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In this paper we assume that $\mathfrak{M}$ is a closed orientable analytic Riemannian manifold with a positive-definite metric $d s^{2}=g_{i k} d x^{i} d x^{k}$ where $g_{i k}$ are holomorphic functions of $x^{1}, \cdots, x^{n}$.

In 1 we shall prove the existence of a harmonic function $\varphi$ with two singular points such that

$$
\varphi \text { is harmonic in } \mathfrak{M}-\xi_{1}-\xi_{2},
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { if } n>2, \quad \lim _{x \rightarrow \xi_{i}}(n-2) \omega_{n} r^{n-2}\left(x, \xi_{i}\right) \varphi(x)=(-1)^{i-1}, i=1,2, \\
\text { and if } n=2, & \lim _{x \rightarrow \xi_{i}} 2 \pi \varphi(x) / \log r\left(x, \xi_{i}\right)=(-1)^{i}, i=1,2,
\end{array}
$$

where $r\left(x, \xi_{i}\right)$ is the geodesic distance between $x$ and $\xi_{i}$, and $\omega_{n}$ is the surface area of the $n$-dimensional unit sphere. Hence we may consider that $\varphi(x)$ is the potential at $x$ of the pair of masses which has the mass 1 at $\xi_{1}$ and the mass -1 at $\xi_{2}$.

Now we consider the equipotential surface $U_{C}$ given by $\varphi=C$. We shall say that a point is stational if all first partial derivatives of $\varphi$ are zero at this point, and say that a stational point is non-degenerate if at this point the determinent $\left|\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{k}}\right|$ is not zero. We change $C$ from $+\infty$ to $-\infty$, then $U_{C}$ is homeomorphic with a sphere if $|C|$ is sufficiently large, and the topological structure of $U_{c}$ changes only when $U_{C}$ passes stational points. Hence if we ${ }^{\top}$ assume that all stational points are non-degenerate, there are close relations between the number of all stational points and the topological structure of $\mathfrak{M}$. We shall state about them in 2.

## 1. Existence of a harmonic function with two singular points

Let $G$ be a sufficiently small geodesic sphere in $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\xi$ an arbitrary interior point of $G$. Then the Laplace's equation $\Delta \Xi=0$ has a solution

$$
\Xi(x, \xi)= \begin{cases}-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log r(x, \xi) \cdot u(x, \xi)+v(x, \xi), & (n=2),  \tag{1}\\ \frac{1}{(n-2) \omega_{n}} r^{2-n}(x, \xi) u(x, \xi)+\log r(x, \xi) \cdot v(x, \xi), & (n>2),\end{cases}
$$

defined for $x, \xi$ in $G$, where $u, v$ are holomorphic with respect to $x, \xi$, and $u(\xi, \xi)$ $=1$. See [1].

Let $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ be two interior points given in $G$.

Putting

$$
h=\Xi\left(x, \xi_{1}\right)-\Xi\left(x, \xi_{2}\right),
$$

we have

$$
\Delta h=0 \text { in } G-\xi_{1}-\xi_{2} .
$$

We shall say that a form $\alpha$ is regular harmonic in a domain $D$ if $d \alpha=0$ and $\delta \alpha=0$ in $D$. Then we have
Lemma. There exists 1 -form e possessing the following properties:
$e$ is regular harmonic in $\mathfrak{M}-\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}$
and

$$
e=d h+f \quad \text { in } G-\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}{ }^{\circ}
$$

where $f$ is a regular harmonic 1-from in $G$.
Proof. By the general existence theorem shown in [1] it is sufficient to prove that $d h \times B G=\int_{B G} * d h=0$ for the surface $B G$ of a geodesic sphere $G$.

For an arbitrary function $g$

$$
d * d g=-* \delta d g=-* \Delta g .
$$

Hence if $g$ is harmonic in a domain $D$ and its first derivatives are continuous in $\bar{D}=D+B D$, then

$$
\int_{B D} * d g=\int_{D} d * d g=\int_{D}-* \Delta g=0 .
$$

Applying the above to $d h, d \boldsymbol{\Xi}\left(x, \xi_{1}\right)$ and $d \boldsymbol{\Xi}\left(x, \xi_{2}\right)$,
we have $\quad \int_{B G} * d h=\int_{B G_{\delta}^{\prime}} * d \Xi\left(x, \xi_{1}\right)-\int_{B G_{\delta}^{\prime \prime}} * d \Xi\left(x, \xi_{2}\right)$
where $G_{\delta}^{\prime}, G_{\delta}^{\prime \prime}$ are geodesic spheres of the center $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$ and of the radius $\delta$ respectively. Moreover we can verify that

$$
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{B G_{\delta}^{(i)}} * d \Xi\left(x, \xi_{2}\right)=-1, \quad i=1,2 .
$$

Hence $\int_{B G} * d h=0$, q. e. d. [See the proof of Theorem 2 in [4]].
Now we consider the periods of $e$ along loops which do not pass through the points $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$. Since $e$ is closed, the periods of $e$ depend only on homology classes of loops in $\mathfrak{M}$. Let $\gamma_{i}\left(i=1, \cdots, R_{1}\right)$ be a base for 1 -cycles of $\mathfrak{M}$. Then by the theorem of de Rham there exists harmonic 1 -form $e^{r}$ such that

$$
\int_{\gamma_{i}} e=\int_{\gamma_{i}} e^{\prime},\left(i=1, \cdots, R_{1}\right) .
$$

Let $P_{0}$ be a fixed point in $\mathfrak{M}$ and $P$ an arbitrary point in $\mathfrak{M}$.
Put

$$
\varphi(P)=\int_{P_{0}}^{P}\left(e-e^{\prime}\right),
$$

then the periods of $\varphi(P)$ on every loops are always zero. Hence $\varphi(P)$ is an one valued function defined in $\mathfrak{M}$. From the construction of $\varphi$ we have

Theorem 1. For arbitrary two points $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ given in a small subdomain

## $G$, there exists a harmonic function $\varphi$ such that

$$
\Delta \varphi=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \mathfrak{M}-\xi_{1}-\xi_{2},
$$

and in a neighbourhood of $\xi_{i}(i=1,2)$

$$
\varphi(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{(n-2) \omega_{n}} r^{2-n}\left(x, \xi_{i}\right) u_{i}+\log r\left(x, \xi_{i}\right) \cdot v_{i}+w_{i}, & (n>2),  \tag{2}\\ -\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log r\left(x, \xi_{i}\right) \cdot u_{i}+v_{i}, & (n=2)\end{cases}
$$

where $u_{i}, v_{i}$ and $w_{i}$ are holomorphic functions of $x$, and $u_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=1$.

## 2. Relations between the number of stational points and the topological structure of $\mathfrak{m}$.

From now on let us assume that all stational points are non-degenerate. Then in a suitable coordinate system, the Taylor's expansion of $\varphi$ at every stational point becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)=C+\left(-x_{1}^{2}-\cdots-x_{v}^{2}+x_{v+1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}\right)+\chi(x) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the stational point $x=0$ is isolated, and since $\mathfrak{M}$ is closed, the number of stational points is finite.

Suppose $\nu=0$ and take a sufficiently small positive number $\delta$. Then the closed subdomain $G_{\delta}$ given by the inequality $\varphi(x) \leqq C+\delta$ is homeomorphic with a sphere. Using maximum principle for $\varphi$, we see that $\varphi$ is the constant $C+\delta$ in $G_{\delta}$. By [1], $\varphi$ is holomorphic in $\mathfrak{M}-\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}$. Therefore $\varphi$ would be identically the constant $C+\delta$, contrary to (2) of Theorem 1 . Hence $\nu \geqq 1$.

Similarly we have $\nu \leqq n-1$.
Now let us consider the equipotential surface $U=C$ and denote it by $U_{c}$. If $U_{C}$ has no stational point, $U_{C}$ is an orientable ( $n-1$ )-dimensional manifold.

Change $C$ from $+\infty$ to $-\infty$. Then $U_{C}$ moves in $\mathfrak{M}$ but the topological structure of $U_{C}$ changes only when $U_{C}$ passes the stational points of $\varphi$.

The case of $n=2$. In this case (3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)=C-x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+\chi(x) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\delta$ be a sufficiently small positive number. Then in a neighbourhood $V$ of the stational point $x=0, U_{C_{ \pm \delta}}$ are hyperbolas and $U_{C}$ is two straight lines. If $U_{C}$ passes no stational point, $U_{C}$ cosists of some loops, and the number of the loops increases by 1 or decreases by 1 whenever $U_{C}$ passes a stational point. Suppose $\left\{P_{i}, Q_{j} ; i=1, \cdots, g, j=1, \cdots g^{\prime}\right\}$ is the complete set of all stational points such that the number of the loops increases by 1 when $U_{C}$ passes $P_{i}$ and decreases by 1 when $U_{C}$ passes $Q_{j}$. And if $C$ is sufficiently large, by (2) $U_{ \pm C}$ consists of one loops. Hence we have $g=g^{\prime}$. Moreover we can assume without loss of generality that $\varphi\left(P_{i}\right)>\varphi\left(Q_{j}\right)$ for $i, j=1, \cdots, g$. Take $C$ so that $\varphi\left(P_{i}\right)>C>\varphi\left(Q_{j}\right)$ for $i, j=1, \cdots, g$.

Put
(5)

$$
\mathfrak{M}(a, b)=\{P \mid a \leqq \varphi(P) \leqq b\}
$$

then

$$
\mathfrak{M}=\mathfrak{M}(C, \infty)+\mathfrak{M}(-\infty, C)
$$

where $\mathfrak{M}(C, \infty)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(-\infty, C)$ are homeomorphic to a sphere with $g$ holes. Hence we have

Theorem 2. If all stational points of $\varphi$ are non-degenerate, then the number of these points is equal to twice the genus of $\mathfrak{M}$.

The case of $n=3$. In this case $\nu$ of (3) is 2 or 1 . Let $P_{1}, \cdots, P_{g}$ be all stational points at which $\nu=2$ and $Q_{1}, \cdots, Q_{g^{\prime}}$ all stational points at which $\nu=1$. Then in a neighbourhood of $P_{i}, U_{c}$ changes from a hyperboloid of two sheets to a hyperboloid of one sheet, and in a neighbourhood of $Q_{j}, U_{C}$ changes from a hyperboloid of one sheet to a hyperboloid of two sheets. Thus we see easily that the genus of a connected component of $U_{C}$ increases by 1 or decreases by 1 according as the component passes point $P_{i}$ or $Q_{j}$. Moreover if $C$ is sufficiently large, then by (2), $U_{ \pm c}$ is homeomorphic with a sphere, and hence $g=g^{\prime}$.

We may assume without loss of generality that $\varphi\left(P_{i}\right)>\varphi\left(Q_{j}\right), i, j=1, \cdots, g$. Then similarly to (5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}=\mathfrak{M}(C, \infty)+\mathfrak{M}(-\infty, C) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{M}(C, \infty)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(-\infty, C)$ are homeomorphic with a closed subdomain bounded by a surface of genus $g$ in $E^{3}$. Thus we have

Theorem 3. If all stational points of $\varphi$ are non-degenerate, then the number $g$ of these points is even. Take two closed domains bounded by a surface of genus $g / 2$ in $E^{3}$. Then $\mathfrak{M}$ is obtained from these two domains by identifying their boundaries by a homeomorphism.
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