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## 1. Introduction

$S p(n)$ is the group of the quaternionic linear automorphisms acting from the left on a right quaternionic $n$-dimensional vector space preserving a positive definite Hermitian form on it. $S p(n) \cdot S p(1)$ is the group $S p(n) \times S p(1) /\{(1,1),(-1,-1)\}$. If we identify $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{4 n}$, the following left action on a right quaternionic $n$ dimensional space $\mathbb{H}^{n}$

$$
(A, \alpha) v=A v \bar{\alpha}, \quad A \in S p(n), \alpha \in S p(1)
$$

where $\bar{\alpha}$ is the quaternionic conjugate to $\alpha$, induces an inclusion $S p(n) \cdot S p(1) \hookrightarrow$ $S O(4 n)$.

Let $\xi$ be an oriented real vector bundle of dimension $4 n$. We will say that $\xi$ has an $S p(n) \cdot S p(1)$-structure iff its structure group $S O(4 n)$ can be reduced to $S p(n) \cdot S p(1)$. Such a structure was treated i.e. in [2], [13], [16]. In the case of the tangent bundle of a smooth manifold it is common to talk about almost quaternionic structure. (See [1], [13].) The prototype of a manifold with such an almost quaternionic structure is the quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{H} P^{n}$. Examples of manifolds with almost quaternionic structure are quaternionic-Kähler manifolds whose holonomy group is by definition a subgroup of $S p(n) \cdot S p(1)([1],[18],[13])$.

This paper is devoted to $S p(n) \cdot S p(1)$ for $n=2$. (The case $n=1$ is not interesting since the group $S p(1) \cdot S p(1)$ is isomorphic to $S O(4)$.) Our aim is to find nontrivial sufficient and in some cases also necessary conditions for the existence of an $S p(2) \cdot S p(1)$-structure in oriented 8 -dimensional vector bundles over oriented 8 -manifolds in terms of characteristic classes and cohomology of the base manifold. Analogous results for the almost complex structure in dimensions 8 and 10 were obtained in [15] and [20]. One of the corollaries of our main results in Section 7 reads as

Theorem 1.1. Let $M$ be an oriented closed connected smooth manifold of

[^0]dimension 8. If
(A) $w_{2}(M)=0$
(B) $\quad w_{6}(M)=0$
(C) $4 p_{2}(M)-p_{1}^{2}(M)-8 e(M)=0$
(D) $\left\{p_{1}^{2}(M)+4 e(M)\right\}[M] \equiv 0 \bmod 16$,
then $M$ has an almost quaternionic structure.

The starting point for our considerations is the following proposition proved in [9] (see Theorem 3.2).

Proposition 1.2. Let $X$ be a $C W$-complex and let $\xi$ be an oriented 8dimensional vector bundle over $X$. Then $\xi$ has an $S p(2) \cdot S p(1)$-structure if and only if it has a spinor structure $\bar{\xi}$ and the vector bundle $\pi_{*}(\kappa \lambda)_{*}(\bar{\xi})$, where $\kappa \lambda$ is a certain outer automorphism of $\operatorname{Spin}(8)$ and $\pi: S \operatorname{pin}(8) \rightarrow S O(8)$ is a standard double covering, has an oriented 3-dimensional subbundle.

What is known in this respect are the results of Crabb and Steer [10] which answer the question whether a given 3 -dimensional vector bundle $\eta$ can be a subbundle of a given $4 k$-dimensional vector bundle $\zeta$ over a $4 k$-manifold. The necessary and sufficient conditions are given in terms of characteristic classes of $\eta$ and $\zeta$. However, what we need in order to apply Proposition 1.2, is the answer to the question whether a given 8 -dimensional vector bundle has a 3 -dimensional subbundle. To reach this purpose we carry out the following steps:
(i) In Section 3 we describe those cohomology classes which can appear as characteristic classes of a 3-dimensional spin vector bundle over a given CW-complex of dimension 8 . Here a certain tertiary cohomology operation $\Phi$ and a secondary operation $\Sigma$ appear.
(ii) Next we compute the operations $\Phi$ and $\Sigma$. For this aim we derive necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of 3 linearly independent sections in an 8 -dimensional spin vector bundle over a CW-complex of the same dimension in terms of characteristic classes and the higher order cohomology operations $\Sigma$ and $\Phi$ (Section 4). Comparing this result with the known results in [10] and [11] derived by different methods, we get a formula for $\Phi$ and $\Sigma$ on spin manifolds (Section 5).
(iii) Now, using [10] we can answer the question whether an 8 -dimensional vector bundle has a 3-dimensional spin subbundle (Section 6) and apply Proposition 1.2 to obtain nontrivial sufficient conditions for the existence of an $S p(2)$. $S p(1)$-structure (Section 7).
The reason why our conditions for manifolds satisfying $H^{2}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \neq 0$ are only sufficient ones consists in the fact that we are not able describe characteristic classes of all 3 -dimensional vector bundles over $M$, but only the spin ones.

We do not know either how to avoid the usage of higher order cohomology operations and obtain our results only by the methods of the index theory used in [10] and [11].

## 2. Notation and preliminaries

In this section we introduce notation and recall also some facts about the singular cohomology of classifying spaces.

We suppose that all manifolds and vector bundles are oriented. We will use $w_{m}(\xi)$ for the $m$-th Stiefel-Whitney class of the vector bundle $\xi, p_{m}(\xi)$ for the $m$-th Pontrjagin class, and $e(\xi)$ for the Euler class. For a complex vector bundle $\xi$ the symbol $c_{m}(\xi)$ denotes the $m$-th Chern class. The letters $w_{m}, p_{m}, e$ and $c_{m}$ will stand for the characteristic classes of the universal vector bundles over the classifying spaces $B S O(n)$, and $B U(n)$, respectively. The pullbacks of the Stiefel-Whitney, Pontrjagin and Euler classes in $H^{*}(B \operatorname{Spin}(n))$ will be denoted by the same letters.

The mapping $\delta: H^{*}\left(X ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}(X ; \mathbb{Z})$ is the Bockstein homomorphism associated with the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2} \rightarrow 0$. Mappings $i_{*}: H^{*}\left(X, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow$ $H^{*}\left(X, Z_{4}\right)$ and $\rho_{m}: H^{*}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(X, \mathbb{Z}_{m}\right)$ are induced from the inclusion $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and the reduction $\bmod m$, respectively. We will also use the Steenrod operations $S q^{i}: H^{n}\left(X ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{n+i}\left(X ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ and $P_{3}^{i}: H^{n}\left(X ; \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \rightarrow H^{n+4 i}\left(X ; \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)$.

We say that $x \in H^{*}(X ; \mathbb{Z})$ is an element of order $n(n=2,3,4, \ldots)$ if and only if $x \neq 0$ and $n$ is the least positive integer such that $n x=0$ (if it exists).

The Eilenberg-MacLane space with the $n$-th homotopy group $G$ will be denoted $K(G, n)$, and $\iota_{n}$ will stand for the fundamental class in $H^{n}(K(G, n) ; G)$. Writing the fundamental class, it will be always clear which group $G$ we have in mind.

Now we summarize some results on the cohomologies of $B \operatorname{Spin}(n)$. We consider always the group $\operatorname{Spin}(n)$ in the standard way as a subgroup of the Clifford algebra $C_{n-1}$. Using the standard forms of the Clifford algebras, we have $C_{2}=\mathbb{H}, C_{4}=$ $\mathbb{H}(2)$, and the inclusion $\nu: C_{2} \hookrightarrow C_{4}$ of the form

$$
\nu(\alpha)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha & 0 \\
0 & \alpha
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { for } \alpha \in \mathbb{H} .
$$

For the later use we shall introduce one more monomorphism of groups $\mu: S p(1) \hookrightarrow$ $S p(2)$ by

$$
\mu(\alpha)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { for } \alpha \in \mathbb{H}, \quad|\alpha|=1
$$

Using the above form of the Clifford algebras we can immediately see that $\operatorname{Spin}(3) \cong S p(1) \subset \mathbb{H}, \operatorname{Spin}(5) \cong S p(2) \subset \mathbb{H}(2)$, and $\nu, \mu$ define monomorphisms $\nu, \mu: \operatorname{Spin}(3) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spin}(5)$. Let us notice that the factor $\operatorname{Spin}(5) / \nu(\operatorname{Spin}(3))$ is the Stiefel manifold $V_{5,2}$ while $\operatorname{Spin}(5) / \mu(\operatorname{Spin}(3))$ is the sphere $S^{7}$. Both these
monomorphisms induce fibrations of classifying spaces

$$
V_{5,2} \longrightarrow B S p i n(3) \xrightarrow{\nu} B \operatorname{Spin}(5), \quad S^{7} \longrightarrow B \operatorname{Spin}(3) \xrightarrow{\mu} B \operatorname{Spin}(5) .
$$

Let us recall now the cohomology rings of $\operatorname{BSpin}(3)$ and $\operatorname{BSpin}(5)$.
Lemma 2.1. The cohomology ring of $B S p i n(3)$ is

$$
H^{*}(B S \operatorname{Sin}(3) ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[r]
$$

where

$$
p_{1}=4 r .
$$

The cohomology ring of $B \operatorname{Spin}(5)$ is

$$
H^{*}(B \operatorname{Spin}(5) ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[q_{1}, q_{2}\right]
$$

where $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are defined by the relations

$$
p_{1}=2 q_{1}, \quad p_{2}=q_{1}^{2}+4 q_{2} .
$$

## Moreover

$$
\rho_{2} q_{1}=w_{4} .
$$

Remark 2.2. Let us mention here that $r=e_{1}$, where $e_{1} \in H^{4}(B \operatorname{Spin}(3) ; \mathbb{Z})$ is the first symplectic Pontrjagin class of the universal $\mathbb{H}$-vector bundle over the classifying space $B \operatorname{Spin}(3)=B S p(1)$. Similarly, $q_{1}=e_{1}$ and $q_{2}=-e_{2}$, where $e_{1} \in H^{4}(B \operatorname{Spin}(5) ; \mathbb{Z})$ and $e_{2} \in H^{8}(B \operatorname{Spin}(5) ; \mathbb{Z})$ is the first and the second symplectic Pontrjagin class of the universal $\mathbb{H}$-vector bundle over the classifying space $B \operatorname{Spin}(5)=B S p(2)$, respectively.

Using the classical result by Borel and Hirzebruch (see [3], Theorem 10.3), we get easily the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. For the cohomology homomorphisms $\nu^{*}, \mu^{*}: H^{*}(\operatorname{BSpin}(5) ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow$ $H^{*}(B \operatorname{Spin}(3) ; \mathbb{Z})$ there is

$$
\nu^{*} q_{1}=2 r, \quad \nu^{*} q_{2}=-r^{2}, \quad \mu^{*} q_{1}=r, \quad \mu^{*} q_{2}=0
$$

Let $v: B \operatorname{Spin}(5) \rightarrow B \operatorname{Spin}(8)$ be the fibration induced by the canonical inclusion $\operatorname{Spin}(5) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spin}(8)$.

Lemma 2.4. The cohomology rings of $\operatorname{BSpin}(8)$ are

$$
H^{*}\left(B \operatorname{Spin}(8) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[w_{4}, w_{6}, w_{7}, w_{8}, \varepsilon\right]
$$

and

$$
H^{*}(B \operatorname{Spin}(8) ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[q_{1}, q_{2}, e, \delta w_{6}\right] /\left\langle 2 \delta w_{6}\right\rangle
$$

where $q_{1}, q_{2}$ and $\varepsilon$ are defined by the relations

$$
p_{1}=2 q_{1}, \quad p_{2}=q_{1}^{2}+2 e+4 q_{2}, \quad \rho_{2} q_{2}=\varepsilon
$$

Moreover,

$$
\rho_{2} q_{1}=w_{4}, \quad \rho_{2} e=w_{8}
$$

and

$$
v^{*}\left(q_{1}\right)=q_{1}, \quad v^{*}\left(q_{2}\right)=q_{2}, \quad v^{*}(e)=0 .
$$

Proof. See [17] and [8].
Let $\xi$ be an oriented 8 -dimensional vector bundle over a CW-complex $X$ given by the homotopy class of some mapping $\xi: X \rightarrow B S O(8) . \xi$ has a spinor structure iff $w_{2}(\xi)=0$. If some lifting $\bar{\xi}: X \rightarrow B \operatorname{Spin}(8)$ is fixed we can define spin characteristic classes

$$
q_{1}(\xi)=\bar{\xi}^{*} q_{1}, \quad q_{2}(\xi)=\bar{\xi}^{*} q_{2}
$$

The first spin characteristic class is always independent of the choice of $\bar{\xi}$. Moreover, if $H^{4}(X ; \mathbb{Z})$ has no element of order 4 , then it is uniquely determined by the relations

$$
2 q_{1}(\xi)=p_{1}(\xi), \quad \rho_{2} q_{1}(\xi)=w_{4}(\xi)
$$

The second spin characteristic class is independent of the spinor structure $\bar{\xi}$ if $X$ is simply connected or $H^{8}(X ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. In the case of an 8 -dimensional manifold $q_{2}(\xi)$ is uniquely determined by the relation

$$
16 q_{2}(\xi)=4 p_{2}(\xi)-p_{1}^{2}(\xi)-8 e(\xi)
$$

See [8].

## 3. Higher order cohomology operations

We shall introduce four special higher order cohomology operations $\Sigma, \Psi, \Phi$ and $\Omega$ which will appear when building the Postnikov towers for the fibrations $r: \operatorname{BSpin}(3) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$ and $q_{1}: B \operatorname{Spin}(5) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$ corresponding to the elements $r \in H^{4}(B \operatorname{Spin}(3) ; \mathbb{Z})$ and $q_{1} \in H^{4}(B \operatorname{Spin}(5) ; \mathbb{Z})$. (See [19] and [21].)

Consider the fibration $K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 5\right) \xrightarrow{j_{1}} Y_{1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{1}} K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$ induced from the path fibration $P K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 6\right) \rightarrow K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 6\right)$ by the mapping $S q^{2} \rho_{2} \iota_{4}: K(\mathbb{Z}, 4) \rightarrow K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 6\right)$. The Serre exact sequence for this fibration implies that $H^{7}\left(Y_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Its generator $\sigma$ satisfies

$$
j_{1}^{*}(\sigma)=S q^{2} \iota_{5} .
$$

Definition 3.1. Let $\Sigma$ denote the secondary cohomology operation associated with the relation

$$
S q^{2} \circ S q^{2} \rho_{2}=0
$$

in dimension 4.
Let $X$ be a CW-complex. The operation $\Sigma$ is defined on the set $\operatorname{Def}(\Sigma, X)=$ $\left\{x \in H^{4}(X ; \mathbb{Z}) ; S q^{2} \rho_{2} x=0\right\}$. Its value $\Sigma(x)$ is the subset of $H^{7}\left(X ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ with the indeterminacy $\operatorname{Indet}(\Sigma, X)=S q^{2} H^{5}\left(X ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. Moreover, it can be shown that

$$
\Sigma(x+y)=\Sigma(x)+\Sigma(y)
$$

for all $x, y \in \operatorname{Def}(\Sigma, X)$.
From the Serre exact sequence for the fibration $\pi_{1}$ we get easily that the group $H^{8}\left(Y_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ has the three generators $\pi_{1}^{*} \rho_{2} \iota_{4}^{2}, S q^{1} \sigma$ and $\psi$, the last one with the property

$$
j_{1}^{*}(\psi)=S q^{2} S q^{1} \iota_{5} .
$$

Unfortunately, the last requirement does not determine $\psi$ uniquely. To fix it, we build the Postnikov tower for the fibration $r: B \operatorname{Spin}(3) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$. Using the long homotopy sequence we find easily that its fibre $F$ is 4 -connected and $\pi_{5}(F) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, $\pi_{6}(F) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $\pi_{7}(F) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}$. Hence, the first Postnikov invariant is $S q^{2} \rho_{2} \iota_{4} \in$ $H^{6}\left(K(\mathbb{Z}, 4) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ and the first stage of the Postnikov tower is just $Y_{1}$. Thus, we get the following commutative diagram.


Since $H^{7}\left(B \operatorname{Spin}(3) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \cong 0$, the next invariant is $\sigma \in H^{7}\left(Y_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. In dimension 8 we have $H^{8}\left(\operatorname{BSpin}(3) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ with generator $\rho_{2} r^{2}$ and $r_{1}^{*} \pi_{1}^{*} \rho_{2} \iota_{4}^{2}=\rho_{2} r^{2}$ and $r_{1}^{*} S q^{1} \sigma=0$. This shows that there is a unique element $\psi \in H^{8}\left(Y_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
j_{1}^{*} \psi=S q^{2} S q^{1} \iota_{5} \quad \text { and } \quad r_{1}^{*}(\psi)=0
$$

These considerations justify the following definition.
Definition 3.2. Denote $\Psi$ the secondary cohomology operation associated with the relation

$$
S q^{2} S q^{1} \circ S q^{2} \rho_{2}=0
$$

in dimension 4 uniquely determined by the property

$$
\Psi(r)=0
$$

in $H^{*}(B \operatorname{Spin}(3))$.
The operation $\Psi$ is defined on $\operatorname{Def}(\Psi, X)=\operatorname{Def}(\Sigma, X)$. The value $\Psi(x)$ is a subset of $H^{8}\left(X ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ with the indeterminacy $\operatorname{Indet}(\Psi, X)=S q^{2} S q^{1} H^{5}\left(X ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $X$ be a $C W$-complex. Then

$$
\Psi(x+y)=\Psi(x)+\Psi(y)+\rho_{2}(x y)
$$

for all $x, y \in \operatorname{Def}(\Psi, X)$, and

$$
\Psi(2 x)=\rho_{2} x^{2}+\operatorname{Indet}(\Psi, X)
$$

for all $x \in H^{4}(X ; \mathbb{Z})$.
Proof. $\quad$ Since $\pi_{1}^{*}\left(S q^{2} \rho_{2} \iota_{4}\right) \otimes 1+1 \otimes \pi_{1}^{*}\left(S q^{2} \rho_{2} \iota_{4}\right)=0$ in $H^{6}\left(Y_{1} \times Y_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$, there is a mapping $f_{1}: Y_{1} \times Y_{1} \rightarrow Y_{1}$ such that the following diagram is commutative

where the mappings $x$ and $y$ represent $x, y \in H^{4}(X ; \mathbb{Z})$ and $x_{1}: X \rightarrow Y_{1}, y: X \rightarrow Y_{1}$ their liftings in the fibration $\pi_{1}$. Hence we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}^{*} \psi= & a \psi \otimes 1+b 1 \otimes \psi+c \pi_{1}^{*} \rho_{2} \iota_{4} \otimes \pi_{1}^{*} \rho_{2} \iota_{4}+a^{\prime} \pi_{1}^{*} \rho_{2} \iota_{4}^{2} \otimes 1 \\
& +b^{\prime} 1 \otimes \pi_{1}^{*} \rho_{2} \iota_{4}^{2}+a^{\prime \prime} S q^{1} \sigma \otimes 1+b^{\prime \prime} 1 \otimes S q^{1} \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $a, b, b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}, c, c^{\prime}, c^{\prime \prime} \in\{0,1\}$ and consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi(x+y)= & a \Psi(x)+b \Psi(y)+c \rho_{2}(x y)+a^{\prime} \rho_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)+ \\
& +b^{\prime} \rho_{2}\left(y^{2}\right)+a^{\prime \prime} S q^{1} \Sigma(x)+b^{\prime \prime} S q^{1} \Sigma(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $X=Y_{1}, x=\pi_{1}^{*} \iota_{4}$ and $y=0$, having in mind that $\operatorname{Indet}\left(\Sigma, Y_{1}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Indet}\left(\Psi, Y_{1}\right)=0$, we get

$$
\psi=\Psi\left(\pi_{1}^{*} \iota_{4}\right)=a \psi+a^{\prime} \rho_{2} \pi_{1} \rho_{2} \iota_{4}^{2}+a^{\prime \prime} S q^{1} \sigma,
$$

which implies $a=1$ and $a^{\prime}=a^{\prime \prime}=0$. Similarly we get $b=1$ and $b^{\prime}=b^{\prime \prime}=0$. Following Brown and Peterson (see [6], Lemma 2.2), we can show that $\psi$ is not primitive. This implies that $c=1$, which finishes the proof.

Lemma 3.4. For $q_{1} \in H^{4}(\operatorname{BSpin}(5) ; \mathbb{Z})$ we have

$$
\Psi\left(q_{1}\right)=\rho_{2} q_{2} .
$$

Proof. Since $\operatorname{Indet}(\Psi, B \operatorname{Spin}(5))=0$, we have

$$
\Psi\left(q_{1}\right)=a \rho_{2} q_{2}+b \rho_{2} q_{1}^{2}
$$

where $a, b \in\{0,1\}$. If we apply $\mu^{*}$ on both sides, we get according to Lemma 2.3 and Definition 3.2

$$
0=\Psi(r)=\Psi\left(\mu^{*} q_{1}\right)=\mu^{*} \Psi\left(q_{1}\right)=\mu^{*}\left(a \rho_{2} q_{2}+b \rho_{2} q_{1}^{2}\right)=b \rho_{2} r^{2}
$$

and hence $b=0$. Next apply $\nu^{*}$. Using Lemma 3.3 we have

$$
\rho_{2} r^{2}=\Psi(2 r)=\Psi\left(\nu^{*} q_{1}\right)=\nu^{*} \Psi\left(q_{1}\right)=\nu^{*}\left(a \rho_{2} q_{2}\right)=a \rho_{2} r^{2}
$$

which implies $a=1$.
The Serre spectral sequence for the fibration $\pi_{1}$ gives that $H^{9}\left(Y_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ with the generator $S q^{1} \psi=S q^{2} \sigma$ since $j_{1}^{*} S q^{2} \sigma=S q^{3} S q^{1} \iota_{5}=j_{1}^{*} S q^{1} \psi \neq 0$. Finally, considering the cohomology exact sequence corresponding to $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{4} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2} \longrightarrow$ 0 , we find that $H^{8}\left(Y_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ with the generators $\pi_{1}^{*} \rho_{4} \iota_{4}^{2}, \rho_{4} \delta \sigma$ and $i_{*} \psi$.

Consider the fibration $K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 6\right) \xrightarrow{j_{2}} Y_{2} \xrightarrow{\pi_{2}} Y_{1}$ induced from the path fibration $P K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 7\right) \rightarrow K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 7\right)$ by the mapping $\sigma: Y_{1} \rightarrow K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 7\right)$. The transgression of the element $i_{*} S q^{2} \iota_{6} \in H^{8}\left(K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 6\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)$ is

$$
\tau\left(i_{*} S q^{2} \iota_{6}\right)=i_{*} S q^{2} \sigma=i_{*} S q^{1} \psi=0
$$

Hence there is an element $\varphi \in H^{8}\left(Y_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)$ such that

$$
j_{2}^{*} \varphi=i_{*} S q^{2} \iota_{6} .
$$

But this property does not determine the element $\varphi$ uniquely. In order to compute $H^{8}\left(Y_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)$ we apply the Serre exact sequence for the fibration $K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 6\right) \longrightarrow Y_{2} \longrightarrow$ $Y_{1}$ with the coefficients $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{7}\left(K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 6\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \xrightarrow{\tau} H^{8}\left(Y_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) & \longrightarrow H^{8}\left(Y_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \\
& \longrightarrow H^{8}\left(K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 6\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \xrightarrow{\tau} H^{9}\left(Y_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us mention first that $H^{7}\left(K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 6\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ with the generator $\rho_{4} \delta \iota_{6}$, and $H^{8}\left(K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 6\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ with the generator $i_{*} S q^{2} \iota_{6}$. Further, $\tau\left(\rho_{4} \delta \iota_{6}\right)=\rho_{4} \delta \sigma$, $\tau\left(i_{*} S q^{2} \iota_{6}\right)=0$. This shows that $H^{8}\left(Y_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)$ fits into the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2} \longrightarrow H^{8}\left(Y_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2} \longrightarrow 0
$$

This gives us for the group $H^{8}\left(Y_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)$ the possibilities $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}$. But anyhow the group $H^{8}\left(Y_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)$ has 16 elements. Returning now back to the Postnikov tower for $r: \operatorname{BSpin}(3) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$, we see that $Y_{2}$ is its second stage.


From the Serre exact sequence for the fibration $F_{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{BSpin}(3) \rightarrow Y_{2}$ we get immediately that $H^{8}\left(Y_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)$ fits also into the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{4} \longrightarrow H^{8}\left(Y_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{4} \longrightarrow 0
$$

This shows that the only possibility is $H^{8}\left(Y_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}$.
Reconsidering with this information the Serre exact sequence for the fibration $K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 6\right) \rightarrow Y_{2} \rightarrow Y_{1}$, we can see that $H^{8}\left(Y_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)$ has generators $\pi_{2}^{*} \pi_{1}^{*} \rho_{4} \iota_{4}^{2}$ and $\varphi$, where $\varphi$ can be chosen in such a way that

$$
j_{2}^{*} \varphi=i_{*} S q^{2} \iota_{6}, \quad r_{2}^{*} \varphi=0
$$

Moreover, for such $\varphi$ it holds

$$
2 \varphi=i_{*} \pi_{2}^{*} \psi, \quad \rho_{2} \varphi=\pi_{2}^{*} \psi
$$

Unfortunately, the above conditions still do not determine $\varphi$ uniquely. (But there is only one more element with the same properties, namely $-\varphi$.)

Let $s: \operatorname{BSpin}(3) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$ be a mapping representing the element $2 r \in$ $H^{4}(B S p i n(3) ; \mathbb{Z})$. Since $S q^{2} \rho_{2} 2 r=0$ and $\Sigma(2 r)=0$, this mapping can be lifted to $s_{1}: B \operatorname{Spin}(3) \rightarrow Y_{1}$ and $s_{2}: B \operatorname{Spin}(3) \rightarrow Y_{2}$. Both these mappings are uniquely determined up to homotopy. According to Lemma 3.3 we have

$$
\rho_{2} s_{2}^{*}(\varphi)=s_{2}^{*}(\psi)=\Psi(2 r)=\rho_{2} r^{2} .
$$

Hence $s_{2}^{*}(\varphi)= \pm \rho_{4} r^{2}$. This shows that there is a unique element $\varphi \in H^{8}\left(Y_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)$ such that

$$
j_{2}^{*} \varphi=i_{*} S q^{2} \iota_{6}, \quad r_{2}^{*} \varphi=0, \quad s_{2}^{*} \varphi=-\rho_{4} r^{2}
$$

Definition 3.5. Let $\Phi$ be the tertiary cohomology operation associated with the relation

$$
i_{*} S q^{2} \circ \Sigma=0
$$

in dimension 4, and uniquely determined by the properties

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(r)=0 \\
& \Phi(2 r)=-\rho_{4} r^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $r \in H^{4}(B S p i n(3) ; \mathbb{Z})$.
The tertiary cohomology operation $\Phi$ is defined on $\operatorname{Def}(\Phi, X)=\{x \in$ $\left.H^{4}(X ; \mathbb{Z}) ; S q^{2} \rho_{2} x=0, \Sigma(x) \ni 0\right\}$. Now, we will deal with the indeterminacy of the operation $\Phi$ on a CW-complex $X$.

Consider the fibration $K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 6\right) \xrightarrow{j} E \xrightarrow{\pi} K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 5\right)$ induced from the path fibration over $K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 7\right)$ by the mapping $S q^{2} \iota_{5}$. Notice that this fibration is a restriction of the fibration $\pi_{2}: Y_{2} \rightarrow Y_{1}$ induced by the inclusion $j_{1}: K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 5\right) \hookrightarrow Y_{1}$ so that the diagram

commutes. From the Serre exact sequence and the commutativity of the diagram we get that $H^{8}\left(E ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ with generator $\omega=l^{*} \varphi$. Moreover, $j^{*} \omega=i_{*} S q^{2} \iota_{6}$ and $2 \omega=i_{*} S q^{2} S q^{1} \pi^{*} \iota_{5}$.

Definition 3.6. Let $\Omega$ be the secondary cohomology operation associated with the relation

$$
i_{*} S q^{2} \circ S q^{2}=0
$$

in dimension 5.
Let $X$ be a CW-complex. The operation $\Omega$ is defined on the set $\operatorname{Def}(\Omega, X)=$ $\left\{x \in H^{5}\left(X ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) ; S q^{2} x=0\right\}$ with the indeterminacy $\operatorname{Indet}(\Omega, X)=i_{*} S q^{2} H^{6}\left(X ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. It is not substantial, whether $\Omega$ is defined by $\omega$ or $-\omega$ since $2 \omega=i_{*} S q^{2} S q^{1} \pi^{*} \iota_{5} \in$ $i_{*} S q^{2} H^{6}\left(E ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$.

Lemma 3.7. The indeterminacy of the operation $\Phi$ is $\operatorname{Indet}(\Phi, X)=$ $\Omega \operatorname{Def}(\Omega, X)$.

Proof. Let $\lambda_{1}: K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 5\right) \times Y_{1} \rightarrow Y_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}: K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 6\right) \times Y_{2} \rightarrow Y_{2}$ be the usual multiplications given by the composition of paths. It can be shown that there is a new multiplication $\lambda: E \times Y_{2} \rightarrow Y_{2}$ such that the diagram

commutes. This implies that

$$
\lambda^{*}(\varphi)=1 \otimes \varphi+\omega \otimes 1
$$

Let $x_{2}$ and $\tilde{x}_{2}: X \rightarrow Y_{2}$ be two liftings of a mapping $x: X \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$. Put $x_{1}=\pi_{2} \circ x_{2}, \tilde{x}_{1}=\pi_{2} \circ \tilde{x}_{2}$. Since $\pi_{1} \circ x_{1}=x=\pi_{1} \circ \tilde{x}_{1}$ there is $y_{1}: X \rightarrow K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 5\right)$ such that

$$
\tilde{x}_{1}=\lambda_{1} \circ\left(y_{1}, x_{1}\right) .
$$

Hence $\tilde{x}_{1}^{*}(\sigma)=x_{1}^{*}(\sigma)+y_{1}^{*} S q^{2} \iota_{5}$. Moreover, $\tilde{x}_{1}^{*}(\sigma)=x_{1}^{*}(\sigma)=0$ because both maps have liftings. Consequently, $y_{1}^{*}\left(S q^{2} \iota_{5}\right)=0$ and $y_{1}$ can be lifted to $y: X \rightarrow E$. Now,

$$
\pi_{2} \circ \lambda \circ\left(y, x_{2}\right)=\lambda_{1} \circ\left(y_{1}, x_{1}\right)=\tilde{x}_{1}=\pi_{2} \circ \tilde{x}_{2} .
$$

Hence there is $y_{2}: X \rightarrow K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 6\right)$ such that

$$
\lambda \circ\left(y, x_{2}\right)=\lambda_{2} \circ\left(y_{2}, \tilde{x}_{2}\right) .
$$

Applying the maps on both sides on $\varphi \in H^{8}\left(Y_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)$ we get

$$
x_{2}^{*}(\varphi)+y^{*}(\omega)=\tilde{x}_{2}^{*}(\varphi)+i_{*} S q^{2} y_{2}^{*}\left(\iota_{6}\right) .
$$

That is why $\tilde{x}_{2}^{*}(\varphi)-x_{2}^{*}(\varphi) \in \Omega\left(y_{1}^{*}\left(\iota_{5}\right)\right)$.
On the contrary, having $x: X \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$, its lifting $x_{2}: X \rightarrow Y_{2}, y_{1}: X \rightarrow$ $K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 5\right)$ and an element $z \in \Omega\left(y_{1}^{*}\left(\iota_{5}\right)\right)$ we can easily find $\tilde{x}_{2}$ such that $\tilde{x}_{2}^{*}(\varphi)-$ $x_{2}^{*}(\varphi)=z$.

Lemma 3.8. For $q_{1} \in H^{4}(B \operatorname{Spin}(5) ; \mathbb{Z})$ there is

$$
\Phi\left(q_{1}\right)=\rho_{4} q_{2} .
$$

Proof. Since $\operatorname{Indet}(\Phi, B \operatorname{Spin}(5))=0$,

$$
\Phi\left(q_{1}\right)=a \rho_{4} q_{2}+b \rho_{4} q_{1}^{2}
$$

where $a, b \in\{0,1,2,3\}$. First, apply $\mu^{*}$ on both sides. According to Lemma 2.3 and Definition 3.5

$$
0=\Phi(r)=\Phi\left(\mu^{*} q_{1}\right)=\mu^{*} \Phi\left(q_{1}\right)=\mu^{*}\left(a \rho_{4} q_{2}+b \rho_{4} q_{1}^{2}\right)=b \rho_{4} r^{2}
$$

and consequently $b=0$. Next apply $\nu^{*}$.

$$
-\rho_{4} r^{2}=\Phi(2 r)=\Phi\left(\nu^{*} q_{1}\right)=\nu^{*} \Phi\left(q_{1}\right)=\nu^{*}\left(a \rho_{4} q_{2}\right)=-a \rho_{4} r^{2}
$$

Hence $a=1$.

Lemma 3.9. Let $X$ be a $C W$-complex. Then

$$
\Phi(x+y)=\Phi(x)+\Phi(y)-\rho_{4}(x y)
$$

for all $x, y \in \operatorname{Def}(\Phi, X)$.
Proof. Consider $f_{1}: Y_{1} \times Y_{1} \rightarrow Y_{1}$ from the proof of Lemma 3.3. Since $\left(\pi_{2} \times \pi_{2}\right)^{*} f_{1}^{*}(\sigma)=0$ in $H^{7}\left(Y_{2} \times Y_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$, there is a mapping $f_{2}: Y_{2} \times Y_{2} \rightarrow Y_{2}$ such that we get the commutative diagram

where the mappings $x$ and $y$ represent $x, y \in H^{4}(X ; \mathbb{Z}), x_{1}: X \rightarrow Y_{1}, y: X \rightarrow Y_{1}$ their liftings in the fibration $\pi_{1}$ such that $x_{1}^{*}(\sigma)=0, x_{2}^{*}(\sigma)=0$ and $x_{2}: X \rightarrow Y_{2}$, $y_{2}: X \rightarrow Y_{2}$ the liftings of $x_{1}$ and $y_{1}$ in the fibration $\pi_{2}$, respectively. Hence we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{2}^{*}(\varphi)= & a \varphi \otimes 1+b 1 \otimes \varphi+c \pi_{2}^{*} \pi_{1}^{*}\left(\rho_{4} \iota_{4}\right) \otimes \pi_{2}^{*} \pi_{1}^{*}\left(\rho_{4} \iota_{4}\right) \\
& +a^{\prime} \pi_{2}^{*} \pi_{1}^{*} \rho_{4} \iota_{4}^{2} \otimes 1+b^{\prime} 1 \otimes \pi_{2}^{*} \pi_{1}^{*} \rho_{4} \iota_{4}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $a, a^{\prime}, b, b^{\prime}, c, \in\{0,1,2,3\}$. Consequently

$$
\Phi(x+y)=a \Phi(x)+b \Phi(y)+c \rho_{4}(x y)+a^{\prime} \rho_{4} x^{2}+b^{\prime} \rho_{4} y^{2} .
$$

Taking $X=Y_{2}, x=\pi_{2}^{*} \pi_{1}^{*} \iota_{4}, y=0$ and having in mind that $\operatorname{Indet}\left(\Phi, Y_{2}\right)=0$, we have

$$
\varphi=\Phi\left(\pi_{2}^{*} \pi_{1}^{*} \iota_{4}\right)=a \Phi\left(\pi_{2}^{*} \pi_{1}^{*} \iota_{4}\right)+a^{\prime} \pi_{2}^{*} \pi_{1}^{*} \rho_{4} \iota_{4}^{2},
$$

which implies $a=1$ and $a^{\prime}=0$. Similarly, we get $b=1$ and $b^{\prime}=0$. Finally, we take $X=B \operatorname{Spin}(3), x=y=r$. Since $\operatorname{Indet}(\Phi, B \operatorname{Spin}(3))=0$, we get

$$
-\rho_{4} r^{2}=\Phi(2 r)=\Phi(r)+\Phi(r)+c \rho_{4} r^{2}=c \rho_{4} r^{2}
$$

which gives $c=-1$.

From Lemma 2.1 we can see that the first Pontrjagin class of a 3-dimensional spin vector bundle is divisible by 4 . A kind of converse to this assertion is the following theorem which will play an important role in deriving of sufficient conditions for the existence of an $S p(2) \cdot S p(1)$-structure.

Theorem 3.10. Let $X$ be an 8-dimensional CW-complex, and let a $\in$ $H^{4}(X ; \mathbb{Z})$. Then there exists an oriented 3-dimensional vector bundle $\eta$ over $X$ with $w_{2}(\eta)=0$ and $p_{1}(\eta)=4 a$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied
(i) $S q^{2} \rho_{2} a=0$,
(ii) $0 \in \Sigma(a)$,
(iii) $P_{3}^{1} \rho_{3} a+\rho_{3} a^{2}=0$,
(iv) $0 \in \Phi(a)$.

Proof. We shall use the fibration $F \longrightarrow B \operatorname{Spin}(3) \xrightarrow{r} K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$, which has already appeared before. The element $a \in H^{4}(X ; \mathbb{Z})$ can be considered as a mapping $a: X \longrightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$, and it is obvious that there exists a 3-dimensional spin vector bundle $\eta$ with the desired properties if and only if the mapping $a$ can be lifted in the fibration $r$.


We shall investigate the existence of the lifting $\eta$ by constructing the Postnikov tower for the fibration $r$. We already know that the first invariant of this tower is $S q^{2} \rho_{2} \iota_{4} \in H^{6}\left(K(\mathbb{Z}, 4) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. It determines the first stage $Y_{1}$ with the second invariant $\sigma \in H^{7}\left(Y_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. So the next stage is $Y_{2}$ (see the diagram before Definition 3.5). From the knowledge of $H^{8}\left(Y_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)$ we get that the $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-invariant is $\varphi$.

It suffices to determine the $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$-invarint in $H^{*}\left(Y_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)$. For this purpose we shall investigate the Serre exact sequence for the fibration $F_{2} \rightarrow B \operatorname{Spin}(3) \rightarrow Y_{2}$ with the coefficients $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$.

$$
0=H^{7}\left(\operatorname{BSpin}(3) ; \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \longrightarrow H^{7}\left(F_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \xrightarrow{\tau} H^{8}\left(Y_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \longrightarrow H^{8}\left(\operatorname{BSpin}(3) ; \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right),
$$

where $H^{7}\left(F_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}$, the generator being the fundamental class. We can use the Serre exact sequence for the fibration $K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 5\right) \longrightarrow Y_{1} \longrightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$ with coefficients $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$. Let us remark that $H^{8}\left(K(\mathbb{Z}, 4) ; \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ with the generators $\rho_{3} \iota_{4}^{2}$ and $P_{3}^{1} \rho_{3} \iota_{4}$. From this sequence, having in mind that $H^{7}\left(K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 6\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \cong$ $H^{8}\left(K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 6\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \cong 0$, we get $H^{8}\left(Y_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ with the generators $\pi_{1}^{*} \rho_{3} \iota_{4}^{2}$ and $\pi_{1}^{*} P_{3}^{1} \rho_{3} \iota_{4}$. Next, from the Serre sequence for the fibration $K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 6\right) \rightarrow Y_{2} \rightarrow Y_{1}$
with the coefficients $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$, we get $H^{8}\left(Y_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ with the generators $\pi_{2}^{*} \pi_{1}^{*} \rho_{3} \iota_{4}^{2}$ and $\pi_{2}^{*} \pi_{1}^{*} P_{3}^{1} \rho_{3} \iota_{4}$. Finally, let us mention that $H^{8}\left(B \operatorname{Spin}(3) ; \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}$, the generator being $\rho_{3} r^{2}$. We have

$$
r_{2}^{*} \pi_{2}^{*} \pi_{1}^{*} \rho_{3} \iota_{4}^{2}=r^{2}, \quad r_{2}^{*} \pi_{2}^{*} \pi_{1}^{*} P_{3}^{1} \rho_{3} \iota_{4}=P_{3}^{1} \rho_{3} r=2 r^{2}
$$

For the last result see [4]. Therefore the Serre sequence for the fibration $F_{2} \longrightarrow$ $B S \operatorname{Pin}(3) \longrightarrow Y_{2}$ gives us the invariant $\pi_{2}^{*} \pi_{1}^{*} \rho_{3} \iota_{4}^{2}+\pi_{2}^{*} \pi_{1}^{*} P_{3}^{1} \rho_{3} \iota_{4}$.

This shows that $a$ can be lifted to the third stage $Y_{3}$ of the Postnikov tower if and only if the conditions (i) - (iv) are satisfied. But because $\operatorname{dim} X \leq 8$, we can see that (i) - (iv) are necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a lift of $a$ to $B \operatorname{Spin}(3)$ in the fibration $r$.

## 4. Existence of 3-fields

In this section we will use the tertiary cohomology operation $\Phi$ and the secondary operation $\Sigma$ to find necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of three linearly independent sections in an oriented 8-dimensional spin vector bundle over a CW-complex $X$ of the same dimension. However, first of all the following theorem will serve us as an important tool for the computation of $\Phi$ and $\Sigma$ in the next section.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\xi$ be an 8 -dimensional oriented vector bundle over a $C W$ complex of dimension $\leq 8$ with $w_{2}(\xi)=0$. Then $\xi$ has three linearly independent sections if and only if the following conditions are satisfied
(1) $w_{6}(\xi)=0$,
(2) $0 \in \Sigma\left(q_{1}(\xi)\right)$,
(3) $e(\xi)=0$,
(4) $\rho_{4} q_{2}(\xi) \in \Phi\left(q_{1}(\xi)\right)$.

Remark 4.2. Both operations $\Sigma$ and $\Phi$ on a closed connected smooth spin manifold $M$ will be computed in the next Section.

Proof. We shall build the Postnikov tower for the fibration $V_{8,3} \longrightarrow$ $B \operatorname{Spin}(5) \xrightarrow{v} B \operatorname{Spin}(8)$. The Stiefel manifold $V_{8,3}$ is 4-connected, $\pi_{5}\left(V_{8,3}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, $\pi_{6}\left(V_{8,3}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, and $\pi_{7}\left(V_{8,3}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}$. The Serre exact sequence for this fibration shows immediately that the first Postnikov invariant is $w_{6} \in H^{6}\left(B \operatorname{Spin}(8) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. Thus we get the first stage of the tower in the following form.


The fibre $V_{1}$ is 5-connected, $\pi_{6}\left(V_{1}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $\pi_{7}\left(V_{1}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}$. Moreover, the Serre exact sequence for the fibration $v_{1}$ shows that $H^{7}\left(E_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. We shall denote the unique generator by $k$. Taking into account the universal example for the operation $\Sigma$, we have the following commutative diagram.


The mapping $f_{1}$ exists due to the fact that $S q^{2} \rho_{2} v_{1}^{*} q_{1}=0$. Since $i_{1}^{*} k=S q^{2} \iota_{5}$, there is $f_{1}^{*} \sigma=k$, or equivalently $k=\Sigma\left(v_{1}^{*} q_{1}\right)$.

The Serre exact sequence for the fibration $\gamma_{1}$ implies that the second Postnikov invariant is $k$. Consequently, the second stage of the Postnikov tower has the following form.


Further invariants lie in $H^{8}\left(E_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ and $H^{8}\left(E_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)$. The cohomology of $E_{2}$ can be computed from the Serre exact sequence for the fibration

$$
K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 6\right) \xrightarrow{i_{2}} E_{2} \xrightarrow{v_{2}} E_{1} .
$$

But for this sake we must know the cohomologies of $E_{1}$ first. We have
$H^{8}\left(E_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{5}$ with the generators $v_{1}^{*} w_{8}, v_{1}^{*} w_{4}^{2}, v_{1}^{*} \varepsilon, S q^{1} k$ and $l$,
$H^{8}\left(E_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong(\mathbb{Z})^{3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ with the generators $v_{1}^{*} e, v_{1}^{*} q_{1}^{2}, v_{1}^{*} q_{2}$ and $\delta k$,
$H^{8}\left(E_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)^{3} \oplus\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2}$ with the generators $\rho_{4} v_{1}^{*} q_{1}^{2}, \rho_{4} v_{1}^{*} q_{2}, \rho_{4} v_{1}^{*} e, \rho_{4} \delta k$ and $i_{*} l$,
where $l=f_{1}^{*} \psi=\Psi\left(v_{1}^{*} q_{1}\right)$ (see the last but one diagram above). Moreover, there is $i_{1}^{*} l=S q^{2} S q^{1} \iota_{5}$.

Further, we obtain
$H^{8}\left(E_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{4}$ with the generators $v_{2}^{*} v_{1}^{*} w_{4}^{2}, v_{2}^{*} v_{1}^{*} \varepsilon, v_{2}^{*} v_{1}^{*} w_{8}, v_{2}^{*} l$,
$H^{8}\left(E_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong(\mathbb{Z})^{3}$ with the generators $v_{2}^{*} v_{1}^{*} q_{1}^{2}, v_{2}^{*} v_{1}^{*} q_{2}, v_{2}^{*} v_{1}^{*} e$,
$H^{8}\left(E_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)^{4}$ with the generators $v_{2}^{*} v_{1}^{*} \rho_{4} q_{1}^{2}, v_{2}^{*} v_{1}^{*} \rho_{4} q_{2}, v_{2}^{*} v_{1}^{*} \rho_{4} e, m$, where $m=f_{2}^{*} \varphi=\Phi\left(v_{2}^{*} v_{1}^{*} q_{1}\right)$ (see the diagram below).


The fibre $V_{2}$ is 6-connected, and $\pi_{7}\left(V_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}$. This means that on this stage we have two Postnikov invariants. The Serre exact sequence with the coefficients $\mathbb{Z}$ shows that the first of them is $v_{2}^{*} v_{1}^{*} e \in H^{8}\left(E_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$. The Serre exact sequence with the coefficients $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ has the form

$$
0 \longrightarrow H^{7}\left(V_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \xrightarrow{\tau} H^{8}\left(E_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) \xrightarrow{\gamma_{2}^{*}} H^{8}\left(B \operatorname{Spin}(5) ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) .
$$

Using Lemma 3.8, it is easy to see that $\operatorname{ker} \gamma_{2}^{*} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ with the generators $\rho_{4} v_{2}^{*} v_{1}^{*} e$ and $m-\rho_{4} v_{2}^{*} v_{1}^{*} q_{2}$. This shows that for the second of the two Postnikov invariants we can take $\Phi\left(v_{2}^{*} v_{1}^{*} q_{1}\right)-\rho_{4} v_{2}^{*} v_{1}^{*} q_{2} \in H^{8}\left(E_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)$.

Now, because $\operatorname{dim} X \leq 8$, we can immediately see that the vector bundle $\xi: X \longrightarrow B \operatorname{Spin}(8)$ has three linearly independent sections if and only if the conditions of the theorem are satisfied.

## 5. Computation of $\Phi$ and $\Sigma$

This section is devoted to the computation of the cohomology operations $\Phi$ and $\Sigma$ on closed connected smooth spin manifolds of dimension 8 . Briefly said, it is carried out by comparing the theorems on the existence of three linearly independent sections in vector bundles proved in [10] and [11] with our Theorem 4.1.

Let $m \equiv 0 \bmod 4$ and let $\xi$ be an oriented $m$-dimensional vector bundle over
an oriented closed connected smooth manifold $M$ of dimension $m$. In [10] Crabb and Steer defined

$$
S(\xi)=\left\{2^{m / 2} \hat{A}(M) \hat{B}(\xi)\right\}[M]
$$

where $\hat{A}$ is the $\hat{A}$-genus given by $\prod_{s=1}^{m / 2} y_{s}\left(\sinh (1 / 2) y_{s}\right)^{-1}, \hat{B}$ is given by $\prod_{s=1}^{m / 2} \cosh (1 / 2) y_{s}$ and the Pontrjagin classes are the elementary symmetric polynomials in the squares $y_{s}^{2}$.

The signature defined in this way plays the role of an obstruction when we deal with the existence of 2 or 3 linearly independent sections of $\xi$ as well as in the case of tangent bundles.

Proposition 5.1 ([10, Theorem 4.10 and 4.4. (iii)]). Let $m \equiv 0 \bmod 4$. Let $\xi$ be an oriented m-dimensional vector bundle over an oriented closed connected smooth m-manifold $M$, and $\eta$ an oriented vector bundle of dimension 3 over $M$ with $w_{2}(\eta)=w_{2}(\xi)+w_{2}(M)$. Suppose that $\eta$ is a subbundle of $\xi$ over the $(m-1)$-skeleton of $M$. Then the obstructions for $\eta$ to be a subbundle of $\xi$ over the whole manifold are
(a) $e(\xi)=0$
(b) $\quad S(\xi-\eta) \equiv 0 \bmod 8$.

It is only a matter of computation (see [9]) to show that for $m=8$ and a closed connected smooth spin manifold $M$

$$
\begin{align*}
S(\xi) & \equiv \frac{1}{45 \cdot 8}\left\{60 p_{2}(\xi)+15 p_{1}^{2}(\xi)-30 p_{1}(M) p_{1}(\xi)\right\}[M] \equiv \\
& \equiv \frac{1}{3}\left\{q_{1}^{2}(\xi)-q_{1}(M) q_{1}(\xi)+2 q_{2}(\xi)\right\}[M] \bmod 8 . \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Since for $z \in H^{4}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$

$$
\rho_{2}\left(z q_{1}(M)-z^{2}\right)=w_{4}(M) \rho_{2} z-\rho_{2} z^{2}=S q^{4} \rho_{2} z-\rho_{2} z^{2}=0
$$

and $H^{8}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, there is just one $y \in H^{8}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $2 y=z q_{1}(M)-z^{2}$. So, we will use notation $(1 / 2)\left(z q_{1}(M)-z^{2}\right)$ for this $y$.

Theorem 5.3. Let $M$ be a closed connected smooth spin manifold of dimension 8. Then $\operatorname{Indet}(\Phi, M)=0$ and

$$
\Phi(z)=\rho_{4} \frac{1}{2}\left\{z q_{1}(M)-z^{2}\right\}
$$

for every $z \in \operatorname{Def}(\Phi, M)$.

Proof. Let $z \in \operatorname{Def}(\Phi, M)$. Choose any element $y \in \Phi(z)$. Since $H^{8}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $(3,4)=1$, there is $x \in H^{8}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$
\rho_{4} x=y
$$

and

$$
\rho_{3} x=P_{3}^{1} \rho_{3} z+\rho_{3} z^{2}
$$

According to Theorem 2 in [7] there is an 8 -dimensional oriented vector bundle $\xi$ over $M$ with $w_{2}(\xi)=0, q_{1}(\xi)=z, e(\xi)=0$ and $q_{2}(\xi)=x$. Moreover, for such a vector bundle $w_{6}(\xi)=S q^{2} \rho_{2} z=0$ and $0 \in \Sigma(z)$.

Then Theorem 4.1 claims that the vector bundle $\xi$ has three linearly independent sections. Using formula (5.2), Proposition 5.1 for $\eta$ trivial implies that

$$
y=\rho_{4} x=\rho_{4} q_{2}(\xi)=\rho_{4} \frac{1}{2}\left\{q_{1}(\xi) q_{1}(M)-q_{1}^{2}(\xi)\right\}=\rho_{4} \frac{1}{2}\left\{z q_{1}(M)-z^{2}\right\}
$$

which completes the proof.
In a similar way we can compute the secondary operation $\Sigma$. For this purpose we need the following proposition.

Proposition 5.4 (See the last remark in [11] and [9, Proposition 5.2]). Let $\xi$ be an oriented m-dimensional vector bundle over a closed connected smooth manifold $M$ of the same dimension $m \equiv 0 \bmod 4$, and let $w_{2}(\xi)=w_{2}(M)$. If $\xi$ has three linearly independent sections over the $(m-2)$-skeleton of $M$ then the obstruction to deforming them (relative to the ( $m-3$ )-skeleton of $M$ ) into sections which have three linearly independent extensions over $(m-1)$-skeleton of $M$ is zero.

Theorem 5.5. Let $M$ be a closed connected smooth spin manifold of dimension 8. Then

$$
\Sigma(z)=S q^{2} H^{5}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)
$$

for every $z \in \operatorname{Def}(\Sigma, M)$.

Proof. Let $z \in \operatorname{Def}(\Sigma, M)$. Since $H^{8}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, there is $x \in H^{8}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$
\rho_{3} x=P_{3}^{1} \rho_{3} z+\rho_{3} z^{2} .
$$

According to Theorem 2 in [7] there is an 8 -dimensional oriented vector bundle $\xi$ over $M$ with $w_{2}(\xi)=0, q_{1}(\xi)=z, e(\xi)=0$ and $q_{2}(\xi)=x$. Moreover, for such a vector bundle $w_{6}(\xi)=S q^{2} \rho_{2} z=0$.

Then according to Proposition 5.4 the vector bundle $\xi$ has three linearly independent sections over 7 -skeleton. Then Theorem 4.1 implies that

$$
0 \in \Sigma\left(q_{1}(\xi)\right)=\Sigma(z)
$$

Consequently, $\Sigma(z)=\operatorname{Indet}(\Sigma, M)=S q^{2} H^{5}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$.

## 6. Existence of 3-dimensional subbundles

The following theorem on the existence of 3-dimensional subbundles in oriented 8 -dimensional spin vector bundles is the last but one step to complete the proof of our main results on the existence of an $S p(2) \cdot S p(1)$-structure.

Theorem 6.1. Let $\zeta$ be an oriented 8-dimensional vector bundle over a closed connected smooth spin 8 -manifold $M$ with $w_{2}(\zeta)=0$ and let $R \in H^{4}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$. Then $\zeta$ has an oriented 3 -dimensional subbundle $\eta$ with $w_{2}(\eta)=0$ and $p_{1}(\eta)=4 R$ if and only if
(i) $S q^{2} \rho_{2} R=0$
(ii) $P_{3}^{1} \rho_{3} R+\rho_{3} R^{2}=0$
(iii) $\left\{R q_{1}(M)-R^{2}\right\}[M] \equiv 0 \bmod 8$
(iv) $w_{6}(\zeta)=0$
(v) $e(\zeta)=0$
(vi) $\left\{q_{1}^{2}(\zeta)-q_{1}(M) q_{1}(\zeta)+2 q_{2}(\zeta)+2 R^{2}+2 R q_{1}(\zeta)+2 R q_{1}(M)\right\}[M] \equiv 0 \bmod 8$.

Proof. According to Theorem 5.3 the condition (iii) is equivalent to $\Phi(R)=0$. Then Theorem 3.10 and 5.5 say that the conditions (i) - (iii) are necessary and sufficient for the existence of an oriented 3-dimensional vector bundle $\eta$ over $M$ with $w_{2}(\eta)=0$ and $p_{1}(\eta)=4 R$.

Now we show that the conditions (i) and (iv) are necessary and sufficient for $\eta$ to be a subbundle of $\zeta$ over a 7 -skeleton of $M$. This can be done by constructing the first stage of the Postnikov tower for the fibration $\theta: B(\operatorname{Spin}(5) \times \operatorname{Spin}(3)) \rightarrow B \operatorname{Spin}(8)$ determined by the homomorphism $\operatorname{Spin}(5) \times \operatorname{Spin}(3) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spin}(8)$ induced from the standart inclusion $S O(5) \times S O(3) \rightarrow S O(8)$. We will not go into details which are similar to the procedure used in the proof of Theorem 4.1. We note only that there are two obstructions in $H^{6}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ and two in $H^{7}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ and that

$$
H^{*}(B(\operatorname{Spin}(5) \times \operatorname{Spin}(3)) ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[r, q_{1}, q_{2}\right]
$$

with the properties

$$
S q^{2} \rho_{2} r=0, \quad S q^{2} \rho_{2} q_{1}=0, \quad \Sigma(r)=0, \quad \Sigma\left(q_{1}\right)=0
$$

and

$$
\theta^{*} q_{1}=q_{1}+2 r .
$$

This yields the conditions (i) and (iv) on the manifold $M$.
Finally, we show that in the given case the condition (b) in Proposition 5.1 reads as (vi). Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}(\zeta-\eta)=p_{1}(\zeta)-p_{1}(\eta) \\
& p_{2}(\zeta-\eta)=p_{2}(\zeta)-p_{1}(\zeta) p_{1}(\eta)+p_{1}^{2}(\eta)
\end{aligned}
$$

formula (5.2) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(\zeta-\eta) \equiv & \frac{1}{45 \cdot 8}\left\{60 p_{2}(\zeta)+15 p_{1}^{2}(\zeta)-30 p_{1}(M) p_{1}(\zeta)\right. \\
& \left.+75 p_{1}^{2}(\eta)-90 p_{1}(\zeta) p_{1}(\eta)+30 p_{1}(M) p_{1}(\eta)\right\}[M] \\
\equiv & \frac{1}{3 \cdot 8}\left\{p_{1}^{2}(\zeta)-2 p_{1}(M) p_{1}(\zeta)+4 p_{2}(\zeta)\right. \\
& \left.+5 p_{1}^{2}(\eta)-6 p_{1}(\eta) p_{1}(\zeta)+2 p_{1}(M) p_{1}(\eta)\right\}[M] \bmod 8
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting $p_{1}(\zeta)=2 q_{1}(\zeta), p_{2}(\zeta)=q_{1}^{2}(\zeta)+4 q_{2}(\zeta)$ (we suppose that $e(\zeta)=0$, which is simultaneously the condition (v) of Theorem 6.1 and the condition (a) of Proposition 5.1) and $p_{1}(\eta)=4 R$, we get that (a) and (b) of Proposition 5.1 are equivalent to (v) and (vi).

## 8. Existence of almost quaternionic structure

Now we state our main result on the existence of an $S p(2) \cdot S p(1)$-structure in 8 -dimensional vector bundles over 8 -manifolds.

Theorem 8.1. Let $\xi$ be an oriented 8-dimensional vector bundle over a closed connected smooth spin manifold $M$. If there is $R \in H^{4}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$ such that the conditions
(1) $S q^{2} \rho_{2} R=0$
(2) $\left\{R p_{1}(M)-2 R^{2}\right\}[M] \equiv 0 \bmod 16$
(3) $w_{2}(\xi)=0$
(4) $w_{6}(\xi)=0$
(5) $\quad 4 p_{2}(\xi)-p_{1}^{2}(\xi)-8 e(\xi)=0$
(6) $\quad\left\{p_{1}^{2}(\xi)-p_{1}(M) p_{1}(\xi)-8 e(\xi)+8 R^{2}+4 R p_{1}(\xi)+4 R p_{1}(M)\right\}[M] \equiv 0 \bmod 32$ are satisfied, then the structure group of $\xi$ can be reduced to $S p(2) \cdot S p(1)$. If $H^{2}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=0$, then all the previous conditions are also necessary.

Remark 8.2. The conditions (3) and (5) are necessary even if $H^{2}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \neq 0$.
Proof. Proposition 1.2 asserts that a vector bundle $\xi$ has an $S p(2) \cdot S p(1)$ structure if and only if it has a spinor structure $\bar{\xi} \in[M, B \operatorname{Spin}(8)]$ and the vector
bundle

$$
\zeta=\pi_{*}(\kappa \lambda)_{*}(\bar{\xi})
$$

has an oriented 3-dimensional subbundle. According to Lemma 4.2 in [9]

$$
q_{1}(\zeta)=q_{1}(\xi), \quad e(\zeta)=-q_{2}(\xi), \quad q_{2}(\zeta)=-e(\xi) .
$$

So, the existence of a three dimensional subbundle $\eta$ with $p_{1}(\eta)=4 R$ for some $R \in H^{4}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$ in the vector bundle $\zeta$ is sufficient for the existence of an $S p(2) \cdot S p(1)-$ structure in the vector bundle $\xi$. Hence we show that our conditions imply the conditions (i) - (vi) of Theorem 6.1 for $\zeta$ and some $R$.

The condition (i) is the same as (1). (iii) is equivalent to (2). (4) of Theorem 7.1 yields $w_{2}(\zeta)=w_{2}(\xi)=0$. Since $q_{1}(\zeta)=q_{1}(\xi)$, (iv) is equivalent to (4). (5) means $q_{2}(\xi)=0$, which reads as (v) of Theorem 6.1. Rewriting (6) in terms of $\zeta$, we get (vi).

It remains to prove the condition (ii) of Theorem 6.1. It need not be satisfied for a given $R$ but it is certainly satisfied for $\bar{R}=-15 R$. Moreover, if $R$ satisfies the conditions (i), (iii) and (vi) of Theorem 6.1, then $\bar{R}$ satisfies them as well since

$$
-15 \equiv(-15)^{2} \equiv 1 \bmod 16
$$

This completes the proof.
The application of Theorem 7.1 to tangent bundles yields
Corollary 8.3. Let $M$ be a an oriented closed connected smooth manifold of dimension 8 . If
(a) $w_{2}(M)=0$
(b) $\quad w_{6}(M)=0$
(c) $\quad 4 p_{2}(M)-p_{1}^{2}(M)-8 e(M)=0$
and there is $R \in H^{4}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$ such that
(d) $\quad S q^{2} \rho_{2} R=0$
(e) $\quad\left\{R p_{1}(M)-2 R^{2}\right\}[M] \equiv 0 \bmod 16$
(f) $\quad\left\{R^{2}+R p_{1}(M)-e(M)\right\}[M] \equiv 0 \bmod 4$,
then $M$ has an almost quaternionic structure. Conditions (a) and (c) are always necessary for the existence of this structure while the remaining ones are necessary if $H^{2}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=0$.

Proof. The conditions in Theorem 7.1 correspond with the conditions (a) (f) of this corollary.

We can give also nontrivial sufficient conditions for the existence of an almost
quaternionic structure only in terms of characteristic classes without any reference to an element $R \in H^{4}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$. See Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 be satisfied. The first three conditions of Corollary 7.3 are the same as the corresponding conditions of Theorem 1.1. Put $R=q_{1}(M)$. Then the condition (d) follows from (B), (e) is obviously satisfied, and (f) is a consequence of (D). So the assumptions of Corollary 7.3 are satisfied.

Remark 8.4. If we put $R=0$ in Corollary 7.3 we get (A), (B), (C) and (D) $e(M)[M] \equiv 0 \bmod 4$
as sufficient conditions for the existence of an almost quaternionic structure. According to Corollary 5.5 in [9], these are necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of an $S p(2)$-structure.

## 9. Examples

Now we will demonstrate the above statements on several examples.
Example 9.1. The quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{H} P^{2}$ is known to be a quaternion-Kähler manifold so it must have an almost quaternionic structure. We will show that all the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied. It can be seen from the following characteristic classes computed in [3] :

$$
p_{1}\left(\mathbb{H} P^{2}\right)=2 u, \quad p_{2}\left(\mathbb{H} P^{2}\right)=7 u^{2}, \quad e\left(\mathbb{H} P^{2}\right)=3 u^{2}
$$

where $u \in H^{4}\left(\mathbb{H} P^{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ and $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{H} P^{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=\mathbb{Z}[u] /\left\langle u^{3}\right\rangle$.
Example 9.2. The complex Grassmann manifold $G_{4,2}(\mathbb{C})$ is also a quaternionKähler manifold. From [3] we know that

$$
H^{*}\left(G_{4,2}(\mathbb{C}) ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=\mathbb{Z}[u, v] /\left\langle u^{3}-2 u v, v^{2}-u^{2} v\right\rangle
$$

where $u \in H^{2}\left(G_{4,2}(\mathbb{C}) ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ and $v \in H^{4}\left(G_{4,2}(\mathbb{C}) ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
c_{1}\left(G_{4,2}(\mathbb{C})\right)=-4 u & c_{2}\left(G_{4,2}(\mathbb{C})\right)=7 u^{2} \\
c_{3}\left(G_{4,2}(\mathbb{C}) ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=-12 u v & c_{4}\left(G_{4,2}(\mathbb{C})\right)=6 u^{2} v
\end{array}
$$

which yields $p_{1}\left(G_{4,2}(\mathbb{C})\right)=2 u^{2}, p_{2}\left(G_{4,2}(\mathbb{C})\right)=14 u^{2} v, e\left(G_{4,2}(\mathbb{C})\right)=6 u^{2} v$. Hence all the conditions of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied.

Example 9.3. $\quad G_{2} / S O(4)$ is the third 8-dimensional homogeneous space which is a quaternion-Kähler manifold. So it has an almost quaternionic structure. But in [3] it is proved that $w_{6}\left(G_{2} / S O(4)\right) \neq 0$, which shows that the condition (b) in Corollary 7.3 is not necessary.

Example 9.4. The complex projective surfaces

$$
V_{d}=\left\{\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{5}\right) \in \mathbb{C} P^{5} ; z_{0}^{d}+z_{1}^{d}+\ldots+z_{5}^{d}=0\right\}
$$

considered as closed oriented smooth manifolds of real dimension 8 satisfy the necessary condition (C) of Theorem 1.1 only for $d=2,6$. (See [9].) Since $V_{2}=$ $G_{4,2}(\mathbb{C})$, we will deal only with $d=6$. We get

$$
p_{1}\left(V_{6}\right)=-30 c^{2}, p_{2}\left(V_{6}\right)=1095 c^{4}, e\left(V_{6}\right)=435 c^{4}, c_{1}\left(V_{6}\right)=0, c_{3}\left(V_{6}\right)=-70 c^{3},
$$

where $c \in H^{2}\left(V_{6} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ and $c^{4}\left[V_{6}\right]=6$. Hence all the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied and $V_{6}$ has an almost quaternionic structure.

Example 9.5. Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be two closed simply connected smooth 4manifolds with $w_{2}\left(M_{1}\right)=0, w_{2}\left(M_{2}\right)=0$. According to the remark after Rochlin Theorem in [12] the condition $w_{2}\left(M_{s}\right)=0$ is equivalent to the fact that the intersection form $\omega_{s}$ of $M_{s}$ is even. Then Rochlin Theorem ([12, Theorem 1.2]) asserts that the signature of both forms is divisible by 16 and Donaldson Theorem ([12, Theorem 1.3]) says that $\omega_{s}$ is indefinite. Using the classification of indefinite forms over $\mathbb{Z}$ we get

$$
\omega_{s}=-2 n_{s} E_{8} \oplus m_{s}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $m_{s} \in \mathbb{N}, n_{s} \in \mathbb{Z}, E_{8}$ being described in [12], $\operatorname{rank} E_{8}=8, \operatorname{sign}\left(E_{8}\right)=8$. Then the signature of $M_{s}$ is $S\left(M_{s}\right)=-16 n_{s}$ and the Euler characteristic is $16 n_{s}+2 m_{s}+2$. Moreover, the Signature Theorem yields

$$
p_{1}\left(M_{s}\right)\left[M_{s}\right]=3 S\left(M_{s}\right)=-48 n_{s}
$$

for $s=1,2$. Next

$$
\begin{aligned}
e\left(M_{1} \times M_{2}\right)\left[M_{1} \times M_{2}\right] & =\left(16 n_{1}+2 m_{1}+2\right)\left(16 n_{2}+2 m_{2}+2\right) \\
p_{1}^{2}\left(M_{1} \times M_{2}\right)\left[M_{1} \times M_{2}\right] & =2 \cdot 48^{2} n_{1} n_{2} \\
p_{2}\left(M_{1} \times M_{2}\right)\left[M_{1} \times M_{2}\right] & =48^{2} n_{1} n_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The nontrivial sufficient condition for the existence of an almost quaternionic structure on $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ is

$$
144 n_{1} n_{2}=\left(8 n_{1}+m_{1}+1\right)\left(8 n_{2}+m_{2}+1\right)
$$

This is the condition (C) of Theorem 1.1. The remaining conditions (A), (B) and (D) are satisfied.
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