THE STRUCTURE OF TWISTED SU(3) GROUPS

Albert Jeu-Liang Sheu

In order to study how the C^* -algebra $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$ of twisted SU(3) groups introduced by Woronowicz is related to the deformation quantization of the Lie-Poisson SU(3), we need to understand the algebraic structure of $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$ better. In this paper, we shall use Bragiel's result about the irreducible representations of $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$ and the theory of groupoid C^* -algebras to give an explicit description of the C^* -algebra structure of $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$, which indicates that $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$ is some kind of foliation C^* -algebra of the singular symplectic foliation of the Lie-Poisson group SU(3).

In recent years, there has been a rapid growth of interest in the theory of quantum groups [D]. In particular, S. L. Woronowicz has developed a C^* -algebraic theory of quantum groups, which has motivated a lot of research [B, Po, Ro, S, Va-So, Wo1, Wo2].

In [S], the explicit knowledge of the C^* -algebra structure of $C(S_{\mu}U(2))$ [Wo1, S] has helped us to find a deformation quantization [BFFLS, Ri1, Ri2, Ri3] of the Lie-Poisson SU(2) [D, Lu-We], which is in a sense compatible with the quantization of the group structure of SU(2) by the "twisted groups" $S_{\mu}U(2)$. On the other hand, although both $C(S_{\mu}U(2))$ and $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$ [Wo1, Wo2] are defined as universal C^* -algebras of certain generators and relations, the algebraic structure of the latter seems to be much more complicated than that of the former. In [B], Bragiel classified the irreducible representations of the C^{*}-algebra $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$ of the twisted SU(3) groups (with $0 < \mu < 1$) and showed that $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$ is a type-I C^{*}-algebra [Pe]. In this paper, enlightened by the ideas in [M-Re, Cu-M], we shall use Bragiel's result and the theory of groupoid C^* -algebras [**Re**] to give an explicit description of the C^{*}-algebra structure of $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$, which indicates that $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$ is some kind of foliation C*-algebra of the singular symplectic foliation of the Lie-Poisson group SU(3) [Co, We, Lu-We].

We shall use freely the concepts and properties of the theory of groupoid C^* -algebras throughout this paper. A good reference for this is [**Re**]. First let us fix notations. Let \mathbb{T} be the unit circle in \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{T}^2 be the two-torus embedded in \mathbb{C}^2 . We shall denote by ϕ and

 ψ the two canonical coordinate functions of \mathbb{T}^2 with values in \mathbb{T} . For any groupoid \mathfrak{G} , we denote by $\mathfrak{G}|P$ the reduction of \mathfrak{G} by the subset P of the unit space of \mathfrak{G} [**Re**]. If a locally compact group G acts on a space X by an action τ , we shall denote by $X \times_{\tau} G$ the corresponding transformation group groupoid.

We define $\mathfrak{G} := \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^3 \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}^5 | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq^3}$, where $\overline{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$, the subscript \geq denotes the nonnegative part, and \mathbb{Z}^5 acts on $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^3$ by translation determined by the first three components, i.e. $\alpha(\mu)(\nu) = \nu - (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ for $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^5$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^3$. Since the last two copies of \mathbb{Z} act trivially on $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^3$, we have $C^*(\mathfrak{G}) \cong C^*(\mathfrak{G}_0) \otimes C^*(\mathbb{Z}^2) \cong C^*(\mathfrak{G}_0) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^2)$, where $\mathfrak{G}_0 := \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^3 \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}^3 | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq^3}$ and τ is the action by translation. We assume that under the above isomorphism, the standard basis elements e_4 and e_5 of \mathbb{Z}^5 correspond to the conjugates $\overline{\phi}$ and $\overline{\psi}$ of the canonical coordinate functions on \mathbb{T}^2 (instead of ϕ and ψ in order to be more compatible with the notations used in [**B**] for the later discussion). Recall that the regular representation ρ_3 of $C^*(\mathfrak{G}_0)$ on the open dense invariant subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq^3} is faithful [**M-Re**], and hence $C^*(\mathfrak{G})$ can be faithfully represented on the Hilbert space $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq^3}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ through $\tilde{\rho}_3 := \rho_3 \otimes m$ where m is the representation of $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ by multiplication operators on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$.

In [B], the irreducible representations of $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$ are classified into six 2-parameter families (with parameters in \mathbb{T}^2) of irreducible representations π_3 , π_{21} , π_{22} , π_{11} , π_{12} and π_0 (listed here in the same order as in [B]) on Hilbert spaces $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^3)$, $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^2)$, $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^2)$, $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^1)$, $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^1)$, and $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^0) = \mathbb{C}$, respectively. The 2parameter family of irreducible representations π (on a Hilbert space \mathscr{H}_{π}) in the above list determine a representation $\tilde{\pi}$ of $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$ on $\mathscr{H}_{\pi} \otimes L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Since $\pi_3(u_{ij})$'s and $\pi_3(u_{ij}^*)$'s are (finite) linear combinations of weighted (multivariable) shifts on $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_{>}^3)$ with weight functions extendable to $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{>3}$ continuously, and since the weight functions involved in each $\pi_3(u_{ij})$ or $\pi_3(u_{ij}^*)$ are products of the canonical functions ϕ , ψ , $\overline{\phi}$ and $\overline{\psi}$ on \mathbb{T}^2 and functions on $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^3$ independent of the parameters in \mathbb{T}^2 , it is easy to identify the 2-parameter family $\tilde{\pi}_3(u_{ij})$ or $\tilde{\pi}_3(u_{ij}^*)$ with an element in $C_c(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq C^*(\mathfrak{G})$ (which is faithfully represented on $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^3) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ for each u_{ij} . For example, with $C_c(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^3)$ and \mathbb{Z}^5 canonically embedded in $C_c(\mathfrak{G})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\pi}_3(u_{11}^*) &= e_1 f_{11}, \quad \tilde{\pi}_3(u_{12}^*) = e_2 f_{12}, \\ \tilde{\pi}_3(u_{13}^*) &= e_5 f_{13}, \quad \tilde{\pi}_3(u_{21}^*) = e_3 f_{21}, \\ \tilde{\pi}_3(u_{31}^*) &= e_4 f_{31}, \end{aligned}$$

where, for $(N, M, L) \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^3$,

$$\begin{split} f_{11}(N, M, L) &= (1 - \mu^{2(N+1)})^{1/2}, \\ f_{12}(N, M, L) &= \mu^{N+1}(1 - \mu^{2(M+1)})^{1/2}, \\ f_{13}(N, M, L) &= \mu^{2+N+M}, \\ f_{21}(N, M, L) &= \mu^{N}(1 - \mu^{2(L+1)})^{1/2}, \\ f_{31}(N, M, L) &= \mu^{N+L}. \end{split}$$

Note that for $0 < \mu < 1$, the above expressions have canonical meaning even when N, M or L is ∞ . Thus we can factor the homomorphism $\tilde{\pi}_3$ through $C^*(\mathfrak{G})$, i.e. there exists a homomorphism

 $\eta: C(S_{\mu}U(3)) \to C^*(\mathfrak{G})$

such that $\tilde{\pi}_3 = \tilde{\rho}_3 \circ \eta$. We shall see later that η is in fact injective since all the representations $\tilde{\pi}$ of $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$ mentioned above can be factored through η .

Let us consider the following invariant subsets of the unit space of \mathfrak{G} ,

$$X_{3} = \{(N, M, L)|N, M, L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}\} = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{3}, X_{21} = \{(N, M, L)|N, M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq} \text{ and } L = \infty\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{2}, X_{22} = \{(N, M, L)|N, L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq} \text{ and } M = \infty\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^{2}, X_{11} = \{(N, M, L)|N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq} \text{ and } M = L = \infty\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}, X_{12} = \{(N, M, L)|M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq} \text{ and } N = L = \infty\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}$$

and $X_0 = \{(\infty, \infty, \infty)\}$. We define $X_i = X_{i1} \cup X_{i2}$ for i = 1, 2, and σ_i (resp. σ_{in}) to be the quotient map from $C^*(\mathfrak{G}|\overline{X}_{i+1})$ to $C^*(\mathfrak{G}|\overline{X}_i)$ (resp. $C^*(\mathfrak{G}|\overline{X}_{in})$) for i = 0, 1, 2, (resp. i = 1, 2 and n = 1, 2) where \overline{X}_i is the closure of X_i in the unit space of \mathfrak{G} . Since $\tilde{\pi}_3(u_{ij})\tilde{\pi}_3(u_{ij}^*) = f_{ij}^2$ for the u_{ij} 's listed above and they separate points in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq} \times \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^2$, i.e. points (N, M, L) with $N < \infty$, it is easy to check that $C_c(X_3) = C_c(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^3) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}(\eta)$ (by considering the level sets of these f_{ij} 's). Now since those weights f_{ij} are nonvanishing on \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^3 and $C_c(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^3 \times_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^5) \cong C(\mathbb{T}^2) \otimes \mathscr{K}$ are contained in the C*-algebra generated by (the weighted shifts) $\eta(u_{ij}^*)$ of the u_{ij}^* 's listed above and hence in $\operatorname{Im}(\eta)$ where \mathscr{K} is the algebra of compact operators (on $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^3)$ here).

Now we consider the diagonal homomorphism $(\sigma_{21}, \sigma_{22})$ from $C^*(\mathfrak{G})$ to $C^*(\mathfrak{G}|\overline{X}_{21}) \oplus C^*(\mathfrak{G}|\overline{X}_{22})$. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{G}|\overline{X}_{2n} \cong \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^2 \times_{\alpha(2,n)} \mathbb{Z}^5 |\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq^2}$ where \mathbb{Z}^5 acts on $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^2$ through the action $\alpha(2, n)$ in the way that 2 components (depending on n) of \mathbb{Z}^5 act on $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^2$ by

translation while the other 3 components act trivially. More precisely, $\alpha(2, 1)(\mu) \cdot \nu = \nu - (\mu_1, \mu_2)$ and $\alpha(2, 2)(\mu) \cdot \nu = \nu - (\mu_1, \mu_3)$ for $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^5$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Thus

$$C^*(\mathfrak{G}|\overline{X}_{2n}) \cong C^*(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^2 \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}^2 | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^2) \otimes C^*(\mathbb{Z}^3) \cong C^*(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^2 \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}^2 | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^2) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^3),$$

where the canonical generators of \mathbb{Z}^3 are e_3 , e_4 , e_5 when n = 1, and e_2 , e_4 , e_5 when n = 2. It is straightforward to check that $(\sigma_{21} \circ \eta)(u_{ij})$'s $(1 \le i, j \le 3)$ are supported in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^2 \times_{\alpha(2,1)} \mathbb{Z}^4 | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\ge}^2$ where \mathbb{Z}^4 is generated by e_1 , e_2 , e_3 and e_5 in \mathbb{Z}^5 , while $(\sigma_{22} \circ \eta)(u_{ij})$'s are supported in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^2 \times_{\alpha(2,2)} \mathbb{Z}^4 | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\ge}^2$ with \mathbb{Z}^4 generated by e_1 , e_2 , e_3 and e_4 in \mathbb{Z}^5 . Furthermore, from the weight functions f_{ij} listed above, it is easy to check that $C_c(X_2) \subseteq \text{Im}(\sigma_2 \circ \eta)$ and hence

$$C^*(\mathbb{Z}^2 \times_{\alpha(2,1)} \mathbb{Z}^4 | \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^2) \oplus C^*(\mathbb{Z}^2 \times_{\alpha(2,2)} \mathbb{Z}^4 | \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^2) \cong 2\mathscr{H} \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^2)$$

is contained in the C^{*}-algebra generated by $(\sigma_{21}, \sigma_{22})(\eta(u_{ij}^*))$ and hence in $\operatorname{Im}((\sigma_{21}, \sigma_{22}) \circ \eta)$. Let ρ_2 be the faithful regular representation of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^2 \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}^2 | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^2$ on $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^2)$ and $\tilde{\rho}_{2n} = \rho_2 \otimes m$ be the corresponding faithful representation of

$$C^*(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^2 \times_{\alpha(2,n)} \mathbb{Z}^4 | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^2) \cong C^*(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^2 \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}^2 | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^2) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^2)$$

on $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^2) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, where the isomorphism identifies e_3 , e_5 with $\overline{\phi}$, $\overline{\psi}$ if n = 1, and identifies e_4 , e_2 with $\overline{\phi}$, $\overline{\psi}$ if n = 2. Then it can be easily checked that

$$\tilde{\rho}_{2n}(\sigma_{2n}(\eta(u_{ij}))) = \tilde{\pi}_{2n}(u_{ij})$$

(note that in the above identification, the symbols N and M used in **[B]** need be interchanged when n = 2) and hence $\tilde{\pi}_{2n}$ factors through η . Let $\eta_{2n} := \sigma_{2n} \circ \eta$.

Now we consider $\sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_2$ and $\sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_2$. Since clearly $\sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_2$ factors through σ_{21} and $\sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_2$ factors through σ_{21} and σ_{22} , we may talk about $\sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_{21}$ (= $\sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_2$) and $\sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_{21} = \sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_{22}$ (= $\sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_2$) by abuse of language. Note that

$$C^*(\mathbb{Z}^2 \times_{\alpha(2,1)} \mathbb{Z}^4 | \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^2) \oplus C^*(\mathbb{Z}^2 \times_{\alpha(2,2)} \mathbb{Z}^4 | \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^2) \subseteq C^*(\mathfrak{G}|X_2) \subseteq \ker(\sigma_{1n})$$

because $(\mathbb{Z}^2 \times_{\alpha(2,1)} \mathbb{Z}^4 | \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^2) \cup (\mathbb{Z}^2 \times_{\alpha(2,2)} \mathbb{Z}^4 | \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^2) \subseteq X_2$. It is again easy to see that $\mathfrak{G}|\overline{X}_{1n} \cong \overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\alpha(1,n)} \mathbb{Z}^5 | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}$ where \mathbb{Z}^5 acts on $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ through the action $\alpha(1, n)$ in the way that one component (depending on n) of \mathbb{Z}^5 act on $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ by translation while the other 4 components act trivially.

More precisely, $\alpha(1, 1)(\mu) \cdot \nu = \nu - \mu_1$ and $\alpha(1, 2)(\mu) \cdot \nu = \nu - \mu_2$ for $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^5$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus

$$C^*(\mathfrak{G}|\overline{X}_{1n}) \cong C^*(\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}|\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}) \otimes C^*(\mathbb{Z}^4) \cong C^*(\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}|\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^4),$$

where the canonical generators of \mathbb{Z}^4 are e_2 , e_3 , e_4 , e_5 when n = 1, and e_1 , e_3 , e_4 , e_5 when n = 2. It is straightforward to check that $(\sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_2 \circ \eta)(u_{ij})$'s $(1 \le i, j \le 3)$ are supported in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\alpha(1,1)} \mathbb{Z}^3 | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\ge}$ where \mathbb{Z}^3 is generated by e_1 , e_2 and e_3 in \mathbb{Z}^5 , while the $(\sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_2 \circ \eta)(u_{ij})$'s are supported in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\alpha(1,2)} \mathbb{Z}^4 | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\ge}$ with \mathbb{Z}^4 generated by e_1 , e_2 , e_3 and e_5 in \mathbb{Z}^5 . Let ρ_1 be the faithful regular representation of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z} | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\ge}$ on $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\ge})$ and $\tilde{\rho}_{11} = \rho_1 \otimes m$ be the corresponding faithful representation of

$$C^*(\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\alpha(1,1)} \mathbb{Z}^3 | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}) \cong C^*(\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z} | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^2)$$

on $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}^2) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, where the isomorphism identifies e_3 and e_2 with $\overline{\phi}$ and $\overline{\psi}$ respectively. Then it can be easily checked that

$$\tilde{\rho}_{11}((\sigma_{11}\circ\sigma_2\circ\eta)(u_{ij}))=\tilde{\pi}_{11}(u_{ij})$$

and hence $\tilde{\pi}_{11}$ factors through η and $\eta_{11} := \sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_2 \circ \eta = \sigma_{11} \circ \eta_{21} = \sigma_{11} \circ \eta_{22}$. On the other hand, we have

$$C^*(\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\alpha(1,2)} \mathbb{Z}^4 | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}) \cong C^*(\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z} | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^3),$$

where the conjugates of the three canonical coordinate functions of \mathbb{T}^3 correspond to the generators e_1 , e_3 and e_5 in \mathbb{Z}^5 . Composing the above identification with $\mathrm{id} \otimes \kappa_{12}$, we get a homomorphism λ_{12} from $C^*(\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\alpha(1,2)} \mathbb{Z}^4 | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq})$ to $C^*(\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z} | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^2)$, where κ_{12} is the homomorphism from $C(\mathbb{T}^3)$ to $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ induced by the map from \mathbb{T}^2 to \mathbb{T}^3 sending $z \in \mathbb{T}^2$ to $(z_1, -z_1, z_2)$. Let $\tilde{\rho}_{12} = \rho_1 \otimes m$ be the faithful representation of $C^*(\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z} | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^2) \supseteq \mathrm{Im}(\eta_{12})$, where $\eta_{12} = \lambda_{12} \circ (\sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_2 \circ \eta) = \lambda_{12} \circ (\sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_{21} \circ \eta)$. (Here we use the convention that $f \circ g$ is meaningful whenever $\mathrm{Im}(g) \subseteq \mathrm{Dom}(f)$.) Then $\tilde{\rho}_{12} \circ \lambda_{12}$ defines a representation of $\mathrm{Im}(\sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_2 \circ \eta)$ on $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. It is straightforward to check that

$$(\tilde{\rho}_{12} \circ \lambda_{12})((\sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_2 \circ \eta)(u_{ij})) = \tilde{\pi}_{12}(u_{ij})$$

(note that in [**B**], M is replaced by N) for all i, j. From the weight functions f_{ij} listed above, it is easy to check that $C_c(X_1) \subseteq \text{Im}(\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \eta)$. So by the formulas for $\pi_{1n}(u_{ij})$ in [**B**], it is not hard to see that

$$C^*(\mathbb{Z} \times_{\alpha(1,1)} \mathbb{Z}^3 | \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}) \oplus \lambda_{12}(C^*(\mathbb{Z} \times_{\alpha(1,2)} \mathbb{Z}^4 | \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}))$$
$$\cong 2C^*(\mathbb{Z} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z} | \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^2) \cong 2\mathscr{H} \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^2)$$

is contained in the C^{*}-algebra generated by $(\eta_{11}, \eta_{12})(u_{ij}^*)$ and hence in Im $((\eta_{11}, \eta_{12}))$. Notice that

$$C^*(\mathbb{Z} \times_{\alpha(1,1)} \mathbb{Z}^3 | \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}) \oplus C^*(\mathbb{Z} \times_{\alpha(1,2)} \mathbb{Z}^4 | \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}) \subseteq C^*(\mathfrak{G} | X_1)$$

is contained in the kernel of σ_0 .

Now we consider $\sigma_0 \circ \sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2$. Since $\sigma_0 \circ \sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2$ clearly factors through $\sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_2$ and $\sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_2$, we may talk about $\sigma_0 \circ \sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_2 =$ $\sigma_0 \circ \sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_2 = \sigma_0 \circ \sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2$ by abuse of language. Note that $C^*(\mathfrak{G}|X_0) =$ $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^5) \cong C(\mathbb{T}^5)$ and that $(\sigma_0 \circ \sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \eta)(u_{ij})$'s $(1 \le i, j \le 3)$ are supported in \mathbb{Z}^3 generated by e_1 , e_2 and e_3 in \mathbb{Z}^5 . Composing the identification $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^3) \cong C(\mathbb{T}^3)$ with κ_0 (where the generators e_1 , e_2 , e_3 are identified with the conjugates of the corresponding coordinate functions of \mathbb{T}^3), we get a homomorphism λ_0 from $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^3)$ to $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$, where κ_0 is the homomorphism from $C(\mathbb{T}^3)$ to $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ induced by the map from \mathbb{T}^2 to \mathbb{T}^3 sending $z \in \mathbb{T}^2$ to $(z_1, z_2, -z_1)$. Let $\tilde{\rho}_0 :=$ m. Then $\tilde{\rho} \circ \lambda_0$ is a representation of $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^3)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. It is straightforward to check that

$$(\tilde{\rho}_0 \circ \eta_0)(u_{ij}) = \tilde{\pi}_0(u_{ij})$$

for all i, j, where $\eta_0 = \lambda_0 \circ \sigma_0 \circ \sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \eta$ is a homomorphism from $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$ to $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^2) \cong C(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Comparing the definitions of κ_{12} and κ_0 and relating the generators of their domains $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^3)$ to those of \mathbb{Z}^5 as we specified above, it is easy to check that η_0 factors through η_{11} and η_{12} , say $\eta_0 = \tilde{\omega}_0 \circ (\eta_{11}, \eta_{12})$ for some $\tilde{\omega}_0$ defined on $\operatorname{Im}(\eta_{11}, \eta_{12})$. Note that $\ker(\tilde{\omega}_0)$ contains the subalgebra

$$C^*(\mathbb{Z} \times_{\alpha(1,1)} \mathbb{Z}^3 | \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}) \oplus \lambda_{12}(C^*(\mathbb{Z} \times_{\alpha(1,2)} \mathbb{Z}^4 | \mathbb{Z}_{\geq})) \cong 2\mathscr{K} \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^2).$$

Now we summarize what we have so far. There are homomorphisms $\eta_3 = \eta$, η_{21} , η_{22} , η_{11} , η_{12} and η_0 from $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$ to

$$C^{*}(\mathfrak{G}) = C^{*}(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{3} \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}^{5} | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{3}) = C(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{3} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}^{3} | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{3}) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^{2}),$$

$$C^{*}(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{2} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}^{2} | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{2}) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^{2}), \quad C^{*}(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{2} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}^{2} | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}^{2}) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^{2}),$$

$$C^{*}(\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z} | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^{2}), \quad C^{*}(\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\tau} \mathbb{Z} | \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq}) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^{2}) \text{ and } C(\mathbb{T}^{2}),$$

respectively, such that

(1) each η_i or η_{in} factors through η_j with j > i, where $\eta_i := (\eta_{i1}, \eta_{i2})$ if i = 1, 2. In fact, $\eta_{21} = \omega_{21} \circ \eta$, $\eta_{22} = \omega_{22} \circ \eta$, $\eta_{11} = \omega_{11} \circ \eta_{21}$, $\eta_{11} = \omega'_{11} \circ \eta_{22}$, $\eta_{12} = \omega_{12} \circ \eta_{21}$, $\eta_0 = \omega_0 \circ \eta_{11}$ and $\eta_0 = \omega'_0 \circ \eta_{12}$ for some ω 's defined on the range of the corresponding η 's.

TWISTED SU(3)

(2) Let $\eta_i = \tilde{\omega}_i \circ \eta_{i+1}$ for a suitable homomorphism $\tilde{\omega}_i$ defined on $\operatorname{Im}(\eta_{i+1})$. Then $\operatorname{ker}(\tilde{\omega}_i)$ contains a copy of $C(\mathbb{T}^2) \otimes \mathscr{K}$ if i = 2, and contains two copies of $C(\mathbb{T}^2) \otimes \mathscr{K}$ if i = 0 or 1. Furthermore, $\operatorname{Im}(\eta_0) \cong C(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Note that $\operatorname{Ker}(\eta_i) = \eta_{i+1}^{-1}(\operatorname{Ker}(\tilde{\omega}_i))$.

(3) $\tilde{\pi}_i = \tilde{\rho}_i \circ \eta_i$ (i = 0, 3) and $\tilde{\pi}_{in} = \tilde{\rho}_{in} \circ \eta_{in}$ (i = 1, 2) for some faithful representations $\tilde{\rho}_i$ and $\tilde{\rho}_{in}$ on $\text{Im}(\eta_i)$ and $\text{Im}(\eta_{in})$ respectively. Since the irreducible representations of $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$ are classified by those 2-parameter families of π_0 , π_{11} , π_{12} , π_{21} , π_{22} , and π_3 , the spectrum of $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$ is a disjoint union of 6 copies of \mathbb{T}^2 as a set. On the other hand, by (1)-(3), all these representations π_i 's (or π_{in} 's) factor through η_j (or η_{jn}) with j > i and hence $\eta = \eta_3$ is faithful. Thus, the type I C*-algebra $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$ has a composition sequence

$$0 \subseteq \mathscr{I}_3 = \operatorname{Ker}(\eta_2) \subseteq \mathscr{I}_2 = \operatorname{Ker}(\eta_1) \subseteq \mathscr{I}_1 = \operatorname{Ker}(\eta_0) \subseteq \mathscr{I}_0 = C(S_{\mu}U(3))$$

such that $\mathscr{F}_3 = \operatorname{Ker}(\tilde{\omega}_2)$, $\mathscr{F}_2/\mathscr{F}_3 \cong \operatorname{Ker}(\tilde{\omega}_1)$, $\mathscr{F}_1/\mathscr{F}_2 \cong \operatorname{Ker}(\tilde{\omega}_0)$ and $\mathscr{F}_0/\mathscr{F}_1 \cong \operatorname{Im}(\eta_0) \cong C(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Note that $C(Y_{i+1}) \otimes \mathscr{K}(\mathscr{H}) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\tilde{\omega}_i) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{Im}(\eta_{i+1}) \subseteq C(Y_{i+1}) \otimes \mathscr{R}(\mathscr{H})$ (for some L^2 -space \mathscr{H}), where Y_k is homeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^2 if k = 3 or 0, and to the disjoint union of 2 copies of \mathbb{T}^2 if k = 2 or 1. If $C(Y_{i+1}) \otimes \mathscr{K}(\mathscr{H}) \neq \operatorname{Ker}(\tilde{\omega}_i)$, then we have non-trivial irreducible representations of $\operatorname{Ker}(\tilde{\omega}_i)/C(Y_{i+1}) \otimes$ $\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{H})$ which will induce irreducible representations of $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$ not unitarily equivalent to any of the π 's found in [**B**]. So we have $C(Y_{i+1}) \otimes \mathscr{K}(\mathscr{H}) = \operatorname{Ker}(\tilde{\omega}_i)$.

We summarize what we obtained about the structure of the C^* -algebra $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$ in the following theorem.

THEOREM. The C^{*}-algebra $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$ of the twisted SU(3) group has the composition sequence

$$\mathscr{I}_3 \subseteq \mathscr{I}_2 \subseteq \mathscr{I}_1 \subseteq \mathscr{I}_0 = C(S_{\mu}U(3))$$

such that

 $\mathscr{I}_0/\mathscr{I}_1 \cong C(\mathbb{T}^2)\,,\quad \mathscr{I}_1/\mathscr{I}_2 \cong \mathscr{I}_2/\mathscr{I}_3 \cong 2C(\mathbb{T}^2) \otimes \mathscr{K}$

and $\mathscr{I}_3 \cong C(\mathbb{T}^2) \otimes \mathscr{T}$.

We remark that the above decomposition of $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$ is compatible with the singular foliation of the Lie-Poisson SU(3) [Lu-We] by the symplectic leaves [We]. More precisely, there are six 2-parameter families (with parameters in \mathbb{T}^2) of symplectic leaves diffeomorphic to \mathbb{C}^0 , \mathbb{C}^1 , \mathbb{C}^1 , \mathbb{C}^2 , \mathbb{C}^2 and \mathbb{C}^3 , respectively as pointed out by A. Weinstein in a private communication. With each leaf of positive dimension quantized by the Weyl quantization [Hö, Vo], it is likely that we can find a deformation quantization (in the sense of [**Ri1**]) of the Poisson SU(3) as we did for the case of Poisson SU(2) in [S]. In a sense as explained in [S], $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$ can be regarded as a foliation C^* -algebra of the (singular) symplectic foliation on SU(3).

With some more effort to analyse the data obtained, we are able to describe the topology of the spectrum Y of $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$. In order to do so, we shall say that a copy of \mathbb{T}^2 approximates another copy of \mathbb{T}^2 in a topological space in type ... if any sequence in the first \mathbb{T}^2 converges to any element in the second \mathbb{T}^2 , and in type ____, ---, > or =, if a sequence z(n) in the first \mathbb{T}^2 converges to w in the second \mathbb{T}^2 if and only if $z(n)_2 \to w_2$, $z(n)_1 \to w_1$, $z(n)_1 z(n)_2 \to \overline{w}_2$ or $z(n)_1 z(n)_2 \to w_1 w_2$ respectively. Now clearly Y is a union of the above Y_k 's, and by a more detailed analysis of the factorizability among η 's than the one specified in (1), we can conclude that Y is a disjoint union of Y_0 , Y_{11} , Y_{12} , Y_{21} , Y_{22} and Y_3 (each homeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^2) such that (i) Y_3 is open dense in Y in the way that Y_3 approximates Y_{21} , Y_{22} , Y_{11} , Y_{12} and Y_0 in type ____, --_, ..., and ..., respectively, (ii) Y_{21} and Y_{22} are disjoint open sets with dense union $Y_2 = Y_{21} \cup Y_{22}$ in $Y \setminus Y_3$ such that Y_{21} approximates Y_{11} , Y_{12} , and Y_0 in type —, = and ... respectively, and Y_{22} approximates $Y_{11}Y_{12}$ and Y_0 in type ____ > and ... respectively $(Y_{12} \cap \overline{Y}_{22} = \emptyset)$, (iii) Y_{11} and Y_{12} are disjoint open sets with dense union $Y_1 = Y_{11} \cup Y_{12}$ in $Y \setminus (Y_3 \cup Y_2)$ such that Y_{11} and Y_{12} approximating Y_0 in type = and — respectively.

References

- [BFFLS] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz and D. Sternheimer, Deformation theory and quantization, I, II, Ann. Physics, 110 (1978), 61– 110, 111–151.
- [B] K. Bragiel, The twisted SU(3) group, Irreducible *-representations of the C^* -algebra $C(S_{\mu}U(3))$, Letters in Math. Phys., 17 (1989), 37–44.
- [Co] A. Connes, A survey of foliation and operator algebras, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Vol. 38, Part I, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. (1982), 521–6283
- [Cu-M] R. E. Curto and P. S. Muhly, C*-algebras of multiplication operators on Bergman spaces, J. Funct. Anal., 64 (1985), 315-329.
- [D] V. G. Drinfeld, Quantum groups, Proc. I.C.M. Berkeley 1986, Vol. 1, 789– 820, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. 1987.
- [Hö] L. Hörmander, The Weyl calculus of pseudo-differential operators, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 32 (1979), 359-443.

TWISTED SU(3)

- [Lu-We] J. H. Lu and A. Weinstein, *Poisson Lie groups, dressing transformations* and Bruhat decompositions, J. Differential Geom., **31** (1990), 501–526.
- [M-Re] P. S. Muhly and J. N. Renault, C^{*}-algebra of multivariable Wiener-Hopf operators, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 274 (1982), 1–44.
- [Pe] G. K. Pedersen, C^{*}-algebras and their Automorphism Groups, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [Po] P. Podles, *Quantum spheres*, Letters in Math. Phys., 14 (1987), 193-202.
- [Re] J. Renault, A Groupoid Approach to C*-Algebras, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 793, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [Ri1] M. A. Rieffel, Deformation quantization and operator algebras, preprint.
- [Ri2] ____, Deformation quantization of Heisenberg manifolds, Comm. Math. Phys., 122 (1989), 531-562.
- [Ri3] ____, Lie group convolution algebras as deformation quantization of linear Poisson structures, Amer. J. Math., **112** (1990), 657–686.
- [Ro] M. Rosso, Comparaison des groupes SU(2) quantiques de Drinfeld et de Woronowicz, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 304 (1987), 323–326.
- [S] A. J. L. Sheu, Quantization of the Poisson SU(2) and its Poisson homogeneous space—the 2-sphere, Comm. Math. Phys., 135 (1991), 217–232.
- [Va-So] L. L. Vaksman and Ya. S. Soibelman, Function algebra on quantum group SU(2), Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen., 22 (1988), No. 2, 1-14 (in Russian).
- [Vo] A. Voros, An algebra of pseudodifferential operators and the asymptotics of quantum mechanics, J. Funct. Anal., 29 (1978), 104–132.
- [We] A. Weinstein, *The local structure of Poisson manifolds*, J. Differential Geom., **18** (1983), 523–557.
- [Wo1] S. L. Woronowicz, Twisted SU(2) group: an example of a non-commutative differential calculus, Publ. RIMS, 23 (1987), 117–181.
- [Wo2] ____, Compact matrix pseudogroups, Comm. Math. Phys., 111 (1987), 613-665.

Received July 10, 1990. Partially supported by NSF-Grant DMS-9002895. The result of this paper was presented at the AMS-IMS-SIAM Joint Summer Research Conference, June 18, 1990, at Amherst, Massachusetts, U.S.A.

UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS LAWRENCE, KS 66045-2142