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## 1. Introduction

Let $f(x)$ be a monic irreducible polynomial with rational integer coefficients and let $p$ be a prime integer. Reducing the coefficients of $f(x)$ modulo $p$, we obtain the polynomial $f_{p}(x)$ with coefficients in $\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z}$. A rule of the factorization of $f_{p}(x)$ over $\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z}$ is called a reciprocity law for $f(x)$ (cf. Wyman [11]). For example, when $f(x)$ is of degree 2 , a reciprocity law for $f(x)$ is given by the Legendre symbol $\left(D_{f} / p\right)$ for the discriminant $D_{f}$ of $f(x)$.

In the case that $f(x)$ is of degree 3 , the minimal splitting field $K$ of $f(x)$ over $\mathbf{Q}$ is the Galois extension generated by the coordinates of the two-division points of the elliptic curve $E: y^{2}=f(x)$. A reciprocity law for $f(x)$ is given by the Legendre symbol ( $D_{f} / p$ ) and the coefficients of the L-series of $E$ over $\mathbf{Q}$, which is the Mellin transform of a modular form of weight two under the Taniyama-Shimura conjecture (the Wiles theorem). Furthermore, in the case that $f(x)$ is of degree 3 and $D_{f}<0$, the inverse Mellin transform of the Artin L-function $L(\pi, K / \mathbf{Q}, s)$ attached to the twodimensional irreducible representation $\pi$ for the Galois group of $K$ over $\mathbf{Q}$, is a modular form of weight one, by the Weil-Langlands theorem. Thus the Fourier coefficients of the modular form of weight one also gives a reciprocity law for $f(x)$.

In the latter case, we can associate two modular forms with $E$ and the Galois extension generated by the coordinates of its two-division points. Koike [3] obtained congruences between the Fourier coefficients of two modular forms. His congruences describe the relation of the above two reciprocity laws. Naito [6] gave congruences between the coefficients of the L-series of $E$ and those of an Artin L-series attached to the Galois extension generated by the coordinates of the three-division points of $E$.

In this paper we consider congruences modulo 2 between the coefficients of the L series of the Jacobian variety of a hyperelliptic curve $y^{2}=f(x)$ and those of an Artin L -series which is related to the Galois extension over $\mathbf{Q}$, generated by the coordinates of the two-division points of the same Jacobian variety.

Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial of degree $n$ over $\mathbf{Q}$ with no multiple roots. Let $C$ be a hyperelliptic curve defined by $y^{2}=f(x)$. We denote by $g$ the genus of $C$. We see that
either $n=2 g+1$ or $n=2 g+2$ holds. We assume that $g \geq 1$ and $C$ has at least one Q-rational point. Then we can choose its Jacobian variety $(J, \varphi)$ defined over $\mathbf{Q}$.

Let $K$ be the Galois extension over $\mathbf{Q}$, generated by the coordinates of the twodivision points of the Jacobian variety $J$ and let $G$ be its Galois group. We assume that $n \neq 1,2,4$. Then we can identify $G$ with a suitable subgroup of the permutation group $S_{n}$ of $n$ letters (See Proposition 2.2). Let $\pi$ be the restriction of the standard representation of $S_{n}$ to $G$. Let $\rho_{2}$ be the 2 -adic representation of the absolute Galois group of $\mathbf{Q}$ with respect to the 2-adic Tate module of $J$.

For each odd good prime $p$ of $J$ we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{p}(u):=\operatorname{det}\left(I_{n-1}-\pi\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) u\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}_{p}(u):=\operatorname{det}\left(I_{2 g}-\rho_{2}\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}\right) u\right), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{m}$ is the unit matrix of size $m, \sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is the Frobenius automorphism for a prime divisor $\mathfrak{P}$ in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$, and $\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is its restriction to $K$. Then $1 / \mathrm{P}_{p}\left(p^{-s}\right)$ (resp. $1 / \mathrm{Q}_{p}\left(p^{-s}\right)$ ) is the $p$-factor of Artin L-series $L(\pi, K / \mathbf{Q}, s)$ attached to $\pi$ (resp. the L-series $L(J / \mathbf{Q}, s)$ of $J$ ).

Theorem. (i) If $n$ is odd and $n \neq 1$, the congruence $\mathrm{P}_{p}(u) \equiv \mathrm{Q}_{p}(u) \bmod 2$ holds for any odd good prime $p$ of $J$.
(ii) If $n$ is even and $n \neq 2,4$, the congruence $\mathrm{P}_{p}(u) \equiv(1-u) \mathrm{Q}_{p}(u) \bmod 2$ holds for any odd good prime $p$ of $J$.

In the case of $n=3$, the theorem is that of Koike [3]. Thus our theorem is a generalization of Koike's theorem.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In $\S 2$, we construct the reduction $\rho_{2,1}$ of the 2 -adic representation $\rho_{2}$ modulo 2 by matrices in $\mathrm{GL}(2 g, \mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z})$. In $\S 3$, we construct the standard representation $\pi^{s t}$ of $S_{n}$ by matrices in GL $(n-1, \mathbf{Z})$. By comparing two representations $\rho_{2,1}$ and the restriction $\pi$ of $\pi^{s t}$, we prove our theorem in $\S 4$. In $\S 5$, we give some examples of a reciprocity law for $f(x)$ by using our theorem.

The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Professor Y. Yamamoto for his valuable advice. The author also wishes to thank Professor H. Naito for his useful suggestion and his warmful encouragement.

## 2. The field of two-division points of the Jacobian variety of a hyperelliptic curve over $\mathbf{Q}$

Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial over $\mathbf{Q}$ of degree $n$ with no multiple roots and let $C$ be a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g$ defined by $y^{2}=f(x)$. We see that either $n=2 g+1$ or $2 g+2$ holds. When $n$ is even, the hyperelliptic curve $C$ has two points $P_{\infty}, P_{\infty}^{\prime}$ at
infinity. When $n$ is odd, the hyperelliptic curve $C$ has one point $P_{\infty}$ at infinity, which is ramified and $\mathbf{Q}$-rational. In the latter case we put $P_{\infty}^{\prime}:=P_{\infty}$.

We assume that the hyperelliptic curve $C$ has at least one $\mathbf{Q}$-rational point. Then we can assume that the Jacobian variety $(J, \varphi)$ is defined over $\mathbf{Q}$.

Let $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(C)$ be the divisor class group of $C$. The canonical mapping $\varphi$ induces the isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\varphi}: \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(C) \rightarrow J: \sum P \mapsto \sum \varphi(P) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The point corresponding to a $\mathbf{Q}$-rational divisor class is $\mathbf{Q}$-rational.
Let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ be the roots of the equation $f(x)=0$ and put $P_{i}:=\left(\alpha_{i}, 0\right) \in C$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. We see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right)=2 P_{i}-P_{\infty}-P_{\infty}^{\prime} \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{div}(y)= \begin{cases}P_{1}+\cdots+P_{2 g+1}-(2 g+1) P_{\infty} & \text { if } n \text { is odd }  \tag{2.3}\\ P_{1}+\cdots+P_{2 g+2}-(g+1)\left(P_{\infty}+P_{\infty}^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } n \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

Let $J[2]$ be the group of two-division points of $J$. By the equation (2.2) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\varphi}\left(P_{i}-P_{2 g+1}\right) \in J[2] \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, 2 g \text {. } \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.1. $\left\{\bar{\varphi}\left(\mathrm{P}_{i}-\mathrm{P}_{2 g+1}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{2 g}$ is a basis of $J[2]$.
For a divisor $D$ on $C$, we define the set $L(D)$ of rational functions on $C$ over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(D):=\{h: \text { a rational function on } C \mid \operatorname{div}(h)+D \text { is effective. }\} \cup\{0\} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$L(D)$ is a vector space over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$.
Proof. Since $J[2]$ is a $\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}$-module of rank $2 g$, it is enough to show that $\bar{\varphi}\left(\mathrm{P}_{i}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{P}_{2 g+1}\right)(i=1,2, \ldots, 2 g)$ are linearly independent. Suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{2 g} a_{i} \bar{\varphi}\left(P_{i}-P_{2 g+1}\right)=0 \text { for } a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 g} \in\{0,1\} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a rational function $h$ on $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}(h)=\sum_{i=1}^{2 g} a_{i}\left(P_{i}-P_{2 g+1}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put $a_{2 g+1}:=a_{1}+\cdots+a_{2 g}$. For the largest integer $m$ less than or equal to $\left(a_{2 g+1}+1\right) / 2$, we put $h_{1}:=\left(x-\alpha_{2 g+1}\right)^{m} h$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(h_{1}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{2 g} a_{i} P_{i}+\left(2 m-a_{2 g+1}\right) P_{2 g+1}-m\left(P_{\infty}+P_{\infty}^{\prime}\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a_{2 g+1}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 g+1} a_{i} \leq 2 g, m \leq g$. Thus $h_{1}$ is contained in $L\left(g\left(P_{\infty}+P_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. By the Riemann-Roch theorem, $h_{1}$ is a linear combination of $1, x, \ldots, x^{g}$. Together with the fact $P_{i}$ is ramified for $i=1, \ldots, 2 g+1$, the order of $h_{1}$ at $P_{i}$ is even for $i=1, \ldots, 2 g+$ 1. Since $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 g}=0,1$, we have $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 g}=0$. Thus $a_{2 g+1}=a_{1}+\cdots+a_{2 g}=0$. This completes the proof.

Let $K$ be the Galois extension over $\mathbf{Q}$ generated by the coordinates of the points of $J[2]$. Since $\varphi$ is a rational function defined over $\mathbf{Q}, \varphi\left(P_{i}\right)$ is defined over $\mathbf{Q}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ for each $i$. We note that the addition on $J$ are also defined over $\mathbf{Q}$. Thus the point $\bar{\varphi}\left(P_{i}-P_{2 g+1}\right)=\varphi\left(P_{i}\right)-\varphi\left(P_{2 g+1}\right)$ is defined over $\mathbf{Q}\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{2 g+1}\right)$. Hence $K$ is a subfield of the minimal splitting field $\mathbf{Q}(f)=\mathbf{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ of $f$ over $\mathbf{Q}$.

Proposition 2.2. (i) If $n \neq 1,2,4$, then $K=\mathbf{Q}(f)$.
(ii) If $n=4$, then $K$ is the minimal splitting field of the decomposition cubic of $f$ over $\mathbf{Q}$.

For the proof of Proposition 2.2, we need the following two lemmas.
Lemma 2.3. Assume that $n \neq 1,2,4$. If $\bar{\varphi}\left(P_{i}-P_{j}\right)=\bar{\varphi}\left(P_{k}-P_{l}\right)$ for $i \neq j$ and $k \neq l$, then $\left\{P_{i}, P_{j}\right\}=\left\{P_{k}, P_{l}\right\}$.

Proof. Assume that $n=3$. Then $g=1$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\varphi}\left(P_{1}-P_{2}\right)=\bar{\varphi}\left(P_{1}-P_{3}\right)+\bar{\varphi}\left(P_{2}-P_{3}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since it follows from Proposition 2.1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\varphi}\left(P_{1}-P_{3}\right), \quad \bar{\varphi}\left(P_{2}-P_{3}\right), \quad \bar{\varphi}\left(P_{1}-P_{2}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

are distinct, our assertion follows in this case.
We assume that $n \geq 5$. Then $g \geq 2$. Suppose that $\bar{\varphi}\left(P_{i}-P_{j}\right)=\bar{\varphi}\left(P_{k}-P_{l}\right)$. Then there exists a function $h$ satisfying $\operatorname{div}(h)=P_{i}+P_{j}+P_{k}+P_{l}-2\left(P_{\infty}+P_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus $h$ is contained in $L\left(2\left(P_{\infty}+P_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)\right)$, which is spanned by $1, x, x^{2}$ by the Riemann-Roch theorem, and $h$ has zero at $P_{i}$ and $P_{j}$. Since $i \neq j, h$ is equal to $\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{j}\right)$ up to a constant, that is, $\operatorname{div}(h)=2 P_{i}+2 P_{j}-2\left(P_{\infty}+P_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus we have that $\left\{P_{i}, P_{j}\right\}=$ $\left\{P_{k}, P_{l}\right\}$.

Lemma 2.4. When $n=4$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\varphi}\left(P_{1}-P_{3}\right)=\bar{\varphi}\left(P_{2}-P_{4}\right), \bar{\varphi}\left(P_{2}-P_{3}\right)=\bar{\varphi}\left(P_{1}-P_{4}\right), \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\varphi}\left(P_{1}-P_{3}\right)+\bar{\varphi}\left(P_{2}-P_{3}\right)=\bar{\varphi}\left(P_{1}-P_{2}\right)=\bar{\varphi}\left(P_{3}-P_{4}\right) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. These equations follow from (2.2) and (2.3).
Proof of Proposition 2.2. (i) Let $\sigma$ be an element of the Galois group of $\mathbf{Q}(f)$ over $\mathbf{Q}$. Suppose that $\sigma$ fixes all elements in $K$. Then $\sigma \bar{\varphi}\left(P_{i}-P_{2 g+1}\right)=$ $\bar{\varphi}\left(\sigma\left(P_{i}\right)-\sigma\left(P_{2 g+1}\right)\right)=\bar{\varphi}\left(P_{i}-P_{2 g+1}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, 2 g$. By Lemma 2.3, we have that $\left\{\sigma\left(P_{i}\right), \sigma\left(P_{2 g+1}\right)\right\}=\left\{P_{i}, P_{2 g+1}\right\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, 2 g$. Thus we have $\sigma\left(P_{i}\right)=P_{i}$, that is, $\sigma\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, 2 g+1$. Hence $\sigma$ is the identity element. Thus our assertion (i) follows.
(ii) Suppose that $\sigma \bar{\varphi}\left(P_{i}-P_{3}\right)=\bar{\varphi}\left(P_{i}-P_{3}\right)$ for $i=1,2$. By Lemma 2.4 we have that $\left\{\sigma\left(P_{i}\right), \sigma\left(P_{3}\right)\right\}=\left\{P_{i}, P_{3}\right\}$, or $\left\{P_{3-i}, P_{4}\right\}$ for $i=1,2$. Equivalently, $\sigma$ fixes $\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{2}+\right.$ $\left.\alpha_{4}\right),\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right)$, and $\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}\right)$, Since these 3 elements are all roots of the decomposition cubic of $f$ over $\mathbf{Q}, K$ is its minimal splitting field.

In the following we always assume $n \neq 1,2,4$. Let $S_{n}$ be the permutation group of $n$ letters $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. The group $S_{n}$ acts on the set $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ of the roots of $f(x)=0$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \alpha_{i}=\alpha_{\sigma(i)} \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The group $S_{n}$ acts on $J[2]$ from the left hand side by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \bar{\varphi}\left(P_{i}-P_{2 g+1}\right)=\bar{\varphi}\left(P_{\sigma(i)}-P_{\sigma(2 g+1)}\right) \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, 2 g \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take a basis $\left\{w_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{2 g}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{i}:=\bar{\varphi}\left(P_{i}-P_{2 g+1}\right)(1 \leq i \leq 2 g) . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, let $\sigma_{j}:=(j, 2 g+1)$ be the transposition.
Proposition 2.5. (i) When $n=2 g+1$ and $n \neq 1$,

$$
\sigma_{j} w_{i}= \begin{cases}w_{i} & \text { if either } j=2 g+1 \text { or } i=j  \tag{2.16}\\ w_{i}+w_{j} & \text { if } j \neq 2 g+1 \text { and } i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

(ii) When $n=2 g+2$ and $n \neq 2,4$,

$$
\sigma_{j} w_{i}= \begin{cases}w_{i} & \text { if } j=2 g+1  \tag{2.17}\\ & \text { or if } j \neq 2 g+1,2 g+2, \text { and } i=j \\ w_{i}+w_{j} & \text { if } j \neq 2 g+1,2 g+2 \text { and } i \neq j \\ w_{1}+w_{2}+\cdots+w_{2 g}+w_{i} & \text { if } j=2 g+2\end{cases}
$$

Let $G$ be the Galois group of $K$ over $\mathbf{Q}$. By Proposition 2.2, for any element $\sigma \in$ $G$, there exists the unique element $\tau$ in $S_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)=\left(\alpha_{\tau(1)}, \alpha_{\tau(2)}, \ldots, \alpha_{\tau(n)}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can identify $G$ with a suitable subgroup of $S_{n}$ through the inclusion $G \rightarrow S_{n}$ : $\sigma \mapsto \tau$.

We define the representation $\rho_{2,1}: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2 g, \mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z})$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{2 g}\right)=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{2 g}\right) \rho_{2,1}(\sigma) \text { for } \sigma \in G \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The representation $\rho_{2,1}$ is the restriction to $G$ of the representation of $S_{n}$ defined by (2.14).

Let $T_{2}(J)$ be the 2-adic Tate module of $J . T_{2}(J)$ is a free $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$-module of rank $2 g$, where $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$ is the 2-adic integer ring. Taking a basis $T_{2}(J)$, we get a representation $\rho_{2}$ of the absolute Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q})$ of $\mathbf{Q}$ by matrices in $\operatorname{GL}\left(2 g, \mathbf{Z}_{2}\right)$. We can take a basis of $T_{2}(J)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{2,1}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) \equiv \rho_{2}(\sigma) \bmod 2 \text { for all } \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q}) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma^{\prime}$ is the restriction of $\sigma$ to $K$. We call the representation $\rho_{2}$ is the 2-adic representation of $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q})$ with respect to $T_{2}(J)$ and we call the representation $\rho_{2,1}$ the reduction modulo 2 of $\rho_{2}$.

## 3. Standard representation of $S_{n}$

Let $S_{n}$ be the permutation group of $n$ letters $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Let $V^{p r}$ be an $n$ dimensional vector space over $\mathbf{Q}$ with basis $\left\{\varepsilon_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$. The group $S_{n}$ acts on the vector space $V^{p r}$ from the left hand side by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \varepsilon_{i}:=\varepsilon_{\sigma(i)} \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, n, \text { and } \sigma \in S_{n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vector space $V^{p r}$ is called the permutation representation of $S_{n}$. The permutation representation $V^{p r}$ of $S_{n}$ is decomposed into the direct sum of two irreducible representations of $S_{n}$. Namely, the 1 -dimensional subspace $V^{t r}$ spanned by $\varepsilon_{1}+\cdots+\varepsilon_{n}$ and the $(n-1)$-dimensional subspace $V^{s t}$ with basis $\left\{\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{n}\right\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$. The representations $V^{t r}$
and $V^{s t}$ are called the trivial representation and the standard representation, respectively.

In this section, we investigate the standard representation $V^{s t}$ of $S_{n}$. As a matter of convenience, we denote by $g$ the largest integer less than or equal to $(n-1) / 2$. Then either $n=2 g+1$ or $n=2 g+2$ holds.

We take a basis $\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ of $V^{s t}$ as follows:
When $n=2 g+1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}:=\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{2 g+1} \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq 2 g ; \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $n=2 g+2$,

$$
v_{i}:= \begin{cases}\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{2 g+1} & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq 2 g,  \tag{3.3}\\ \varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}+\varepsilon_{3}-\varepsilon_{4}+\cdots+\varepsilon_{2 g+1}-\varepsilon_{2 g+2} & \text { if } i=2 g+1\end{cases}
$$

We define the matrix representation $\pi^{s t}$ of $S_{n}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right)=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right) \pi^{s t}(\sigma) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $j=1,2, \ldots, n$, let $\sigma_{j}:=(j, 2 g+1)$ be the transposition in $S_{n}$.
Proposition 3.1. (i) When $n=2 g+1$, we have

$$
\sigma_{j} v_{i}= \begin{cases}v_{i} & \text { if } j=2 g+1,  \tag{3.5}\\ -v_{i} & \text { if } i=j \text { and } j \neq 2 g+1, \\ v_{i}-v_{j} & \text { if } i \neq j \text { and } j \neq 2 g+1\end{cases}
$$

(ii) When $n=2 g+2$, we have

$$
\sigma_{j} v_{i}= \begin{cases}v_{i} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { if } j=2 g+1, \\
-v_{i} \\
\\
\text { if } i \neq 2 g+1, j \neq 2 g+1,2 g+2 \\
\quad \text { and } i=j,
\end{array}  \tag{3.6}\\
v_{i}-v_{j} & \text { if } i \neq 2 g+1, j \neq 2 g+1,2 g+2 \\
\text { and } i \neq j, \\
\sum_{m=1}(-1)^{m} v_{m}+v_{i}+v_{2 g+1} & \text { if } i \neq 2 g+1 \text { and } j=2 g+2, \\
v_{2 g+1} & \text { if } i=2 g+1 \text { and } j \text { is odd, } \\
v_{2 g+1}+2 v_{j} & \text { if } i=2 g+1 \text { and } j \neq 2 g+2 \text { is even, } \\
-v_{2 g+1}+2 \sum_{m=1}^{2 g}(-1)^{m-1} v_{m} & \text { if } i=2 g+1 \text { and } j=2 g+2 .\end{cases}
$$

Since $\sigma_{j}$ 's generate $S_{n}$, it follows from Proposition 3.1 that $\pi^{s t}(\sigma)$ is a matrix in $\mathrm{GL}(n-1, \mathbf{Z})$. Thus we can consider the reduction of the representation $\pi^{s t}$ modulo 2.

Proposition 3.2. (i) When $n=2 g+1$, we have

$$
\sigma_{j} v_{i} \equiv \begin{cases}v_{i} & \bmod 2 \text { if either } j=2 g+1 \text { or } i=j  \tag{3.7}\\ v_{i}+v_{j} & \bmod 2 \text { if } i \neq j \text { and } j \neq 2 g+1\end{cases}
$$

(ii) When $n=2 g+2$,
(3.8) $\quad \sigma_{j} v_{i} \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{lc}v_{i} & \bmod 2 \text { if } i \neq 2 g+1, j=2 g+1, \\ \text { or if } i \neq 2 g+1, i=j, \\ v_{i}+v_{j} & \bmod 2 \text { if } i \neq 2 g+1, j \neq 2 g+1,2 g+2, \\ 2 g & \text { and } i \neq j, \\ \sum_{m=1} v_{m}+v_{i}+v_{2 g+1} & \bmod 2 \text { if } i \neq 2 g+1 \text { and } j=2 g+2, \\ v_{2 g+1} & \bmod 2 \text { if } i=2 g+1 .\end{array}\right.$

Proof. Proposition 3.2 follows from (3.5) and (3.6).

The conjugate classes of $S_{n}$ correspond to partitions of $n$ bijectively. We call an element $\sigma$ in $\mathrm{S}_{n}$ of type ( $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}$ ) if $\sigma$ belongs to the conjugacy class corresponding to the partition $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$. The following is well-known.

Proposition 3.3. Let $\sigma$ be an element in $S_{n}$ of type $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$. Then the characteristic polynomial of $\sigma$ in $S_{n}$ for $\pi^{s t}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{I}_{n-1}-\pi^{s t}(\sigma) u\right)=\frac{1}{1-u} \prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-u^{n_{i}}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{n-1}$ is the unit matrix of size $n-1$
Proof. We note that $V^{p r}=V^{s t} \oplus V^{t r}$. Our assertion follows from direct computations.

## 4. Proof of Theorem

Let the notation be the same as in $\S 1$. We note that any odd good prime is unramified in $K$.

Let $\rho_{2,1}: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2 g, \mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z})$ be the representation defined by (2.19). It follows
from (2.20) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}_{p}(u)=\operatorname{det}\left(I_{2 g}-\rho_{2}\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}\right) u\right) \equiv \operatorname{det}\left(I_{2 g}-\rho_{2,1}\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) u\right) \bmod 2 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can take $\pi^{s t}: S_{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n-1, \mathbf{Z})$ defined by (3.4) in $\S 4$ as the standard representation of $S_{n}$. Compared with (2.16), (2.17) and (3.7), (3.8), we have
(4.2) $\quad \pi(\sigma) \equiv \rho_{2,1}(\sigma) \quad\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\pi(\sigma) \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}\rho_{2,1}(\sigma) & 0 \\ * & 1\end{array}\right)\right) \bmod 2 \quad$ for all $\sigma \in G$,
if $n$ is odd and $n \neq 1$ (resp. if $n$ is even and $n \neq 2,4$ ). Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{p}(u) \equiv \mathrm{Q}_{p}(u)\left(\text { resp. } \mathrm{P}_{p}(u) \equiv(1-u) \mathrm{Q}_{p}(u)\right) \bmod 2 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. Numerical examples

Let the notation be the same as in $\S 1$. We assume that $f(x)$ is a monic polynomial with rational integer coefficients. We denote by $f_{p}(x)$ the reduction of $f(x)$ modulo $p$. The type of the factorization of $f_{p}(x)$ corresponds to that of the conjugate class of the Frobenius automorphism $\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By Proposition 3.3 and by our Theorem, we have:

Proposition 5.1. (i) If $f_{p}(x)=g_{1}(x) g_{2}(x) \cdots g_{r}(x)$ in $\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z}[x]$ for some irreducible polynomials $g_{i}(x)$ of degree $n_{i}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}_{p}(u) \equiv \frac{1}{(1-u)^{\varepsilon}} \prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-u^{n_{i}}\right) \bmod 2 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon=1($ resp. $\varepsilon=2)$ if $n$ is odd and $n \neq 1$ (resp. if $n$ is even and $n \neq 2,4$ ).
(ii) The signature of $\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in $S_{n}$ is equal to the Legendre symbol $\left(D_{f} / p\right)$.

In the following we give three examples, which describe the law of decomposition of primes in terms of $\mathrm{Q}_{p}(u) \bmod 2$ and $\left(D_{f} / p\right)$, in the case of $g=2$. We note that an odd prime integer $q$ is a good prime of $J$ if $q$ is prime to the discriminant $D_{f}$ of $f(x)$.

Example 1. We put $f(x):=x^{5}-x-1$. Then $D_{f}=2869=19 \cdot 151$ and $G=S_{5}$ (cf. [4], p. 121). For any $p \neq 2,19,151$ we have the following:

| $\mathrm{Q}_{p}(u) \bmod 2$ | $\left(\frac{2869}{p}\right)$ | degrees of irreducible <br> factors of $f_{p}$ | example of $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | $1,1,1,1,1$ | $1973,3769,5101$ |
|  |  | $1,2,2$ | 67,71 |
|  | $(1$ | $1,1,1,2$ | $163,193,227$ |
|  |  | 1 | 1,4 |
|  | -1 | $23,29,31,61,97$ |  |
| $1+u+u^{2}+u^{3}+u^{4}$ | 1 | 5 | $17,41,43,47,53$ |

Example 2. We put $f(x):=x^{6}-4 x^{5}-12 x^{4}+2 x^{3}+8 x^{2}+8 x-7$. Then $D_{f}=2^{12} 29^{5}$ and the hyperelliptic curve $C$ is the modular curve $X_{0}(29)$ (cf. [5]). We can check that the endomorphism algebra of $J$ is $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2})$. By choosing suitable indices of roots of $f, G=\langle(1,2,3)(4,5,6),(1,2)(4,5),(1,4)(2,5)(3,6)\rangle$, which is isomorphic to the dihedral group of order 12 (cf. [8]). For any $p \neq 2,29$ we have the following:

| $\mathrm{Q}_{p}(u) \bmod 2$ | $\left(\frac{29}{p}\right)$ | degrees of irreducible <br> factors of $f_{p}$ | example of $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | $1,1,1,1,1,1$ | $173,197,277$ |
|  |  | $1,1,2,2$ | $7,23,59,67,71,83$ |
|  | -1 | $2,2,2$ | $17,19,37,41,61,73,89,97$ |
| $\left(1+u+u^{2}\right)^{2}$ | 1 | 3,3 | $5,13,53$ |
|  | -1 | 6 | $3,11,31,43,47,79$ |

In this example, by using the fact that $K$ contains $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, we can distinguish the first row and the second row by the Legendre symbol $(-1 / p)$. And also the fourth row and the fifth row.

Example 3. We put $f(x):=x^{6}-4 x^{5}+6 x^{4}-6 x^{3}+9 x^{2}-14 x+9$. Then $D_{f}=2^{12} 67^{2}$ and the hyperelliptic curve $C$ is the modular curve $X_{0}^{*}(67)$ (cf. [5]). Then we can checked that the endomorphism algebra of $J$ is $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. By choosing suitable indices of roots of $f, G=\langle(1,2,6)(3,5,4),(1,2,3,4,5),(2,5)(3,4)\rangle$, which is isomorphic to the alternative group of degree 5 (cf. [8]). For any $p \neq 2,67$ we have the following:

| $\mathrm{Q}_{p}(u) \bmod 2$ | degrees of irreducible factors of $f_{p}$ | example of $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1-u)^{4}$ | $1,1,1,1,1,1$ | $311,1163,1453$ |
|  | $1,1,2,2$ | $17,59,73$ |
| $\left(1+u+u^{2}\right)^{2}$ | 3,3 | $5,11,23$ |
| $1+u+u^{2}+u^{3}+u^{4}$ | 1,5 | $3,7,13$ |

In Example 2 and in Example 3, there exist modular forms $h_{1}, h_{2}$ of weight two with respect to a congruence subgroup such that $L(J / \mathbf{Q}, s)$ and the product $L\left(h_{1}, s\right) L\left(h_{2}, s\right)$ of their Mellin transforms are essentially same as in Shimura's sense (cf. [7]). Thus by our theorem, we can consider congruences between the coefficients
of the Artin L-series $L(\pi, K / \mathbf{Q}, s)$ and the Fourier coefficients of the modular forms $h_{1}, h_{2}$ of weight two in those examples.
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