Oshiro, K. and Rim, S.H. Osaka J. Math. 34 (1997), 1-19

# ON QF-RINGS WITH CYCLIC NAKAYAMA PERMUTATIONS

KIYOICHI OSHIRO and SEOG HOON RIM

(Received October 4, 1994)

## 0. Introduction

Let R be a basic Quasi-Frobenius ring (in brief, QF-ring) and  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ be a complete set of orthogonal primitive idempotents of R. For any e in E, there exists a unique f in E such that the top of fR is isomorphic to the bottom of eR and the top of Re is isomorphic to the bottom of Rf. Then the permutation  $\begin{pmatrix} e_1 & e_2 & \cdots & e_n \\ f_1 & f_2 & \cdots & f_n \end{pmatrix}$  is said to be a Nakayama permutation of R.

If R is a QF-ring, then R contains a basic QF-subring  $R^0$  such that R is Morita equivalent to  $R^0$ . So Nakayama permutations of  $R^0$  are considered and we call these Nakayama permutations of R.

It is well-known that Nakayama permutations of a group algebra of a finite group over a field are identity. This paper is concerned with QF-rings with cyclic Nakayama permutations. Our main result is the following:

**Theorem.** If R is a basic QF-ring such that for any idempotent e in R, eRe is a QF-ring with a cyclic Nakayama permutation, then there exist a local QF-ring Q, an element c in the Jacobson radical of Q and a ring automorphism  $\sigma$  of Q for which R is represented as a skew-matrix ring:

$$R \simeq \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{Q} \cdots \mathcal{Q} \\ \cdots \\ \mathcal{Q} \cdots \mathcal{Q} \end{array}\right)_{\sigma,c,n}.$$

Throughout this paper R will always denote an associative ring with identity and all R-modules are unitary. The notation  $M_R$  (resp.  $_RM$ ) is used to denote that M is a right (resp. left) R-module. For a given R-module M, J(M) and S(M)denote its Jacobson radical and socle, respectively. For R-modules M and N,  $M \subseteq N$  means that M is isomorphic to a submodule of N. And, for R-modules M and N, we put  $(M,N) = \text{Hom}_R(M,N)$  and in particular, we put (e, f) = (eR, fR) $= \text{Hom}_R(eR, fR)$  for idempotents e, f in R.

Let R be a ring which is represented as a matrix form:

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} \cdots A_{1n} \\ \cdots \\ A_{n1} \cdots A_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we use  $\langle a \rangle_{ij}$  to denote the matrix of R whose (i,j)-position is a but other positions are zero. Consider another ring which is also represented as a matrix form:

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} \cdots B_{1n} \\ \cdots \\ B_{n1} \cdots B_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

When we say  $\tau = {\tau_{ij}}$  is a map from R to T, this word means that  $\tau_{ij}$  is a map from  $A_{ij}$  to  $B_{ij}$  and  $\tau(\langle a \rangle_{ij}) = \langle \tau_{ij}(a) \rangle_{ij}$ . In the above ring R, we put  $Q_i = A_{ii}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Consider a ring U which is isomorphic to  $Q_k$ ;  $\xi : U \simeq Q_k$ . Then we can exchange  $Q_k$  by U and make a new ring  $R(Q_k, U, \xi)$  which is canonically isomorphic to R. We often identify R with  $R(Q_k, U, \xi)$ .

Let R be an artinian ring. The following result due to Fuller ([2]) is useful: Let f be in E.  $_{R}Rf$  is injective if and only if there exists e in E such that (eR, Rf) is an *i*-pair, that is,  $_{R}Re/J(_{R}Re) \simeq _{R}S(_{R}Rf)$  and  $fR_{R}/J(fR_{R})_{R} \simeq S(eR_{R})_{R}$ . In this case,  $eR_{R}$  is also injective. We note that if R is a basic artinian ring and (eR, Rf) is an *i*-pair, then  $S(_{eRe}eRf) = S(eRf_{fRf})$  and

$$S(eR_R) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 & S(eRf) & 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = S(_RRf).$$

Let R be a basic QF-ring and  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  be a complete set of orthogonal primitive idempotents. For each  $e_i \in E$ , there exists a unique  $f_i \in E$  such that  $(e_i R, Rf_i)$  is an *i*-pair. Then  $\begin{pmatrix} e_1 & e_2 & \cdots & e_n \\ f_1 & f_2 & \cdots & f_n \end{pmatrix}$  is a permutation of  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ . This permutation is called a Nakayama permutation of R. If there exists a ring automorphism  $\phi$  of R satisfying  $\phi(e_i) = f_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $\phi$  is called a Nakayama automorphism of R.

For a ring R, End(R) and Aut(R) stand for the set of all ring endomorphisms of R and that of all ring automorphisms of R, respectively.

## 1. Skew matrix ring

In this section we consider some structure theorem on a skew matrix ring. After the first author published the paper [4] in which these rings are introduced, Kupish pointed out that he already introduced these rings in [3]. We note that most of the results in this section were reported in [4].

Let Q be a ring and let  $c \in Q$  and  $\sigma \in End(Q)$  such that

$$\sigma(c) = c, \quad \sigma(q)c = cq \quad \text{for all} \quad q \in Q.$$

By R we denote the set of all  $n \times n$  matrices over Q;

$$R = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{Q} \cdots \mathcal{Q} \\ \cdots \\ \mathcal{Q} \cdots \mathcal{Q} \end{array}\right) .$$

We define a multiplication in R which depends on  $(\sigma, c, n)$  as follows: For  $(x_{ik})$ ,  $(y_{ik})$  in R,

$$(z_{ik}) = (x_{ik})(y_{ik})$$

where  $z_{ik}$  is defined as follows:

(1) If 
$$i \le k$$
,  $z_{ik} = \sum_{j < i} x_{ij} \sigma(y_{jk}) c + \sum_{i \le j \le k} x_{ij} y_{jk} + \sum_{k < j} x_{ij} y_{jk} c$ 

(2) If 
$$k < i$$
,  $z_{ik} = \sum_{j \le k} x_{ij} \sigma(y_{jk}) + \sum_{k < j < i} x_{ij} \sigma(y_{jk}) c + \sum_{i \le j} x_{ij} y_{jk}$ 

We may understand this operation as follows:

$$\langle a \rangle_{ij} \langle b \rangle_{jk} = \begin{cases} \langle a\sigma(b) \rangle_{ik} & (j \le k < i) \\ \langle a\sigma(b)c \rangle_{ik} & (k < j < i \text{ or } j < i \le k) \\ \langle ab \rangle_{ik} & (i=j) \\ \langle abc \rangle_{ik} & (i \le k < j) \\ \langle ab \rangle_{ik} & (k < i < j \text{ or } i < j \le k). \end{cases}$$

Note that this operation satisfies associative law, i.e.,

$$(\langle x \rangle_{ij} \langle y \rangle_{jk}) \langle z \rangle_{kl} = \langle x \rangle_{ij} (\langle y \rangle_{jk} \langle z \rangle_{kl}).$$

Therefore R becomes a ring by this multiplication together with the usual sum of matrices. We call R the skew matrix ring over Q with respect to  $(\sigma, c, n)$  and denote it by

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} Q \cdots Q \\ \cdots \\ Q \cdots Q \end{pmatrix}_{\sigma,c,n}$$

or

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} Q \cdots Q \\ \cdots \\ Q \cdots Q \end{pmatrix}_{\sigma,c}$$

if there are no confusions.

When n=2, the multiplication is:

$$\binom{x_1 \ x_2}{x_3 \ x_4}\binom{y_1 \ y_2}{y_3 \ y_4} = \binom{x_1y_1 + x_2y_3c \ x_1y_2 + x_2y_4}{x_3\sigma(y_1) + x_4y_3 \ x_3\sigma(y_2)c + x_4y_4}.$$

Now, in the skew-matrix ring R above, we put  $e_i = \langle 1 \rangle_{ii}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is a set of orthogonal idempotents with  $1 = e_1 + \dots + e_n$ , and

$$e_{i}R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ Q \cdots Q \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} < i$$
$$Fe_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} V \\ Q \\ 0 \vdots 0 \\ Q \end{pmatrix} .$$

If Q is a local ring, then each  $e_i$  is a primitive idempotent.

**Proposition 1.** The mapping  $\tau: R \to R$  given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \cdots & x_{2n} \\ & \cdots & & \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \cdots & x_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x_{nn} & x_{n1} & \cdots & x_{n,n-1} \\ \sigma(x_{1n}) & \sigma(x_{11}) & \cdots & \sigma(x_{1,n-1}) \\ & \cdots & & \\ \sigma(x_{n-1,n}) & \sigma(x_{n-1,1}) & \cdots & \sigma(x_{n-1,n-1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

is a ring homomorphism; in particular if  $\sigma \in Aut(Q)$ , then  $\tau \in Aut(R)$ .

Proof. Straightforward.

We put

$$W_i = \begin{pmatrix} i & & \\ & \vee & \\ & 0 & \\ Q & \cdots & Q & Qc & Q & \cdots & Q \\ & & 0 & & \end{pmatrix} < i.$$

Then  $W_i$  is a submodule of  $e_i R_R$ . For  $i=2,\dots,n$ , let  $\phi_i:e_i R \to W_{i-1}$  be a map given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ x_1 \cdots x_{i-1} x_i \cdots x_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} < i \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ x_1 \cdots x_{i-1} c x_i \cdots x_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} < i-1$$

and let  $\phi_1: e_1 R \to W_n$  be a map given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \cdots x_n \\ 0 \cdots 0 \\ \cdots \\ 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & 0 \\ 0 \cdots & 0 \\ \sigma(x_1) \cdots \sigma(x_{n-1}) & \sigma(x_n)c \end{pmatrix}$$

Then it is easy to check the following

**Proposition 2.** Each  $\phi_i$  is a homomorphism. In particular, if  $\sigma \in Aut(Q)$ , then each  $\phi_i$  is an onto homomorphism and

•

$$\operatorname{Ker} \phi_{1} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 \cdots 0 & (0 : c) \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \\ \cdots \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} i-1 \\ \lor \\ 0 \\ \text{Ker } \phi_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 & (0:c) & 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} < i \quad for \quad i=2,\cdots,n. \end{array}$$

where (0:c) is a right (or left) annihilator ideal of c.

**Theorem 1.** If Q is a local QF-ring,  $\sigma \in Aut(Q)$  and  $c \in J(Q)$ , then the skew matrix ring R over Q with respect to  $(\sigma, c, n)$  is a basic indecomposable QF-ring

and  $\begin{pmatrix} e_1 & e_2 & \cdots & e_n \\ e_n & e_1 & \cdots & e_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$  is a Nakayama permutation where  $e_i = \langle 1 \rangle_{ii}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ; whence R has a Nakayama automorphism. Furthermore, for any idempotent e in R, eRe is represented as a skew-matrix ring over Q with respect to  $(\sigma, c, (k \leq n))$ ; so eRe is a QF-ring with a cyclic Nakayama permutation.

Proof. Put  $X = S(Q_0)$  (=  $S(_0Q)$ ). Noting cX = Xc = 0, we can easily see that

$$S(e_1R) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & X \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ & \cdots & & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = S(Re_n)$$

for i=2,...,n. Hence it follows that  $(e_1R, Re_n), (e_2R, Re_1), ..., (e_nR, Re_{n-1})$  are *i*-pairs. Therefore R is a QF-ring with a Nakayama automorphism (cf. Proposition 1). For any subset  $\{f_1,...,f_k\} \subseteq E$ , clealy, fRf is represented as a skew matrix ring over Q with respect to  $(\sigma,c,k)$  where  $f=f_1+\cdots+f_k$ ; whence so is represented eRe for any idempotent e in R.

By Theorem 1 and Propositon 2, we obtain

**Corollary 1** (cf. [3]). If Q is a local Nakayama ring (artinian serial ring),  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(Q)$  and cQ = J(Q), then the skew matrix ring R over Q with respect to  $(\sigma, c, n)$  is a basic indecomposable QF-serial ring such that  $\{e_n R, e_{n-1} R, \dots, e_1 R\}$  is a Kupisch series and  $\begin{pmatrix} e_1 & e_2 & \cdots & e_n \\ e_n & e_1 & \cdots & e_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$  is a Nakayama permutation. Furthermore, R has a Nakayama automorphism.

## 2. Main Theorem

In this section we prove the following main theorem which is the converse of Theorem 1 above.

**Theorem 2.** If R is a basic QF-ring such that for any idempotent e in R, eRe is a QF-ring with a cyclic Nakayama permutation, then there exist a local QF-ring Q, an element c in the Jacobson radical of Q and a ring automorphism  $\sigma$  of Q for which R is represented as a skew-matrix ring:

$$R \simeq \begin{pmatrix} Q \cdots Q \\ \cdots \\ Q \cdots Q \end{pmatrix}_{\sigma,c,n}.$$

Proof. Let E be a complete set of orthogonal primitive idempotents of R with  $1 = \Sigma\{e \mid e \in E\}$ . First we consider the case that the cardinal |E| of E is 2; let  $E = \{e, f\}$ . We represent R as

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} Q & A \\ B & T \end{pmatrix}$$

where Q = (e, e), A = (f, e), B = (e, f), T = (f, f). Since e is a primitive idempotent, eRe = Q is a local ring and by the assumption, Q is a QF-ring. Since  $\begin{pmatrix} e & f \\ f & e \end{pmatrix}$  is a Nakayama permutation, we see that

$$S(eR) = S(Rf) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S(A) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $S(fR) = S(Re) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ S(B) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Noting these facts, we can easily prove the following:

Lemma 1. (1) 
$$\{a \in A \mid aB = 0\} = \{a \in A \mid Ba = 0\}.$$
  
(2)  $\{b \in B \mid bA = 0\} = \{b \in B \mid Ab = 0\}.$ 

We denote the sets in 1) and 2) by  $A^*$  and  $B^*$ , respectively. Note that  $A^*$  and  $B^*$  are submodules of  ${}_{Q}A_{T}$ , and  ${}_{T}B_{Q}$ , respectively, and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

are ideals of R.

Now, we denote the factor ring  $\bar{R} = \begin{pmatrix} Q & A \\ B & T \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  by  $\begin{pmatrix} Q & A \\ \bar{B} & T \end{pmatrix}$ , and

 $r + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  by  $\bar{r}$  for each  $r \in R$ . Then  $\{\bar{e}, \bar{f}\}$  is a complete set of orthogonal primitive idempotents of  $\bar{R}$  and

$$S(\bar{f}\bar{R}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S(T) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $eR_R$  is injective and  $S(f\bar{R})_R$  is simple, we see  $\begin{pmatrix} Q & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \supseteq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \bar{B} & T \end{pmatrix}$  as R (and as  $\bar{R}$ )-module. Since  $S(A_T)_T$  is simple, it follows

 $A_T \simeq T_T$ .

Hence  $\alpha T = A$  for some  $\alpha \in A$ . If  $Q\alpha \subseteq QQ$ , then  $S(Q)\alpha = S(Q)Q\alpha = 0$ ; whence S(Q)A = 0, which is a contradiction. Hence

$$Q\alpha = \alpha T = A.$$

If  $q \in Q$ , then there exists  $t \in T$  such that  $q\alpha = \alpha t$ . Then the mapping  $\psi: Q \to T$  given by  $\psi(q) = t$  is a ring isomorphism. We exchange T by Q with respect to the isomorphism  $\psi$ ;

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} Q & A \\ B & Q \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$q\alpha = \alpha q$$
 for all  $q \in Q$ .

Next, considering the factor ring  $\begin{pmatrix} Q & A \\ B & Q \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , we can obtain  $\beta \in B$ ,  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(Q)$  such that  $B = Q\beta = \beta Q$  and

$$\beta q = \sigma(q)\beta$$
 for all  $q \in Q$ .

We put  $c = \alpha \beta$ . Noting  $\langle \beta \rangle_{21} (\langle \alpha \rangle_{12} \langle \beta \rangle_{21}) = (\langle \beta \rangle_{21} \langle \alpha \rangle_{12}) \langle \beta \rangle_{21}$ , we see that

$$\beta(\alpha\beta) = (\beta\alpha)\beta.$$

Further  $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$ . For, if  $\alpha\beta - \beta\alpha \neq 0$ , then  $(\alpha\beta - \beta\alpha)A \neq 0$ ; so  $0 \neq (\alpha\beta - \beta\alpha)\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha - \beta\alpha\alpha$ =  $\alpha\beta\alpha - \alpha\beta\alpha$ , contradiction. Thus  $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$  and hence

$$\sigma(c) = c.$$

And we can see easily that  $c \in J(Q)$  and  $\sigma(q)c = cq$  for any  $q \in Q$ . Now, for

QF-RINGS WITH CYCLIC NAKAYAMA PERMUTATIONS

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \alpha \\ x_3 \beta & x_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 \alpha \\ y_3 \beta & y_4 \end{pmatrix} \in R = \begin{pmatrix} Q & Q \alpha \\ Q \beta & Q \end{pmatrix},$$

we calculate XY and see

$$XY = \begin{pmatrix} x_1y_1 + x_2y_3c & (x_1y_2 + x_2y_4)\alpha \\ (x_3\sigma(y_1) + x_4y_3)\beta & x_3\sigma(y_2)c + x_4y_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus we see that R is isomorphic to the skew matrix ring  $\begin{pmatrix} Q & Q \\ Q & Q \end{pmatrix}_{\sigma,c}$  by the mapping

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \alpha \\ x_3 \beta & x_4 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We note that in the above the mappings  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x\beta & y \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} xc & y\alpha \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} x & y\alpha \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  $\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x\beta & y \end{pmatrix}$  are onto right *R*-homomorphisms from  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B & Q \end{pmatrix}$  to  $\begin{pmatrix} Qc & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} Q & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ to  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B & Qc \end{pmatrix}$  with kernels  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  respectively, so  $Qc_Q \simeq \bar{A}_Q \simeq \bar{B}_Q$ .

Next, consider the case of |E|=3; put  $E=\{e_1,e_2,e_3\}$ . We may assume that  $\begin{pmatrix} e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\ e_3 & e_1 & e_2 \end{pmatrix}$  is a Nakayama permutation. We represent R as

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} (e_1, e_1) & (e_2, e_1) & (e_3, e_1) \\ (e_1, e_2) & (e_2, e_2) & (e_3, e_2) \\ (e_1, e_3) & (e_2, e_3) & (e_3, e_3) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & A_{12} & A_{13} \\ A_{21} & Q_2 & A_{23} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & Q_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

We put  $Q = Q_1$ . Considering  $\begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & Q_2 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & A_{13} \\ A_{31} & Q_3 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} Q_2 & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & Q_3 \end{pmatrix}$ , we can assume that  $Q = Q_2 = Q_3$  by the argument above;

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} Q & A_{12} & A_{13} \\ A_{21} & Q & A_{23} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & Q \end{pmatrix}$$

and then note that  $(A_{ij})_Q \simeq Q_Q$  for each *ij*.

Noting that

$$S(e_1R) = S(Re_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & S(A_{13}) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ,$$
  
$$S(e_2R) = S(Re_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ S(A_{21}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ,$$
  
$$S(e_3R) = S(Re_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S(A_{32}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} ,$$

we prove the following

Lemma 2.

(1) 
$$\{x \in A_{32} \mid xA_{23} = 0\} = \{x \in A_{32} \mid A_{23}x = 0\}$$
$$= \{x \in A_{32} \mid xA_{21} = 0\}$$
$$= \{x \in A_{32} \mid xA_{12} = 0\} = \{x \in A_{32} \mid A_{13}x = 0\}$$
$$= \{x \in A_{21} \mid xA_{12} = 0\} = \{x \in A_{21} \mid xA_{13} = 0\}$$
$$= \{x \in A_{21} \mid A_{12}x = 0\}$$
$$= \{x \in A_{21} \mid A_{32}x = 0\}$$
$$= \{x \in A_{13} \mid xA_{31} = 0\} = \{x \in A_{13} \mid A_{31}x = 0\}$$
$$= \{x \in A_{13} \mid xA_{32} = 0\}$$
$$= \{x \in A_{13} \mid A_{21}x = 0\}$$

Proof. 1) By Lemma 1,  $\{x \in A_{32} | xA_{23} = 0\} = \{x \in A_{32} | A_{23}x = 0\}$ . Let  $x \in A_{32}$  such that  $xA_{23} = 0$ . If  $xA_{21} \neq 0$ , then  $A_{23}xA_{21} \neq 0$ ; whence  $A_{23}x \neq 0$ , a contradiction. If  $A_{13}x \neq 0$ , then  $A_{13}xA_{23} \neq 0$ ; whence  $xA_{23} \neq 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\{x \in A_{32} | xA_{23} = 0\} \subseteq \{x \in A_{32} | xA_{21} = 0\}$  and  $\{x \in A_{32} | xA_{23} = 0\} \subseteq \{x \in A_{32} | A_{13}x = 0\}$ .

Let  $x \in A_{32}$  such that  $xA_{21}=0$ . If  $xA_{23}\neq 0$ , then we see from  ${}_{Q}Q \simeq_{Q}A_{31}$  that  $xA_{23}A_{31}\neq 0$ ; so  $xA_{21}\neq 0$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\{x \in A_{32} | xA_{23}=0\} = \{x \in A_{32} | xA_{21}=0\}$ . Let  $x \in A_{32}$  such that  $A_{13}x=0$ . If  $xA_{23}\neq 0$ , then  $A_{13}xA_{23}\neq 0$ ; so  $A_{13}x\neq 0$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\{x \in A_{32} | xA_{23}=0\} = \{x \in A_{32} | A_{13}x=0\}$ . Similarly we can prove 2) and 3).

We put the sets in 1), 2) and 3) above by  $A_{32}^*$ ,  $A_{21}^*$ ,  $A_{13}^*$ , respectively. We

10

see  $Q(A_{32}^*)_Q$ ,  $Q(A_{21}^*)_Q$ ,  $Q(A_{13}^*)_Q$  are submodules of  $Q(A_{32})_Q$ ,  $Q(A_{21})_Q$ ,  $Q(A_{13})_Q$ , respectively. Further we put

$$X_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & A_{13}^* \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad X_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_{21}^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad X_{32} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_{32}^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

These are ideals of R. Consider the factor rings  $\overline{R}(1) = R / X_{13}$ ,  $\overline{R}(2) = R / X_{21}$  and  $\overline{R}(3) = R / X_{32}$  and put  $\overline{R} = \overline{R}(i)$  if no confusion occurs and put  $\overline{r} = r + X_{ij}$  for each  $r \in R$ . We can easily see that

$$\begin{split} S(\bar{e}_1\bar{R})_{\bar{R}} &= S(\bar{e}_1\bar{R})_{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S(A_{12}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \\ S(\bar{e}_2\bar{R})_{\bar{R}} &= S(\bar{e}_2\bar{R})_{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & S(A_{23}) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \\ S(\bar{e}_3\bar{R})_{\bar{R}} &= S(\bar{e}_3\bar{R})_{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ S(A_{31}) & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \end{split}$$

Therefore there are left multiplications  $\langle \theta_{23} \rangle_{23} : \bar{e}_3 \bar{R}_R \to e_2 R_R$ ,  $\langle \theta_{12} \rangle_{12} : \bar{e}_2 \bar{R}_R \to e_1 R_R$ and  $\langle \theta_{31} \rangle_{31} : \bar{e}_1 \bar{R}_R \to e_3 R_R$ , which are monomorphisms. We put  $\gamma_1 = \langle \theta_{31} \rangle_{31} \eta_1$ ,  $\gamma_2 = \langle \theta_{12} \rangle_{12} \eta_2$  and  $\gamma_3 = \langle \theta_{23} \rangle_{23} \eta_3$ , where  $\eta_i$  is a canonical homomorphism:  $e_i R_R \to \bar{e}_i \bar{R}_R$ .

Noting

$$\gamma_{1}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_{32} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\gamma_{2}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & A_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\gamma_{3}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_{31} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and using Lemma 1, we can prove the following

Lemma 3.

(1) 
$$\{x \in A_{31} | xA_{12} = 0\} = \{x \in A_{31} | xA_{13} = 0\}$$
$$= \{x \in A_{31} | A_{13}x = 0\}$$
$$= \{x \in A_{31} | A_{23}x = 0\}$$
$$= \{x \in A_{23} | xA_{32}x = 0\}$$
$$= \{x \in A_{23} | xA_{32}x = 0\}$$
$$= \{x \in A_{23} | A_{32}x = 0\}$$
$$= \{x \in A_{23} | A_{12}x = 0\}$$
$$= \{x \in A_{12} | xA_{21} = 0\} = \{x \in A_{12} | xA_{23} = 0\}$$
$$= \{x \in A_{12} | A_{31}x = 0\}$$
$$= \{x \in A_{12} | A_{31}x = 0\}$$
$$= \{x \in A_{12} | A_{21}x = 0\}$$

Proof. (1) We put  $K_1 = \{x \in A_{31} | xA_{12} = 0\}$ ,  $K_2 = \{x \in A_{31} | xA_{13} = 0\}$ ,  $K_3 = \{x \in A_{31} | A_{13}x = 0\}$  and  $K_4 = \{x \in A_{31} | A_{23}x = 0\}$ . By Lemma 1, we see  $K_2 = K_3$ , and using  $\gamma_2$ , we see  $K_3 = K_4$ . To show  $K_1 = K_2$ , let  $x_{31} \in K_1$ . If  $x_{31}A_{13} \neq 0$ ,

then 
$$x_{31}A_{13}A_{32} \neq 0$$
, since  $S(e_3R) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S(A_{32}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . But  $x_{31}A_{13}A_{32} \subseteq x_{31}A_{12}$ 

=0, a contradiction. So,  $x_{31}A_{13}=0$  and  $x_{31}\in K_2$ . Conversely, let  $x_{31}\in K_2$ ;  $x_{31}A_{13}=0$ . If  $0 \neq x_{31}A_{12} (\subseteq A_{32})$ , then  $\langle \theta_{31} \rangle_{31}^{-1} (x_{31}A_{12}) \subseteq A_{12}$ . So,  $0 \neq \langle \theta_{31} \rangle_{31}^{-1} (x_{31}A_{12})A_{23} = \langle \theta_{31} \rangle_{31}^{-1} (x_{31})A_{12}A_{23} \subseteq \langle \theta_{31} \rangle_{31}^{-1} (x_{31})A_{13} = 0$ , contradiction. So,  $x_{31}A_{12}=0$  and hence  $x_{31}\in K_1$  as desired. (2) and (3) can be proved by the same arguments.

We denote the sets in 1), 2) and 3) by  $A_{31}^*$ ,  $A_{23}^*$  and  $A_{12}^*$ , respectively, and put

$$X_{31} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_{31}^* & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_{23}^* \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \quad X_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{12}^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

(4) 
$$\gamma_3(X_{31}) = X_{21}, \ \gamma_2(X_{23}) = X_{13} \text{ and } \gamma_1(X_{12}) = X_{32}$$

**Lemma 4.** There exist  $\alpha_{12} \in A_{12}$ ,  $\alpha_{21} \in A_{21}$ ,  $c \in J(Q)$  and  $\sigma \in Aut(Q)$  such that

12

(1) 
$$c = \alpha_{12}\alpha_{21} = \alpha_{21}\alpha_{12}$$
$$\alpha_{12}q = q\alpha_{12} \text{ for all } q \in Q$$
$$\sigma(q)\alpha_{21} = \alpha_{21}q \text{ for all } q \in Q$$

(2) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} Q & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & Q \end{pmatrix} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} Q & Q \\ Q & Q \end{pmatrix}_{\sigma,c}$$

by the mapping:

(3)  

$$\begin{pmatrix}
q_{11} & q_{12}\alpha_{12} \\
q_{21}\alpha_{21} & q_{22}
\end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix}
q_{11} & q_{12} \\
q_{21} & q_{22}
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\operatorname{Im}\langle\theta_{23}\rangle_{23} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
A_{21} & cQ & A_{23} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\operatorname{Im}\langle\theta_{12}\rangle_{12} = \begin{pmatrix}
cQ & A_{12} & A_{13} \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\operatorname{Im}\langle\theta_{31}\rangle_{31} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
A_{31} & A_{32} & cQ
\end{pmatrix}.$$

(4)  $\operatorname{Im}\langle\theta_{31}\rangle_{31}$ ,  $\operatorname{Im}\langle\theta_{12}\rangle_{12}$ ,  $\operatorname{Im}\langle\theta_{23}\rangle_{23}$ ,  $\operatorname{Im}\eta_3$ ,  $\operatorname{Im}\eta_2$  and  $\operatorname{Im}\eta_1$  are quasi-injective (or equivalently, fully invariant) submodules of  $e_3R_R$ ,  $e_1R_R$ ,  $e_2R_R$ ,  $e_1R_R$ ,  $e_3R_R$  and  $e_2R_R$ , respectively.

Proof. Considering 
$$\begin{pmatrix} Q & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & Q \end{pmatrix}$$
, we get  $\alpha_{12} \in A_{12}$ ,  $\alpha_{21} \in A_{21}$ ,  $c \in J(Q)$  and  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(Q)$  for which 1) and 2) hold. Furthermore, considering  $\begin{pmatrix} Q & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & Q \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} Q & A_{13} \\ A_{31} & Q \end{pmatrix}$ , we get  $c_2, c_3 \in J(Q)$  and  $\sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in \operatorname{Aut}(Q)$  for which  
 $\begin{pmatrix} Q & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & Q \end{pmatrix} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} Q & Q \\ Q & Q \end{pmatrix}_{\sigma_2, c_2}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} Q & A_{13} \\ A_{31} & Q \end{pmatrix} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} Q & Q \\ Q & Q \end{pmatrix}_{\sigma_3, c_3}$ .

By the remark above:

K. Oshiro and S.H. Rim

$$(\bar{A}_{12})_{\varrho} \simeq c Q_{\varrho}, \quad (\bar{A}_{21})_{\varrho} \simeq c Q_{\varrho}, \quad (\bar{A}_{13})_{\varrho} \simeq c_{3} Q_{\varrho}, (\bar{A}_{31})_{\varrho} \simeq c_{3} Q_{\varrho}, \quad (\bar{A}_{32})_{\varrho} \simeq c_{2} Q_{\varrho}, \quad (\bar{A}_{23})_{\varrho} \simeq c_{2} Q_{\varrho},$$

where  $\bar{A}_{ij} = A_{ij} / A_{ij}^*$ .

Further, as

$$e_{1}R/X_{12} + X_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} Q \ \bar{A}_{12} \ \bar{A}_{13} \\ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \\ A_{31} \ \bar{A}_{32} \ c_{3}Q \end{pmatrix} \subseteq e_{3}R/X_{32}$$

$$e_{2}R/X_{21} + X_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 0 \\ \bar{A}_{21} \ Q \ \bar{A}_{23} \\ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} cQ \ A_{12} \ \bar{A}_{13} \\ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix} \subseteq e_{1}R/X_{13}$$

$$e_{3}R/X_{31} + X_{32} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 0 \\ \bar{A}_{31} \ \bar{A}_{32} \ Q \end{pmatrix} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 0 \\ \bar{A}_{21} \ c_{2}Q \ A_{23} \\ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix} \subseteq e_{2}R/X_{21}$$

we see that  $(\bar{A}_{ij})_Q \simeq (\bar{A}_{kj})_Q$  for  $i \neq k$  and  $cQ_Q \simeq c_2Q_Q \simeq c_3Q_Q$ . Since  $cQ_Q$ ,  $c_2Q_Q$  and  $c_3Q_Q$  are fully invariant submodules of Q, it follows that  $cQ = c_2Q = c_3Q$ . Hence 3) is proved. 4) is clear.

**Lemma 5.** 1) For any  $\psi \in (e_3, e_2)$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} \psi \subseteq \operatorname{Im} \langle \theta_{23} \rangle_{23}$ . For any  $\psi \in (e_2, e_1)$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} \psi \subseteq \operatorname{Im} \langle \theta_{12} \rangle_{12}$ . For any  $\psi \in (e_1, e_3)$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} \psi \subseteq \operatorname{Im} \langle \theta_{31} \rangle_{31}$ .

2) For any  $\psi \in (e_3, e_1)$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} \psi \subseteq \operatorname{Im} \eta_3$ . For any  $\psi \in (e_2, e_3)$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} \psi \subseteq \operatorname{Im} \eta_2$ . For any  $\psi \in (e_1, e_2)$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} \psi \subseteq \operatorname{Im} \eta_1$ .

Proof. Let  $\psi \in (e_3, e_2)$ . If  $x \in A_{32}^*$  and  $\psi(\langle x \rangle_{32}) \neq 0$ , then

$$\psi(\langle x \rangle_{32}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0, \text{ but } \langle x \rangle_{32} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0, \text{ which is impossible.}$$

Hence  $\psi(\{\langle x \rangle_{32} | x \in A_{32}^*\}) = 0$  and there exists an epimorphism from  $\text{Im}\langle \theta_{23} \rangle_{23}$ 

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_{21} & cQ & A_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ to } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & Q \end{pmatrix} / \text{Ker } \psi \simeq \text{Im } \psi. \text{ Since } \text{Im} \langle \theta_{23} \rangle_{23} \text{ is a}$$

fully invariant submodule of  $e_2 R$ , we see  $\operatorname{Im} \psi \subseteq \operatorname{Im} \langle \theta_{23} \rangle_{23}$ .

Similarly we can see the rest parts of 1).

Next for 
$$\psi \in (e_3, e_1)$$
, we see  $\psi(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_{31}^* & A_{32}^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}) = 0$ . Hence it follows that

14

 $\operatorname{Im} \psi \subseteq \operatorname{Im} \langle \theta_{12} \rangle_{12} \langle \theta_{23} \rangle_{23}$ . The other parts of 2) can be similarly proved.

Now consider the factor ring  $\overline{R} = R / X_{32}$  and denote  $r + X_{32}$  by  $\overline{r}$  for  $r \in R$ . We represent  $\overline{R}$  as

$$\begin{split} \bar{R} &= \bar{e}_1 \bar{R} \oplus \bar{e}_2 \bar{R} \oplus \bar{e}_3 \bar{R} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (e_1, e_1) & (e_2, e_1) & (\bar{e}_3, e_1) \\ (e_1, e_2) & (e_2, e_2) & (\bar{e}_3, e_1) \\ (e_1, \bar{e}_3) & (e_2, \bar{e}_3) & (\bar{e}_3, \bar{e}_3) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} Q & A_{12} & A_{13} \\ A_{21} & Q & A_{23} \\ A_{31} & \bar{A}_{32} & Q \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

where  $\bar{A}_{32} = A_{32} / A_{32}^*$ .

Lemma 6. The mapping

$$\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{11} & \tau_{12} & \tau_{13} \\ \tau_{21} & \tau_{22} & \tau_{23} \\ \tau_{31} & \tau_{32} & \tau_{33} \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} Q & A_{12} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & Q & Q \\ A_{21} & I & Q \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \bar{R} = \begin{pmatrix} Q & A_{12} & A_{13} \\ A_2 & Q & A_{23} \\ A_{31} & \bar{A}_{32} & Q \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $I = \theta_{23} \bar{A}_{32}$ , given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} & p_{12} \\ q_{21} & q_{22} & p_{22} \\ t_{21} & t_{22} & y_{22} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} & p_{12}\theta_{23} \\ q_{21} & q_{22} & p_{22}\theta_{23} \\ \theta_{23}^{-1}t_{21} & \theta_{23}^{-1}t_{22} & \theta_{23}^{-1}y_{22}\theta_{23} \end{pmatrix}$$

is a ring isomorphism.

Proof. By Lemma 5,  $\tau$  is well-defined and furthermore it is a ring monomorphism. Noting  $e_1R_R$  is injective, we can see that  $\tau_{13}$  is an onto mapping. And noting  $e_2R_R$  is injective, we see that  $\tau_{23}$  and  $\tau_{33}$  are onto mapping. It is easy to see that  $\tau_{31}$  is an onto mapping.  $\tau_{32}$  is a clearly onto mapping. Hence  $\tau$  is a ring isomorphism.

By the lemma above, we see  $(\bar{A}_{32})_Q \simeq I_Q$  and hence we see that I = cQ. In the isomorphism

$$\pi : \begin{pmatrix} Q & A_{12} & A_{12} \\ A_{12} & Q & Q \\ A_{21} & I & Q \end{pmatrix} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} Q & A_{12} & A_{13} \\ A_{21} & Q & A_{23} \\ A_{31} & \bar{A}_{32} & Q \end{pmatrix}$$

we put  $\langle \alpha_{31} \rangle_{31} = \tau(\langle \alpha_{21} \rangle_{31})$ ,  $\langle \alpha_{13} \rangle_{13} = \tau(\langle \alpha_{12} \rangle_{13})$ ,  $\alpha_{32} = \alpha_{31}\alpha_{12}$  and  $\langle \alpha_{23} \rangle_{23} = \tau(\langle 1 \rangle_{23})$ . Since  $A_{32}^*$  is a small submodule of  $A_{32}$ , we see that  $\alpha_{32}Q = A_{32}$ .

Hence R is represented as  $R \simeq \begin{pmatrix} Q & \alpha_{12}Q & \alpha_{13}Q \\ \alpha_{21}Q & Q & \alpha_{23}Q \\ \alpha_{31}Q & \alpha_{32}Q & Q \end{pmatrix}$  with relations:

$$c = \alpha_{21}\alpha_{12} = \alpha_{12}\alpha_{21}$$
  

$$\sigma(c) = c$$
  

$$\alpha_{12}q = q\alpha_{12} \text{ for all } q \in Q$$
  

$$\sigma(q)\alpha_{21} = \alpha_{21}q \text{ for all } q \in Q.$$

Putting  $\alpha_{ii} = 1$  for i = 1,2,3, we further see that the following relations (\*) hold for  $1 \le i, j \le 3$ :

By these relations, we see that R is isomorphic to the skew-matrix ring

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q & Q & Q \\ Q & Q & Q \\ Q & Q & Q \end{pmatrix} \text{ by the mapping} \\ \begin{pmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} & q_{13} \\ q_{21} & q_{22} & q_{23} \\ q_{31} & q_{32} & q_{33} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} q_{11}\alpha_{11} & q_{12}\alpha_{12} & q_{13}\alpha_{13} \\ q_{21}\alpha_{21} & q_{22}\alpha_{22} & q_{23}\alpha_{23} \\ q_{31}\alpha_{31} & q_{32}\alpha_{32} & q_{33}\alpha_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

For induction on |E|, we assume that our statement is true for n-1=|E| and

consider the case n = |E|, let  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ .

We may assume that  $\begin{pmatrix} e_1, e_2 \cdots e_n \\ e_n e_1 \cdots e_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$  is a Nakayama permutation. We represent R as

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & A_{12} & A_{13} & \cdots & A_{1n} \\ A_{21} & Q_2 & A_{23} & \cdots & A_{2n} \\ & & & & & \cdots \\ A_{n1} & A_{n2} & A_{n3} & \cdots & Q_n \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $Q_i = (e_i, e_i)$  and  $A_{ij} = (e_j, e_i)$ . By the argument above, we may assume that  $Q_1 = Q_2 = \cdots = Q_n$ ; put  $Q = Q_i$ . And we see that  $(A_{ij})_Q \simeq Q_Q$  for each *ij*.

Now, specially we look at the first minor matrix

$$R_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} Q & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1,n-1} \\ A_{21} & Q & \cdots & A_{2,n-1} \\ & \cdots & & \cdots \\ A_{n-1,1} & A_{n-1,2} & \cdots & Q \end{bmatrix}$$

By induction hypothesis,  $R_0$  is isomorphic to a skew matrix ring over a local ring Q with respect to a certain  $(\sigma, c, n-1)$  where  $\sigma \in Aut(Q)$  and  $c \in J(Q)$ . So there exist  $\alpha_{ij} \in A_{ij}$  and  $\alpha_{ii} \in Q$  for  $1 \le i, j \le n-1$  for which the relations (\*) hold.

Now we consider an extension ring  $R_1$  of  $R_0$ ,

$$R_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{0} & \begin{vmatrix} A_{1,n-1} \\ \vdots \\ A_{n-2,n-1} \\ Q \\ \hline A_{n-1,1} & \cdots & A_{n-1,n-2} & cQ & Q \end{pmatrix}$$

By the similar argument which is used for the case n=3, we see that there is a ring isomorphism  $\tau = (\tau_{ij})$  from  $R_1$  to

$$R_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{0} & A_{1n} \\ \vdots \\ A_{n-2,n} \\ A_{n-1,n} \\ \hline A_{n1} \cdots A_{n,n-2} \bar{A}_{n,n-1} & Q \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\bar{A}_{n,n-1} = A_{n,n-1} / A_{n,n-1}^*$  and

$$A_{n,n-1}^* = \{ x \in A_{n,n-1} | xA_{n-1,j} = 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n-2, n \}$$
$$= \{ x \in A_{n,n-1} | A_{in}x = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \}$$

We put  $\langle \alpha_{in} \rangle_{in} = \tau(\langle \alpha_{i,n-1} \rangle_{in})$  and  $\langle \alpha_{nj} \rangle_{nj} = \tau(\langle \alpha_{n-1,j} \rangle_{nj})$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$ , put  $\alpha_{n,n-1} = \alpha_{n,n-2}\alpha_{n-2,n-1} \in A_{n,n-1}$  and  $\langle \alpha_{n-1,n} \rangle_{n-1,n} = \tau(\langle 1 \rangle_{n-1,n})$ . Since  $A_{n,n-1}^*$  is a small submodule of  $A_{n,n-1}$ , we see that  $\alpha_{n,n-1}Q = A_{n,n-1}$ .

As the relations (\*) hold for  $\{\alpha_{ij} | 1 \le i, j \le n-1\}$  with respect to  $\sigma$ , c, we can also see that the relations (\*) hold for  $\{\alpha_{ij} | 1 \le i, j \le n\}$  with respect to  $\sigma$ , c. Accordingly R is isomorphic to the skew matrix ring

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{Q} \ \cdots \ \mathcal{Q} \\ \cdots \\ \mathcal{Q} \ \cdots \ \mathcal{Q} \end{array}\right)_{\sigma,c,n}$$

by the mapping

$$(q_{ij}) \leftrightarrow (q_{ij}\alpha_{ij}).$$

**Corollary 2.** If R is a basic QF-ring such that for any idempotent e in R, eRe is a QF-ring with a cyclic Nakayama permutation, then R has a Nakayama automorphism.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The second author wishes to thank Yamaguchi University for its hospitality during his staying and specially to Professor Oshiro. He also thanks to KOSEF-TGRC for the grant and the Organiging Committee of the 25th Japan Ring Theory Symposium for their financial supports.

#### References

[3] H. Kupisch: Üver eine Klasse von Arti-Ringen II, Arch. Math 26 (1975), 23-35.

K. Oshiro Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Yamaguchi University

<sup>[1]</sup> Y. Baba and K. Oshiro: On a theorem of Fuller, J. Algebra 154, (1993), 86-94.

<sup>[2]</sup> K.R. Fuller: On indecomposable injective over artinian rings, Pacific J. Math. 29 (1969), 115-135.

<sup>[4]</sup> K. Oshiro: Structure of Nakayama rings, Proc. 20th Symposium on Ring Theory, Okayama (1987), 109-133.

Yoshida, Yamaguchi 753, Japan

S. H. Rim Department of Mathematics Teachers College Kyung-pook National University Taegu 702–701, Korea