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#### Abstract

Let $M$ be a von Neumann algebra with a von Neumann subalgebra $M_{0}$. If $E$ is a conditional expectation (i.e., projection of norm one) from $M$ into $M_{0}$, then any faithful normal state $\varphi_{0}$ admits a natural extension $\varphi_{0} \circ E$ with respect to $E$ in the sense that $E=E_{\varphi_{0} \cdot E}$. If $E_{\omega}$ is only an $\omega$-conditional expectation, then $\varphi_{0} \circ E_{\omega}$ is not always an extension of $\varphi_{0}$. This paper is devoted to the construction of an extension $\tilde{\varphi}_{0}$ of $\varphi_{0}$ generalizing the above situation for $\omega$-conditional expectations, which leads also to a Radon-Nikodym theorem for $\omega$ conditional expectation under suitable majorization condition.


Let $M$ be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal state $\omega$ and $M_{0}$ a von Neumann subalgebra of $M$. A conditional expectation of $M$ onto $M_{0}$ leaving $\omega$ invariant exists if and only if $M_{0}$ is stable under the modular group $\sigma^{\omega}$. This is a result of Takesaki ([15], 10.1) and it was the reason for a generalized conditional expectation $E_{\omega}: M \rightarrow M_{0}$, which always exists and is referred to as the $\omega$-conditional expectation, to be introduced by Accardi and Cecchini ([1]). If $E_{\omega}$ is actually a projection, then for a faithful normal state $\varphi_{0}$ on $M_{0}$ the composition $\tilde{\varphi}_{0}=\varphi_{0} \circ E_{\omega}$ is a natural extension of $\varphi_{0}$ to $M$ and $E_{\omega}=E_{\tilde{\varphi}}$. In general, $\varphi_{0} \circ E_{\omega}$ is not an extension of $\varphi_{0}$ and as a consequence of Theorem 4 in [11] (see also [12]) there is no extension of $\varphi_{0}$ possessing the same generalized conditional expectation mapping as $\omega$. We give a construction of a $\tilde{\varphi}_{0}$ that can be described briefly as follows.

Assuming that $M \subset B(H)$ and $\omega$ is determined by a cyclic and separating vector $\Omega \in H$, we consider the restriction of the action of $M_{0}$ to $\left[M_{0} \Omega\right]=H_{0}$. There is a natural positive cone $P_{0} \subset H_{0}$ with respect to $M_{0}$ such that $\omega \mid M_{0}$ and $\varphi_{0}$ have the vector representatives $\Omega$ and $\Phi_{0}$ in $P_{0}$, respectively. We say that the vector state $\tilde{\varphi}_{0}(a)=$ $\left\langle a \Phi_{0}, \Phi_{0}\right\rangle$ is the canonical extension of $\varphi_{0}$ with respect to $\omega$. If the cocycle $\left[D \varphi_{0}, D\left(\omega \mid M_{0}\right)\right]_{t}$ is in the fixed point algebra of $E_{\omega}$, then our $\tilde{\varphi}_{0}$ reduces to $\varphi_{0} \circ E_{\omega}$, and of course, this is the case where $E_{\omega}$ is a projection. In fact, $\tilde{\varphi}_{0}$ depends rather on $E_{\omega}$ than $\omega$ itself; that is, if
$E_{\omega}=E_{\psi}$ then $\left(\varphi_{0}\right)^{\sim \omega}=\left(\varphi_{0}\right)^{\sim \psi}$. In general, $E_{\omega}\left(v^{*} a v\right)=E_{\psi}(a)$ where $v$ is an appropriate isometry in $M$ and $\psi$ stands for $\left(\varphi_{0}\right)^{\sim \omega}$.

Our references on von Neumann algebras and their modular theory are [14] and [15]. We use the standard notations of the TomitaTakesaki theory without any explanation. $H$ will denote always a Hilbert space and if $M \subset B(H)$ then $M^{\prime}$ is the commutant of $M$. For the sake of convenience, states on $M^{\prime}$ are marked with a prime, for example $\omega^{\prime}$ etc.

The main results are contained in $\S \S 3$ and 4.

1. Preliminaries. In this section we shall present some facts about the spatial theory of integration on von Neumann algebras, $\omega$-conditional expectations etc., which we shall use in this paper. Those facts will be the extensions of results contained in the original papers quoted from time to time.

Let $M \subset B(H)$ be a von Neumann algebra with commutant $M^{\prime}$ and $\psi \in M_{*}^{+}$. The lineal of $\psi$ is defined ([7], [9], [13], see also [15], 7.1) as follows:

$$
D(H, \psi)=\left\{\xi \in H:\|a \xi\| \leq C_{\xi} \psi\left(a^{*} a\right) \text { for all } a \in M\right\}
$$

When $\psi$ is of the form $\psi(a)=\langle a \Psi, \Psi\rangle(a \in M)$ for some $\Psi \in H$, then $D(H, \psi)=M^{\prime} \Psi$.

Lemma 1.1. $D(H, \psi)^{-}=\operatorname{supp} \psi$.
Proof. Let $p=\operatorname{supp} \psi$ and $q$ be the projection onto closure of $D(H, \psi)$. If $\xi \in D(H, \psi)$ then $\left\|p^{\perp} \xi\right\| \leq C_{\xi} \psi\left(p^{\perp}\right)=0$ and so $q \leq p$. On the other hand, $\psi(a)=\sum\left\langle a \eta_{i}, \eta_{i}\right\rangle$ with a sequence $\left(\eta_{i}\right)$ from $H$. Clearly, $\eta_{i} \in D(H, \psi)$. Since $\psi(p-q)=\sum\left\langle(p-q) \eta_{i}, \eta_{i}\right\rangle=0$ we obtain $q=p$.

When $\omega$ is a faithful normal state on $M$ and $\psi \in M_{*}^{+}$with support $p$ then the functional $\bar{\psi}(\cdot)=\psi(\cdot)+\omega\left(p^{\perp} \cdot p^{\perp}\right)$ is faithful. This simple trick will allow us to reduce the non-faithful case to the faithful one.

Lemma 1.2. If $\psi \in M_{*}^{+}, p=\operatorname{supp} \psi$ and $\bar{\psi}$ is a faithful normal functional such that $\bar{\psi}-\psi$ is orthogonal to $\psi$, then $D(H, \psi)=p D(H, \bar{\psi})$.

Proof. Let $\xi \in D(H, \bar{\psi})$. Then

$$
\|a p \xi\| \leq C_{\xi} \bar{\psi}\left(p a^{*} a p\right)=C_{\xi} \psi\left(a^{*} a\right)
$$

for every $a \in M$ and hence $p \xi \in D(H, \psi)$. The other inclusion is obvious.

Set $\left(\Psi, \pi_{\psi}, H_{\psi}\right)$ as the GNS-triple corresponding to $\psi$. It is possible to define for $\xi \in D(H, \psi)$ a bounded operator

$$
R^{\psi}(\xi): H_{\psi} \rightarrow H
$$

such that

$$
R^{\psi}(\xi) \pi_{\psi}(a)=a \xi \quad(a \in M)
$$

It was proved in [7] that

$$
\Theta^{\psi}(\xi)=R^{\psi}(\xi) R^{\psi}(\xi)^{*} \in M^{\prime}
$$

(See also [15], 7.1.)
Lemma 1.3. Let $\psi, \omega \in M_{*}^{+}$such that $\psi \leq \lambda \omega$. Then $D(H, \psi) \subset$ $D(H, \omega)$ and $\Theta^{\omega}(\xi) \leq \lambda^{2} \Theta^{\psi}(\xi)$ for $\xi \in D(H, \psi)$.

Proof. $D(H, \psi) \subseteq D(H, \omega)$ follows immediately from the definition. Define $v: H_{\omega} \rightarrow H_{\psi}$ by $v \pi_{\omega}(a) \Omega=\pi_{\psi}(a) \Psi(a \in M)$. Then the diagram

$$
H \stackrel{R^{\omega}(\xi)}{\leftrightarrows} H_{\omega}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
R^{\nu}(\xi) \backslash \quad L^{v} \\
H_{\psi}
\end{gathered}
$$

is commutative. Since $\|v\| \leq \lambda$ we have

$$
R^{\omega}(\xi) R^{\omega}(\xi)^{*}=R^{\psi}(\xi) v v^{*} R^{\psi}(\xi)^{*} \leq \lambda^{2} R^{\psi}(\xi) R^{\psi}(\xi)^{*} .
$$

Lemma 1.4. Let $\psi \in M_{*}^{+}$and $M_{0}$ be a von Neumann subalgebra of M. If $\omega$ stands for $\psi \mid M_{0}$, then $D(H, \psi) \subset D(H, \omega)$ and $\Theta^{\omega}(\xi) \leq \Theta^{\psi}(\xi)$ for $\xi \in D(H, \psi)$.

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Lemma 1.3, but we use the diagram

$$
\begin{gathered}
H \underset{R^{\psi}(\xi)}{H}{ }^{R^{\circ}(\xi)} H_{\omega} \\
H_{\psi}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $i: H_{\omega} \rightarrow H_{\psi}$ is the natural embedding.
If $\psi \in M_{*}^{+}$is faithful and $\psi^{\prime} \in\left(M^{\prime}\right)_{*}^{+}$then there exists a positive
selfadjoint operator $\left(d \psi^{\prime} / d \psi\right)$ on $H$ such that
(i) $D(H, \psi)$ is a core for $\left(d \psi^{\prime} / d \psi\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $\left\|\left(d \psi^{\prime} / d \psi\right)^{1 / 2} \xi\right\|^{2}=$ $\psi^{\prime}\left(\Theta^{\psi}(\xi)\right)$ for $\xi \in D(H, \psi)$,
(ii) $\operatorname{supp}\left(d \psi^{\prime} / d \psi\right)=\operatorname{supp} \psi^{\prime}$.
(See [7] or [15], 7.3.)
Proposition 1.5 ([7], p. 158). If $\psi \in M_{*}^{+}$is faithful and $\psi_{1}^{\prime}, \psi_{2}^{\prime} \in$ $\left(M^{\prime}\right)_{*}^{+}$then

$$
\left(d\left(\psi_{1}^{\prime}+\psi_{2}^{\prime}\right) / d \psi\right)=\left(d \psi_{1}^{\prime} / d \psi\right)+\left(d \psi_{2}^{\prime} / d \psi\right)
$$

Here one should add that the sum means form sum. However, we need this result in the case of orthogonal supports when there is no difference.

Lemma 1.6. Let $\psi \in M_{*}^{+}$be faithful and $\bar{\psi}^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime} \in\left(M^{\prime}\right)_{*}^{+}$. If $\psi^{\prime}$ and $\bar{\psi}^{\prime}-\psi^{\prime}$ are orthogonal and $p^{\prime}=\operatorname{supp} \psi^{\prime}$ then

$$
\left(d \psi^{\prime} / d \psi\right)^{\alpha}=\left(d \bar{\psi}^{\prime} / d \psi\right)^{\alpha} p=p\left(d \bar{\psi}^{\prime} / d \psi\right)^{\alpha}
$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \alpha \geq 0$.
Proof. Due to property (ii) above the operators ( $d \psi^{\prime} / d \psi$ ) and $\left(d\left(\bar{\psi}^{\prime}-\psi^{\prime}\right) / d \psi\right)$ have orthogonal supports. Proposition 1.5 and Lemma 1.2 make the proof complete.

Proposition 1.7 ([7], p. 158; [15], 7.4). If both $\psi \in M_{*}^{+}$and $\psi^{\prime} \in$ $\left(M^{\prime}\right)_{*}^{+}$are faithful then $\left(d \psi^{\prime} / d \psi\right)^{-1}=\left(d \psi / d \psi^{\prime}\right)$ and

$$
\left(d \psi / d \psi^{\prime}\right)^{i t} a\left(d \psi / d \psi^{\prime}\right)^{-i t}=\sigma_{t}^{\psi}(a) \quad(t \in \mathbf{R}, a \in M)
$$

Proposition $1.8\left(([5], 2.2)\right.$. If $\psi \in M_{*}^{+}$and $\psi^{\prime} \in\left(M^{\prime}\right)_{*}^{+}$are faithful then

$$
\Theta^{\psi}\left(\left(d \psi / d \psi^{\prime}\right)^{i t} \xi^{\prime}\right)=\sigma_{-t}^{\psi^{\prime}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\psi}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

for every $\xi \in D(H, \psi)$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$.
Lemma 1.9 (cf. [8], 3.1). Let $\psi \in M_{*}^{+}$be faithful and $\psi^{\prime} \in\left(M^{\prime}\right)_{*}^{+}$. Then

$$
\left(d \psi^{\prime} / d \psi\right)^{1 / 2} D(H, \psi) \subset D\left(H, \psi^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $\xi \in D(H, \psi)$ and $a^{\prime} \in M^{\prime}$. Using Hilsum's notation and results ([9]) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|a^{\prime}\left(d \psi^{\prime} / d \psi\right)^{1 / 2} \xi\right\|^{2}=\left\|\left|a^{\prime}\left(d \psi^{\prime} / d \psi\right)^{1 / 2}\right|\right\|^{2} \\
&=\int\left(d \psi^{\prime} / d \psi\right)^{1 / 2} a^{\prime *} a^{\prime}\left(d \psi^{\prime} / d \psi\right)^{1 / 2} \Theta^{\psi}(\xi) d \psi \\
& \leq\left\|\Theta^{\psi}(\xi)\right\| \int\left(d \psi^{\prime} / d \psi\right)^{1 / 2} a^{\prime *} a^{\prime}\left(d \psi^{\prime} / d \psi\right)^{1 / 2} d \psi \\
&=\left\|\Theta^{\psi}(\xi)\right\| \psi^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime *} a^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\xi \in D\left(H, \psi^{\prime}\right)$.
Lemma 1.10 (cf. [3] and [16]). Let $\psi \in M_{*}^{+}$and $\psi^{\prime} \in\left(M^{\prime}\right)_{*}^{+}$be faithful. Then the mapping

$$
i_{\psi}: a \rightarrow \int\left(d \psi / d \psi^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} a\left(d \psi / d \psi^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}(\cdot) d \psi^{\prime} \quad(a \in M)
$$

is a positive linear mapping of $M$ into $M_{*}$. It does not depend on $\psi^{\prime}$. For $a \in M_{+}$the majorization $i_{\psi}(a) \leq\|a\| \psi$ holds. If $\psi$ is faithful then $i_{\psi}$ is injective and $i_{\psi}\left(M_{+}\right)$consists of all $\omega \in M_{*}^{+}$such that $\omega \leq \lambda \psi$ with some $\lambda>0$.

Proof. Since $\left(d \psi / d \psi^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} a\left(d \psi / d \psi^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \in L^{1}(M, \psi)$, the mapping $i_{\psi}$ is well-defined, positive and linear. For faithful $\psi$ the statement is completely covered by 3.7 and 3.9 of [3]. Let $p=\operatorname{supp} \psi$ and take an auxiliary $\bar{\psi} \in M_{*}^{+}$such that it is faithful and $\bar{\psi}-\psi$ is positive and orthogonal to $\psi$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
i_{\psi}(a)(b) & =\int\left(d \psi / d \psi^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} a\left(d \psi / d \psi^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} b d \psi^{\prime} \\
& =\int p\left(d \psi / d \psi^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} a\left(d \psi / d \psi^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} p b d \psi^{\prime} \\
& =\int\left(d \psi / d \psi^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} a\left(d \psi / d \psi^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} p b p d \psi^{\prime}=i_{\bar{\psi}}(a)(p b p)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $a, b \in M$. Now clearly $i_{\psi}(a)$ does not depend on $\psi^{\prime}$ and

$$
i_{\psi}(a)(b)=i_{\bar{\psi}}(a)(p b p) \leq\|a\| \bar{\psi}(p b p)=\|a\| \psi(b)
$$

if $a, b \geq 0$.
Let $M_{0}$ be a von Neumann subalgebra of $M, \psi$ a normal state of $M$ such that $\psi \mid M_{0}=\psi_{0}$ is faithful. In the light of the previous lemma for $a \in M$ there is an element $i_{\psi_{0}}^{-1}\left(i_{\psi}(a) \mid M_{0}\right) \in M_{0}$. We define the $\psi$-conditional expectation $E_{\psi}: M \rightarrow M_{0}$ by setting

$$
E_{\psi}(a)=i_{\psi_{0}}^{-1}\left(i_{\psi}(a) \mid M_{0}\right) .
$$

So $E_{\psi}$ is a positive, unital linear contraction. It generalizes the notion of $\psi$-conditional expectation introduced for a faithful state $\psi$ first in [1] as it follows from [2], [3] and [10].

Proposition 1.11 (cf. [4]). Let $\psi \in M_{*}^{+}$and $M_{0}$ a von Neumann subalgebra of $M$. Assume that $\psi_{0}=\psi \mid M_{0}$ is faithful. Then for $\xi^{\prime} \in$ $D\left(H, \psi^{\prime}\right)$ we have

$$
E_{\psi}\left(\Theta^{\psi^{\prime}}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right)=\Theta^{\psi_{0}^{\prime}}\left(\left(d \psi_{0} / d \psi_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \psi / d \psi^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \xi^{\prime}\right)
$$

if $\psi_{0}^{\prime} \in\left(M_{0}^{\prime}\right)_{*}^{+}$is faithful and $\psi^{\prime}=\psi_{0}^{\prime} \mid M^{\prime}$.
Proof. Due to Lemma $1.9\left(d \psi / d \psi^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \xi^{\prime} \in D(H, \psi) \subset D\left(H, \psi_{0}\right)$, and the right hand side makes sense. By simple calculation we have for $a_{0} \in\left(M_{0}\right)_{+}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{\psi_{0}}\left(\Theta^{\psi_{0}^{\prime}}\left(\left(d \psi_{0} / d \psi_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \psi / d \psi^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \xi^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(a_{0}\right) \\
&= \int\left(d \psi_{0} / d \psi_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} a \Theta^{\psi_{0}^{\prime}}\left(\left(d \psi_{0} / d \psi_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \psi / d \psi^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \xi^{\prime}\right) \\
& \times\left(d \psi_{0} / d \psi_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} a_{0} d \psi^{\prime} \\
&=\left.\|\left|a_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(d \psi_{0} / d \psi_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\right|\left(d \psi_{0} / d \psi_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \psi / d \psi^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \xi^{\prime}\right) \|^{2} \\
&=\left.\| a_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(d \psi / d \psi^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \xi^{\prime}\right) \|^{2}=i_{\psi}\left(\Theta^{\psi^{\prime}}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(a_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We note since the linear span of $\left\{\Theta^{\psi^{\prime}}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right): \xi^{\prime} \in D\left(H, \psi^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ is dense in $M$, the above formula characterizes $E_{\psi}$.
2. Analytic continuation. Let $S=\{z \in \mathbf{C}: 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 1 / 2\}$. For the sake of brevity we say that a function is analytic on $S$ if it is holomorphic on Int $S$ and continuous and bounded on $S$. In this section we consider vector-valued functions defined primarily on the imaginary line and prove that they admit analytic extension to $S$. Most of the results are of auxiliary nature and will be used in the rest of the paper, but some of them are interesting in their own right.
$M$ will be always a von Neumann algebra with commutant $M^{\prime}$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ a faithful normal state on $M^{\prime}$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $z \rightarrow f(z) \in H$ be an analytic function on $S$. If $A \geq$ 0 is a selfadjoint operator on a Hilbert space $H$ and $\left\|A^{1 / 2} f(1 / 2+i t)\right\|$ is bounded on $\mathbf{R}$ then $z \rightarrow A^{z} f(z)$ is analytic on $S$.

Proof. Let $\eta \in D\left(A^{1 / 2}\right)$. So $z \rightarrow\left\langle f(z), A^{\bar{z}} \eta\right\rangle$ is analtyic on $S$. If $\|f(i t)\| \leq K$ and $\|f(1 / 2+i t)\| \leq L$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$, then

$$
\left|\left\langle f(i t), A^{-i t} \eta\right\rangle\right| \leq K\|\eta\| \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\left\langle f(1 / 2+i t), A^{1 / 2-i t} \eta\right\rangle\right| \leq L\|\eta\|
$$

for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Applying the three lines theorem ([8], VI.10.3) we have

$$
\left|\left\langle f(z), A^{\bar{z}} \eta\right\rangle\right| \leq C\|\eta\| \quad\left(\eta \in D\left(A^{1 / 2}\right)\right)
$$

with some constant $C$. Since $D\left(A^{1 / 2}\right)$ is a core for $A^{\bar{z}}$ we conclude that $f(z) \in D\left(A^{z}\right)$. Moreover, $\left\|A^{z} f(z)\right\| \leq C$. The analyticity of the function

$$
z \rightarrow\left\langle A^{z} f(z), \eta\right\rangle \quad\left(\eta \in D\left(A^{1 / 2}\right)\right)
$$

implies that $A^{z} f(z)$ is analytic, indeed.
Proposition 2.2 (cf. [5], 2.3). Let $\varphi, \omega \in M_{*}^{+}$and assume that $\omega$ is faithful. Then the function

$$
z \rightarrow\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{z}\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-z} \xi
$$

is analytic on $S$ for $\xi \in D(H, \omega)$.
Proof. First assume that $\varphi$ is faithful. By an application of Proposition 1.8 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2-i t} \xi\right\|^{2}=\varphi\left(\Theta^{\omega^{\prime}}\left(\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2-i t} \xi\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\varphi\left(\sigma_{-t}^{\omega}\left(\Theta^{\omega^{\prime}}\left(\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \xi\right)\right)\right) \leq\|\varphi\|\left\|\Theta^{\omega^{\prime}}\left(\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \xi\right)\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \xi \in D\left(H, \omega^{\prime}\right)$, this upper estimate is finite and reference to Lemma 2.1 completes the proof in the faithful case.

In the general case, we consider $\bar{\varphi}=\varphi+\omega\left(p^{\perp} \cdot p^{\perp}\right)$, where $p=$ $\operatorname{supp} \varphi$. Due to Lemma $1.6\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{z}=p\left(d \bar{\varphi} / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{z}$ and this formula reduces the case to the faithful one.

Corollary 2.3. Let $\varphi, \omega$ and $\xi$ be as above. Then

$$
a^{\prime}\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{z}\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-z} \xi=\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{z}\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-z} a^{\prime} \xi
$$

for every $a^{\prime} \in M^{\prime}$ and $z \in S$.
Proof. Since $a^{\prime} \xi \in D(H, \omega)$ both sides are analytic on $S$. Therefore, it is sufficient to prove the equality on the imaginary line. Let $\bar{\varphi}$ be as in the proof of the previous proposition. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a^{\prime}\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{i t}\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-i t} \xi=a^{\prime} p\left(d \bar{\varphi} / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{z}\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-z} \xi \\
& \quad=a^{\prime} p[D \bar{\varphi}, D \omega]_{t} \xi=p[D \bar{\varphi}, D \omega]_{t} a^{\prime} \xi=\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{z}\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-z} a^{\prime} \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{i t}\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-i t}$ is the Radon-Nikodym cocycle belonging to $M$ ([7] or [15], 7.4).

Lemma 2.4. Let $\varphi \in M_{*}^{+}$and $\xi^{\prime} \in D(H, \omega)$. Then the function

$$
t \rightarrow\left\|\Theta^{\varphi}\left(\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2+i t} \xi^{\prime}\right)\right\| \quad(t \in \mathbf{R})
$$

is bounded.
Proof. Let $\omega$ be a faithful normal state on $M$ and set $\bar{\varphi}=\varphi+$ $\omega\left(p^{\perp} \cdot p^{\perp}\right)$, where $p=\operatorname{supp} \varphi$. By Lemma 1.3 we have

$$
\left\|\Theta^{\varphi}\left(\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2+i t} \xi^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|\Theta^{\bar{\varphi}}\left(\left(d \bar{\varphi} / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2+i t} p \xi^{\prime}\right)\right\|
$$

and the latest term is bounded due to Proposition 1.8 since $p \xi^{\prime} \in$ $D(H, \omega)$.

Proposition 2.5. Let $M$ be a von Neumann algebra with commutant $M^{\prime}$ and a subalgebra $M_{0}$. Let $\varphi\left(\omega_{0}, \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ be a normal state on $M$ $\left(M_{0}, M_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ and set $\omega^{\prime}=\omega_{0}^{\prime} \mid M^{\prime}$. Assume that $\varphi_{0}, \omega_{0}$ and $\omega_{0}^{\prime}$ are faithful. Then for $\xi^{\prime} \in D\left(H, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ the function

$$
z \rightarrow\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{z}\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-z}\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{z} \xi^{\prime}
$$

is analytic on $S$.
Proof. For an iterated application of Lemma 1.12 we show that the functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f: t \rightarrow\left\|\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2+i t} \xi^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \\
& g: t \rightarrow\left\|\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2-i t}\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2+i t} \xi^{\prime}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

are bounded on R. First by Lemma 1.4

$$
f(t)=\omega_{0}^{\prime}\left(\Theta^{\varphi_{0}}\left(\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2+i t} \xi^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq\left\|\Theta^{\varphi}\left(\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2+i t} \xi^{\prime}\right)\right\|
$$

and we can refer to Lemma 2.4 above.
We proceed similarly for $g$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(t) & =\omega_{0}\left(\Theta^{\omega_{0}^{\prime}}\left(\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2-i t}\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2+i t} \xi^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \leq\left\|\Theta^{\omega_{0}^{\prime}}\left(\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2+i t} \xi^{\prime}\right)\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we need 3.5 of [8], by which this equals

$$
\left\|\Theta^{\varphi_{0}}\left(\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2+i t} \xi^{\prime}\right)\right\|
$$

So the above argument completes the proof.
Theorem 2.6. Let $\varphi, \varphi_{0}, \omega, \omega_{0}, \omega^{\prime}$ and $\omega_{0}^{\prime}$ be as in Proposition 2.5. If the operator

$$
T=\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

is defined on $D\left(H, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ and has a bounded bilinear form (i.e., $\left\langle T \xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right\rangle \leq$ $C\left\|\xi^{\prime}\right\|\|\eta\|$ for all $\left.\xi, \eta^{\prime} \in D\left(H, \omega^{\prime}\right)\right)$, then the closure of $T$ belongs to $M$ and does not depend on $\omega_{0}^{\prime}$.

Proof. $\left\langle T \xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is the value of the analytic function

$$
F(z)=\left\langle\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{z}\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-z}\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{z} \xi^{\prime},\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-\bar{z}} \eta^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

defined on $S$ as it follows from Proposition 2.5. If $\bar{\varphi}=\varphi+\omega(p \cdot p)$ ( $p$ stands for the support of $\varphi$ ), then

$$
F(i t)=\left\langle\sigma_{-t}^{\omega}\left(\left[D \omega_{0}, D \varphi_{0}\right]_{t}\right)[D \omega, D \bar{\varphi}]_{-t} p \xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

does not depend on on $\omega_{0}^{\prime}$ and neither does $\left\langle T \xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right\rangle$.
From now on we assume that $\omega, \omega_{0}, \omega^{\prime}$ and $\omega_{0}^{\prime}$ are vector states given by the same vector $\Omega \in H$. Then simply $D(H, \omega)=M^{\prime} \Omega$ and $D\left(H, \omega^{\prime}\right)=M \Omega$. Take $\xi^{\prime} \in D(H, \omega) \cap D\left(H, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ and $a^{\prime} \in M^{\prime}$ such that $a^{\prime} \xi^{\prime} \in D\left(H, \omega^{\prime}\right)$. Considering the functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z \rightarrow\left\langle\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{z}\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-z}\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{z} a^{\prime} \xi^{\prime},\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-\bar{z}} \eta^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& z \rightarrow\left\langle\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{z}\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-z}\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{z} \xi^{\prime},\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-\bar{z}} a^{\prime} * \eta^{\prime}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

we establish that they are analytic on $S$ and coincide on the imaginary line. Hence

$$
\left\langle T a^{\prime} \xi^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{\prime} T \xi^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right\rangle .
$$

Due to the properties of the Tomita algebra ([14], 10.20-21) $D(H, \omega) \cap$ $D\left(H, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ is dense in $H$ and $\left\{a^{\prime} \in M^{\prime}: a^{\prime} D(H, \omega) \cap D\left(H, \omega^{\prime}\right) \subset D\left(H, \omega^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ is wo-dense in $M^{\prime}$. Therefore we can conclude that the bounded closure of $T$ is in $M$.
3. State extension. Let $M_{0}$ and $M$ be von Neumann algebras with $M_{0} \subset M$. We consider a faithful normal state $\varphi_{0}(\omega)$ on $M_{0}(M)$ and intend to construct a canonical extension $\tilde{\varphi}_{0}$ of $\varphi_{0}$ with respect to $\omega$. We assume that $M$ acts on a Hilbert space $H$ and the cyclic and separating vector $\Omega$ determines $\omega$. As above $\omega_{0}^{\prime}$ will be an auxiliary faithful normal state on $M_{0}^{\prime}$ and we use the notation $\omega \mid M=\omega_{0}$ and $\omega_{0}^{\prime} \mid M^{\prime}=\omega^{\prime}$.
We set $\Phi_{0}=\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \Omega$.
Lemma 3.1. $\Phi_{0}$ is cyclic for $M$.
Proof. We show that $\Phi_{0}$ is separating for $M^{\prime} \subset M_{0}^{\prime}$. Let $a^{\prime} \in M^{\prime}$ and assume that $a^{\prime} \Phi_{0}=0$. According to Corollary 2.3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{\prime} \Phi_{0} & =a^{\prime}\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \Omega \\
& =\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} a^{\prime} \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the spatial derivatives involved are injective $a^{\prime} \Phi_{0}=0$ implies $a^{\prime} \Omega=0$ and $a^{\prime}=0$.

We define now the canonical extension of $\varphi_{0}$ as the vector state corresponding to $\Phi_{0}: \tilde{\varphi}_{0}(a)=\left\langle a \Phi_{0}, \Phi_{0}\right\rangle(a \in M)$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\varphi_{0}, \omega_{0}$ and $\omega$ be as above. Then the function

$$
F(i t)=\omega\left(\left[D \varphi_{0}, D \omega_{0}\right]_{t}^{*} a\left[D \varphi_{0}, D \omega_{0}\right]_{t}\right) \quad(t \in \mathbf{R}, a \in M)
$$

admits an analytic continuation $\tilde{F}$ to $S$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{0}(a)=\tilde{F}(1 / 2)$.
Proof. The function

$$
z \rightarrow\left\langle a\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{z}\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-z} \Omega,\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-\bar{z}}\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-\bar{z}} \Omega\right\rangle
$$

is an extension of $F$. Since

$$
z \rightarrow a\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{z}\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-z} \Omega
$$

is analytic (Proposition 2.2), it is also analytic.
For an arbitrary $\psi \in M_{*}^{+}$we set $\Omega(\psi)=\left(d \psi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \Omega$. We know from Proposition 2.2 that $z \rightarrow\left(d \psi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{z}\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-z} \Omega$ is. analytic on $S$. On the imaginary axis this is independent of $\omega^{\prime}$. Consequently, $\Omega(\psi)$ is independent of $\omega^{\prime}$. Considering $\omega^{\prime}(\cdot)=\langle\cdot \Omega, \Omega\rangle$ we conclude that $\Omega(\psi)$ is the vector representative of $\psi$ in the natural positive cone associated with $\Omega$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\psi \in M_{*}^{+}$and $\Phi_{0}, \varphi_{0}, \tilde{\varphi}_{0}$ be as above. Then the operator

$$
v_{\psi}^{\prime}: a \Phi_{0} \rightarrow a \Omega(\psi) \quad(a \in M)
$$

is bounded if and only if $\psi \leq \lambda \tilde{\varphi}_{0}$. When it is bounded, its closure belongs to $M^{\prime}$.

Proof. $\left\|v_{\psi}^{\prime}\left(a \Phi_{0}\right)\right\|^{2}=\|a \Omega(\psi)\|^{2}=\psi\left(a^{*} a\right)$. That is majorized by $\lambda\left\|a \Phi_{0}\right\|^{2}=\lambda \tilde{\varphi}_{0}\left(a^{*} a\right)$ if and only if $\psi \leq \lambda \tilde{\varphi}_{0}$. If this holds then $v_{\psi}^{\prime} b=$ $b v_{\psi}^{\prime}$ for all $b \in M$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\varphi_{0}, \omega_{0}, \omega\left(\omega_{0}^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ be as above. If $\varphi$ is a positive normal extension of $\varphi_{0}$ to $M$ such that $\varphi \leq \lambda \tilde{\varphi}_{0}$, then the operator

$$
S=\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

is defined on $D\left(H, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ and its bounded closure lies in $M$.
Proof. We know from Proposition 2.5 that

$$
\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \xi^{\prime}
$$

makes sense for $\xi \in D\left(H, \omega^{\prime}\right)$. As $\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \xi^{\prime} \in D(H, \omega)$, so it can be expressed as $a^{\prime} \Omega$ for some $a^{\prime} \in M^{\prime}$. By repeated application of Corollary 2.3 and using Lemma 3.3 we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} a^{\prime} \Omega \\
\quad=a^{\prime}\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} v_{\varphi}^{\prime} \Phi_{0}=a^{\prime} v_{\varphi}^{\prime} \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

that is in $d(H, \omega)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|S \xi^{\prime}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} a^{\prime} v_{\varphi}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Omega}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\omega^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\omega}\left(a^{\prime} v_{\varphi}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Omega}\right)\right)=\omega^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime} v_{\varphi}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\omega}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}) v_{\varphi}^{\prime} a^{\prime *}\right) \leq\left\|v_{\varphi}^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \omega^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime} a^{\prime *}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(Note that $\Theta^{\omega}(\Omega)=I$.) On the other hand,

$$
\left\|\xi^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=\left\|\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} a^{\prime} \Omega\right\|^{2}=\omega^{\prime}\left(\Theta^{\omega}\left(a^{\prime} \Omega\right)\right)=\omega^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime} a^{\prime *}\right)
$$

We have proved that $S$ is bounded and now Theorem 2.6 gives that its closure is in $M$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $\varphi_{0}, \omega_{0}, \omega\left(\omega_{0}^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ be as above and stand $\tilde{\varphi}_{0}$ for the extension of $\varphi_{0}$ to $M$ with respect to $\omega$. Then the closure of the operator

$$
S=\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \tilde{\varphi}_{0} / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

(defined on $D\left(H, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ ) is a partial isometry with initial projection $p=$ supp $\tilde{\varphi}_{0}$, and with range $H$.

Proof. Taking the auxiliary faithful functional $\bar{\varphi}(\cdot)=\tilde{\varphi}_{0}(\cdot)+$ $\omega\left(p^{\perp} \cdot p^{\perp}\right)$ we consider the operator

$$
T=\left(d \bar{\varphi} / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} p\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and show that it is a contraction on $D\left(H, \omega^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\xi^{\prime} \in D\left(H, \omega^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \xi^{\prime}=a^{\prime} \Omega$ for some $a^{\prime} \in M^{\prime}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T \xi^{\prime}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\left(\bar{\varphi} / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} p a^{\prime}\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \Omega\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|\left(d \bar{\varphi} / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} a^{\prime} p \Phi_{0}\right\|^{2}=\left\|\left(d \bar{\varphi} / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} a^{\prime} \Phi_{0}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\omega^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\bar{\varphi}}\left(a^{\prime} \Phi_{0}\right)\right)=\omega^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime} \Theta^{\bar{\varphi}}\left(\Phi_{0}\right) a^{\prime *}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $R^{\bar{\varphi}}\left(\Phi_{0}\right)$ is a partial isometry with range $H$, we have

$$
\left\|T \xi^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=\omega^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime} a^{\prime *}\right)=\left\|\xi^{\prime}\right\|^{2} .
$$

We establish $\overline{T S}=p$. Since $\|S\| \leq 1$ the restriction of $\bar{S}$ to $p H$ must be an isometry. On the other hand, $S p^{\perp}=0$, so $\bar{S}$ is a partial isometry
with initial projection $p$. Since $\operatorname{Rng} \bar{T} \subset p H$, we have $\operatorname{Rng} \bar{S}=H$. (Of course, $S^{*}=\bar{T}$.)

Theorem 3.6. Let $M, M_{0}, \varphi, \tilde{\varphi}_{0}, \omega_{0}, \omega, \omega_{0}^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}$ be as above. Assume that $\omega_{0}, \omega, \omega_{0}^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}$ are given by a vector $\Omega \in H$. If $\varphi$ is a normal extension of $\varphi_{0}$ to $M$ such that
(i) $D\left(\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \quad \supset$ $D\left(H, \omega^{\prime}\right)$,
(ii) $\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \tilde{\varphi}_{0} / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}$ has a bounded closure,
then $\varphi \leq \lambda \tilde{\varphi}_{0}$. In particular, if the closure is a partial isometry with range projection $I$, then $\varphi=\tilde{\varphi}_{0}$.

Proof. Stand $S$ for the bounded operator mentioned in (ii). Theorem 2.6 tells us that $S \in M$. Let $J_{\omega}$ and $\Delta_{\omega}\left(=\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)\right)$ be the standard operators of the Tomita-Takesaki theory for $\Omega$. Set $w^{\prime}=J_{\omega} S^{*} J_{\omega}$. From Tomita's theorem $w^{\prime} \in M^{\prime}$. So for $a \in M$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
w^{\prime} a \Phi_{0} & =a w^{\prime}\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \Omega \\
& =a\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} J_{\omega} S^{*} J_{\omega} \Omega \\
& =a\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \Delta_{\omega}^{1 / 2} S \Omega \\
& =a\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \Delta_{\omega}^{1 / 2} \\
& =\Delta_{\omega}^{-1 / 2}\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \Omega \\
& =a\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \Omega=a \Omega(\varphi)
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that in fact $w^{\prime}=v_{\varphi}^{\prime}$ and according to Lemma 3.3, $\varphi \leq \lambda \tilde{\varphi}_{0}$.
If $a \in M$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(a) & =\langle a \Omega(\varphi), \Omega(\varphi)\rangle=\left\langle w^{\prime} a \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{0}, w^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{0}\right\rangle=\left\langle w^{\prime *} w^{\prime} a \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{0}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle J_{\omega} S S^{*} J_{\omega} a \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{0}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and it equals $\left\langle a \Phi_{0}, \Phi_{0}\right\rangle=\tilde{\varphi}_{0}(a)$ provided $S S^{*}=I$.
We note that the proof gives a simple relation between $S$ and $v_{\varphi}^{\prime}$. Namely, $J_{\omega} S J_{\omega}=\left(v_{\varphi}^{\prime}\right)^{*}$.

Theorem 3.7. Let $M, M_{0}, \varphi_{0}, \omega_{0}^{\prime}$, and $\omega^{\prime}$ be as above. If $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ are faithful normal states on $M$ so that the generalized conditional expectations $E_{\omega}: M \rightarrow M_{0}$ and $E_{\omega}: M \rightarrow M_{0}$ coincide, then $\left(\varphi_{0}\right)^{\sim \omega_{1}}=$ $\left(\varphi_{0}\right)^{\sim \omega_{2}}$.

Proof. We verify that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(d \varphi_{0}^{\prime} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d\left(\omega_{1} \mid M_{0}\right) / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \Omega_{1} \\
& \quad \quad=\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d\left(\omega_{2} \mid M_{0}\right) / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \Omega_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2} \in H$ are vector representatives of $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ in the natural positive cone.

Due to Corollary 4 in [12] we have

$$
\left[D\left(\omega_{1} \mid M_{0}\right), D\left(\omega_{2} \mid M_{0}\right)\right]_{t}=\left[D \omega_{1}, D \omega_{2}\right]_{t} \quad(t \in \mathbf{R})
$$

at our disposal. By analytical extension (cf. Proposition 2.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(d\left(\omega_{1} \mid M_{0}\right) / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d\left(\omega_{2} \mid M_{0}\right) / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \Omega_{2} \\
=\left(d \omega_{1} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \omega_{2} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \Omega_{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d\left(\omega_{1} \mid M_{0}\right) / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \Omega_{1} \\
&=\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d\left(\omega_{1} \mid M_{0}\right) / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
& \times\left(d \omega_{1} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \omega_{2} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \Omega_{2} \\
&=\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d\left(\omega_{2} \mid M_{0}\right) / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \Omega_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It has turned out that the canonical extension of $\varphi_{0}$ with respect to $\omega$ depends rather on $E_{\omega}$ than on $\omega$ itself.

Theorem 3.8. Let $M, M_{0}, \varphi_{0}, \omega_{0}^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}, \omega, \omega_{0}$ and $E_{\omega}: M \rightarrow M_{0}$ be as above. If $E_{\omega}\left(\left[D \varphi_{0}, D \omega_{0}\right]_{t}\right)=\left[D \varphi_{0}, D \omega_{0}\right]_{t}$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$, then $\left(\varphi_{0}\right)^{\sim \omega}=\varphi_{0} \cdot E_{\omega}$.

Proof. Let $M_{1}$ be the fixed point algebra of $E_{\omega}$ and we denote by $\varphi_{1}$ and $\omega_{1}$ the restrictions of $\varphi$ and $\omega$ to $M_{1}$, respectively. Due to $[12]\left[D \varphi_{0}, D \omega_{0}\right]_{t} \in M_{1}$ implies $\left[D \varphi_{0}, D \omega_{0}\right]_{t}=\left[D \varphi_{1}, D \omega_{1}\right]_{t}(t \in \mathbf{R})$. Through analytic continuation we have

$$
\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \Omega=\left(d \varphi_{1} / d \omega_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} d\left(\omega_{1} / d \omega_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \Omega
$$

and we obtain that the canonical extensions of $\varphi_{0}$ and $\varphi_{1}$ with respect to $\omega$ are the same.

Let $F_{\omega}$ be the $\omega$-conditional expectation of $M$ into $M_{1}$. Actually, it is a projection of norm one. Set $\varphi=\varphi_{1} \cdot F_{\omega}$. Since

$$
\left\langle\left(d \omega_{1} / d \omega_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{z}\left(d \varphi_{1} / d \omega_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{-z}\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{z} \xi^{\prime},\left(d\left(\omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-\bar{z}} \eta\right\rangle\right.
$$

is analytic on $S$ for $\xi^{\prime} \in D\left(H, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ and $\eta \in D(H, \omega)$, furthermore

$$
[D \varphi, D \omega]_{t}=\left[D \varphi_{1}, D \omega_{1}\right]_{t} \quad(t \in \mathbf{R})
$$

we conclude that

$$
\left\langle\left(d \omega_{1} / d \omega_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \varphi_{1} / d \omega_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \xi^{\prime},\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \eta\right\rangle=\left\langle\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

Consequently, $\quad\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \omega_{1} / d \omega_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \varphi_{1} / d \omega_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}$ is defined on $D\left(H, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ and admits a bounded closure, the identity. Theorem 3.6 is applicable and tells us that $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{1}\right)^{\sim \omega}$. So $\varphi \cdot E_{\omega}=$ $\varphi_{1} \cdot F_{\omega} \cdot E_{\omega}=\varphi_{1} \cdot F_{\omega}=\varphi$ and $\varphi$ is faithful. Reference to [12] gives that

$$
[D \varphi, D \omega]_{t}=\left[D \varphi_{1}, D \omega_{1}\right]_{t}=\left[D\left(\varphi \mid M_{0}\right), D \omega_{0}\right]_{t} \quad(t \in \mathbf{R})
$$

Therefore, $\varphi \mid M_{0}=\varphi_{0}$ and we obtain $\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{0}\right)^{\omega}=\varphi=\varphi_{0} \circ E_{\omega}$.
It follows in particular from Theorem 3.8 that if $E_{\omega}$ is a projection then $\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{0}\right)^{\omega}$ is always $\varphi_{0} \circ E_{\omega}$. The following example shows that in general $\varphi_{0} \circ E_{\omega}$ is not an extension of $\varphi_{0}$.

Example 3.9. Let $M_{0} \subsetneq M \subset B(H)$ and $\Omega$ be a cyclic and separating vector both for $M_{0}$ and $M$. If $\omega$ is the vector state on $M$ given by $\Omega$, then the $\omega$-conditional expectation $E_{\omega}: M \rightarrow M_{0}$ is an algebra isomorphism and its range $M_{1}$ is a proper von Neumann subalgebra of $M_{0}$ (cf. [1], p. 259). If $\varphi_{0}$ is a state on $M_{0}$ such that $\varphi_{0} \neq \omega \mid M_{0}$, however $\varphi_{0}\left|M_{1}=\omega\right| M_{1}$, then $\varphi_{0} \circ E_{\omega}=\omega$, but $\varphi_{0} \neq \omega \mid M_{0}$.
4. A Radon-Nikodym theorem. Connes proved ([6]) that if $\varphi$ and $\omega$ are faithful normal states on the von Neumann algebra $M$ and $\varphi \leq \lambda \omega$, then $\varphi(x)=\omega\left(a x a^{*}\right)$ with an appropriate $a \in M$. Since states can be considered as conditional expectations onto the trivial subalgebra the following theorem generalizes his result.

Theorem 4.1. Let $M$ and $M_{0}$ be von Neumann algebras with $M_{0} \subset$ $M$ and $\varphi, \omega \in M_{*}^{+}$. Assume that $\omega$ and $\varphi_{0}=\varphi \mid M_{0}$ are faithful and $\varphi \leq \lambda\left(\varphi_{0}\right)^{\sim \omega} .\left(\left(\varphi_{0}\right)^{\sim \omega}\right.$ stands for the $\omega$-extension of $\varphi_{0}$ with respect to $\omega)$. Then there exists $a \in M$ such that $E_{\omega}\left(a x a^{*}\right)=E_{\varphi}(x)$ for every $x \in M$.

Proof. By two applications of Proposition 1.11, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{\varphi}\left(\Theta^{\omega^{\prime}}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right)=\Theta^{\omega_{0}^{\prime}}\left(\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \xi^{\prime}\right) \\
& =E_{\omega}\left(\Theta^{\omega^{\prime}}\left(\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \xi^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\xi^{\prime} \in D\left(H, \omega^{\prime}\right)$. ( $\omega_{0}^{\prime}$ is a faithful normal state on $M_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\omega^{\prime}=$ $\omega_{0}^{\prime} \mid M^{\prime}$.) According to Theorem 3.4 the closure of

$$
\left(d \omega / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \omega_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(d \varphi_{0} / d \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(d \varphi / d \omega^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

is in $M$. Hence

$$
E_{\omega}\left(a \Theta^{\omega^{\prime}}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) a^{*}\right)=E_{\varphi}\left(\Theta^{\omega^{\prime}}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right) \quad \text { for } \xi^{\prime} \in D\left(H, \omega^{\prime}\right) .
$$

As the linear hull of $\left\{\Theta^{\omega^{\prime}}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right): \xi^{\prime} \in D\left(H, \omega^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ is dense in $M$ we proved the theorem.

Corollary 4.2. There exists an isometry $v \in M$ with range projection $\operatorname{supp}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)^{\sim \omega}$ such that

$$
E_{\omega}\left(v^{*} x v\right)=E_{\psi}(x) \quad(x \in M)
$$

if $\psi$ stands for $\left(\varphi_{0}\right)^{\sim \omega}$.
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