2}\left(T^{3}\right)$. Then, there is an ambient isotopy which carries $K$ to $K^{\prime}$ 。

Proof. We may assume that $\left(T^{3}, K\right)=\left(S^{1} \times S^{1} \times S^{1}, S^{1} \times S^{1} \times\{*\}\right)$ without loss of generality.

By Theorem VI. 34 and VI. 17 in [4], there exists a diffeomorphism $f:\left(T^{3}, K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(T^{3}, K\right)$. Since $f_{*}([K])=[K]$, there exists a diffeomorphism $g:\left(T^{3}, K\right) \rightarrow\left(T^{3}, K\right)$ with $f_{*}=g_{*}$. Since $f^{-1} \cdot g:\left(T^{3}, K\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(T^{3}, K^{\prime}\right)$ satisfies $\left(f^{-1} \cdot g\right)_{*}=\mathrm{id}$, it is isotopic to the identity map.

Let $U$ be an unknotted $T^{2}$-knot in $S^{4} . \overline{S^{4}-N(U)}$ is a twin (see [7]). We denote the twin by the symbol Tw. A twin consists of two $S^{2} \times D^{2}$ 's plumbed at two points with opposite signs. Let $R, S$ be the cores of two $S^{2} \times D^{2}$ 's. They generate $H_{2}(T w)$. Let $D(r), D(s)$ be 2-disks properly embedded in $T w$ such that $R \cdot D(r)=S \cdot D(s)=1$ and $R \cdot D(s)=S \cdot D(r)=0 . \partial D(r)$ and $\partial D(s)$ are circles in $\partial(T w)$. We
call them $r$ and $s$ respectively. Their homology classes in $H_{1}(\partial(T w))$ are well-defined. Choose a circle $l$ in $\partial(T w)$ such that $\langle l, r, s\rangle$ is an oriented basis of $H_{1}(\partial(T w))$. Two $l$ 's are mapped to each other by some diffeomorphism between $T w$ 's which fixes $r$ and $s$ (see Remark 2.5 in [3]). Next, we consider the manifold $D^{2} \times T^{2} \cong D^{2} \times S^{1} \times S^{1}$. Let $\bar{l}, \bar{r}, \bar{s}$ be the circles $\partial D^{2} \times\{*\} \times\{*\},\{*\} \times S^{1} \times\{*\},\{*\} \times\{*\} \times S^{1}$ in $\partial\left(D^{2} \times T^{2}\right)$ respectively. $S^{4}=T w \cup_{f} T^{2} \times D^{2}$ where $f_{*}[\bar{l} \bar{r} \bar{s}]=[l r s]$. Put $T_{0}=T^{2} \times D^{2} \cap T w \subset S^{4}$. Assume that $K$ is a torus $T^{2}$-knot contained in $T_{0}$. Denote $K$ by $K\left(p, q, q^{\prime}\right)$ if $[K]=p(r \times s)+q(s \times l)+$ $q^{\prime}(l \times r)$ in $H_{2}(\partial(T w))$ where $p, q, q^{\prime} \in \mathbf{Z}$. Note that by Lemma $2.5, p$, $q, q^{\prime}$ determines the knot type of $K$.

Let $h: T_{0} \rightarrow T_{0}$ be a diffeomorphism with $h_{*}[l r s]=[l r s] A^{h}$, where $A^{h} \in \mathrm{GL}_{3} \mathrm{Z}$. There is a diffeomorphism $\bar{h}: S^{4} \rightarrow S^{4}$ such that $\bar{h} \mid T_{0}=h$ if and only if $A^{h} \in H$, where

$$
H=\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 
\pm 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a & b \\
0 & c & d
\end{array}\right] \in \mathrm{GL}_{3} \mathbf{Z} \right\rvert\, a+b+c+d \equiv 0(\bmod 2)\right\}
$$

(see Theorem 5.3 in [7] and Lemma 2.6 in [3]). If

$$
h_{*}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
l & s
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
l & r & s
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a & b \\
0 & c & d
\end{array}\right],
$$

then

$$
h_{*}[r \times s s \times l l \times r]=[r \times s s \times l l \times r]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a d-b c & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \varepsilon d & -\varepsilon c \\
0 & -\varepsilon b & \varepsilon a
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Therefore if

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
p \\
q \\
q^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a d-b c & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \varepsilon d & -\varepsilon c \\
0 & -\varepsilon b & \varepsilon a
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
p_{1} \\
q_{1} \\
q_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right],
$$

$K\left(p, q, q^{\prime}\right)$ and $K\left(p_{1}, q_{1}, q_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ have the same knot type. Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{GL}_{2} \mathbf{Z} /\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, a+b+c+d \equiv 0(\bmod 2)\right\} \\
=\left\{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

every torus knot is equivalent to $K(p, q, 0)$ or $K(p, q, q)$ for some nonnegative integers $p, q$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(p, q)=1$.

It is easy to check the following:
Lemma 2.6. $K(p, q, 0)=S(k(p, q))$ and $K(p, q, q)=\tilde{S}(k(p, q))$.
Therefore, every torus $T^{2}$-knot is equivalent to $S(k(p, q))$ or $\tilde{S}(k(p, q))$ for some $p, q$. Since $k(p, q)=k(q, p)$, we have $K(p, q, 0)=$ $K(q, p, 0)$ and $K(p, q, q)=K(q, p, p)$. It is clear that $\pi_{1}\left(S^{3}-k(p, q)\right) \cong$ $\pi_{1}\left(S^{4}-K(p, q, 0)\right) \cong \pi_{1}\left(S^{4}-K(p, q, q)\right)$. The following theorem is known. For example, see [10], p. 54.

Theorem 2.7. (O. Schreier.) If $1<p<q$ and $1<p^{\prime}<q^{\prime}$, then $\pi_{1}\left(S^{3}-k(p, q)\right) \cong \pi_{1}\left(S^{3}-k\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)\right)$ if and only if $p=p^{\prime}, q=q^{\prime}$.

The exteriors of $K(p, q, 0)$ and $K(p, q, q)$ have the same homotopy type. But,

Lemma 2.8. If $p>1$ and $q>1$, then the exteriors of $K(p, q, 0)$ and $K(p, q, q)$ have different diffeomorphism types.

Proof. Put $K=K(p, q, 0)$ or $K(p, q, q), k=k(p, q)$. Recall that $S^{4}-\operatorname{Int} N(K)=\left(B^{3}-\operatorname{Int} N(k)\right) \times S^{1} \cup S^{2} \times D^{2}$. Let $i$ be the inclusion $\operatorname{map} \partial N(K) \rightarrow S^{4}-$ Int $N(K)$ and $*$ be a point in $\partial N(k)$. Then, $[\{*\} \times$ $\left.S^{1}\right] \in \pi_{1}(\partial N(K))$ generates $\operatorname{Ker}\left(i_{*}: \pi_{1}(\partial N(K)) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(S^{4}-\operatorname{Int} N(K)\right)\right)$.

Fact. Let $X$ be a spin 4-manifold with $\partial X=T^{3}$. Let $C_{1}, C_{2}$ be two loops in $\partial X$ with a diffeomorphism $\partial X \rightarrow S^{1} \times S^{1} \times S^{1}$ which maps $C_{1}, C_{2}$ to $\{*\} \times\{*\} \times S^{1}$ and $\left\{*^{\prime}\right\} \times\{*\} \times S^{1}\left(* \neq *^{\prime}\right)$. Assume that [ $\left.C_{1}\right]=\left[C_{2}\right]=0$ in $H_{1}(X ; \mathbf{Z} / 2)$. Let $D_{i}$ be a 2-chain in $X$ such that (a) $\partial D_{i}(\bmod 2)=C_{i}(i=1,2),(\mathrm{b})\left[D_{1}\right]=\left[D_{2}\right]$ in $H_{2}(X, \partial X ; \mathbf{Z} / 2)$, (c) $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ meet transversely. Then, $D_{1} \cdot D_{2}(\bmod 2)$ is determined by [ $C_{1}$ ] in $H_{1}(\partial X ; \mathbf{Z} / 2)$ (see the proof of Lemma 2.10 in [3]).

Put $Y\left(\left[C_{1}\right]\right)=D_{1} \cdot D_{2} \in \mathbf{Z} / 2$.
For $K(p, q, 0)($ resp. $K(p, q, q)), Y\left(\left[\{*\} \times S^{1}\right]\right)=0$ (resp. 1). This completes the proof.

We have proved :
Proposition 2.9. Any torus $T^{2}$-knot is equivalent to one and only one of the following.
(i) $K(p, q, 0), 1<p<q, \operatorname{gcd}(p, q)=1$;
(ii) $K(p, q, q), 1<p<q, \operatorname{gcd}(p, q)=1$;
(iii) unknotted $T^{2}$-knot.

For the definition of good torus fibrations (GTF), see [6] or [3], §3.

Lemma 2.10. The exteriors of $K(p, q, 0)$ and $K(p, q, q)$ have structures of good torus fibrations with one twin singular fiber of multiplicity $p$ and one multiple torus of multiplicity $q$.

Proof. Recall that $S^{4}=T w \cup_{f} T^{2} \times D^{2}$ where $f_{*}[\bar{l} \bar{r} \bar{s}]=[l r s]$ and that $T_{0}=T w \cap T^{2} \times D^{2} \subset S^{4}$. Let pr: $T^{3}=T^{1} \times T^{2} \rightarrow T^{1}$ be the projection map to the first coordinate and let $h: T_{0} \rightarrow T^{3}$ be a diffeomorphism such that (pr $\cdot h)_{*}$ maps $l, r, s$ to $q \gamma, p \gamma, 0$ (resp. $q \gamma$, $p \gamma, p \gamma$ ) where $\gamma$ is a generator of $H_{1}\left(T^{1}\right)$. Since $-p l+q r \mapsto 0$ and $s \mapsto 0$ (resp. $-p l+q r \mapsto 0$ and $s-r \mapsto 0$ ), pr $\cdot h$ has fiber $(-p l+q r) \times s=$ $q(r \times s)+p(s \times l)($ resp. $(-p l+q r) \times(s-r)=q(r \times s)+p(s \times l)+p(l \times r))$. By Proposition 3.12 and Definition 3.16 in [3], pr $\cdot h$ extends to a GTF $f: S^{4} \rightarrow S^{2}$ with one twin singular fiber of multiplicity $p$ and one multiple torus of multiplicity $q$. Since a general fiber in $T_{0}$ is $K(p, q, 0)$ (resp. $K(p, q, q)$ ), the lemma is proved.
3. Dehn-surgery along a torus $T^{2}$-knot. In this section, $K$ denotes $K(p, q, 0)$ or $K(p, q, q)$ and $k$ denotes $k(p, q)$ (the classical torus knot of type $(p, q)$ in $S^{3}$ ). We assume that $K$ is embedded in $S^{4}$ as is in the proof of Lemma 2.10.

We choose a basis $\left\langle m, l_{1}, l_{2}\right\rangle$ of $H_{1}(\partial N(K))$ as follows. Let $m$ denote the meridian curve of $k$, which can be regarded as the meridian curve of $K$. Let $l_{0}$ be the preferred longitude of $k$. Put $l_{1}=l_{0} \times\{*\} \subset$ $\left(B^{3}-\operatorname{Int} N(k)\right) \times S^{1} \cup S^{2} \times D^{2}=S^{4}-\operatorname{Int} N(K)$. We orient $m$ and $l_{1}$ so that $p q m+l_{1}=-p l+q r$ in $T_{0}$. Put $l_{2}=s$ for $K(p, q, 0)$ and $l_{2}=$ $s-r$ for $K(p, q, q)$. Note that $l_{2}$ generates the kernel of $\pi_{1}(\partial N(K)) \rightarrow$ $\pi_{1}\left(S^{4}-\operatorname{Int} N(K)\right)$.

Remark 3.1. Note that for $K=K(p, q, 0)$ or $K(p, q, q)$ a general fiber contained in $\partial N(K)$ represents $\left(p q m+l_{1}\right) \times l_{2}$ in $H_{2}(\partial N(K))$.

Definition 3.2. Let $K$ be a torus $T^{2}$-knot in $S^{4} . N(K)$ is diffeomorphic to $T^{2} \times D^{2}$. Let $i: \partial N(K) \rightarrow \partial\left(S^{4}-\operatorname{Int} N(K)\right)$ be the natural identification and $h: \partial N(K) \rightarrow \partial N(K)$ be a diffeomorphism such that $i \cdot h(m)=\alpha m+\beta l_{1}+\gamma l_{2} . M=\overline{S^{4}-N(K)} \cup_{i \cdot h} N(K)$ is called the manifold obtained by Dehn-surgery of type ( $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ ) along $K$.

Montesinos showed that any homotopy 4 -sphere obtained by Dehnsurgery along an unknotted $T^{2}$-knot in $S^{4}$ is diffeomorphic to $S^{4}$ (see [7], p. 187). Pao studied the 4-manifolds with effective $T^{2}$-action in [9]. All the 4-manifolds obtained by Dehn-surgeries along an unknotted $T^{2}$-knot are contained in his list. See also [3].

Note that the diffeomorphism type of $M$ is determined by $K$ and $i \cdot h(m)$ (see Remark 2.7. in [3]).

Dehn-surgery along any $T^{2}$-knot can be defined in the same way, but the description of the type of the surgery might be a little complicated.

Proposition 3.3. Assume that a Dehn-surgery of type $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is performed along $K(p, q, 0)$ (resp. $K(p, q, q)$ ). Denote the manifold by $M$.
(i) If $\operatorname{gcd}(\alpha, \beta)=1$, then $\pi_{1}(M)$ is isomorphic to the fundamental group of the manifold obtained by a Dehn-surgery of type ( $\alpha, \beta$ ) along a torus $T^{1}$-knot of type $(p, q)$.
(ii) $H_{1}(M) \cong \mathbf{Z} / \alpha$.
(iii) $M$ is spin if and only if $\beta \gamma \equiv 0($ resp. $(1-\beta) \gamma \equiv 0)(\bmod 2)$ or $\alpha \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$.

Proof. The proofs of (i) and (ii) are almost clear. For (iii), we need a lemma.

Lemma 3.4. Put $X=S^{4}-\operatorname{Int} N$ where $N$ is a tubular neighborhood of a $T^{2}$-knot (not necessarily a torus $T^{2}$-knot) $K$. Let $Y$ be the function defined in the proof of Lemma 2.8. Assume that $\operatorname{Ker}\left(i_{*}: H_{1}(\partial X ; \mathbf{Z} / 2) \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.H_{1}(X ; \mathbf{Z} / 2)\right)=\left\{0, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ where $i: \partial X \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion map. Then, one of $Y\left(e_{i}\right)$ 's is 1 , the others are 0.

Proof. Note that if $\{i, j, k\}=\{1,2,3\}$, then $e_{k}=e_{i}+e_{j}$ holds.
Let $V$ be a 2 -sided 3-dimensional submanifold of $S^{4}$ such that $\partial V=K$ and that $(N, N \cap V)$ is diffeomorphic to $\left(D^{2} \times T^{2}, r \times T^{2}\right)$ where $r$ is a radius of $D^{2}$. Put $V_{0}=\partial N \cap V$. Note that $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ are represented by curves in $V_{0}$. Assume that $i_{*}: H_{1}\left(V_{0} ; \mathbf{Z} / 2\right) \rightarrow H_{1}(V ; \mathbf{Z} / 2)$ is injective ( $i$ is the inclusion map). Then, the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence shows that $H_{2}(V ; \mathbf{Z} / 2) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(V, V_{0} ; \mathbf{Z} / 2\right)$ is surjective. Since $H_{3}\left(V, V_{0} ; \mathbf{Z} / 2\right) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(V_{0} ; \mathbf{Z} / 2\right)$ is bijective, therefore $H_{2}(V ; \mathbf{Z} / 2) \rightarrow$ $H_{2}\left(V, V_{0} ; \mathbf{Z} / 2\right)$ is injective. By Poincaré duality, $H_{2}\left(V, V_{0} ; \mathbf{Z} / 2\right)$ is isomorphic to $H^{1}(V ; \mathbf{Z} / 2)$, which is isomorphic to $H_{1}(V ; \mathbf{Z} / 2)$. Therefore $\chi(V)=1$. Put $\bar{V}=V \cup_{\partial} S^{1} \times D^{2}$. Then,

$$
\chi(\bar{V})=\chi(V)+\chi\left(S^{1} \times D^{2}\right)-\chi(\partial V)=1 .
$$

Since $\bar{V}$ is a closed 3-manifold, this is a contradiction. Therefore $\operatorname{Ker}\left(i_{*}: H_{1}\left(V_{0} ; \mathbf{Z} / 2\right) \rightarrow H_{1}(V ; \mathbf{Z} / 2)\right)$ contains $e_{i}$, one element of $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right.$, $\left.e_{3}\right\}$. Since $V$ has trivial normal bundle, we can move $V$ slightly into $V^{\prime}$ so that $V \cap V^{\prime}=\varnothing$. Therefore $Y\left(e_{i}\right)=0$.

Let $m$ be the meridian curve of $K$ and we consider the manifold $Q=X \cup_{a} T w$ with the attaching map $a: \partial(T w) \rightarrow \partial X$ satisfying $a_{*}[l r s]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\tilde{m} & \left.\tilde{e}_{j} \tilde{e}_{k}\right] \text { where }\left\langle\tilde{m}, \tilde{e}_{j}, \tilde{e}_{k}\right\rangle \text { is a basis of } H_{1}(\partial X ; \mathbf{Z}) \text { whose }\end{array}\right.$ mod 2 reduction is $\left\langle m, e_{j}, e_{k}\right\rangle$. The Mayer-Vietoris sequence with coefficients in $\mathbf{Z} / 2$

$$
H_{2}(X) \oplus H_{2}(T w) \xrightarrow{j_{.}} H_{2}(Q) \rightarrow H_{1}(\partial X) \xrightarrow{i \oplus} H_{1}(X) \oplus H_{1}(T w)
$$

shows that

$$
H_{2}(Q ; \mathbf{Z} / 2)=\operatorname{Im}\left(j_{*}\right) \oplus\left\langle\left[D\left(e_{j}\right)+D(r)\right],\left[D\left(e_{k}\right)+D(s)\right]\right\rangle,
$$

where $D(c)$ is a mod 22 -chain satisfying $\partial D(c)=c$ and $D\left(e_{j}\right), D\left(e_{k}\right)$ $\subset X, D(r), D(s) \subset T w$. The self-intersection number on $\operatorname{Im}\left(j_{*}\right)$ is zero since $X$ and $T w$ are subsets of $S^{4}$. Since $\left[D\left(e_{j}\right)+D(r)\right]^{2}=$ $Y\left(e_{j}\right)+Y(r)=Y\left(e_{j}\right)$ and $\left[D\left(e_{k}\right)+D(s)\right]^{2}=Y\left(e_{k}\right)+Y(s)=Y\left(e_{k}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[D\left(e_{i}\right)+D(r+s)\right]^{2} } & =\left[D\left(e_{j}\right)+D\left(e_{k}\right)+D(r)+D(s)\right]^{2} \\
& =\left[D\left(e_{j}\right)+D(r)\right]^{2}+\left[D\left(e_{k}\right)+D(s)\right]^{2} \\
& =Y\left(e_{j}\right)+Y\left(e_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, $\left[D\left(e_{i}\right)+D(r+s)\right]^{2}=Y\left(e_{i}\right)+Y(r+s)=0+1=1$. Therefore $Y\left(e_{j}\right)=0, Y\left(e_{k}\right)=1$ or $Y\left(e_{j}\right)=1, Y\left(e_{k}\right)=0$.

We now continue with the proof of Proposition 3.3.
If $\alpha$ is odd, $H_{2}(M ; \mathbf{Z} / 2)$ is zero. Therefore $M$ is spin. Assume that $\alpha$ is even. The Mayer-Vietoris sequence with coefficients in $\mathbf{Z} / 2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{2}\left(D^{2} \times T^{2}\right) \oplus H_{2}(X) & \xrightarrow{j_{\bullet}} H_{2}(M) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\partial\left(D^{2} \times T^{2}\right)\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{i_{\rightarrow}} H_{1}\left(D^{2} \times T^{2}\right) \oplus H_{1}(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

shows that $H_{2}(M ; \mathbf{Z} / 2)=\operatorname{Im}\left(j_{*}\right) \oplus\left\langle\left[D_{M}+D\left(\alpha m+\beta l_{1}+\gamma l_{2}\right)\right]\right\rangle$ where $X$ is the knot exterior and $D_{M}$ is the meridian disk of $D^{2} \times T^{2}$ and $D\left(\alpha m+\beta l_{1}+\gamma l_{2}\right)$ is a mod 22 -chain in $X$ with $\partial D\left(\alpha m+\beta l_{1}+\gamma l_{2}\right)=$ $\alpha m+\beta l_{1}+\gamma l_{2}$. For $K=K(p, q, 0)$ (resp. $\left.K(p, q, q)\right), Y\left(l_{2}\right)=0$ (resp. 1) and $Y\left(l_{1}\right)=0$. By Lemma 3.4, $Y\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right)=1$ (resp. 0 ). Therefore $\left[D_{M}+D\left(\alpha m+\beta l_{1}+\gamma l_{2}\right)\right]^{2}=\beta \gamma \bmod 2($ resp. $(1-\beta) \gamma \bmod 2)$. Since the self-intersection number on $\operatorname{Im}\left(j_{*}\right)$ is zero, this completes the proof.

Proposition 3.5. If a closed 4-manifold $M$ is obtained by Glucksurgery along an $S^{2}$-knot in $S^{4}$, then $M$ is also obtained by Dehnsurgery along a $T^{2}$-knot in $S^{4}$.

Proof. Here $K$ denotes the $S^{2}$-knot. Identify $N(K) \cong S^{2} \times D^{2}$ with $\hat{\mathbf{C}} \times D$ where $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$ is the Riemann sphere and $D$ is the unit disk in C. Recall that $M=\left(S^{4}-\operatorname{Int} N(K)\right) \cup_{\tau} N(K)$ is the manifold obtained by Gluck-surgery along $K$ where $\tau(u, v)=(u v, v)$. Observe that $\tau(\{|u|=c\} \times \partial D)=\{|u|=c\} \times \partial D$ for any $c \in \mathbf{R} \cup\{\infty\}$. Embed $\left(D^{3} \times D^{1}, D^{3} \times \partial D^{1}\right)$ in $\left(S^{4}-\operatorname{Int} N(K), \partial N(K)\right)$ with $D^{3} \times\{-1\} \subset$ $D_{0} \times \partial D^{2}$ and $D^{3} \times\{1\} \subset D_{\infty} \times \partial D^{2}$ where $D_{0}=\{|z| \leq 1 / 9\} \subset \hat{\mathbf{C}}$ and $D_{\infty}=\{|z| \geq 9\} \subset \hat{\mathbf{C}}$. Let $H$ denote its image. One can consider $H$ as a 1-handle attached to $N(K)$. Verify that there exists an annulus $A_{0}$ (resp. $A_{\infty}$ ) properly embedded in $D_{0} \times D^{2}$ (resp. $D_{\infty} \times D^{2}$ ) such that $K^{\prime}=\left(\hat{\mathbf{C}}-\operatorname{Int} D_{0}-\operatorname{Int} D_{\infty}\right) \times\{0\} \cup A_{0} \cup U \times D^{1} \cup A_{\infty}$ is an embedded torus where $U$ is an unknot in $D^{3}$. Especially, if $K$ is unknotted, then so is $K^{\prime}$.
$K^{\prime}$ has a tubular neighborhood $N\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
N\left(K^{\prime}\right)\left|\left(\hat{\mathbf{C}}-\operatorname{Int}\left(D_{0} \cup D_{\infty}\right)\right)=N(K)\right|\left(\hat{\mathbf{C}}-\operatorname{Int}\left(D_{0} \cup D_{\infty}\right)\right)
$$

and $N\left(K^{\prime}\right) \subset N(K) \cup H$ and $N\left(K^{\prime}\right) \mid(U \times\{*\})=N_{0}(U) \times\{*\}$ where $N_{0}(U)$ is a tubular neighborhood of $U$ in $D^{3}$.

Let $f: \partial N\left(K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \partial N\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ be a diffeomorphism such that

$$
f\left|\left(\hat{\mathbf{C}}-\operatorname{Int}\left(D_{0} \cup D_{\infty}\right)\right) \times \partial D=\tau\right|\left(\hat{\mathbf{C}}-\operatorname{Int}\left(D_{0} \cup D_{\infty}\right)\right) \times \partial D
$$

and

$$
f\left(\partial N\left(K^{\prime}\right) \cap D^{3} \times\{*\}\right)=\partial N\left(K^{\prime}\right) \cap D^{3} \times\{*\} .
$$

Put $M^{\prime}=\left(S^{4}-\operatorname{Int} N\left(K^{\prime}\right)\right) \cup_{f} N\left(K^{\prime}\right)$.
Construct a diffeomorphism $F: M^{\prime} \rightarrow M$ as follows. Put

$$
F \mid\left(S^{4}-\left(D_{0} \cup D_{\infty}\right) \times D-H\right)=\mathrm{id}
$$

$M_{*}^{\prime}=\left(D^{3}-\operatorname{Int} N_{0}(U)\right) \times\{*\} \cup_{f} N_{0}(U) \times\{*\}$ is the manifold obtained by Dehn-surgery of type $(1,1)$ or $(1,-1)$ along $U$ in $D^{3}$. Therefore there exists a diffeomorphism $F_{*}: M_{*}^{\prime} \rightarrow D^{3} \times\{*\}$ with $F_{*} \mid \partial=$ id. Put $F \mid M_{*}^{\prime}=F_{*}$. Finally, extend $F \mid \partial\left(D_{0} \times D\right)$ and $F \mid \partial\left(D_{\infty} \times D\right)$ to $D_{0} \times D$ and $D_{\infty} \times D$.

This completes the proof.
Proposition 3.6. If a Dehn-surgery of type $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is performed along $K(p, q, 0)$ or $K(p, q, q)$ and $\sigma=|p q \beta-\alpha| \neq 0$, then the manifold obtained is the total space of a good torus fibration over $S^{2}$ with one twin singular fiber of multiplicity $p$ and two multiple tori of multiplicity $q$ and $\sigma$.

Proof. Put $K=K(p, q, 0)$ or $K(p, q, q)$. By Lemma 2.10, the exterior of $K$ has the structure of GTF. The intersection number of
$i \cdot h(m)=\alpha m+\beta l_{1}+\gamma l_{2}$ and the fiber $\left(p q m+l_{1}\right) \times l_{2}$ in $\partial N(K)$ is $\pm(\alpha-p q \beta)$. Therefore, after surgery, a fiber is homologous to $\pm(\alpha-p q \beta) C$ in $N(K)$, where $C$ is the core of $N(K)$. Now the proposition is proved. (See Definition 3.16 in [3].)

Remark 3.7. If $\sigma=1$, then the manifold has only one twin singular fiber and one multiple torus. Therefore it is diffeomorphic to $L_{|\alpha|}$ or $L_{|\alpha|}^{\prime}$ by the Main Theorem of [3] and Proposition 3.3.(ii). If $\alpha \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 2)$, then it is $L_{|\alpha|}$ if and only if either $K=K(p, q, 0)$ and $\gamma \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 2)$ or $K=K(p, q, q)$. See Proposition 3.3.(iii).

Corollary 3.8. The manifold obtained by the Gluck-surgery along an untwisted spun ( $S^{2}$ ) knot of any torus ( $S^{1-}$ ) knot is the 4 -sphere.

Proof. By the proof of Proposition 3.5, the manifold is diffeomorphic to the one obtained by a Dehn-surgery of type $(1,0, \pm 1)$ along a torus $T^{2}$-knot. Since $L_{1}=S^{4}$, Corollary is proved.

The following Proposition is almost clear.
Proposition 3.9. If a Dehn-surgery of type ( $\alpha, \beta, 0$ ) is performed along $K(p, q, 0)$ (resp. $K(p, q, q)$ ), then the manifold obtained is $\left(M_{0}-\operatorname{Int} B^{3}\right) \times S^{1} \cup_{h} S^{2} \times D^{2}$ where $M_{0}$ is the manifold obtained by a Dehn-surgery of type $(\alpha, \beta)$ along $k(p, q)$ and $h=\mathrm{id}$ (resp. $\tau)$.

Corollary 3.10. If a Dehn-surgery of type $(p q, 1,0)$ is performed along $K(p, q, 0)$ or $K(p, q, q)$, then the manifold obtained is $L_{p} \# L_{q}$.

Proof. The manifold obtained is $\left(L-\operatorname{Int} B^{3}\right) \times S^{1} \cup S^{2} \times D^{2}$ where $L=L(p, q) \# L(q, p)$. Corollary 4.10 in [3] completes the proof.

Proposition 3.11. If a Dehn-surgery of type $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is performed along $K(p, q, 0)$ or $K(p, q, q)$ and $\sigma=|p q \beta-\alpha|=0$, then the manifold obtained is an irrational connected sum along circles of either $L_{m}$ or $L_{m}^{\prime}$ and $L(n, r) \times S^{1}$ for some $m, n, r$.

Proof. In this case, the meridian of 4-dimensional solid torus $s T^{4}$ is attached in a fiber of a GTF of the knot exterior. Recall that the knot exterior $X$ is made of $T w$ and $D^{2} \times T^{2}$ pasted together along $A$, where $A$ is diffeomorphic to $D^{1} \times T^{2}$.

Put $\partial\left(D^{2} \times T^{2}\right)-\operatorname{Int} A=B$ and $\partial(T w)-\operatorname{Int} A=C . B$ and $C$ are diffeomorphic to $T^{2} \times D^{1}$. Let $h: \partial\left(s T^{4}\right) \rightarrow B \cup C$ be the attaching
map. Since $B \cap C$ is a disjoint union of two fibers, we may assume that $h^{-1}(B)=\partial D^{2} \times S^{1} \times[*, * *] \subset \partial D^{2} \times S^{1} \times S^{1}=\partial\left(D^{2} \times T^{2}\right)$, $h^{-1}(C)=\partial D^{2} \times S^{1} \times[* *, *] \subset \partial D^{2} \times S^{1} \times S^{1}=\partial\left(D^{2} \times T^{2}\right)$. Put $V=D^{2} \times S^{1} \times[*, * *]$ and $V^{\prime}=D^{2} \times S^{1} \times[* *, *] . V \cup V^{\prime}=s T^{4}$. The manifold obtained by the Dehn-surgery is

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =D^{2} \times T^{2} \cup T w \cup s T^{4} \\
& =D^{2} \times T^{2} \cup T w \cup\left(V \cup V^{\prime}\right)=\left(D^{2} \times T^{2} \cup V\right) \cup\left(T w \cup V^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\partial\left(D^{2} \times T^{2} \cup V\right)=\partial\left(T w \cup V^{\prime}\right)=S^{2} \times S^{1}$. If we attach $D^{3} \times S^{1}$ to $D^{2} \times T^{2} \cup V$ (resp. $T w \cup V^{\prime}$ ) in the natural way, $V \cup D^{3} \times S^{1}$ (resp. $V^{\prime} \cup D^{3} \times S^{1}$ ) is diffeomorphic to $D^{2} \times T^{2}$.

It is easy to show that $D^{2} \times T^{2} \cup D^{2} \times T^{2}$ is $L(n, r) \times S^{1}$ for some $n, r$. The proof of Theorem 4.1 in [3] says that $T w \cup D^{2} \times T^{2}$ is $L_{m}$ or $L_{m}^{\prime}$. This completes the proof.
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