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#### Abstract

In order to study how the $C^{*}$-algebra $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$ of twisted $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ groups introduced by Woronowicz is related to the deformation quantization of the Lie-Poisson $\operatorname{SU}(3)$, we need to understand the algebraic structure of $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$ better. In this paper, we shall use Bragiel's result about the irreducible representations of $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$ and the theory of groupoid $C^{*}$-algebras to give an explicit description of the $C^{*}$-algebra structure of $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$, which indicates that $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$ is some kind of foliation $C^{*}$-algebra of the singular symplectic foliation of the Lie-Poisson group $\operatorname{SU}(3)$.


In recent years, there has been a rapid growth of interest in the theory of quantum groups [D]. In particular, S. L. Woronowicz has developed a $C^{*}$-algebraic theory of quantum groups, which has motivated a lot of research [B, Po, Ro, S, Va-So, Wo1, Wo2].

In [S], the explicit knowledge of the $C^{*}$-algebra structure of $C\left(S_{\mu} U(2)\right)$ [W01, $\mathbf{S}$ ] has helped us to find a deformation quantization [BFFLS, Ri1, Ri2, Ri3] of the Lie-Poisson SU(2) [D, Lu-We], which is in a sense compatible with the quantization of the group structure of $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ by the "twisted groups" $S_{\mu} U(2)$. On the other hand, although both $C\left(S_{\mu} U(2)\right)$ and $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$ [Wo1, Wo2] are defined as universal $C^{*}$-algebras of certain generators and relations, the algebraic structure of the latter seems to be much more complicated than that of the former. In [B], Bragiel classified the irreducible representations of the $C^{*}$-algebra $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$ of the twisted $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ groups (with $0<\mu<1)$ and showed that $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$ is a type-I $C^{*}$-algebra [Pe]. In this paper, enlightened by the ideas in [M-Re, $\mathbf{C u}-\mathrm{M}$ ], we shall use Bragiel's result and the theory of groupoid $C^{*}$-algebras $[\mathbf{R e}]$ to give an explicit description of the $C^{*}$-algebra structure of $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$, which indicates that $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$ is some kind of foliation $C^{*}$-algebra of the singular symplectic foliation of the Lie-Poisson group $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ [Co, We, Lu-We].

We shall use freely the concepts and properties of the theory of groupoid $C^{*}$-algebras throughout this paper. A good reference for this is [Re]. First let us fix notations. Let $\mathbb{T}$ be the unit circle in $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ be the two-torus embedded in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. We shall denote by $\phi$ and
$\psi$ the two canonical coordinate functions of $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ with values in $\mathbb{T}$. For any groupoid $\mathfrak{G}$, we denote by $\mathfrak{G} \mid P$ the reduction of $\mathfrak{G}$ by the subset $P$ of the unit space of $\mathfrak{G}$ [Re]. If a locally compact group $G$ acts on a space $X$ by an action $\tau$, we shall denote by $X \times_{\tau} G$ the corresponding transformation group groupoid.

We define $\mathfrak{G}:=\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{3} \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}^{5} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}{ }^{3}$, where $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}=\mathbb{Z} \cup\{+\infty\}$, the subscript $\geq$ denotes the nonnegative part, and $\mathbb{Z}^{5}$ acts on $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{3}$ by translation determined by the first three components, i.e. $\alpha(\mu)(\nu)=\nu-\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}\right)$ for $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^{5}$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}$. Since the last two copies of $\mathbb{Z}$ act trivially on $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{3}$, we have $C^{*}(\mathfrak{G}) \cong C^{*}\left(\mathfrak{G}_{0}\right) \otimes C^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \cong C^{*}\left(\mathfrak{G}_{0}\right) \otimes C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$, where $\mathfrak{C}_{0}:=\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{3} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}^{3} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}{ }^{3}$ and $\tau$ is the action by translation. We assume that under the above isomorphism, the standard basis elements $e_{4}$ and $e_{5}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{5}$ correspond to the conjugates $\bar{\phi}$ and $\bar{\psi}$ of the canonical coordinate functions on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ (instead of $\phi$ and $\psi$ in order to be more compatible with the notations used in [B] for the later discussion). Recall that the regular representation $\rho_{3}$ of $C^{*}\left(\mathfrak{G}_{0}\right)$ on the open dense invariant subset $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}{ }^{3}$ is faithful [M-Re], and hence $C^{*}(\mathfrak{G})$ can be faithfully represented on the Hilbert space $l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}{ }^{3}\right) \otimes L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ through $\tilde{\rho}_{3}:=\rho_{3} \otimes m$ where $m$ is the representation of $C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ by multiplication operators on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$.
In [B], the irreducible representations of $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$ are classified into six 2 -parameter families (with parameters in $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ ) of irreducible representations $\pi_{3}, \pi_{21}, \pi_{22}, \pi_{11}, \pi_{12}$ and $\pi_{0}$ (listed here in the same order as in [ $\mathbf{B}$ ]) on Hilbert spaces $l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}{ }^{3}\right), l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}{ }^{2}\right)$, $l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right), l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}{ }^{1}\right), l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}{ }^{1}\right)$, and $l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}{ }^{0}\right)=\mathbb{C}$, respectively. The 2parameter family of irreducible representations $\pi$ (on a Hilbert space $\left.\mathscr{H}_{\pi}\right)$ in the above list determine a representation $\tilde{\pi}$ of $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$ on $\mathscr{H}_{\pi} \otimes L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. Since $\pi_{3}\left(u_{i j}\right)$ 's and $\pi_{3}\left(u_{i j}{ }^{*}\right)$ 's are (finite) linear combinations of weighted (multivariable) shifts on $l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}{ }^{3}\right)$ with weight functions extendable to $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}{ }^{3}$ continuously, and since the weight functions involved in each $\pi_{3}\left(u_{i j}\right)$ or $\pi_{3}\left(u_{i j}{ }^{*}\right)$ are products of the canonical functions $\phi, \psi, \bar{\phi}$ and $\bar{\psi}$ on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ and functions on $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}{ }^{3}$ independent of the parameters in $\mathbb{T}^{2}$, it is easy to identify the 2 -parameter family $\tilde{\pi}_{3}\left(u_{i j}\right)$ or $\tilde{\pi}_{3}\left(u_{i j}{ }^{*}\right)$ with an element in $C_{c}(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq C^{*}(\mathfrak{G})$ (which is faithfully represented on $l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}{ }^{3}\right) \otimes L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ ) for each $u_{i j}$. For example, with $C_{c}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}{ }^{3}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{5}$ canonically embedded in $C_{c}(\mathcal{G})$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{\pi}_{3}\left(u_{11}{ }^{*}\right)=e_{1} f_{11}, & \tilde{\pi}_{3}\left(u_{12}{ }^{*}\right)=e_{2} f_{12}, \\
\tilde{\pi}_{3}\left(u_{13^{*}}\right)=e_{5} f_{13}, & \tilde{\pi}_{3}\left(u_{21}{ }^{*}\right)=e_{3} f_{21}, \\
\tilde{\pi}_{3}\left(u_{31}{ }^{*}\right)=e_{4} f_{31}, &
\end{array}
$$

where, for $(N, M, L) \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}{ }^{3}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{11}(N, M, L)=\left(1-\mu^{2(N+1)}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& f_{12}(N, M, L)=\mu^{N+1}\left(1-\mu^{2(M+1)}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& f_{13}(N, M, L)=\mu^{2+N+M} \\
& f_{21}(N, M, L)=\mu^{N}\left(1-\mu^{2(L+1)}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& f_{31}(N, M, L)=\mu^{N+L}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that for $0<\mu<1$, the above expressions have canonical meaning even when $N, M$ or $L$ is $\infty$. Thus we can factor the homomorphism $\tilde{\pi}_{3}$ through $C^{*}(\mathfrak{G})$, i.e. there exists a homomorphism

$$
\eta: C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right) \rightarrow C^{*}(\mathfrak{G})
$$

such that $\tilde{\pi}_{3}=\tilde{\rho}_{3} \circ \eta$. We shall see later that $\eta$ is in fact injective since all the representations $\tilde{\pi}$ of $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$ mentioned above can be factored through $\eta$.

Let us consider the following invariant subsets of the unit space of $\mathfrak{G}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{3} & =\left\{(N, M, L) \mid N, M, L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}\right\}=\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{3} \\
X_{21} & =\left\{(N, M, L) \mid N, M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq} \text {and } L=\infty\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{2} \\
X_{22} & =\left\{(N, M, L) \mid N, L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq} \text {and } M=\infty\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{2}, \\
X_{11} & =\left\{(N, M, L) \mid N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq} \text {and } M=L=\infty\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}, \\
X_{12} & =\left\{(N, M, L) \mid M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq} \text {and } N=L=\infty\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $X_{0}=\{(\infty, \infty, \infty)\}$. We define $X_{i}=X_{i 1} \cup X_{i 2}$ for $i=1,2$, and $\sigma_{i}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\sigma_{i n}\right)$ to be the quotient map from $C^{*}\left(\mathfrak{G} \mid \bar{X}_{i+1}\right)$ to $C^{*}\left(\mathfrak{G} \mid \bar{X}_{i}\right)$ (resp. $\left.\quad C^{*}\left(\mathfrak{G} \mid \bar{X}_{\text {in }}\right)\right)$ for $i=0,1,2$, (resp. $i=1,2$ and $n=1,2$ ) where $\bar{X}_{i}$ is the closure of $X_{i}$ in the unit space of $\mathfrak{G}$. Since $\tilde{\pi}_{3}\left(u_{i j}\right) \tilde{\pi}_{3}\left(u_{i j}{ }^{*}\right)=f_{i j}{ }^{2}$ for the $u_{i j}$ 's listed above and they separate points in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{2}$, i.e. points $(N, M, L)$ with $N<\infty$, it is easy to check that $C_{c}\left(X_{3}\right)=C_{c}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq^{3}}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}(\eta)$ (by considering the level sets of these $f_{i j}$ 's). Now since those weights $f_{i j}$ are nonvanishing on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{3}$ and $C_{c}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}{ }^{3}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}(\eta)$, the convolution algebra $C_{c}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}{ }^{3} \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}^{5}\right)$ and hence $C^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}{ }^{3} \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}^{5}\right) \cong C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right) \otimes \mathscr{K}$ are contained in the $C^{*}$-algebra generated by (the weighted shifts) $\eta\left(u_{i j}{ }^{*}\right)$ of the $u_{i j}{ }^{*}$ 's listed above and hence in $\operatorname{Im}(\eta)$ where $\mathscr{K}$ is the algebra of compact operators (on $l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}{ }^{3}\right)$ here).

Now we consider the diagonal homomorphism $\left(\sigma_{21}, \sigma_{22}\right)$ from $C^{*}(\mathfrak{G})$ to $C^{*}\left(\mathfrak{G} \mid \bar{X}_{21}\right) \oplus C^{*}\left(\mathfrak{G} \mid \bar{X}_{22}\right)$. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{G} \mid \bar{X}_{2 n} \cong$ $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{2} \times_{\alpha(2, n)} \mathbb{Z}^{5} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}{ }^{2}$ where $\mathbb{Z}^{5}$ acts on $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{2}$ through the action $\alpha(2, n)$ in the way that 2 components (depending on $n$ ) of $\mathbb{Z}^{5}$ act on $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{2}$ by
translation while the other 3 components act trivially. More precisely, $\alpha(2,1)(\mu) \cdot \nu=\nu-\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ and $\alpha(2,2)(\mu) \cdot \nu=\nu-\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{3}\right)$ for $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^{5}$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$. Thus

$$
C^{*}\left(\mathfrak{G} \mid \bar{X}_{2 n}\right) \cong C^{*}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{2} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}^{2} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{2}\right) \otimes C^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{3}\right) \cong C^{*}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{2} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}^{2} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{2}\right) \otimes C\left(\mathbb{T}^{3}\right),
$$

where the canonical generators of $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ are $e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}$ when $n=1$, and $e_{2}, e_{4}, e_{5}$ when $n=2$. It is straightforward to check that $\left(\sigma_{21} \circ \eta\right)\left(u_{i j}\right)$ 's $(1 \leq i, j \leq 3)$ are supported in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{2} \times_{\alpha(2,1)} \mathbb{Z}^{4} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{2}$ where $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ is generated by $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ and $e_{5}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{5}$, while $\left(\sigma_{22} \circ \eta\right)\left(u_{i j}\right)$ 's are supported in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{2} \times_{\alpha(2,2)} \mathbb{Z}^{4} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{2}$ with $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ generated by $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ and $e_{4}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{5}$. Furthermore, from the weight functions $f_{i j}$ listed above, it is easy to check that $C_{c}\left(X_{2}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\left(\sigma_{2} \circ \eta\right)$ and hence

$$
C^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times_{\alpha(2,1)} \mathbb{Z}^{4} \mid \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \oplus C^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times_{\alpha(2,2)} \mathbb{Z}^{4} \mid \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \cong 2 \mathscr{K} \otimes C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)
$$

is contained in the $C^{*}$-algebra generated by $\left(\sigma_{21}, \sigma_{22}\right)\left(\eta\left(u_{i j}{ }^{*}\right)\right)$ and hence in $\operatorname{Im}\left(\left(\sigma_{21}, \sigma_{22}\right) \circ \eta\right)$. Let $\rho_{2}$ be the faithful regular representation of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{2} x_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}^{2} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}{ }^{2}$ on $l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{2}\right)$ and $\tilde{\rho}_{2 n}=\rho_{2} \otimes m$ be the corresponding faithful representation of

$$
C^{*}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{2} \times_{\alpha(2, n)} \mathbb{Z}^{4} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{2}\right) \cong C^{*}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{2} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}^{2} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{2}\right) \otimes C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)
$$

on $l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{2}\right) \otimes L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$, where the isomorphism identifies $e_{3}, e_{5}$ with $\bar{\phi}, \bar{\psi}$ if $n=1$, and identifies $e_{4}, e_{2}$ with $\bar{\phi}, \bar{\psi}$ if $n=2$. Then it can be easily checked that

$$
\tilde{\rho}_{2 n}\left(\sigma_{2 n}\left(\eta\left(u_{i j}\right)\right)\right)=\tilde{\pi}_{2 n}\left(u_{i j}\right)
$$

(note that in the above identification, the symbols $N$ and $M$ used in [B] need be interchanged when $n=2$ ) and hence $\tilde{\pi}_{2 n}$ factors through $\eta$. Let $\eta_{2 n}:=\sigma_{2 n} \circ \eta$.

Now we consider $\sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_{2}$ and $\sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_{2}$. Since clearly $\sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_{2}$ factors through $\sigma_{21}$ and $\sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_{2}$ factors through $\sigma_{21}$ and $\sigma_{22}$, we may talk about $\sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_{21}\left(=\sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_{2}\right)$ and $\sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_{21}=\sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_{22}\left(=\sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_{2}\right)$ by abuse of language. Note that

$$
C^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times_{\alpha(2,1)} \mathbb{Z}^{4} \mid \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{2}\right) \oplus C^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times_{\alpha(2,2)} \mathbb{Z}^{4} \mid \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{2}\right) \subseteq C^{*}\left(\mathfrak{G} \mid X_{2}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{ker}\left(\sigma_{1 n}\right)
$$

because $\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times_{\alpha(2,1)} \mathbb{Z}^{4} \mid \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \cup\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times_{\alpha(2,2)} \mathbb{Z}^{4} \mid \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \subseteq X_{2}$. It is again easy to see that $\mathfrak{G}\left|\bar{X}_{1 n} \cong \overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\alpha(1, n)} \mathbb{Z}^{5}\right| \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}$where $\mathbb{Z}^{5}$ acts on $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ through the action $\alpha(1, n)$ in the way that one component (depending on $n$ ) of $\mathbb{Z}^{5}$ act on $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ by translation while the other 4 components act trivially.

More precisely, $\alpha(1,1)(\mu) \cdot \nu=\nu-\mu_{1}$ and $\alpha(1,2)(\mu) \cdot \nu=\nu-\mu_{2}$ for $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^{5}$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus

$$
C^{*}\left(\mathfrak{G} \mid \bar{X}_{1 n}\right) \cong C^{*}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}\right) \otimes C^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{4}\right) \cong C^{*}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}\right) \otimes C\left(\mathbb{T}^{4}\right)
$$

where the canonical generators of $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ are $e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}$ when $n=1$, and $e_{1}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}$ when $n=2$. It is straightforward to check that $\left(\sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_{2} \circ \eta\right)\left(u_{i j}\right)$ 's $(1 \leq i, j \leq 3)$ are supported in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\alpha(1,1)} \mathbb{Z}^{3} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}$ where $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ is generated by $e_{1}, e_{2}$ and $e_{3}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{5}$, while the $\left(\sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_{2} \circ \eta\right)\left(u_{i j}\right)$ 's are supported in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\alpha(1,2)} \mathbb{Z}^{4} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}$with $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ generated by $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ and $e_{5}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{5}$. Let $\rho_{1}$ be the faithful regular representation of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}$on $l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}\right)$and $\tilde{\rho}_{11}=\rho_{1} \otimes m$ be the corresponding faithful representation of

$$
C^{*}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\alpha(1,1)} \mathbb{Z}^{3} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}\right) \cong C^{*}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}\right) \otimes C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)
$$

on $l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \otimes L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$, where the isomorphism identifies $e_{3}$ and $e_{2}$ with $\bar{\phi}$ and $\bar{\psi}$ respectively. Then it can be easily checked that

$$
\tilde{\rho}_{11}\left(\left(\sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_{2} \circ \eta\right)\left(u_{i j}\right)\right)=\tilde{\pi}_{11}\left(u_{i j}\right)
$$

and hence $\tilde{\pi}_{11}$ factors through $\eta$ and $\eta_{11}:=\sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_{2} \circ \eta=\sigma_{11} \circ \eta_{21}=$ $\sigma_{11} \circ \eta_{22}$. On the other hand, we have

$$
C^{*}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\alpha(1,2)} \mathbb{Z}^{4} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}\right) \cong C^{*}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}\right) \otimes C\left(\mathbb{T}^{3}\right)
$$

where the conjugates of the three canonical coordinate functions of $\mathbb{T}^{3}$ correspond to the generators $e_{1}, e_{3}$ and $e_{5}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{5}$. Composing the above identification with id $\otimes \kappa_{12}$, we get a homomorphism $\lambda_{12}$ from $C^{*}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\alpha(1,2)} \mathbb{Z}^{4} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}\right)$to $C^{*}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}\right) \otimes C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$, where $\kappa_{12}$ is the homomorphism from $C\left(\mathbb{T}^{3}\right)$ to $C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ induced by the map from $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ to $\mathbb{T}^{3}$ sending $z \in \mathbb{T}^{2}$ to $\left(z_{1},-z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$. Let $\tilde{\rho}_{12}=\rho_{1} \otimes m$ be the faithful representation of $C^{*}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}\right) \otimes C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right) \supseteq \operatorname{Im}\left(\eta_{12}\right)$, where $\eta_{12}=\lambda_{12} \circ\left(\sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_{2} \circ \eta\right)=\lambda_{12} \circ\left(\sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_{21} \circ \eta\right)$. (Here we use the convention that $f \circ g$ is meaningful whenever $\operatorname{Im}(g) \subseteq \operatorname{Dom}(f)$.) Then $\tilde{\rho}_{12} \circ \lambda_{12}$ defines a representation of $\operatorname{Im}\left(\sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_{2} \circ \eta\right)$ on $l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}\right) \otimes L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. It is straightforward to check that

$$
\left(\tilde{\rho}_{12} \circ \lambda_{12}\right)\left(\left(\sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_{2} \circ \eta\right)\left(u_{i j}\right)\right)=\tilde{\pi}_{12}\left(u_{i j}\right)
$$

(note that in [B], $M$ is replaced by $N$ ) for all $i, j$. From the weight functions $f_{i j}$ listed above, it is easy to check that $C_{c}\left(X_{1}\right) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{Im}\left(\sigma_{1} \circ \sigma_{2} \circ \eta\right)$. So by the formulas for $\pi_{1 n}\left(u_{i j}\right)$ in [B], it is not hard to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
C^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z} \times_{\alpha(1,1)}\right. & \left.\mathbb{Z}^{3} \mid \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}\right) \oplus \lambda_{12}\left(C^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z} \times_{\alpha(1,2)} \mathbb{Z}^{4} \mid \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}\right)\right) \\
& \cong 2 C^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z} \mid \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}\right) \otimes C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right) \cong 2 \mathscr{K} \otimes C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is contained in the $C^{*}$-algebra generated by $\left(\eta_{11}, \eta_{12}\right)\left(u_{i j}{ }^{*}\right)$ and hence in $\operatorname{Im}\left(\left(\eta_{11}, \eta_{12}\right)\right)$. Notice that

$$
C^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z} \times_{\alpha(1,1)} \mathbb{Z}^{3} \mid \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}\right) \oplus C^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z} \times_{\alpha(1,2)} \mathbb{Z}^{4} \mid \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}\right) \subseteq C^{*}\left(\mathfrak{G} \mid X_{1}\right)
$$

is contained in the kernel of $\sigma_{0}$.
Now we consider $\sigma_{0} \circ \sigma_{1} \circ \sigma_{2}$. Since $\sigma_{0} \circ \sigma_{1} \circ \sigma_{2}$ clearly factors through $\sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_{2}$ and $\sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_{2}$, we may talk about $\sigma_{0} \circ \sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_{2}=$ $\sigma_{0} \circ \sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_{2}=\sigma_{0} \circ \sigma_{1} \circ \sigma_{2}$ by abuse of language. Note that $C^{*}\left(\mathfrak{G} \mid X_{0}\right)=$ $C^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{5}\right) \cong C\left(\mathbb{T}^{5}\right)$ and that ( $\left.\sigma_{0} \circ \sigma_{1} \circ \sigma_{2} \circ \eta\right)\left(u_{i j}\right)$ 's ( $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ ) are supported in $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ generated by $e_{1}, e_{2}$ and $e_{3}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{5}$. Composing the identification $C^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{3}\right) \cong C\left(\mathbb{T}^{3}\right)$ with $\kappa_{0}$ (where the generators $e_{1}, e_{2}$, $e_{3}$ are identified with the conjugates of the corresponding coordinate functions of $\mathbb{T}^{3}$, we get a homomorphism $\lambda_{0}$ from $C^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{3}\right)$ to $C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$, where $\kappa_{0}$ is the homomorphism from $C\left(\mathbb{T}^{3}\right)$ to $C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ induced by the map from $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ to $\mathbb{T}^{3}$ sending $z \in \mathbb{T}^{2}$ to $\left(z_{1}, z_{2},-z_{1}\right)$. Let $\tilde{\rho}_{0}:=$ $m$. Then $\tilde{\rho} \circ \lambda_{0}$ is a representation of $C^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{3}\right)$ on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. It is straightforward to check that

$$
\left(\tilde{\rho}_{0} \circ \eta_{0}\right)\left(u_{i j}\right)=\tilde{\pi}_{0}\left(u_{i j}\right)
$$

for all $i, j$, where $\eta_{0}=\lambda_{0} \circ \sigma_{0} \circ \sigma_{1} \circ \sigma_{2} \circ \eta$ is a homomorphism from $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$ to $C^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \cong C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. Comparing the definitions of $\kappa_{12}$ and $\kappa_{0}$ and relating the generators of their domains $C^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{3}\right)$ to those of $\mathbb{Z}^{5}$ as we specified above, it is easy to check that $\eta_{0}$ factors through $\eta_{11}$ and $\eta_{12}$, say $\eta_{0}=\tilde{\omega}_{0} \circ\left(\eta_{11}, \eta_{12}\right)$ for some $\tilde{\omega}_{0}$ defined on $\operatorname{Im}\left(\eta_{11}, \eta_{12}\right)$. Note that $\operatorname{ker}\left(\tilde{\omega}_{0}\right)$ contains the subalgebra

$$
C^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z} \times_{\alpha(1,1)} \mathbb{Z}^{3} \mid \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}\right) \oplus \lambda_{12}\left(C^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z} \times_{\alpha(1,2)} \mathbb{Z}^{4} \mid \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}\right)\right) \cong 2 \mathscr{K} \otimes C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right) .
$$

Now we summarize what we have so far. There are homomorphisms $\eta_{3}=\eta, \eta_{21}, \eta_{22}, \eta_{11}, \eta_{12}$ and $\eta_{0}$ from $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$ to

$$
\begin{gathered}
C^{*}(\mathfrak{G})=C^{*}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{3} \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}^{5} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{3}\right)=C\left(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{3} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}^{3} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{3}\right) \otimes C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right), \\
C^{*}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{2} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}^{2} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{2}\right) \otimes C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right), \quad C^{*}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{2} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}^{2} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{2}\right) \otimes C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right), \\
C^{*}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}\right) \otimes C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right), \quad C^{*}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z} \mid \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}\right) \otimes C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

respectively, such that
(1) each $\eta_{i}$ or $\eta_{i n}$ factors through $\eta_{j}$ with $j>i$, where $\eta_{i}:=$ $\left(\eta_{i 1}, \eta_{i 2}\right)$ if $i=1,2$. In fact, $\eta_{21}=\omega_{21} \circ \eta, \eta_{22}=\omega_{22} \circ \eta, \eta_{11}=$ $\omega_{11} \circ \eta_{21}, \eta_{11}=\omega_{11}^{\prime} \circ \eta_{22}, \eta_{12}=\omega_{12} \circ \eta_{21}, \eta_{0}=\omega_{0} \circ \eta_{11}$ and $\eta_{0}=\omega_{0}^{\prime} \circ \eta_{12}$ for some $\omega$ 's defined on the range of the corresponding $\eta$ 's.
(2) Let $\eta_{i}=\tilde{\omega}_{i} \circ \eta_{i+1}$ for a suitable homomorphism $\tilde{\omega}_{i}$ defined on $\operatorname{Im}\left(\eta_{i+1}\right)$. Then $\operatorname{ker}\left(\tilde{\omega}_{i}\right)$ contains a copy of $C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right) \otimes \mathscr{K}$ if $i=2$, and contains two copies of $C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right) \otimes \mathscr{K}$ if $i=0$ or 1 . Furthermore, $\operatorname{Im}\left(\eta_{0}\right) \cong C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. Note that $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\eta_{i}\right)=\eta_{i+1}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\omega}_{i}\right)\right)$.
(3) $\tilde{\pi}_{i}=\tilde{\rho}_{i} \circ \eta_{i}(i=0,3)$ and $\tilde{\pi}_{i n}=\tilde{\rho}_{\text {in }} \circ \eta_{\text {in }}(i=1,2)$ for some faithful representations $\tilde{\rho}_{i}$ and $\tilde{\rho}_{\text {in }}$ on $\operatorname{Im}\left(\eta_{i}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left(\eta_{i n}\right)$ respectively. Since the irreducible representations of $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$ are classified by those 2 -parameter families of $\pi_{0}, \pi_{11}, \pi_{12}, \pi_{21}, \pi_{22}$, and $\pi_{3}$, the spectrum of $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$ is a disjoint union of 6 copies of $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ as a set. On the other hand, by (1)-(3), all these representations $\pi_{i}$ 's (or $\pi_{i n}$ 's) factor through $\eta_{j}$ (or $\eta_{j n}$ ) with $j>i$ and hence $\eta=\eta_{3}$ is faithful. Thus, the type I $C^{*}$-algebra $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$ has a composition sequence

$$
0 \subseteq \mathscr{I}_{3}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \subseteq \mathscr{I}_{2}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\eta_{1}\right) \subseteq \mathscr{I}_{1}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\eta_{0}\right) \subseteq \mathscr{J}_{0}=C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)
$$

such that $\mathscr{J}_{3}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\omega}_{2}\right), \mathscr{I}_{2} / \mathscr{I}_{3} \cong \operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\omega}_{1}\right), \mathscr{J}_{1} / \mathscr{I}_{2} \cong \operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\omega}_{0}\right)$ and $\mathscr{I}_{0} / \mathscr{I}_{1} \cong \operatorname{Im}\left(\eta_{0}\right) \cong C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. Note that $C\left(Y_{i+1}\right) \otimes \mathscr{K}(\mathscr{H}) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\omega}_{i}\right) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{Im}\left(\eta_{i+1}\right) \subseteq C\left(Y_{i+1}\right) \otimes \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$ (for some $L^{2}$-space $\mathscr{H}$ ), where $Y_{k}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ if $k=3$ or 0 , and to the disjoint union of 2 copies of $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ if $k=2$ or 1 . If $C\left(Y_{i+1}\right) \otimes \mathscr{K}(\mathscr{H}) \neq \operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\omega}_{i}\right)$, then we have non-trivial irreducible representations of $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\omega}_{i}\right) / C\left(Y_{i+1}\right) \otimes$ $\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{H})$ which will induce irreducible representations of $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$ not unitarily equivalent to any of the $\pi$ 's found in [B]. So we have $C\left(Y_{i+1}\right) \otimes \mathscr{K}(\mathscr{H})=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\omega}_{i}\right)$.

We summarize what we obtained about the structure of the $C^{*}$ algebra $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$ in the following theorem.

Theorem. The $C^{*}$-algebra $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$ of the twisted $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ group has the composition sequence

$$
\mathscr{I}_{3} \subseteq \mathscr{I}_{2} \subseteq \mathscr{I}_{1} \subseteq \mathscr{J}_{0}=C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)
$$

such that

$$
\mathscr{I}_{0} / \mathscr{I}_{1} \cong C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right), \quad \mathscr{J}_{1} / \mathscr{I}_{2} \cong \mathscr{I}_{2} / \mathscr{I}_{3} \cong 2 C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right) \otimes \mathscr{K}
$$

and $\mathscr{J}_{3} \cong C\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right) \otimes \mathscr{K}$.
We remark that the above decomposition of $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$ is compatible with the singular foliation of the Lie-Poisson $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ [Lu-We] by the symplectic leaves [We]. More precisely, there are six 2-parameter families (with parameters in $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ ) of symplectic leaves diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{0}, \mathbb{C}^{1}, \mathbb{C}^{1}, \mathbb{C}^{2}, \mathbb{C}^{2}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{3}$, respectively as pointed out by
A. Weinstein in a private communication. With each leaf of positive dimension quantized by the Weyl quantization [ $\mathbf{H 0}$, Vo], it is likely that we can find a deformation quantization (in the sense of [Ri1]) of the Poisson $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ as we did for the case of Poisson $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ in [S]. In a sense as explained in $[\mathbf{S}], C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$ can be regarded as a foliation $C^{*}$-algebra of the (singular) symplectic foliation on $\mathrm{SU}(3)$.

With some more effort to analyse the data obtained, we are able to describe the topology of the spectrum $Y$ of $C\left(S_{\mu} U(3)\right)$. In order to do so, we shall say that a copy of $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ approximates another copy of $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ in a topological space in type $\ldots$ if any sequence in the first $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ converges to any element in the second $\mathbb{T}^{2}$, and in type
$\qquad$ , -, $>$ or $=$, if a sequence $z(n)$ in the first $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ converges to $w$ in the second $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ if and only if $z(n)_{2} \rightarrow w_{2}, z(n)_{1} \rightarrow w_{1}$, $z(n)_{1} z(n)_{2} \rightarrow \bar{w}_{2}$ or $z(n)_{1} z(n)_{2} \rightarrow w_{1} w_{2}$ respectively. Now clearly $Y$ is a union of the above $Y_{k}$ 's, and by a more detailed analysis of the factorizability among $\eta$ 's than the one specified in (1), we can conclude that $Y$ is a disjoint union of $Y_{0}, Y_{11}, Y_{12}, Y_{21}, Y_{22}$ and $Y_{3}$ (each homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ ) such that (i) $Y_{3}$ is open dense in $Y$ in the way that $Y_{3}$ approximates $Y_{21}, Y_{22}, Y_{11}, Y_{12}$ and $Y_{0}$ in type $\qquad$ , - $, \ldots, \ldots$, and $\ldots$, respectively, (ii) $Y_{21}$ and $Y_{22}$ are disjoint open sets with dense union $Y_{2}=Y_{21} \cup Y_{22}$ in $Y \backslash Y_{3}$ such that $Y_{21}$ approximates $Y_{11}, Y_{12}$, and $Y_{0}$ in type,$-=$ and $\ldots$ respectively, and $Y_{22}$ approximates $Y_{11} Y_{12}$ and $Y_{0}$ in type $\qquad$ and $\ldots$ respectively ( $Y_{12} \cap \bar{Y}_{22}=\varnothing$ ), (iii) $Y_{11}$ and $Y_{12}$ are disjoint open sets with dense union $Y_{1}=Y_{11} \cup Y_{12}$ in $Y \backslash\left(Y_{3} \cup Y_{2}\right)$ such that $Y_{11}$ and $Y_{12}$ approximating $Y_{0}$ in type $=$ and - respectively.
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