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#### Abstract

In this note we study finite semifield planes which admit an irreducible planar Baer collineation. This continues previous work of N. Johnson [5].


## 1. Introduction

In [5] N. Johnson investigates semifield planes of order $q^{4}, q=p^{f}, p$ a prime, which have rank 2 over the kernel and which admit a planar Baer collineation $\pi$ of order $r$, where $r$ is a $p$-primitive prime divisor of $q+1$. He proves that such planes are obtained from semifield panes of order $q^{2}$ and rank 2 by an elegant construction due to Hiramine et al. [3] (and generalized by Johnson [6]). In this note we remove the restriction on the rank and weaken slightly the assumption on $\pi$ by assuming that $\pi$ is an irreducible Baer collineation, that is $\pi$ acts irreducibly on $[X, \pi]$ for any fiber $X$ being fixed by $\pi$. In Section 2 we show that these planes have usually a structure which is a natural generalization of the rank 2 case. However there is an additional possibility which we call the indecomposable case. In Section 3 we discuss a computer enumeration of semifield planes of order $2^{8}$ and $5^{4}$ which admit an irreducible Baer collineation. We find examples which are genuinely of rank 4, i.e. can not be obtained from a rank 2 example by the operations associated with the cubical array of a semifield [8]. In Section 4 we present three series of semifield planes genuinely of rank $\geq 4$ admitting irreducible Baer collineations. While two series belong to known classes of semifield planes the third series generalizes some examples of Section 3 and it seems that this class has not been described in the literature before.

## 2. Irreducible planar Baer collineations on semifield planes

Set $V=K^{n}, K=\operatorname{GF}(p), p$ a prime and let $\Sigma \subseteq \operatorname{GL}(V) \cup 0$ be a spread set of a (pre-)semifield, i.e. $\Sigma$ is an additive group. Let $\psi: V \rightarrow \Sigma$ be an arbitrary group isomorphism. Then we can associate with $\Sigma$ a pre-semifield $S=S(\Sigma)$ : the additive group is ( $V,+$ ) and the semifield multiplication is defined by $x * y=x \psi(y)$.

Set $W=V^{2}$ and define as usual by $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}=\{V(\infty), V(\sigma) \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ the associated spread. Here $V(\infty)=0 \times V$ and $V(\sigma)=\{(v, v \sigma) \mid v \in V\}, \sigma \in \Sigma$ (the notation agrees with [9]). Finally, we denote by $\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{P}(W, \mathcal{S})=\mathbf{P}_{\Sigma}$ the translation plane defined by $\mathcal{S}$.

Let $\pi \in \mathrm{GL}(W)$ induce a planar Baer collineation, i.e. $n=2 m$, $\operatorname{dim} W_{0}=\operatorname{dim} W_{1}=$ $n$ where $W_{0}=C_{W}(\pi)=\operatorname{ker}(\pi-1), W_{1}=[W, \pi]=\operatorname{Im}(\pi-1)$ and $\pi$ fixes $p^{m}+1$ fibers. Let $Y$ be any fiber which is fixed by $\pi$. We call $\pi$ an irreducible planar Baer collineation if $\pi$ as a $\operatorname{GF}(p)$-linear Operator is irreducible on $Y \cap W_{1}$; i.e. $Y=\left(Y \cap W_{1}\right) \oplus$ $\left(Y \cap W_{0}\right)$. We choose our notation such that $V(\infty)$ and $V(0)$ are fixed by $\pi$. Following Johnson [5] we choose bases of these spaces according to the decompositions $V(0)=$ $\left(V(0) \cap W_{1}\right) \oplus\left(V(0) \cap W_{0}\right)$ and $V(\infty)=\left(V(\infty) \cap W_{0}\right) \oplus\left(V(\infty) \cap W_{1}\right)$. Hence (the notion $\pi$-morphism stands for a homomorphism of $\operatorname{GF}(p)\langle\pi\rangle$-modules):

Lemma 2.1. With the assumptions from above one has:
(a) With respect to the decomposition $W=V(0) \oplus V(\infty)$ the collineation $\pi$ has a matrix $\operatorname{diag}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in \operatorname{GL}(n, p)$, with $\mathcal{X}=\operatorname{diag}(P, 1), \mathcal{Y}=\operatorname{diag}(1, Q), P, Q \in$ $\mathrm{GL}(m, p)$ and $|\pi|=|P|=|Q|$.
(b) The matrix representation $T: \Sigma \rightarrow K^{n \times n}$ has the form

$$
T(\sigma)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
T_{11}(\sigma) & T_{12}(\sigma) \\
T_{21}(\sigma) & T_{22}(\sigma)
\end{array}\right)
$$

with quadratic blocks of size $m$. $\pi$ acts on $T(\Sigma)$ by $T\left(\sigma^{\pi}\right)=\mathcal{X}^{-1} T(\sigma) \mathcal{Y}$. The maps $T_{i j}: \Sigma \rightarrow K^{m \times m}$ are $\pi$-morphisms with respect to the actions $T_{11}\left(\sigma^{\pi}\right)=P^{-1} T_{11}(\sigma)$, $T_{12}\left(\sigma^{\pi}\right)=P^{-1} T_{12}(\sigma) Q, T_{21}\left(\sigma^{\pi}\right)=T_{21}(\sigma)$, and $T_{22}\left(\sigma^{\pi}\right)=T_{22}(\sigma) Q$.

The following result generalizes Section 2 of [5].

Proposition 2.2. We use the assumptions and the notations of the lemma:
(a) $m=2 k$ and $|\pi|$ divides $p^{k}+1$.
(b) Set $\Sigma_{0}=C_{\Sigma}(\pi)$ and $\Sigma_{1}=[\Sigma, \pi]$. Then $\Sigma=\Sigma_{0} \oplus \Sigma_{1}$ and $\left|\Sigma_{0}\right|=\left|\Sigma_{1}\right|=p^{m}$.
(c) Choosing the basis of $W$ in a suitable way one has $P=Q$. Moreover $L=K[Q]$ is a subring of $K^{m \times m}$ which is isomorphic to $\operatorname{GF}\left(p^{m}\right)$.
(d) There exists a semifield spread set $\bar{\Sigma} \subseteq K^{m \times m}$ and an additive bijection $\alpha: L \rightarrow \bar{\Sigma}$ with:

$$
T\left(\Sigma_{0}\right)=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & u \\
\alpha(u) & 0
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, u \in L\right\}
$$

(e) We have a $\pi$-morphism $\beta: L \rightarrow T_{12}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
T\left(\Sigma_{1}\right)=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u & \beta(u) \\
0 & u^{p^{k}}
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, u \in L\right\}
$$

Moreover there exists a matrix $B \in K^{m \times m}$ such that $\beta(u)=\sum a_{i} Q^{-i} B Q^{i}$ where $u$ has the form $u=f(Q), f \in K[X], f=\sum a_{i} X^{i}$.
(f) Let $|\pi|=p^{k}+1$. Then $\beta=0($ i.e. $B=0)$ for $p>2$. For $p=2$ let $\pi$ act via conjugation with $Q$ on $K^{m \times m}$. There exists a $\pi$-subspace $U$ of $K^{m \times m}$ of order $2^{3 m}$ with $B \in U$.

Proof. By our assumptions $\pi$ is a $p^{\prime}$-element and $\Sigma=\Sigma_{0} \oplus \Sigma_{1}$ by the theorem of Maschke.

Let $0 \neq \sigma \in \Sigma_{0}$. Then $T_{11}(\sigma)=P^{-1} T_{11}(\sigma), T_{12}(\sigma)=P^{-1} T_{12}(\sigma) Q$ and $T_{22}(\sigma)=$ $T_{22}(\sigma) Q$. This implies $T_{11}(\sigma)=T_{22}(\sigma)=0$ (as $Q\left(P^{-1}\right)$ acts fixed-point-freely on $K^{m \times m}$ by right (left) multiplication) and $T_{12}(\sigma), T_{21}(\sigma) \in \mathrm{GL}(m, p)$. Moreover there exist $\lambda, \mu \in \operatorname{GF}\left(p^{m}\right)$ having the order of $|\pi|$, such that $\lambda, \lambda^{p}, \ldots, \lambda^{p^{m-1}}$ are the eigenvalues of $P$ and $\mu, \mu^{p}, \ldots, \mu^{p^{m-1}}$ are the eigenvalues of $Q$. Since both operators are irreducible the eigenvalues in either case are pairwise different. Act with $\pi$ on $K^{m \times m}$ via $X^{\pi}=P^{-1} X Q$. Then $T_{12}(\sigma)$ is fixed under this action. As $\pi$ has on $K^{m \times m}$ the eigenvalues $\lambda^{-p^{i}} \mu^{p^{j}}, 0 \leq i, j \leq m-1$ we must have $\lambda^{p^{i}}=\mu^{p^{j}}$ with $i, j$ suitable chosen. Then $P$ and $Q$ have the same minimal polynomial over $K$ and are therefore conjugate in $\operatorname{GL}(m, p)$. By choosing an appropriate basis of $V(0) \cap W_{1}$ we can assume $P=Q$. Again as $Q$ is irreducible $L=K[Q] \simeq \operatorname{GF}\left(p^{m}\right)$ and $C_{K^{m \times m}}(Q)=L$. Thus $T_{12}(\sigma) \in L$. (b), (c) and (d) follow.

Assume now $0 \neq \sigma \in \Sigma_{1}$. Since $\Sigma_{1}=\left[\Sigma_{1}, \pi\right]$ we see $T_{21}(\sigma)=0$. Then there exist $A, C \in \mathrm{GL}(m, p)$ and $B \in K^{m \times m}$ with

$$
T(\sigma)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
0 & C
\end{array}\right)
$$

The transformation $\operatorname{diag}\left(1, A, C^{-1}, 1\right) \in \mathrm{GL}(W)$ commutes with $\pi$. Considering the associated basis transformation we may assume $A=C=1$. As $\Sigma_{1}=\left\langle\sigma^{\pi^{i}} \mid i=0,1,2, \ldots\right\rangle$ we see that $\beta$ has the form described in (e).

Suppose $Q$ and $Q^{-1}$ have different minimal polynomials over $K$. Then we have a $f=\sum_{i} a_{i} X^{i} \in K[X]$ with $f\left(Q^{-1}\right)=0 \neq f(Q)$ and

$$
T\left(\sum_{i} a_{i} \sigma^{\pi^{i}}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & * \\
0 & f(Q)
\end{array}\right) \in \Sigma_{1}
$$

a contradiction. Hence there exists a $k$ with $\lambda^{-1}=\lambda^{p^{k}}$, i.e. $\lambda^{p^{k}+1}=1$ respectively. Thus $|\pi|=|Q|$ is a divisor of $\left(p^{2 k}-1, p^{m}-1\right)=p^{t}-1$, where $t=(2 k, m)$. Irreducibility implies $m=2 k$ and $|\pi|$ divides $p^{k}+1$. (a) and (e) follow.

Assume finally $|\pi|=p^{k}+1$ and consider first the case $p>2$. Pick $0 \neq \sigma \in \Sigma_{1}$ as above. Then $Q_{0}=Q^{\left(p^{k}+1\right) / 2}=-1$ and $-2^{-1}\left[T(\sigma), \pi^{\left(p^{k}+1\right) / 2}\right]=1 \in \Sigma_{1}$ which implies $B=0$.

Now consider the case $p=2$ and assume $B \neq 0$. Then the mappings $T_{11}, T_{12}, T_{22}$ are all $\pi$-monomorphisms into $K^{m \times m}$. Hence $T_{11}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right) \simeq T_{12}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right) \simeq T_{22}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right)$ as $\pi$-modules. The lemma shows that $T_{11}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right)$ and $T_{22}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right)$ are isomorphic to $K^{m}$ where $D:\langle\pi\rangle \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{GL}\left(K^{m}\right)$ is the natural action on this space via multiplication with $Q$. On the other hand $T_{12}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right)$ is a $\pi$-submodule of $\Delta=K^{m \times m}$ with the action $X^{\pi}=Q^{-1} X Q$. Choose $\Phi \in \mathrm{GL}(m, 2)$ such that $Q^{\Phi}=Q^{2}$ (see [4], Kapitel II, 7.3 Satz, p.187). Then

$$
\Delta=\bigoplus_{j=0}^{m-1} \Phi^{j} L
$$

is a decomposition into $\pi$-modules. Obviously, the module $\Phi^{j} L$ induces the representation $D^{1-2^{j}} \sim D^{2^{j}-1}$ and $\pi$ has on this module the eigenvalues $\lambda^{2^{j}-1},\left(\lambda^{2^{j}-1}\right)^{2}, \ldots$, $\left(\lambda^{2^{j}-1}\right)^{2^{m-1}}$. Assume that $B$ projects nontrivially into $\Phi^{j} L$. Then $\lambda^{2^{j}-1} \in\left\{\lambda, \lambda^{2}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.\lambda^{2^{m-1}}\right\}$, i.e. $\lambda^{2^{l}-2^{j}+1}=1$ with a suitably chosen $l$. We conclude

$$
2^{l}-2^{j}+1 \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2^{k}+1\right)
$$

We claim that solutions only occur for $(l, j)=(0,1),(k-1,2 k-1),(k+1, k)$ in that case. Then assertion (f) will follow.

In order to prove the claim we distinguish 4 cases according as to whether or not $j(l) \leq k$ or $j(l)>k$. Assume first $j, l \leq k$. Then $\left|2^{l}-2^{j}+1\right| \leq 2^{k}$ and thus $2^{l}-2^{j}+1=$ 0 . This forces $j=1, l=0$. Assume next $j, l>k$. As $2^{k} \equiv-1\left(\bmod 2^{k}+1\right)$ we have $-2^{l-k}+2^{j-k}+1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2^{k}+1\right)$ and hence $j=k, l=k+1$ by the previous case. But this contradicts $j>k$. The case $l \leq k<j$ leads to $j=2 k-1, l=k-1$ in a similar manner. The case $j \leq k<l$ implies $j=k, l=k+1$.

REMARKS. (a) Use the notation of the proposition. If $\beta=0$ then the group $\langle\pi\rangle$ can be extended in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\Sigma}\right)$ to a cyclic group $\left\langle\pi^{*}\right\rangle$ of order $p^{k}+1$ of planar collineations $\left(\pi^{*}=\operatorname{diag}\left(Q^{*}, 1,1, Q^{*}\right)\right.$ with $Q^{*} \in L$ of order $\left.p^{k}+1\right)$.
(b) If $\mathbf{P}_{\Sigma}$ has the kernel $F \simeq \operatorname{GF}(q), q=p^{m}$, and if $\pi$ is a $F$-linear map we see that $T_{12}\left(\sigma^{\pi}\right)=T_{12}(\sigma)$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Hence $\beta=0$. These assumptions are satisfied in the situation of Johnson [5] and thus the results of Section 2 of [5] are a consequence of Proposition 2.2.

DEFInITION. Use the notation of the proposition. We call $\Sigma$ decomposable if $T_{12}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right)=0$ (i.e. $\beta=0$ ) and indecomposable if $T_{12}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right) \neq 0$ (i.e. $\beta \neq 0$ ). Let $\operatorname{MinRk}(\Sigma)$ be the minimum of the dimensions of the associated pre-semifield over the seminuclei (left, right, and middle nucleus).

REMARK. Consider the cubical array associated with $\Sigma$ (see Knuth [8]). Clearly, any member $\Sigma^{\prime}$ of the cubical array admits a planar irreducible Baer-collineation too.

On the other hand the kernel of $\Sigma$ and of $\Sigma^{\prime}$ can be different (see [1]); indeed the operations associated with a cubical array permute the roles of the left, right, and middle nuclei by the natural action of the group $\operatorname{Sym}(3)$ (recall that the left nucleus is isomorphic to the kernel of $\mathbf{P}_{\Sigma}$ as we are using the conventions of [9], p.24). In order to obtain examples which are genuinely different from the examples provided by [5] we are interested in the following questions.

- Are there indecomposable examples?
- Are there examples $\Sigma$ with $\operatorname{MinRk}(\Sigma)>2$ ?

The next result concerns the computation of the seminuclei.

Denote by $K_{l}, K_{m}, K_{r}$ the left, middle and right nucleus of the pre-semifield $S=$ $S(\Sigma)$. The multiplicative groups $K_{l}^{*}, K_{m}^{*}, K_{r}^{*}$ are isomorphic to the groups of $\left((0,0), L_{\infty}\right)$ homologies, $((0), V(\infty))$-homologies, and of $((\infty), V(0))$-homologies, [9], p.24. Using coordinates we therefore obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{l} \simeq k_{l} & =\left\{(X, Y) \in(\mathrm{GL}(n, p) \cup 0)^{2} \mid X A=A Y, A \in T(\Sigma)\right\}, \\
K_{m} \simeq k_{m} & =\{X \in \mathrm{GL}(n, p) \cup 0 \mid X T(\Sigma) \subseteq T(\Sigma)\}, \\
K_{r} \simeq k_{r} & =\{X \in \mathrm{GL}(n, p) \cup 0 \mid T(\Sigma) X \subseteq T(\Sigma)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The planar collineation acts on $k_{m}$ by conjugation with $\mathcal{X}$ (notation of Lemma 2.1), on $k_{r}$ by conjugation with $\mathcal{Y}$, and on $k_{l}$ by conjugation with $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$. Finally, for $u=$ $f(Q) \in L, f \in K[X]$, we denote the elements of $\Sigma_{0}$ and $\Sigma_{1}$ corresponding to $u$ by

$$
s_{0}(u)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & u \\
\alpha(u) & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad s_{1}(u)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u & \beta(u) \\
0 & \bar{u}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\bar{u}=u^{p^{k}}$.
Lemma 2.3. Use the notation from above.
(a) $k_{l}$ is the field of pairs $(\operatorname{diag}(u, u), \operatorname{diag}(u, u)), u \in L$ with $\alpha(v) u=u \alpha(v)$ and $\beta(v) u=$ $u \beta(v)$ for all $v \in L$.
(b) $k_{m}$ is the field of matrices $\operatorname{diag}(u, \bar{u}), u \in L$ with $\alpha(u v)=\bar{u} \alpha(v)$ and $\beta(u v)=u \beta(v)$ for all $v \in L$.
(c) $k_{r}$ is the field of matrices $\operatorname{diag}(u, \bar{u}), u \in L$ with $\alpha(v u)=\alpha(v) \bar{u}$ and $\beta(v u)=\beta(v) \bar{u}$ for all $v \in L$.

Proof. (b) Suppose $A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22}\end{array}\right) \in k_{m}-C_{k_{m}}(\pi)$. Then $0 \neq B=A^{\mathcal{X}}-A \in k_{m}$ and $B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & 0\end{array}\right)$ with $\operatorname{det} B_{12} \neq 0 \neq \operatorname{det} B_{21}$. Let $M$ be the additive group generated by $B, B^{\mathcal{X}}, B^{\mathcal{X}^{2}}, \ldots$. Then $|M| \geq p^{m}$ : The row space (column space) $K^{m}$ is under the natural action $Q: v \mapsto v Q\left(Q: v^{t} \mapsto Q v^{t}\right)$ an irreducible $\operatorname{GF}(p)\langle Q\rangle$-module. Hence
$B_{12}, B_{12} Q, B_{12} Q^{2}, \ldots$ generate (as an additive group) a group of order $\geq p^{m}$. As $B^{2} \in$ $k_{m}-M$ we see that even $\left|k_{m}\right| \geq p^{m+1}$ holds. On the other hand $\Sigma$ is a vector space over $k_{m}$. This implies $\left|k_{m}\right|=p^{n}$. Hence $\Sigma$ is Desarguesian. However a Desarguesian spread does not admit an irreducible, planar Baer collineation, a contradiction. Hence $\pi$ centralizes $k_{m}$. This shows that $0 \neq A \in k_{m}$ has the form $A=\operatorname{diag}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ with $A_{i} \in \mathrm{GL}(m, p)$ and $A \Sigma_{i}=\Sigma_{i}, i=0,1$. Form $A s_{1}(1) \in \Sigma_{1}$ we deduce $A_{1}=u \in L$ and $A_{2}=\bar{u}$. Finally $A s_{1}(v)=s_{1}(u v)$ implies $u \beta(v)=\beta(u v)$ for all $v \in L$. Similarly one obtains $\bar{u} \alpha(v)=\alpha(u v)$ for all $v \in L$.
(c) follows by symmetry.
(a) By considering the action of $\pi$ on $k_{l}$ one observes as before that $\pi$ centralizes $k_{l}$. This shows that the elements in $k_{l}$ have the form $\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right), \operatorname{diag}\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)\right)$. From $\operatorname{diag}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right) s_{1}(1)=s_{1}(1) \operatorname{diag}\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)$ we deduce $A_{i}=B_{i} \in L$ and as $\operatorname{diag}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right) s_{0}(1)=$ $s_{0}(1) \operatorname{diag}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ we see $A_{1}=A_{2}=u \in L$. Finally we get $u \alpha(v)=\alpha(v) u$ and $u \beta(v)=$ $\beta(v) u$ from $\operatorname{diag}(u, u) s_{i}(v)=s_{i}(v) \operatorname{diag}(u, u), i=0,1$.

## 3. Small orders

Semifields of order $2^{4}$ and $3^{4}$ are known [2]. For order $2^{4}$ the example with an irreducible planar Baer collineation has dimension 2 over the kernel. For order $3^{4}$ all 8 examples with such collineations have $\operatorname{MinRk}(\Sigma)=2$. By a straightforward computer enumeration we determined the semifield planes of order $2^{8}$ and $5^{4}$ with this property. We summarize the results; more details are displayed on my home page: www.mathematik.uni-kl.de/~dempw/dempw_IrrCol.semi.html.

ORDER $2^{8}$. There are 14 semifield planes which admit an irreducible planar Baer collineation. They are all decomposable and for 13 of them we have $\operatorname{Minkt}(\Sigma)=2$. For the remaining spread set $\Sigma$ we have $\operatorname{MinRk}(\Sigma)=4$. A multiplication of an associated semifield $S(\Sigma)$ (which is identified as a $\operatorname{GF}(2)$-space with with $\operatorname{GF}(16)^{2}$ ) is given by

$$
(u, v) *(x, y)=\left(u x+v\left(z^{12} x+z^{8} \bar{x}\right)+\bar{v}\left(x+z^{3} \bar{x}\right), u y+v \bar{x}\right) .
$$

Here $z$ is a generator of $\mathrm{GF}(16)^{*}$ with $z^{4}+z+1=0$ and $\bar{x}=x^{4}$.
ORDER $5^{4}$. There are 36 semifield planes which admit an irreducible planar Baer collineation. For 21 spread sets we have $\operatorname{Min} \operatorname{Rk}(\Sigma)=2$. For remaining the 15 semifield planes $\operatorname{MinRk}(\Sigma)=4$ holds. Moreover 9 of these semifield planes are decomposable and 6 indecomposable. We now describe the multiplication rules of the associated semifields in the case $\operatorname{MinRk}(\Sigma)=4$. For this purpose we identify $S(\Sigma)$ with $\operatorname{GF}(25)^{2}$ and denote by $z$ generator of $\operatorname{GF}(25)^{*}$ with $z^{2}-z+2=0$. The 9 decomposable spread sets are partitioned in 3 cubical arrays each of them having 3 members. We present the multiplication rule for representatives from each cubical array. It has the form

$$
(u, v) *(x, y)=(u x+v(a y+b \bar{y})+\bar{v}(c y+d \bar{y}), u y+v \bar{x})
$$

with $(a, b, c, d)=\left(z^{a^{\prime}}, z^{b^{\prime}}, z^{c^{\prime}}, z^{d^{\prime}}\right)$ and $\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)$ is one of the following quadruples

$$
(13,14,14,22), \quad(14,15,5,6), \quad(20,20,12,19)
$$

and $\bar{x}=x^{5}$. The 6 indecomposable spread sets represent 6 cubical arrays with one member. The multiplication has the form

$$
(u, v) *(x, y)=(u x+b v \bar{y}, u y+a \bar{u} x+v \bar{x})
$$

with $(a, b) \in\left\{\left(z^{5}, z^{7}\right),\left(z^{13}, z^{9}\right),(z, 1),\left(z^{9}, z^{8}\right),(z, z),\left(z^{5}, z\right)\right\}$.
In the indecomposable case it is not difficult to see that a semifield with the opposite multiplication (i.e. $(x, y) \circ(u, v)=(u, v) *(x, y))$ is isotopic to a semifield of type II in the notation of Knuth [8], p. 215.

## 4. Series with $\operatorname{MinRk}(\Sigma) \geq 4$

We present three series of semifield planes $\mathbf{P}_{\Sigma}$ admitting irreducible, planar Baer collineations and with $\operatorname{MinRk}(\Sigma) \geq 4$. Two of these series are described for instance by Knuth in [8] while the third series generalizes examples of the previous section.

In this section we will use Oyama's [10] description of vectors and matrices which for convenience we sketch briefly. Let $F=\operatorname{GF}(q)$ and $E=\operatorname{GF}\left(q^{m}\right)$. The vector space $F^{m}$ is identified with the $F$-space ${ }^{0} F^{m}$ of vectors of the form $((a))=\left(a, a^{q}, \ldots, a^{q^{m-1}}\right) \in$ $E^{m}, a \in E$. The $F$-endomorphisms of ${ }^{0} F^{m}$ form the $F$-space ${ }^{0} F^{m \times m}$ of matrices $\left(a_{i j}\right) \in E^{m \times m}$ with the property $a_{i+1, j+1}=a_{i j}^{q}, 0 \leq i, j<m$ (indices are read modulo $m$ ). Such a matrix is determined by it's first column and thus we define $\left[a_{0}, \ldots, a_{m-1}\right]^{t}:=$ $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ if $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{m-1}\right)=\left(a_{00}, \ldots, a_{m-1,0}\right)$. Set

$$
T_{k}(a)=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a^{q^{i}} E_{k+i, i}
$$

Then $\left[a_{0}, \ldots, a_{m-1}\right]^{t}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} T_{i}\left(a_{i}\right)$. We have the multiplication rules

$$
T_{j}(u) T_{k}(v)=T_{j+k}\left(u^{q^{k}} v\right), \quad T_{k}(a)^{-1}=T_{m-k}\left(a^{-q^{m-k}}\right), \quad a \neq 0
$$

The main advantage of Oyama's notation is that the cyclic Singer group $\left\{T_{0}(u) \mid u \in\right.$ $E-\{0\}\}$ of order $q^{m}-1$ is a group of diagonal matrices.

We apply these notations to the notions of Section 2 . We have $W=V \times V$ with $V={ }^{0} F^{N} \times{ }^{0} F^{N}$ where $m=N \cdot r$ and $q=p^{r}, p$ a prime. We write $(u, v)$ for a typical element in $V$ instead of $(((u)),((v)))$. The matrices of a spread set will have the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right), \quad A, B, C, D \in{ }^{0} \mathrm{GL}(N, F) \cup 0
$$

where ${ }^{0} \mathrm{GL}(N, F)$ is the group of invertible elements in ${ }^{0} F^{N \times N}$. The matrices $T_{0}(u)$, $u \in E$, form a field $L$ of matrices isomorphic to $E$ (see Proposition 2.2). The planar collineation $\pi$ has the form $\operatorname{diag}\left(T_{0}(u), 1,1, T_{0}(u)\right)$ where $u \in E^{*}$ has order $p^{k}+1$ in the decomposable case (has an order dividing $p^{k}+1$ in the indecomposable case).

Example 4.1. Set $K=F=\operatorname{GF}(p), p$ a prime and $E=\operatorname{GF}\left(p^{m}\right), m=2 k$. Choose $a, b \in\{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ such that $E \neq E^{p^{b}+1} E^{p^{|a-b|}+1} E^{p^{b+k}-1}$ and pick $g \in E-$ $E^{p^{b}+1} E^{p^{|a-b|}+1} E^{p^{b+k}-1}$. The exponents of $p$ are always read modulo $m=2 k$ in this example. Then

$$
(u, v) *(x, y)=\left(u x+g v^{p^{a}} y^{p^{b}}, u y+v x^{p^{k}}\right)
$$

defines a semifield multiplication on $V$. It can easily be seen that no zero divisors occur. In fact it is also not hard to see that this semifield is isotopic to a semifield defined in [8] on p. 215 by (7.16). Let $\Sigma$ be the spread set associated with this semifield and $T(\Sigma)$ it's coordinatization. It has the form $T(\Sigma)=\{s(x, y) \mid x, y \in E\}$ where

$$
s(x, y)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{0}(x) & T_{0}(y) \\
T_{a}\left(g y^{p^{b}}\right) & T_{0}\left(x^{p^{k}}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then $\Sigma$ is invariant under $\pi$ and the mappings $\alpha, \beta$ of Proposition 2.2 have the form $\alpha\left(T_{0}(y)\right)=T_{a}\left(g y^{p^{b}}\right)$ and $\beta\left(T_{0}(x)\right)=0$. In particular $\Sigma$ is decomposable. We have:
(1) $k_{l} \simeq \mathrm{GF}\left(p^{(a, m)}\right), k_{m} \simeq \mathrm{GF}\left(p^{(a+k-b, m)}\right), k_{r} \simeq \mathrm{GF}\left(p^{(k-b, m)}\right):$

By Lemma 2.3 the element $s(u, 0)$ lies in $k_{m}$ iff for all $y$

$$
T_{a}\left(g(u y)^{p^{b}}\right)=\alpha\left(T_{0}(u y)\right)=T_{0}(\bar{u}) \alpha\left(T_{0}(y)\right)=T_{0}\left(u^{p^{k}}\right) T_{a}\left(g y^{p^{b}}\right)=T_{a}\left(g u^{p^{a+k}} y^{p^{b}}\right) .
$$

This shows $u^{p^{b}}=u^{p^{a+k}}$ and thus $k_{m} \simeq \operatorname{GF}\left(p^{(a+k-b, m)}\right)$. The other assertions follow similarly.
(2) For any choice of $a, b, g$ we have $\operatorname{MinRk}(\Sigma)<n=2 m$. If $p>2$ or if $p=2$ and $k$ is odd one can choose $a, b, g$ such that $\operatorname{MinRk}(\Sigma)=m$ :
$\operatorname{MinRk}(\Sigma)=n$ and $m=2 k$ implies $(a+k-b, 2 k)=(k-b, 2 k)=(a, 2 k)=1$. But then $a, k-b$ are odd and 2 divides $(a+k-b, 2 k)$, a contradiction.

Assume first $p>2$ and let $g$ be a nonsquare in $E$. Then $a=2, b=1$, imply $\operatorname{MinRk}(\Sigma)=m$.

Assume now $p=2$. The condition for the existence of a semifield multiplication of the desired type is equivalent to $\left(2^{2 k}-1,2^{b+k}-1,2^{|a-b|}+1,2^{b}+1\right)>1$. This in turn is equivalent to

$$
a_{2}>b_{2}=k_{2}
$$

where $x_{2}$ denotes the 2-part of a positive integer $x$ : Recall that $\left(2^{x}+1,2^{y}-1\right)=2^{(x, y)}+1$ iff $x /(x, y) \equiv 1, y /(x, y) \equiv 0(\bmod 2)($ and $=1$ otherwise $)$ and $\left(2^{x}+1,2^{y}+1\right)=2^{(x, y)}+1$
iff $x /(x, y) \equiv y /(x, y) \equiv 1(\bmod 2)\left(\right.$ and $=1$ otherwise). Then $\left(2^{2 k}-1,2^{b}+1\right)>1$ and $\left(2^{b+k}-1,2^{b}+1\right)>1$ imply $b_{2}=k_{2}$ and $\left(2^{|a-b|}+1,2^{b}+1\right)>1$ implies $a_{2}>b_{2}$. On the other hand if $a_{2}>b_{2}=k_{2}$ we observe $\left(2^{2 k}-1,2^{b+k}-1,2^{|a-b|}+1,2^{b}+1\right)=2^{(a, b, k)}+1>1$. If $k$ is odd we can take $a=2, b=1$ as before. Now (2) follows.

Conclusion. The preceding examples show that in any characteristic there exist decomposable semifield planes $\mathbf{P}_{\Sigma}$ with arbitrary large $\operatorname{MinRk}(\Sigma)$ and which admit irreducible planar Baer collineations.

For the next two examples we have $F=\operatorname{GF}(q), E=\operatorname{GF}\left(q^{2}\right)$, with $q=p^{k}, p$ a prime. We write $\bar{x}$ for $x^{q}$.

Example 4.2. First we generalize the indecomposable examples of order $5^{4}$ from Section 3. Let $q$ be an odd prime power. Choose $a, b \in E$ such that $y^{q+1}+a y-b \neq 0$ for $y \in E$. Then the multiplication

$$
(u, v) *(x, y)=(u x+b v \bar{y}, u y+a \bar{u} x+v \bar{x})
$$

is a semifield multiplication of a semifield which is isotopic to an opposite semifield of Knuth type II (see [8], (7.17.II)). The semifield spread set $\Sigma$ has the coordinatization $T(\Sigma)=S(a, b)=\{s(x, y) \mid x, y \in E\} \subseteq{ }^{0} F^{4 \times 4}$ where $s(x, y)$ is given by

$$
s(x, y)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{0}(x) & T_{0}(y)+T_{1}(a x) \\
T_{0}(b \bar{y}) & T_{0}(\bar{x})
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Note that $\operatorname{det} s(x, y) \neq 0$ for any nontrivial $(x, y) \in E \times E$ by our choice of $a$ and $b$. The mappings $\alpha, \beta$ of Proposition 2.2 have the form $\alpha\left(T_{0}(y)\right)=T_{0}(b \bar{y})$ and $\beta\left(T_{0}(x)\right)=$ $T_{1}(a x)$. In particular we are in the indecomposable case. Assume $\pi=\operatorname{diag}\left(T_{0}(\delta), 1,1\right.$, $T_{0}(\delta)$ ), is a planar Baer collineation.
(1) $\pi$ has order 3 and divides $q+1$. The collineation is irreducible iff $q=p$ is a prime $\equiv-1(\bmod 3)$ :

The first row of $s(x, y)^{\pi}$ is $\left(T_{0}\left(x \delta^{-1}\right), T_{0}(y)+T_{1}\left(a x \delta^{1-q}\right)\right)$. We deduce $\delta^{-1}=\delta^{1-q}$, i.e. $|\pi|=3$ as $|\pi|$ divides $p^{k}+1=q+1$. In order to be an irreducible Baer collineation $T_{0}(\delta)$ must be irreducible as a $K$-linear operator on ${ }^{0} F^{2}$ (note that this is a stronger requirement than assuming merely the irreducibility as a $F$-linear operator). This forces $k=1$ and $p \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$.
(2) $\operatorname{MinRk}(\Sigma)=4$ :

By 2.3 an element in $k_{m}$ has the form $\operatorname{diag}\left(T_{0}(w), T_{0}(\bar{w})\right), w \in E$. To compute $k_{m}$ we must determine these $w \in E$ with

$$
T_{1}(a \bar{w} x)=T_{0}(w) T_{1}(a x)=T_{0}(w) \beta\left(T_{0}(x)\right)=\beta\left(T_{0}(w x)\right)=T_{1}(a w x)
$$

for all $x \in E$. This shows $w \in F$ and thus $k_{m} \simeq F$. A similar computation shows $k_{r} \simeq F$. To determine $k_{l}$ we inspect the equation

$$
T_{1}(a \bar{w} x)=T_{0}(w) \beta\left(T_{0}(x)\right)=\beta\left(T_{0}(x)\right) T_{0}(w)=T_{1}(a w x)
$$

which again forces $w \in F$. Hence $k_{l} \simeq F$ and $\operatorname{MinRk}(\Sigma)=4$ follows.

CONCLUSION. For any odd prime $p \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$ there exist indecomposable semifield planes $\mathbf{P}_{\Sigma}$ of order $p^{4}$ with $\operatorname{MinRk}(\Sigma)=4$ which admit irreducible planar Baer collineations of order 3.

EXAMPLE 4.3. Now we generalize the decomposable rank 4 examples of orders $4^{4}$ and $5^{4}$. We consider the additive group $S(a, b, c, d)=\{s(x, y) \mid x, y \in E\} \subseteq{ }^{0} F^{4 \times 4}$ where $s(x, y)$ is defined by

$$
s(x, y)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{0}(x) & T_{0}(y) \\
T_{0}(a y+b \bar{y})+T_{1}(c y+d \bar{y}) & T_{0}(\bar{x})
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Denote by $n: E \rightarrow F$ the norm and by $\operatorname{tr}: E \rightarrow F$ the trace. A computation shows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det} s(x, y)= & n(x)^{2}+n(y)^{2}(n(a)+n(b)-n(c)-n(d))-n(x) n(y) \operatorname{tr}(b) \\
& -n(x) \operatorname{tr}\left(a y^{2}\right)+n(y) \operatorname{tr}\left((a \bar{b}-c \bar{d}) y^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that we have chosen the parameters $a, b, c, d$ such that $T(\Sigma)=S(a, b, c, d)$ is the coordinatization of a (decomposable) spread set $\Sigma$. Then we deduce from Proposition 2.2 that $\mathbf{P}_{\Sigma}$ admits an irreducible planar Baer collineation of order $q+1$. Clearly, every seminucleus has a subfield isomorphic to $F$. Similar computations as in Examples 4.1 and 4.2 show $k_{l} \simeq E$ iff $c=d=0, k_{m} \simeq E$ iff $a=d=0$, and $k_{r} \simeq E$ iff $a=c=0$. Therefore we have $\operatorname{MinRk}(\Sigma)=4$ if at least two of the parameters $a, c, d$ are nontrivial. The semifield multiplication has the form

$$
(u, v) *(x, y)=(u x+v(a y+b \bar{y})+\bar{v}(c y+d \bar{y}), u y+v \bar{x})
$$

The following lemma (and for $q=5$ by Section 3) shows that the parameters $a, b, c, d$ always can be chosen such that $a, c, d \neq 0$ and that $S(a, b, c, d)$ is a spread set.

Lemma 4.4. Use the notations of Example 4.3 and assume $q>3$ and $q \neq 5$. There exist $a, b, c, d \in E$ such that $S(a, b, c, d)$ is a spread set. In addition one can choose $a, c, d$ to be not 0 .

Proof. We first show that one can choose non-zero $u, v \in E$ such that the mapping $d_{u, v}: E \times E \rightarrow E$ defined by

$$
d_{u, v}(x, y)=n(x)+u n(y)+v y^{2}
$$

has zero only for $(x, y)=(0,0)$. Then we choose $a, b, c, d \in E$ such that $\operatorname{det} s(x, y)=$ $n\left(d_{u, v}(x, y)\right)$ for $(x, y) \in E \times E$ and that in addition $a, c, d \neq 0$. Then $\operatorname{det} s(x, y) \neq 0$ for any nontrivial $(x, y) \in E \times E$, and the assertions of the lemma follow.

Let $E=F[\alpha]$. If $q$ is odd we can assume $\alpha^{2}=t \in F-F^{2}$ and if $q$ is even we can assume $\alpha^{2}=t \alpha+1, t \in F$, chosen suitably.

STEP 1. Write elements $z \in E$ as $z=z_{1}+\alpha z_{2}, z_{1}, z_{2} \in F$. Choose $0 \neq u \in E$, $0 \neq v_{2} \in F$ such that $v_{2} \pm u_{2} \neq 0$ and if char $F=2$ in addition $u_{2} \neq 0$ (but otherwise arbitrary).

Assume first that $q$ is odd. Then $n(x)=x_{1}^{2}-t x_{2}^{2}, y^{2}=y_{1}^{2}+t y_{2}^{2}+2 \alpha y_{1} y_{2}$. This shows

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{u, v}(x, y)= & n(x)+u_{1} n(y)+v_{1}\left(y_{1}^{2}+t y_{2}^{2}\right)+2 t v_{2} y_{1} y_{2} \\
& +\alpha\left(\left(u_{2}+v_{2}\right) y_{1}^{2}+\left(v_{2}-u_{2}\right) t y_{2}^{2}+2 v_{1} y_{1} y_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now choose $v_{1}$ such that

$$
Q(X, Y)=\left(u_{2}+v_{2}\right) X^{2}+2 v_{1} X Y+\left(v_{2}-u_{2}\right) t Y^{2}
$$

is a anisotropic quadratic form, i.e. the discriminant $D=4\left(v_{1}^{2}-\left(v_{2}^{2}-u_{2}^{2}\right) t\right)$ is a nonsquare. For the existence of such a $v_{1}$ recall that a nondegenerate quadratic form over $F$ in two variables represents all elements of $F$. Therefore for $a \neq 0$ the set $F^{2}+a\left(F^{*}\right)^{2}$ contains a nonsquare. This implies that $F^{2}+a$ contains a nonsquare too. Taking $a=\left(v_{2}^{2}-u_{2}^{2}\right) t$ the claim about $v_{1}$ follows.

This shows $d_{u, v}(x, y) \in E-F$ for $(x, y) \in E \times E^{*}$. Hence $d_{u, v}(x, y) \neq 0$ for $(x, y) \neq(0,0)$.

Assume now that $q$ is even. Then $\operatorname{tr}(\alpha)=t, \bar{\alpha}=\alpha^{-1}$ and $n(x)=x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+t x_{1} x_{2}$, $y^{2}=y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}+\alpha t y_{2}^{2}$. Hence

$$
v y^{2}=v_{1}\left(y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}\right)+t v_{2} y_{2}^{2}+\alpha\left(v_{1} t y_{2}^{2}+v_{2} y_{1}^{2}+v_{2} y_{2}^{2}+t^{2} v_{2} y_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

This implies

$$
d_{u, v}(x, y)=R+\alpha\left(\left(u_{2}+t v_{1}+v_{2}+t^{2} v_{2}\right) y_{2}^{2}+\left(u_{2}+v_{2}\right) y_{1}^{2}+t u_{2} y_{1} y_{2}\right)
$$

with $R \in F$. Choose $v_{1} \in F$ such that

$$
Q(X, Y)=\left(u_{2}+v_{1} t+v_{2}+t^{2} v_{2}\right) Y^{2}+\left(v_{2}+u_{2}\right) X^{2}+t u_{2} X Y
$$

is a anisotropic quadratic form. The existence of such a $v_{1}$ follows from the fact that for $0 \neq \alpha_{0} \in F$ at least one of the polynomials $f_{\beta}(X)=X^{2}+\alpha_{0} X+\beta, \beta \in F$, must be irreducible. As before $d_{u, v}(x, y) \neq 0$ for $(x, y) \neq(0,0)$.

STEP 2. First we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
n\left(d_{u, v}(x, y)\right)= & n(x)^{2}+n(x) n(y) \operatorname{tr}(u)+n(y)^{2}(n(u)+n(v)) \\
& +n(x) \operatorname{tr}\left(v y^{2}\right)+n(y) \operatorname{tr}\left(\bar{u} v y^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This together with the condition that $\operatorname{det} s(x, y)=n\left(d_{u, v}(x, y)\right)$ for any $(x, y) \in E \times E$ shows that the parameters $a, \ldots, d$ must satisfy the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
n(u)+n(v) & =n(a)+n(b)-n(c)-n(d),  \tag{1.1}\\
\operatorname{tr}(u) & =-\operatorname{tr}(b),  \tag{1.2}\\
v & =-a,  \tag{1.3}\\
\bar{u} v & =a \bar{b}-c \bar{d} . \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Eliminating $a$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& n(u)=n(b)-n(c)-n(d),  \tag{2.1}\\
& \operatorname{tr}(u)=-\operatorname{tr}(b), \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \bar{d}=-v(\bar{b}+\bar{u}) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume first that $q$ is odd. Then $b_{1}=-u_{1}$ by (2.2) and by (2.3) $c=v \bar{d}^{-1}\left(b_{2}+u_{2}\right) \alpha$ and therefore $n(c)=n(v) n(d)^{-1}(-t)\left(b_{2}+u_{2}\right)^{2}$. Thus $n(d)$ must be a solution of the equation

$$
X^{2}+t\left(b_{2}^{2}-u_{2}^{2}\right) X-t\left(b_{2}+u_{2}\right)^{2} n(v)=0
$$

whose discriminant is $D=\left(b_{2}+u_{2}\right)^{2}\left(t^{2}\left(b_{2}-u_{2}\right)^{2}+4 t n(v)\right)$. We claim that one can choose $b_{2}$ such that $D$ is a square and that $b_{2}+u_{2} \neq 0$, i.e. $a, c, d \neq 0$. This is implied by the following observation (where we choose $A=t^{2}, B=4 \operatorname{tn}(v), X=b_{2}-u_{2}$, and $Y=1$ ):

Claim. Let $Q(X, Y)=A X^{2}+B Y^{2}$ be a nondegenerate quadratic form over $F$. Then there exist at least 2 elements $w_{1}, w_{2} \in F^{*}$ such that the value of $Q$ at $(X, Y)=$ $\left(w_{i}, 1\right), i=1,2$, is a nontrivial square.

One knows that $Q$ has every element in $F^{*}$ precisely $q+1$ times as a value if $Q$ is elliptic and $q-1$ times as a value if $Q$ is hyperbolic. Consider pairs in $\mathcal{L}=F^{*} \times F^{*}$ which has the partition

$$
\mathcal{L}=\bigcup_{f \in F^{*}} F^{*}(f, 1) .
$$

The values of $Q$ on the elements of a class $F^{*}(f, 1)$ differ only by squares. The set $F^{*} \times\{0\} \cup\{0\} \times F^{*}$ produces at most $2(q-1)$ nontrivial squares. Thus $Q$ has on $\mathcal{L}$ at least $(q-1)^{2} / 2-2(q-1)=(q-1)(q-5) / 2$ times as a value a nontrivial square. As $q>5$, there is at least one class whose values are nontrivial squares, say a class of $F^{*}(f, 1)$. Then the values of two classes, that of $F^{*}(f, 1)$ and that of $F^{*}(f,-1)$ are nontrivial squares. The claim follows.

We now assume that $q$ is even. Equations (2.1)-(2.3) lead to $u_{2}=b_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(b_{1}+u_{1}\right)^{2}+t u_{2}\left(b_{1}+u_{1}\right) & =n(c)+n(d),  \tag{3.1}\\
c \bar{d} & =v(\bar{b}+\bar{u}) . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $c=v \bar{d}^{-1}\left(b_{1}+u_{1}\right)$ and therefore $n(d)$ must be a solution of the equation

$$
X^{2}+\left(\left(b_{1}+u_{1}\right)^{2}+t u_{2}\left(b_{1}+u_{1}\right)\right) X+\left(b_{1}+u_{1}\right)^{2} n(v)=0 .
$$

Choose $b_{1}=u_{1}+t u_{2}$ and $d$ such that $n(d)=t u_{2} \sqrt{n(v)}$. Then the equation holds and Step 2 is done and $a, c, d \neq 0$ if we take $u_{2} \neq 0$ in Step 1 .

Conclusion. For any prime power $q \geq 4$ there exist decomposable semifield planes $\mathbf{P}_{\Sigma}$ which admit irreducible planar Baer collineations of order $q+1$ and with $\operatorname{MinRk}(\Sigma)=4$.

Remarks. We keep the notations of this section.
(a) To verify the existence of the examples of Example 4.3 we use a particular construction in Lemma 4.4; there may be more ways to obtain such examples. However by a rough estimate we see that this special method already produces at least $q(q-1)\left(q^{2}-1\right)$ examples of order $q^{4}$.
(b) Our investigation raises more questions than they answer. The following problems deserve further attention:

- Find decomposable examples of order $p^{4 n}, p$ a prime, with $\operatorname{MinRk}(\Sigma)=4 n$. So far only for $p^{4}, p \geq 5$, and $\operatorname{MinRk}(\Sigma)=4$ the series of Example 4.3 provide such examples.
- Find more indecomposable examples, in particular examples in characteristic 2 and/or examples with a large order of $\pi$.
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## References

[1] S. Ball and M.R. Brown: The six semifield planes associated with a semifield flock, Adv. Math. 189 (2004), 68-87.
[2] U. Dempwolff: Semifield planes of order 81, to appear in J. Geom.
[3] Y. Hiramine, M. Matsumoto and T. Oyama: On some extension of 1-spread sets, Osaka J. Math. 24 (1987), 123-137.
[4] B. Huppert: Endliche Gruppen I, Springer, Berlin, 1967.
[5] N.L. Johnson: Semifield planes of characteristic p admitting p-primitive Baer collineations, Osaka J. Math. 26 (1989), 281-285.
[6] N.L. Johnson: Sequences of derivable translation planes, Osaka J. Math. 25 (1988), 519-530.
[7] N.L. Johnson: private communication.
[8] D.E. Knuth: Finite semifields and projective planes, J. Algebra 2 (1965), 182-217.
[9] H. Lüneburg: Translation Planes, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
[10] T. Oyama: On quasifields, Osaka J. Math. 22 (1985), 35-54.

FB Mathematik, Universität
67653 Kaiserslautern
Germany
e-mail: dempwolff@mathematik.uni-kl.de

