DEC GROUPS FOR ARBITRARILY HIGH EXPONENTS

B. A. SETHURAMAN

For each prime p and each $n \ge 1$ $(n \ge 2$ if p = 2), examples are constructed of a Galois extension K/F whose Galois group has exponent p^n and a central simple F-algebra A of exponent p which is split by K but is not in the Dec group of K/F.

1. Introduction. Let K/F be an abelian Galois extension of fields, and let $G = \mathscr{G}(K/F)$. Let $G = G_1 \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_k$ be a direct sum decomposition of G into cyclic groups, with $G_i = \langle \sigma_i \rangle$ (i = 1, ..., k). Let F_i be the fixed field of $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_{i-1} \times G_{i+1} \times \cdots \times G_k$ (i = 1, ..., k). Thus, the F_i are cyclic Galois extensions of F, with Galois group isomorphic to G_i . The group Dec(K/F) is defined as the subgroup of Br(K/F) generated by the subgroups $\text{Br}(F_i/F)$ (i = 1, ..., k). This group was introduced by Tignol ([T1]), where he shows that Dec(K/F) is independent of the choice of the direct sum decomposition of G. If p is a prime, we will write $p^n \text{Br}(K/F)$ and $p^n \text{Dec}(K/F)$ for the subgroups of Br(K/F) and Dec(K/F) consisting of all elements with exponent dividing p^n .

A key issue in several past constructions of division algebras has been the non-triviality of the factor group $_p \operatorname{Br}(K/F)/_p \operatorname{Dec}(K/F)$ for suitable abelian extensions K/F. For instance, the Amitsur-Rowen-Tignol construction of an algebra of index 8 with involution with no quaternion subalgebra ([ART]) depends crucially on the existence of a triquadratic extension K/F for which $_2 \operatorname{Br}(K/F) \neq _2 \operatorname{Dec}(K/F)$. Similarly, the constructions of indecomposable algebras of exponent pby Tignol ([T2]) and Jacob ([J]) also depend on the existence of an (elementary) abelian extension K/F for which $_p \operatorname{Br}(K/F) \neq_p \operatorname{Dec}(K/F)$.

The extension fields K/F that occur in these examples above are all of exponent p, and it is an interesting question whether there exist abelian extensions K/F whose Galois groups have arbitrarily high (ppower) exponents for which the factor group $_p \operatorname{Br}(K/F)/_p \operatorname{Dec}(K/F)$ is non-trivial. The purpose of this paper is to show that for each $n \ge 1$ $(n \ge 2$ if p = 2), there exists an abelian extension K/F with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ (and thus, of exponent p^n) and an algebra $A \in _p \operatorname{Br}(K/F)$ such that $A \notin _p \operatorname{Dec}(K/F)$. (Note that if K/F is an $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ extension, then $_2 \operatorname{Br}(K/F)$ is always equal to $_2 \operatorname{Dec}(K/F)$, see [T3] for instance.)

Our field F will be the rational function field in 3 variables over a field F_0 of characteristic 0 that contains sufficiently many roots of unity. (For instance, F_0 may be algebraically closed.) Our algebras will in fact be generalizations of the example given by Tignol in [T2]. Moreover, we will prove that for A, K, and F as above, $A \otimes_F L \notin$ $p \operatorname{Dec}(K \cdot L/L)$ for any finite degree extension L/F with $p \nmid [L:F]$.

The special case n = 2 (and p odd) of these computations was done in [Se1], where the result was used to construct non-elementary abelian crossed products of index p^3 and exponent p^2 .

We remark that using different techniques, Rowen and Tignol ([**RT**]) have shown that if the ground field is assumed to only contain a primitive p^{s} th root of unity but *not* a primitive p^{s+1} th root of unity for some $s \ge 1$, then examples of non-trivial factor groups

 $_{p}\operatorname{Br}(K/F)/_{p}\operatorname{Dec}(K/F)$

exist for suitable abelian extensions K/F whose Galois groups have arbitrarily large (*p*-power) exponents. Using ultraproducts ([**R**]), their example can be extended to also cover the case where the ground field contains all primitive p^i th roots of unity (i = 1, 2, ...).

2. *p*-adic valuations on rational function fields. Let *p* be a prime, which, for now, can be either odd or even. Let F_0 be a field of characteristic 0. The subfield \mathbb{Q} of F_0 has a standard valuation $v: \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Z}$ obtained by writing any non-zero element in \mathbb{Q} as $p^n a/b$, where *n*, *a*, and *b* are integers, and *p* is relatively prime to *a* and *b*, and defining $v(p^n a/b) = n$. We will refer to any valuation on F_0 that extends this distinguished valuation on \mathbb{Q} as a *p*-adic valuation. Since the residue field of \mathbb{Q} under *v* is $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, the residue of F_0 under any *p*-adic valuation is of characteristic *p*.

Now let $F = F_0(x_1, x_2, ..., x_k)$ be the rational function field over F_0 in k indeterminates $(k \ge 1)$, and let v be a fixed p-adic valuation on F_0 . Then v admits an extension w to F defined as follows: for any polynomial $f \in F_0[x_1, x_2, ..., x_k]$, w(f) is the minimum of the values of the coefficients, and for f and g in $F_0[x_1, x_2, ..., x_k]$, w(f/g) = w(f) - w(g). (It is easy to check that w is indeed a valuation on F.) It can be shown that the residues \overline{x}_i of the x_i (i = 1, ..., k) are algebraically independent over the residue \overline{F}_0 of F_0 ; and that, moreover, \overline{F} is precisely the rational function field $\overline{F}_0(\overline{x_1}, \overline{x_2}, ..., \overline{x_k})$. (It is also clear from the definition of w that

 $\Gamma_F = \Gamma_{F_0}$.) We will refer to w as the standard extension of v to F. Also, we will abuse notation and continue to write x_i for the residues \overline{x}_i .

REMARK 2.1. Furthermore, it can be shown that w is the *unique* extension of v to F with the property that the values of the x_i are 0, and the residues of the x_i are algebraically independent over $\overline{F_0}$. (See [**B**, §10, Proposition 2].)

The following is well known, but we include a proof here for convenience.

LEMMA 2.2. Let p be any prime, and let F be a field of characteristic 0. Let v be a p-adic valuation on F. Let $K = F(f^{1/p})$, where $f \notin F^{*p}$, and v(f) = 0. Assume that $f = f_0^p + \pi f_1 + \delta$, where $v(f_0) = v(f_1) = 0$, $0 < v(\pi) < (p/(p-1))v(p)$, and $v(\delta) > v(\pi)$. Assume, too, that $\overline{f_1} \notin \overline{F^p}$, and that there exists $\theta \in F^*$ such that $\theta^p = \pi$. Then v extends uniquely to K, and $\overline{K} = \overline{F(f_1^{1/p})}$.

Proof. Let $r \in K^*$ satisfy $r^p = f$, and let $s = (r - f_0)/\theta$. Then $s + (f_0/\theta) = (r/\theta)$, so s satisfies

(1)
$$\left(s + \frac{f_0}{\theta}\right)^p = \frac{f_0^p + \pi f_1 + \delta}{\theta^p}$$

Expanding the left-hand side of (1) and noting that $\theta^p = \pi$, we find

(2)
$$s^{p} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} {p \choose i} s^{i} \left(\frac{f_{0}}{\theta}\right)^{p-i} = f_{1} + \left(\frac{\delta}{\theta^{p}}\right).$$

Now for i = 1, ..., p - 1, $v({p \choose i}) = v(p)$, while $v(\theta^{p-i}) \leq v(\theta^{p-1}) = v(\pi^{(p-1)/p}) < v(p)$. (The last inequality is because $v(\pi) < (p/(p-1))v(p)$.) From this, as well as the fact that $v(f_0) = 0$, we find that each of the expressions ${p \choose i}(f_0/\theta)^{p-i}$ (i = 1, ..., p - 1) has positive value. It follows that for any extension w of v from F to K, if w(s) < 0, then the left-hand side of (2) would have the same value as s^p . (Here we use the fact that if w(a) < w(b), then w(a + b) = w(a).) Since this contradicts the fact that the value of the right-hand side of (2) is 0 (note that $v(f_1) = 0$, while $v(\delta/\theta^p) > 0$), we must have $w(s) \ge 0$. Similarly, if w(s) > 0, then from $w(a + b) \ge \min(w(a), w(b))$, it follows that the left-hand side of (2) must have positive value. Hence w(s) = 0. Taking the residues of each term in (2) and noting again that all terms except s^p and f_1 have positive value, we find $\overline{s}^p = \overline{f}_1$. Thus $\overline{K} \supset \overline{F}(\overline{f_1}^{1/p})$.

Since $\overline{f_1} \notin \overline{F}^p$, and since [K : F] = p, we find by the fundamental inequality ([E, Corollary 17.5]) that w is unique, and $\overline{K} = \overline{F}(\overline{f_1}^{1/p})$.

Now let F_0 be a field of characteristic 0. We will assume that F_0 contains p^{1/p^i} for all i (i = 1, 2, ...). Let F be the rational function field $F_0(x_1, x_2, y)$. For each $n (n \ge 0)$, let

(3)
$$\phi_n = (x_1^{p^n} - y^{p^n})(x_2^{p^n} - y^{p^n}).$$

Let $H_n = F(\phi_n^{1/p})$. Let v be the standard extension of any p-adic valuation on F_0 to F. The manner in which v extends from F to H_n will be crucial to our Dec results, and the rest of §2 is devoted to this topic.

First, some notation. For p odd, and i = 1, 2, ..., p - 1, let

(4)
$$\lambda_i = \frac{(-1)^{p-i}}{p} \binom{p}{i}$$

(so each λ_i is an integer). For p odd, again, define $g_n(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ (n = 0, 1, 2, ...) by

(5)
$$g_n(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \lambda_i (x^{p^n})^i (y^{p^n})^{p-i},$$

so

$$(x^{p^n} - y^{p^n})^p = x^{p^{n+1}} - y^{p^{n+1}} + pg_n(x, y).$$

Now for p odd, define $h_n(x_1, x_2, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, y] (n = 0, 1, 2, ...)$ by

(6)
$$h_n(x_1, x_2, y) = (x_1^{p^n} - y^{p^n})^p g_n(x_2, y) + (x_2^{p^n} - y^{p^n})^p g_n(x_1, y),$$

and for p = 2, define $h_n(x_1, x_2, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, y]$ (n = 0, 1, 2, ...) by

(7)
$$h_n(x_1, x_2, y) = (x_1^{2^n} + y^{2^n})^2 x_2^{2^n} y^{2^n} + (x_2^{2^n} + y^{2^n})^2 x_1^{2^n} y^{2^n}.$$

REMARK 2.3. We will abuse notation and continue to write g_n and h_n for the images of g_n and h_n in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ and $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, y]$ (respectively).

The special case n = 1 (and p odd) of the following was proved in [T2, Lemma 3.7].

PROPOSITION 2.4. For every prime p and for all $n \ (n \ge 1)$, v extends uniquely from F to H_n , and $\overline{H_n} = \overline{F}(h_0(x_1, x_2, y)^{1/p})$.

Before proving Proposition 2.4, we need some further notation, as well as some easy lemmas.

For p = 2, define $e_n(x_1, x_2, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, y]$ (for $n \ge 1$) by

(8)
$$e_n(x_1, x_2, y) = y^{2^n}(x_1^{2^n} + x_2^{2^n}),$$

and $\psi_n(x_1, x_2, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, y]$ (for $n \ge 0$) by

(9)
$$\psi_n(x_1, x_2, y) = (x_1^{2^n} + y^{2^n})(x_2^{2^n} + y^{2^n}).$$

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(n \ge 1)$, define $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ by

(10)
$$\alpha_n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 1 + 1/p + 1/p^2 + \dots + 1/p^{n-1}, & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases}$$

Finally, for any $k \in \mathbb{Q}$, abbreviate the phrase "terms of value at least $v(p^k)$ " by $[[p^k]]$.

REMARK 2.5. Just as with g_n and h_n , we will abuse notation and continue to write e_n for the image of e_n in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, y]$.

LEMMA 2.6. Let f, g, f_1 , and g_1 be polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, y]$. Then, with respect to the restriction of v to $\mathbb{Q}(x_1, x_2, y)$ (i.e., the standard extension of the *p*-adic valuation on \mathbb{Q} to $\mathbb{Q}(x_1, x_2, y)$),

1. If f = g + [[p]], and $f_1 = g_1 + [[p]]$, then $f + f_1 = g + g_1 + [[p]]$ and $ff_1 = gg_1 + [[p]]$.

2. $(f+g)^p = f^p + g^p + [[p]].$

3. Let $k \ge 1$, and suppose

$$f = \sum c_{i_1, i_2, i_3} (x_1^{p^k})^{i_1} (x_2^{p^k})^{i_2} (x_3^{p^k})^{i_3},$$

for some $c_{i_1,i_2,i_3} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define $f^{1/p} \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, y]$ by

$$f^{1/p} = \sum c_{i_1, i_2, i_3} (x_1^{p^{k-1}})^{i_1} (x_2^{p^{k-1}})^{i_2} (x_3^{p^{k-1}})^{i_3}.$$

Then $f = (f^{1/p})^p + [[p]].$

Proof. Note that the values of f, g, f_1 , and g_1 are non-negative. (1) and (2) are now elementary. (3) follows from (2) along with the fact that $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$ for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

LEMMA 2.7. With respect to the restriction of v to $\mathbb{Q}(x_1, x_2, y)$, 1. For $n \ge 1$ and for all p, $h_n = h_{n-1}^p + [[p]]$, and for $n \ge 2$ and p = 2, $e_n = e_{n-1}^2 + [[2]]$.

2. For $n \ge 1$ and p odd, $\phi_n = \phi_{n-1}^p - ph_{n-1} + [[p^2]]$. 3. For $n \ge 1$ and p = 2, $\phi_n = \psi_n - 2e_n$, and $\psi_n = \psi_{n-1}^2 - 2h_{n-1} + ph_{n-1}^2 + ph_{n-1}^2 - ph_{n-1}^2 + ph_{n-1}^2 - ph_{n-1}^2 + ph_{n-1}^2 - ph_{n-1}^2 - ph_{n-1}^2 + ph_{n-1}^2 - ph_{n-1}^$ [[4]] (so $\phi_n = \psi_{n-1}^2 - 2(h_{n-1} + e_n) + [[4]])$.

Proof. (1) follows from the definitions of h_n and e_n and Lemma 2.6. For instance, for p odd (and $n \ge 1$) we have

$$(x_1^{p^n} - y^{p^n})^p = ((x_1^{p^{n-1}} - y^{p^{n-1}})^p + [[p]])^p = (x_1^{p^{n-1}} - y^{p^{n-1}})^{p^2} + [[p]].$$

Also,

$$g_n(x_2, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \lambda_i (x_2^{p^n})^i (y^{p^n})^{p-i}$$

= $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \lambda_i (x_2^{p^{n-1}})^i (y^{p^{n-1}})^{p-i}\right)^p + [[p]]$
= $(g_{n-1}(x_2, y))^p + [[p]].$

Since similar relations hold for $(x_2^{p^n} - y^{p^n})^p$ and $g_n(x_1, y)$, we find

$$h_{n} = (x_{1}^{p^{n-1}} - y^{p^{n-1}})^{p^{2}} (g_{n-1}(x_{2}, y))^{p} + (x_{2}^{p^{n-1}} - y^{p^{n-1}})^{p^{2}} (g_{n-1}(x_{1}, y))^{p} + [[p]] = ((x_{1}^{p^{n-1}} - y^{p^{n-1}})^{p} g_{n-1}(x_{2}, y) + (x_{2}^{p^{n-1}} - y^{p^{n-1}})^{p} g_{n-1}(x_{1}, y))^{p} + [[p]] = h_{n-1}^{p} + [[p]].$$

The proof for p = 2 is similar. For (2), we have

$$\begin{split} \phi_n &= (x_1^{p^n} - y^{p^n})(x_2^{p^n} - y^{p^n}) \\ &= [(x_1^{p^{n-1}} - y^{p^{n-1}})^p - pg_{n-1}(x_1, y)] \\ &\cdot [(x_2^{p^{n-1}} - y^{p^{n-1}})^p - pg_{n-1}(x_2, y)] \\ &= [(x_1^{p^{n-1}} - y^{p^{n-1}})(x_2^{p^{n-1}} - y^{p^{n-1}})]^p \\ &- p[(x_1^{p^{n-1}} - y^{p^{n-1}})^pg_{n-1}(x_2, y) \\ &+ (x_2^{p^{n-1}} - y^{p^{n-1}})^pg_{n-1}(x_1, y)] + [[p^2]] \\ &= \phi_{n-1}^p - ph_{n-1} + [[p^2]]. \end{split}$$

As for (3),

$$\phi_n = (x_1^{2^n} - y^{2^n})(x_2^{2^n} - y^{2^n})$$

= $(x_1^{2^n} + y^{2^n} - 2y^{2^n})(x_2^{2^n} + y^{2^n} - 2y^{2^n})$
= $(x_1^{2^n} + y^{2^n})(x_2^{2^n} + y^{2^n}) - 2y^{2^n}(x_1^{2^n} + x_2^{2^n})$
= $\psi_n - 2e_n$.

Also,

$$\begin{split} \psi_{n} &= (x_{1}^{2^{n}} + y^{2^{n}})(x_{2}^{2^{n}} + y^{2^{n}}) \\ &= [(x_{1}^{2^{n-1}} + y^{2^{n-1}})^{2} - 2x_{1}^{2^{n-1}}y^{2^{n-1}}][(x_{2}^{2^{n-1}} + y^{2^{n-1}})^{2} - 2x_{2}^{2^{n-1}}y^{2^{n-1}}] \\ &= [(x_{1}^{2^{n-1}} + y^{2^{n-1}})(x_{2}^{2^{n-1}} + y^{2^{n-1}})]^{2} \\ &- 2[(x_{1}^{2^{n-1}} + y^{2^{n-1}})^{2}x_{2}^{2^{n-1}}y^{2^{n-1}} + (x_{2}^{2^{n-1}} + y^{2^{n-1}})^{2}x_{1}^{2^{n-1}}y^{2^{n-1}}] + [[4]] \\ &= \psi_{n-1}^{2} - 2h_{n-1} + [[4]]. \end{split}$$

LEMMA 2.8. For all p and for all $k \ge 0$, $\alpha_{k+1} < \alpha_2 + 1/p$.

Proof. Since $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_2 + 1/p$, we may assume k > 2. Now $\alpha_{k+1} = 1 + 1/p + \cdots + 1/p^k$ and $\alpha_2 = 1 + 1/p$, so it is sufficient to prove that $1/p^2 + \cdots + 1/p^k < 1/p$. Multiplying both sides by p, we need to prove that $1/p + \cdots + 1/p^{k-1} < 1$. But this is clear, since

$$1/p + \dots + 1/p^{k-1} = 1/p(1 + 1/p + \dots + 1/p^{k-2})$$

$$< 1/p(1 + 1/p + 1/p^{2} + \dots)$$

$$= 1/(p-1) \le 1.$$

Proof of Proposition 2.4. We divide the proof according to whether p is odd or whether p = 2.

Case 1 (Odd p). If n = 1, this follows from Lemmas 2.7 and 2.2. For, by Lemma 2.7, $\phi_1 = \phi_0^p - ph_0 + \delta$, for some $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, y]$ with $v(\delta) \ge v(p^2)$. By assumption, $p^{1/p} \in F_0$. Clearly, $-h_0 \notin \overline{F}^p = \overline{F_0}^p(x_1^p, x_2^p, y^p)$. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, v extends uniquely to H_1 , and $\overline{H_1} = \overline{F}((-h_0)^{1/p}) = \overline{F}(h_0^{1/p})$.

In general, for n > 1, we have by Lemma 2.7,

(11)
$$\phi_{n} = \phi_{n-1}^{p} - ph_{n-1} + [[p^{2}]] = \phi_{n-1}^{p} - p(h_{n-2}^{p} + [[p]]) + [[p^{2}]] = \phi_{n-1}^{p} - ph_{n-2}^{p} + [[p^{2}]] = \phi_{n-1}^{p} - (p^{1/p}h_{n-2})^{p} + [[p^{2}]] = (\phi_{n-1} - p^{1/p}h_{n-2})^{p} - pg_{0}(\phi_{n-1}, p^{1/p}h_{n-2}) + [[p^{2}]] = (\phi_{n-1} - p^{1/p}h_{n-2})^{p} - pg_{0}(\phi_{n-1}, p^{1/p}h_{n-2}) + [[p^{2}]] = (\phi_{n-1} - p^{1/p}h_{n-2})^{p} - p\alpha_{2}\phi_{n-1}^{p-1}h_{n-2} + [[p^{\alpha_{2}+1/p}]].$$

(For the last equality, note that

$$pg_{0}(\phi_{n-1}, p^{1/p}h_{n-2}) = p(p^{1/p})h_{n-2}\phi_{n-1}^{p-1} + {\binom{p}{2}}(p^{1/p}h_{n-2})^{2}\phi_{n-1}^{p-2} + \cdots$$

Also, note that $p^{1+1/p} = p^{\alpha_2}$, and $p^{1+2/p} = p^{\alpha_2+1/p}$. Finally, note that since $p \ge 3$, 1 + 2/p < 2.)

Claim. For $2 \le k \le n-1$, if

$$S_k = a_k^p - p^{\alpha_k} \phi_{n-1}^{p-1} \phi_{n-2}^{p-1} \cdots \phi_{n-k+1}^{p-1} h_{n-k} + [[p^{\alpha_2 + 1/p}]],$$

for some $a_k \in F$ with $a_k = \phi_{n-1} + [[p^{1/p}]]$, then

$$S_{k} = a_{k+1}^{p} - p^{\alpha_{k+1}} \phi_{n-1}^{p-1} \phi_{n-2}^{p-2} \cdots \phi_{n-k}^{p-1} h_{n-k-1} + [[p^{\alpha_{2}+1/p}]],$$

for some $a_{k+1} \in F$ with $a_{k+1} = \phi_{n-1} + [[p^{1/p}]]$.

Proof of claim. For, by Lemma 2.7, $\phi_j = \phi_{j-1}^p + [[p]]$ and $h_j = h_{j-1}^p + [[p]]$ for all $j \ge 1$, so

Expanding $pg_0(a_k, p^{\alpha_k/p}\phi_{n-2}^{p-1}\cdots\phi_{n-k}^{p-1}h_{n-k-1})$ and considering the first two terms of lowest value, we find

$$S_{k} = (a_{k} - p^{\alpha_{k}/p} \phi_{n-2}^{p-1} \cdots \phi_{n-k}^{p-1} h_{n-k-1})^{p} - p^{1+\alpha_{k}/p} a_{k}^{p-1} \phi_{n-2}^{p-1} \cdots \phi_{n-k}^{p-1} h_{n-k-1} + [[p^{1+(2\alpha_{k}/p)}]] + [[p^{\alpha_{2}+1/p}]].$$

Now $1 + \alpha_k/p = \alpha_{k+1}$. Also, $1 + (2\alpha_k/p) = \alpha_{k+1} + \alpha_k/p > \alpha_2 + 1/p$ (as $\alpha_{k+1} > \alpha_2$ and $\alpha_k > 1$ when $k \ge 2$). Thus,

$$S_{k} = (a_{k} - p^{\alpha_{k}/p} \phi_{N-2}^{p-1} \cdots \phi_{n-k}^{p-1} h_{n-k-1})^{p} - p^{\alpha_{k+1}} a_{k}^{p-1} \phi_{n-2}^{p-1} \cdots \phi_{n-k}^{p-1} h_{n-k-1} + [[p^{\alpha_{2}+1/p}]].$$

Now recalling that $a_k = \phi_{n-1} + [[p^{1/p}]]$, we find $a_k^{p-1} = \phi_{n-1}^{p-1} + [[p^{1/p}]]$. Hence,

$$S_{k} = (a_{k} - p^{\alpha_{k}/p} \phi_{n-2}^{p-1} \cdots \phi_{n-k}^{p-1} h_{n-k-1})^{p} - p^{\alpha_{k+1}} \phi_{n-1}^{p-1} \phi_{n-2}^{p-1} \cdots \phi_{n-k}^{p-1} h_{n-k-1} + [[p^{\alpha_{k+1}+1/p}]] + [[p^{\alpha_{2}+1/p}]].$$

Since $\alpha_{k+1} > \alpha_2$, $\alpha_{k+1} + 1/p > \alpha_2 + 1/p$. Thus,

$$S_{k} = (a_{k} - p^{\alpha_{k}/p} \phi_{n-2}^{p-1} \cdots \phi_{n-k}^{p-1} h_{n-k-1})^{p} - p^{\alpha_{k+1}} \phi_{n-1}^{p-1} \phi_{n-2}^{p-1} \cdots \phi_{n-k}^{p-1} h_{n-k-1} + [[p^{\alpha_{2}+1/p}]].$$

Take $a_{k+1} = (a_k - p^{\alpha_k/p} \phi_{n-2}^{p-1} \cdots \phi_{n-k}^{p-1} h_{n-k-1})$. Since $a_k = \phi_{n-1} + [[p^{1/p}]]$ and since $1/p < \alpha_k/p$ (as $k \ge 2$), $a_{k+1} = \phi_{n-1} + [[p^{1/p}]]$. This proves the claim.

Proof of Case 1 (*continued*). We now use the claim above to inductively reduce (11) until it yields

(12)
$$\phi_n = a^p + p^{\alpha_n} b h_0 + \delta,$$

for some $a \in F$ with v(a) = 0, some $b \in F$ with v(b) = 0and $\overline{b} \in \overline{F}^p$, and some $\delta \in F$ with $v(\delta) > \alpha_n$. Since $p^{\alpha_n/p} = p^{1/p+1/p^2+\dots+1/p^n} \in F_0$, it will follow immediately from Lemma 2.2 that v extends uniquely from F to H_n , and $\overline{H_n} = \overline{F}(h_0^{1/p})$.

If n = 2, then (11) is already in the desired form, since $\overline{\phi_1} \in \overline{F}^p$. Otherwise, we write (11) as

$$\phi_n = S_2 + [[p^{\alpha_2 + 1/p}]],$$

B. A. SETHURAMAN

with $a_2 = \phi_{n-1} - p^{1/p} h_{n-2}$. By repeatedly applying the claim, we find

$$\phi_n = S_n + [[p^{\alpha_2 + 1/p}]],$$

with $S_n = a_n^p - p^{\alpha_n} \phi_{n-1}^{p-1} \cdots \phi_1^{p-1} h_0$, for some $a_n \in F$ with $a_n = \phi_{n-1} + [[p^{1/p}]]$. By Lemma 2.8, $\alpha_n < \alpha_2 + 1/p$ for all $n \ge 3$. Observing that the residues of $\phi_{n-1}, \ldots, \phi_1$ are all *p*th powers in \overline{F} , we find that ϕ_n is now in the form (12), and we are done.

Case 2 (p = 2). The basic steps for the p = 2 case are the same as for the odd p case, the differences are only in the details.

If n = 1, then, by Lemma 2.7, $\phi_1 = \psi_0^2 - 2(h_0 + e_1) + [[4]]$, so by Lemma 2.2, v extends uniquely to H_1 , and $\overline{H_1} = \overline{F}(\sqrt{(h_0 + e_1)})$. But e_1 is already a square in \overline{F} , so $\overline{H_1} = \overline{F}(\sqrt{h_0})$.

In general, for n > 1, we have, by Lemma 2.7

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n &= \psi_{n-1}^2 - 2(h_{n-1} + e_n) + [[4]] \\ &= \psi_{n-1}^2 - 2(h_{n-2}^2 + [[2]] + e_{n-1}^2 + [[2]]) + [[4]] \\ &= \psi_{n-1}^2 - 2(h_{n-2}^2 + e_{n-1}^2) + [[4]] \\ &= \psi_{n-1}^2 - 2((h_{n-2} + e_{n-1})^2 + [[2]]) + [[4]] \\ &= \psi_{n-1}^2 - 2(h_{n-2} + e_{n-1})^2 - 4(h_{n-2} + e_{n-1})^2 + [[4]] \\ &= \psi_{n-1}^2 + (2^{1/2}(h_{n-2} + e_{n-1}))^2 + [[4]] \\ &= (\psi_{n-1} + (2^{1/2}(h_{n-2} + e_{n-1}))^2 + [[4]] \\ &= (\psi_{n-1} + (2^{1/2}(h_{n-2} + e_{n-1}))^2 \\ &- 2(2)^{1/2}\psi_{n-1}(h_{n-2} + e_{n-1}) + [[4]] \end{aligned}$$
(13)
$$= (\psi_{n-1} + (2^{1/2}(h_{n-2} + e_{n-1}))^2 \\ &- 2^{\alpha_2}\psi_{n-1}(h_{n-2} + e_{n-1}) + [[4]]. \end{aligned}$$

Claim. For $2 \le k \le n-1$, let

$$S_k = a_k^2 - 2^{\alpha_k} \psi_{n-1} \cdots \psi_{n-k+1} (h_{n-k} + e_{n-k+1}) + [[4]],$$

for some $a_k \in F$ with $a_k = \psi_{n-1} + [[2^{1/2}]]$. Then,

$$S_k = a_{k+1}^2 - 2^{\alpha_k + 1} \psi_{n-1} \cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k}) + [[4]],$$

for some $a_{k+1} \in F$ with $a_{k+1} = \psi_{n-1} + [[2^{1/2}]]$.

Proof of Claim. We have

$$\begin{split} S_k &= a_k^2 - (2^{\alpha_k/2})^2 (\psi_{n-2}^2 + [[2]]) \\ &\cdots (\psi_{n-k}^2 + [[2]]) (h_{n-k-1}^2 + [[2]]) + e_{n-k}^2 + [[2]]) + [[4]] \\ &= a_k^2 - (2^{\alpha_k/2})^2 \psi_{n-2}^2 \cdots \psi_{n-k}^2 (h_{n-k-1}^2 + e_{n-k}^2) \\ &+ [[2^{1+2(\alpha_k/2)}]] + [[4]] \\ &= a_k^2 - (2^{\alpha_k/2})^2 \psi_{n-2}^2 \cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k})^2 + [[2]]) + [[4]] \\ &= a_k^2 - (2^{\alpha_k/2} \psi_{n-2} \cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k}))^2 + [[4]] \\ &= a_k^2 + (2^{\alpha_k/2} \psi_{n-2} \cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k}))^2 + [[4]] \\ &= a_k^2 + (2^{\alpha_k/2} \psi_{n-2} \cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k}))^2 + [[4]] \\ &= (a_k + 2^{\alpha_k/2} \psi_{n-2} \cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k}))^2 + [[4]] \\ &= (a_k + 2^{\alpha_k/2} \psi_{n-2} \cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k}))^2 \\ &- 2(2^{\alpha_k/2}) a_k \psi_{n-2} \cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k}) + [[4]] \\ &= (a_k + 2^{\alpha_k/2} \psi_{n-2} \cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k}))^2 \\ &- 2^{1+\alpha k/2} (\psi_{n-1} + [[2^{1/2}]]) \psi_{n-2} \\ &\cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k}) + [[4]] \\ &= (a_k + 2^{\alpha_k/2} \psi_{n-2} \cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k}))^2 \\ &- 2^{\alpha_{k+1}} \psi_{n-1} \psi_{n-2} \cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k}) + [[4]] \\ &= (a_k + 2^{\alpha_k/2} \psi_{n-2} \cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k}) + [[4]] \\ &= (a_k + 2^{\alpha_k/2} \psi_{n-2} \cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k}) + [[4]] \\ &= (a_k + 2^{\alpha_k/2} \psi_{n-2} \cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k}) + [[4]] \\ &= (a_k + 2^{\alpha_k/2} \psi_{n-2} \cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k}) + [[4]] \\ &= (a_k + 2^{\alpha_k/2} \psi_{n-2} \cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k}) + [[4]] \\ &= (a_k + 2^{\alpha_k/2} \psi_{n-2} \cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k}) + [[4]] \\ &= (a_k + 2^{\alpha_k/2} \psi_{n-2} \cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k}) + [[4]] \\ &= (a_k + 2^{\alpha_k/2} \psi_{n-2} \cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k}) + [[4]] \\ &= (a_k + 2^{\alpha_k/2} \psi_{n-2} \cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k}) + [[4]] \\ &= (a_k^2 + 1 - 2^{\alpha_{k+1}} \psi_{n-1} \psi_{n-2} \cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k}) + [[4]] \\ \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_{k+1} = a_k + 2^{\alpha_k/2} \psi_{n-2} \cdots \psi_{n-k} (h_{n-k-1} + e_{n-k}),$$

(so $a_{k+1} = \psi_{n-1} + [[2^{1/2}]] + [[2^{\alpha_k/2}]] = \psi_{n-1} + [[2^{1/2}]]).$

Proof of Case 2 (continued). We now use the claim above to inductively reduce (13) until it yields

(14)
$$\phi_n = a^2 + 2^{\alpha_n} b(h_0 + e_1) + \delta,$$

for some $a \in F$ with v(a) = 0, some $b \in F$ with v(b) = 0and $\overline{b} \in \overline{F}^2$, and some $\delta \in F$ with $v(\delta) > \alpha_n$. Since $2^{\alpha_n/2} = 2^{1/2+1/2^2+\dots+1/2^n} \in F_0$, it will follow immediately from Lemma 2.2 that v extends uniquely from F to H_n , and $\overline{H_n} = \overline{F}(\sqrt{h_0 + e_1}) = \overline{F}(\sqrt{h_0})$.

If n = 2, then (13) is already in the desired form, since $\overline{\psi_1} \in \overline{F^2}$.

Otherwise, we write (13) as

$$\phi_n = S_2 + [[4]],$$

with $a_2 = \psi_{n-1} + 2^{1/2}(h_{n-2} + e_{n-1})$. By repeatedly applying the claim, we find

$$\phi_n = S_n + [[4]],$$

with $S_n = a_n^2 - (2^{\alpha_n})\psi_{n-1}\cdots\psi_1(h_0 + e_1)$, for some $a_n \in F$ with $a_n = \psi_{n-1} + [[2^{1/2}]]$. By Lemma 2.8 (or by more direct means), $\alpha_n < 2$ for all $n \ge 3$. Observing that the residues of $\psi_{n-1}, \ldots, \psi_1$ are all squares in \overline{F} , we find that ϕ_n is now in the form (15), and we are done.

3. The Dec results. Let F_0 be a field of characteristic 0 containing all primitive p^i th roots of unity ω_i (i = 1, 2, ...), chosen so that $\omega_{i+1}^p = \omega_i$. (We will write ω for ω_1 .) If $L \supseteq F_0$ is any field, and if *a* and *b* are in L^* , then, as in [D, Chapter 11], $(a, b; p^n, L, \omega_n)$ will denote the algebra generated over *L* by two symbols α and β subject to $\alpha^{p^n} = a$, $\beta^{p^n} = b$, and $\alpha\beta = \omega_n\alpha\beta$, and will be referred to as a symbol algebra. Now let $F = F_0(x_1, x_2, y)$ be the rational function field over F_0 in the three indeterminates x_1 , x_2 , and y. For each $n \ge 1$, define

$$A_{n} = (x_{1}, x_{1}^{p^{n}} - y; p, F, \omega) \otimes_{F} (x_{2}, x_{2}^{p^{n}} - y; p, F, \omega).$$

LEMMA 3.1. For each $n \ge 1$, A_n has index p^2 and exponent p. Further,

$$A_n \sim \left(y, \frac{(x_1^{p^n} - y)(x_2^{p^n} - y)}{x_1^{p^n} x_2^{p^n}}; p^{n+1}, F, \omega_{n+1} \right).$$

Proof. This is very similar to the proof of Proposition 2 in [Se2], and we only sketch the proof. The factor $(x_1, x_1^{p^n} - y; p, F, \omega)$ is NSR with respect to the x_1 -adic valuation on F, with residue isomorphic to $F_0(x_2, z)$, where $z = y^{1/p}$. The factor $(x_2, x_2^{p^n} - y; p, F_0(x_2, z), \omega)$ (i.e., defined over $F_0(x_2, z)$) is NSR with respect to the $x_2^{p^{n-1}} - z$ adic valuation (with residue isomorphic to $F_0(x_2^{1/p})$). It follows from [JW, Theorem 5.15] that A_n has index p^2 . It is clear that $\exp(A_n) = p$. As for the final statement of the lemma, standard symbol algebra identities (e.g., [D, Chapter 11, pages 77–82]) along with the assumption

about the roots of unity in F_0 show that

$$\begin{split} A_{n} &\sim (x_{1}^{p^{n}}, x_{1}^{p^{n}} - y; p^{n+1}, F, \omega_{n+1}) \\ &\otimes_{F} (x_{2}^{p^{n}}, x_{2}^{p^{n}} - y; p^{n+1}, F, \omega_{n+1}) \\ &\sim \left(-y, \frac{x_{1}^{p^{n}} - y}{x_{1}^{p^{n}}}; p^{n+1}, F, \omega_{n+1}\right) \\ &\otimes_{F} \left(-y, \frac{x_{2}^{p^{n}} - y}{x_{2}^{p^{n}}}; p^{n+1}, F, \omega_{n+1}\right) \\ &\sim \left(y, \frac{(x_{1}^{p^{n}} - y)(x_{2}^{p^{n}} - y)}{x_{1}^{p^{n}}x_{2}^{p^{n}}}; p^{n+1}, F, \omega_{n+1}\right). \end{split}$$

Now write ϕ_n for $(x_1^{p^n} - y)(x_2^{p^n} - y)$ (this notation will be seen to be consistent with that of §2), and write K_n for the field $F(y^{1/p^n}, \phi_n^{1/p})$. Then $A_n \in Br(K_n/F)$. Tignol ([**T2**, Theorem 1]) showed that when p is odd, $A_1 \notin Dec(K_1/F)$. We have

THEOREM 3.2. 1. For p odd and $n \ge 1$, or p = 2 and $n \ge 2$, $A_n \notin \text{Dec}(K_n/F)$.

2. More generally, for p odd, $n \ge 1$, and $0 \le l \le n - 1$, or p = 2, $n \ge 2$, and $0 \le l \le n - 2$, let $F_l = F(y^{1/p^l})$ (so $F_l \subset K_n$). Then, $A_n \otimes_F F_l \notin \text{Dec}(K_n/F_l)$.

3. Further, let E be any finite extension of F, with $p \nmid [E:F]$. For p odd, $n \ge 1$, and $0 \le l \le n-1$, or p = 2, $n \ge 2$, and $0 \le l \le n-2$, let $E_l = E(y^{1/p^l})$ (so $E_l \subset K_n \cdot E$). Then, $A_n \otimes_F E_l \notin \text{Dec}(K_n \cdot E/E_l)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. It is clearly sufficient to prove (3). Moreover, it is sufficient to prove (3) for the case l = n - 1 (for p odd) and l = n - 2 (for p = 2). For, assume that for l < n - 1 and p odd, or for l < n - 2 and p = 2,

$$A_n \otimes_F E_l \sim (y^{1/p'}, b_1; p^{n-l}, E_l, \omega_{n-l}) \otimes_{E_l} (b_2, \phi_n; p, E_l, \omega),$$

for some b_1 and $b_2 \in E_l^*$. Then, extending scalars to E_{n-1} (for p odd) and E_{n-2} (for p = 2), we find by standard symbol algebra identities

$$A_n \otimes_F E_{n-1} \sim (y^{1/p^{n-1}}, b_1; p, E_{n-1}, \omega) \otimes_{E_{n-1}} (b_2, \phi_n; p, E_{n-1}, \omega)$$

for p odd, and

$$A_n \otimes_F E_{n-2} \sim (y^{1/p^{n-2}}, b_1; p^2, E_{n-2}, \omega_2) \otimes_{E_{n-2}} (b_2, \phi_n; p, E_{n-2}, \omega)$$

B. A. SETHURAMAN

for p = 2. Thus, we find that for p odd and l < n - 1, if

 $A_n \otimes_F E_l \in \operatorname{Dec}(K_n \cdot E/E_l)$

then

$$A_n \otimes_F E_{n-1} \in \operatorname{Dec}(K_n \cdot E/E_{n-1}),$$

and for p = 2 and l < n - 2, if

$$A_n \otimes_F E_l \in \operatorname{Dec}(K_n \cdot E/E_l)$$

then

$$A_n \otimes_F E_{n-2} \in \operatorname{Dec}(K_n \cdot E/E_{n-2}).$$

We find it convenient at this point to divide the proof according to whether p is odd or even.

Case 1 $(p \ odd)$. Assume that

 $A_n \otimes_F E_{n-1} \sim (y^{1/p^{n-1}}, b_1; p, E_{n-1}, \omega) \otimes E_{n-1}(b_2, \phi_n; p, E_{n-1}, \omega),$ for some b_1 and $b_2 \in E_{n-1}^*$. By Lemma 3.1 and standard symbol algebra identities,

$$A_n \otimes_F E_{n-1} \sim \left(y^{1/p^{n-1}}, \frac{\phi_n}{x_1^{p^n} x_2^{p^n}}; p^2, E_{n-1}, \omega_2 \right).$$

Put $z = y^{1/p^n}$. Then, extending scalars further to $E_n = E(z)$, and noting that $x_1^{p^n}$ and $x_2^{p^n}$ are *p*th powers, we find

 $(z, \phi_n; p, E_n, \omega) \sim (b, \phi_n; p, E_n, \omega),$

where we have written b for b_2 . Hence,

$$(z/b, \phi_n; p, E_n, \omega) \sim 1,$$

SO

for some $u \in E_n((\phi_n)^{1/p})$, where N denotes the norm from $E_n((\phi_n)^{1/p})$ to E_n . We will prove that it is impossible to find $b \in E_{n-1}$ and $u \in E_n((\phi_n)^{1/p})$ such that (16) holds.

If $\overline{F_0}$ denotes the algebraic closure of F_0 , then $\overline{F_0}(x_1, x_2, y)$ is normal over $F_0(x_1, x_2, y)$, so if $E = F_0(x_1, x_2, y)(t)$ for some $t \in E^*$, then it is standard that the degree of the minimum polynomial of t over $\overline{F_0}(x_1, x_2, y)$ divides the degree of the minimum polynomial of t over $F_0(x_1, x_2, y)$. Hence $p \nmid [E \cdot \overline{F_0}(x_1, x_2, y) : \overline{F_0}(x_1, x_2, y)]$. Thus, while showing that (15) cannot hold, we may assume that F_0 is

algebraically closed. In particular, we may assume that F_0 contains p^{1/p^i} for all $i \ (i = 1, 2, ...)$, so we may apply the machinery of §2.

Now write χ for $h_0(x_1, x_2, z)$, where h_0 is as in §2. As with the polynomial h_0 , we will abuse notation and continue to write χ for the residue of h_0 under appropriate *p*-adic valuations. Observe that over E_n , $\phi_n = (x_1^{p^n} - z^{p^n})(x_2^{p^n} - z^{p^n})$, which, after renaming variables is indeed the same as the " ϕ_n " of §2.

We first need an easy lemma:

LEMMA 3.3. Let p be a prime, and let (F, v) be a valued field. Let K be a finite dimensional separable extension of F such that $p \nmid [K:F]$. Then for some extension of v to K, $p \nmid [\overline{K}:\overline{F}]$.

Proof. Let v_i $(1 \le i \le s)$ be the extensions of v to K, and let $(\overline{K})_i$ denote the residues of K with respect to the valuations v_i . Let F_h denote the henselization of F with respect to v, and let $K_{i,h}$ denote the henselization of K with respect to v_i $(1 \le i \le s)$. Then (by [E, Theorem 17.17]) $[K:F] = \sum_{i=1}^{s} [K_{i,h}:F_h]$, so if $p \nmid [K:F]$, then $p \nmid [K_{i,h}:F_h]$ for some i. Now $\overline{K_{i,h}} = (\overline{K})_i$ and $\overline{F_h} = \overline{F}$, so by Ostrowski's theorem ([O, Satz 4], see also [E, Theorem 20.21]), $[(\overline{K})_i:\overline{F}] \mid [K_{i,h}:F_h]$. Hence, for this i, $p \nmid [(\overline{K})_i:\overline{F}]$.

Proof of Theorem 3.2 (continued). Now let $L = F_0(x_1, x_2, z)$ and let v be the standard extension of any p-adic valuation on F_0 to L(so $\overline{L} = \overline{F_0}(x_1, x_2, z)$). Let $L_1 = F_0(x_1, x_2, z^p)$, and let v_{L_1} denote the restriction of v to L_1 . Choose an extension w of v_{L_1} to E_{n-1} such that $p \nmid [\overline{E_{n-1}} : \overline{L_1}]$. (Since $[E_{n-1} : L_1] = [E : F]$, the lemma above shows that such a choice is possible.) By Proposition 2.4 vextends uniquely from L to $L(\phi_n^{1/p})$, with residue $\overline{L}(\chi^{1/p})$. Since $p \nmid [\overline{E_{n-1}} : \overline{L_1}]$, while $[\overline{L(\phi_n^{1/p})} : \overline{L_1}] = p^2$, it follows easily that wextends uniquely from E_{n-1} to $E_n(\phi_n^{1/p})$, with residue $\overline{E_n}(\chi^{1/p})$.

Now, continue to write w for the (unique) extension of w to $E_n(\phi_n^{1/p})$ and consider the relation (15). Since v(z) = 0, we get w(b) + w(N(u)) = 0. Since $\Gamma_{E_{n-1}} = \Gamma_{E_n(\phi_n^{1/p})}$, there is a $c \in E_{n-1}$ such that w(c) = w(u). Then, $bN(u) = bc^p N(u/c)$, and w(u/c) = 0, $w(bc^p) = w(b) + p \cdot w(u) = w(b) + w(N(u)) = 0$, and of course, $bc^p \in E_{n-1}$. Hence, we may assume in (15) that w(b) = w(u) = 0.

Now let σ be a generator of $\mathscr{G}(E_n(\phi_n^{1/p})/E_n)$, so

$$N(u) = u \cdot \sigma(u) \cdots \sigma^{p-1}(u).$$

Hence, $\overline{N(u)} = \overline{u} \cdot \overline{\sigma}(\overline{u}) \cdots \overline{\sigma}^{p-1}(\overline{u})$, where $\overline{\sigma}$ is the induced automorphism of $\overline{E_n}(\chi^{1/p})/\overline{E_n}$ (i.e., $\overline{\sigma}(\overline{x}) = \overline{\sigma(x)}$ for all $\overline{x} \in \overline{E_n}(\chi^{1/p})$). Since the extension $\overline{E_n}(\chi^{1/p})/\overline{E_n}$ is purely inseparable, $\overline{\sigma}$ is just the identity, so find $\overline{N(u)} = \overline{u}^p$. Thus, reducing the relation z = bN(u) modulo the maximal ideal of the valuation ring of w, we find $z = \overline{b}\overline{u}^p$, where $\overline{b} \in \overline{E_{n-1}}$, and $\overline{u} \in \overline{E_n}(\chi^{1/p})$. We will show that such a relation is impossible.

Let $\overline{E_{n-1}} = \overline{L_1}(\theta)$, so that 1, θ , ..., θ^{s-1} form a basis for $\overline{E_{n-1}}/\overline{L_1}$, with $s = [\overline{E_{n-1}} : \overline{L_1}]$. Since $p \nmid s$, it follows easily that $\overline{E_{n-1}} = \overline{L_1}(\theta^p)$, and 1, θ^p , ..., $\theta^{(s-1)p}$ also form a basis of $\overline{E_{n_1}}/\overline{L_1}$. Likewise, 1, θ , ..., θ^{s-1} , as well as 1, θ^p , ..., $\theta^{(s-1)p}$, are both bases of $\overline{E_n}(\chi^{1/p})/\overline{L}(\chi^{1/p})$. Now let

$$1/\overline{b} = b_0 + b_1\theta^p + \dots + b_{s-1}\theta^{(s-1)p}$$

where the $b_i \in \overline{L_1}$ (i = 0, 1, ..., s - 1). Similarly, let

$$\overline{u} = u_0 + u_1\theta + \cdots + u_{s-1}\theta^{s-1},$$

where the $u_i \in \overline{L}(\chi^{1/p})$ (i = 0, 1, ..., s-1). Substituting the expressions above for $1/\overline{b}$ and \overline{u} in $z/\overline{b} = \overline{u}^p$ and comparing like terms, we find

where of course, $b_0 \in \overline{L_1}$ and $u_0 \in \overline{L}(\chi^{1/p})$. The impossibility of (16) above is just the impossibility of [T2, (23)], and follows immediately from the proof given there. However, for the sake of completeness, we will reprove this result here. Our proof will be different from that in [T2]; instead, it will be similar in spirit to the proof below of a corresponding result for p = 2.

Write c for $1/b_0$ and u for u_0 , so we need to show that there do not exist $c \in \overline{L_1}$ $(=\overline{F_0}(x_1, x_2, z^p))$ and $u \in \overline{L}(\chi^{1/p})$ $(=\overline{F_0}(x_1, x_2, z)(\chi^{1/p}))$ such that $z/c = u^p$. By considering the zadic valuation on $\overline{L_1}$, it is easy to see that for any $c \in \overline{L_1}^* \quad z/c \notin \overline{L}^{*p}$. Now assume that $z/c = u^p$ for some $c \in \overline{L_1}^*$ and some $u \in \overline{L}(\chi^{1/p})$. Then $\overline{L}((z/c)^{1/p}) \subset \overline{L}(\chi^{1/p})$, so we find $\overline{L}((z/c)^{1/p}) = \overline{L}(\chi^{1/p})$. Thus, there exist $f_i \in \overline{L}^p$ (i = 0, 1, ..., p - 1) such that

(17)
$$\chi \ (= h_0(x_1, x_2, z)) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} f_i(z/c)^i.$$

Since 1, z, \ldots, z^{p-1} form a basis for $L/\overline{L_1}$, we may write

$$h_0(x_1, x_2, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} e_i z^i \text{ for } e_i \in \overline{L_1},$$

where the values of the e_i may be derived from the definition of h_0 in (6). Then, (17) takes the form

(18)
$$c^{p-1}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}e_iz^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1}c^{p-1-i}f_iz^i.$$

Now $c \in \overline{L_1}$, and $\overline{L}^p \subset \overline{L_1}$. Hence, comparing the coefficients of z^i in (18), we find $c^i e_i = f_i$ (i = 0, 1, ..., p - 1). In particular, we find $e_1 e_{p-1} = f_1 f_{p-1}/c^p$. Since f_1, f_{p-1} , and $c^p \in \overline{L}^p$, this shows $e_1 e_{p-1} \in \overline{L}^p$. Now from (6), it is easy to see that

$$e_{1} = -[(x_{1}^{p} - z^{p})x_{2}^{p-1} + (x_{2}^{p} - z^{p})x_{1}^{p-1}],$$

$$e_{p-1} = [(x_{1}^{p} - z^{p})x_{2} + (x_{2}^{p} - z^{p})x_{1}].$$

Multiplying out, we find $x_2 x_2^{p-1} + x_2 x_1^{p-1} \in \overline{L}^p = \overline{F_0}^p(x_1^p, x_2^p, z^p)$. Since p > 2 (so $x_1 x_2^{p-1} + x_2 x_1^{p-1} \neq 0$), this is clearly impossible.

Case 2 (p = 2). Assume that

$$A_n \otimes_F E_{n-2} \sim (y^{1/2^{n-2}}, b_1; 2^2, E_{n-2}, \omega_2) \\ \otimes E_{n-2}(b_2, \phi_n; 2, E_{n-2}, -1),$$

for some b_1 and $b_2 \in E_{n-2}^*$. Then, letting $z = y^{1/2^n}$ and $E_n = E(z)$, we find, exactly as in the p odd case, that z/b = N(u) for some $b \in E_{n-2}^*$ and $u \in E_n(\sqrt{\phi_n})$, where N denotes the norm from $E_n(\sqrt{\phi_n})$ to E_n . Letting $\chi = h_0(x_1, x_2, z)$, assuming F_0 is algebraically closed, and considering the standard extension of any 2-adic valuation on F_0 to $F_0(x_1, x_2, \dots, z)$, we find, just as in the p odd case that for some $b_0 \in \overline{F_0}(x_1, x_2, z^4)$ and $u_0 \in \overline{F_0}(x_1, x_2, z)(\sqrt{\chi})$,

(19)
$$zb_0 = u_0^2$$

We will show that (19) is impossible.

Write L for the field $\overline{F_0}(x_1, x_2, z)$, L_1 for the field $\overline{F_0}(x_1, x_2, z^2)$, and L_2 for the field $\overline{F_0}(x_1, x_2, z^4)$. Assume that (19) holds for some $b_0 \in L_2$ and $u_0 \in L(\sqrt{\chi})$. By considering the z-adic valuation on L and noting that $b_0 \in L_2$, it is easy to see that $zb_0 \notin L^2$. Hence, $zb_0 = u_0^2$, then $L(\sqrt{\chi}) = L(\sqrt{zb_0})$. From this, as well as the definition of h_0 in (7), it follows that

$$z((x_1^2+z^2)x_2+(x_2^2+z^2)x_1)=f_0^2+f_1^2zb_0,$$

for some f_0 and $f_1 \in L$. Since 1 and z form a basis for L as an L_1 vector space, and since f_0^2 , f_1^2 , $(x_1^2 + z^2)x_2 + (x_2^2 + z^2)x_1$, and b_0 are all in L_1 , we find

$$(x_1^2 + z^2)x_2 + (x_2^2 + z^2)x_1 = f_1^2 b_0.$$

We write this as

(20)
$$\frac{x_1^2 x_2 + x_2^2 x_1}{b_0} + \frac{z^2 (x_2 + x_1)}{b_0} = f_1^2.$$

Now $f_1^2 \in L^2 = L_1^2(z^2)$. Thus $f_1^2 = g_0^2 + g_1^2 z^2$ for some g_0 and $g_1 \in L_1$. Substituting this in (20), we find

(21)
$$\frac{x_1^2 x_2 + x_2^2 x_1}{b_0} + \frac{z^2 (x_2 + x_1)}{b_0} = g_0^2 + g_1^2 z^2.$$

Now $x_1^2 x_2 + x_2^2 x_1$, $x_2 + x_1$, and b_0 (note!) are all in L_2 . Moreover, $L_1^2 \subset L_2$. Since 1 and z^2 form a basis of L_1 as an L_2 vector space, we find on viewing (21) as an equation in L_1 that

$$\frac{x_1^2 x_2 + x_2^2 x_1}{b_0} = g_0^2 \,,$$

and

$$\frac{x_2 + x_1}{b_0} = g_1^2.$$

Dividing, we find $x_1x_2 = (g_0/g_1)^2$ for some g_0 and $g_1 \in L_1$. But x_1x_2 is clearly not a square in L_1 , and we are done.

References

- [ART] S. A. Amitsur, L. H. Rowen and J.-P. Tignol, Division algebras of degree 4 and 8 with involution, Israel J. Math., 33 (1979), 133-148.
- [B] N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre Commutative*, Chapitre VI, Hermann, Paris, 1961.
- [D] P. K, Draxl, Skew fields, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, Vol. 81, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1983.
- [E] O. Endler, Valuation Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [J] B. Jacob, Indecomposable division algebras of prime exponent, J. Reine Angew. Math., 413 (1991), 181-197.
- [JW] B. Jacob and A. Wadsworth, Division algebras over henselian fields, J. Algebra, 128 (1990), 126–179.

- [O] A. Ostrowski, Untersuchungen zur arithmetischen Theorie der Körper, Math. Z., (1934), 269–404.
- [R] L. H. Rowen, private communication.
- [RT] L. H. Rowen and J.-P. Tignol, in preparation.
- [Se1] B. A. Sethuraman, Constructions of valued division algebras with applications to indecomposability and elementary abelian crossed products, Doctoral Dissertation, University of California, San Diego, 1991.
- [Se2] ____, Indecomposable division algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 114 (1992), 661-665.
- [T1] J.-P. Tignol, Produits croisés abéliens, J. Algebra, 70 (1980), 420–436.
- [T2] ____, Algèbres indécomposables d'exposant premier, Adv. in Math., 65 (1987), 205-228.
- [T3] ____, Central simple algebras with involution, in Ring Theory, Proceedings of the 1978 Antwerp Conference, F. Van Oystaeyen, ed., Marcel Dekker, New York, (1979), 279–285.

Received February 10, 1992.

California State University, Northridge Northridge, CA 91330