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## Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite group and $p$ a prime. Let $(K, R, k)$ be a $p$-modular system. Let $(\pi)$ be the maximal ideal of $R$. We assume that $K$ contains the $|G|$-th roots of unity and that $k$ is algebraically closed. Let $\nu$ be the valuation of $K$ normalized so that $\nu(p)=1$. For an ( $R$-free) $R G$-module $U$ lying in a block $B$ of $G$, we define $h t(U)$, the height of $U$, by $h t(U)=\nu\left(\operatorname{rank}_{R} U\right)-$ $\nu(|G|)+d(B)$, where $d(B)$ is the defect of $B$. The heights of $k G$-modules are defined in a similar way, and heights are always nonnegative. In this paper we study indecomposable $R G$-(or $k G$-) modules of height zero, especially their behaviors under the block induction. In section 1 we introduce, motivated by Broue [7], the notion of linkage for arbitrary block pairs as a generalization of the one for Brauer pairs, and establish fundamental properties about it. In section 2 we give a condition for a block of a normal subgroup to be induced to the whole group. In section 3 a characterization of $R G$-(or $k G$-) modules of height 0 via their vertices and sources is given, which generalizes a result of Knörr [14]. Based on this result it is shown in section 4 that for any irreducible character $\chi$ of height 0 in $B$ and any normal subgroup $N$ of $G, \chi_{N}$ contains an irreducible character of height 0 . This is well-known when $B$ is weakly regular with respect to $N$. An answer to the problem of determining which irreducible (Brauer) characters of $N$ appear as irreducible constituents of irreducible (Brauer) characters of height 0 is also obtained (Theorem 4.4). In section 5 a generalization of a theorem of Isaacs and Smith [11] is given. In section 6 an alternative proof of a theorem of Berger and Knörr [1] is given. Throughout this paper an $R G$-module is assumed to be $R$-free of finite rank.

## 1. Block induction and characters of height 0

Throughout this section $H$ is a subgroup of $G$, and $B$ and $b$ are $p$-blocks of $G$ and $H$, respectively.

Let $G_{p^{\prime}}$ be the set of $p$-regular elements of $G, Z R G$ the center of $R G$, and $Z R G_{p^{\prime}}$ be the $R$-submodule of $Z R G$ spanned by $p$-regular conjugacy class sums.

We let

$$
Z_{0}(B)=\left\{a \in\left(Z R G_{p^{\prime}}\right) e_{B} ; \omega_{B}(a) \equiv 0(\bmod \pi)\right\}
$$

where $e_{B}$ is the block idempotent of $B$. An element $a \in\left(Z R G_{p^{\prime}}\right) e_{B}$ is said to be of height 0 ([7]) if $a \in Z_{0}(B)$. Let $s_{H}$ be the $R$-linear map from $R G$ to $R H$ defined by $s_{H}(x)=x$ if $x \in H$, and $s_{H}(x)=0$ if $x \in G-H$.

Definition 1.1. We say that $B$ and $b$ are linked if $s_{H}\left(Z_{0}(B)\right) e_{b} \subseteq Z_{0}(b)$.
Let $\operatorname{Chr}(G)$ be the $R$-module of $R$-linear combinations of irreducible characters of $G$ and $\operatorname{Chr}(B)$ its submodule of $R$-linear combinations of irreducible characters lying in $B$. Put

$$
\operatorname{Chr}^{0}(B)=\{\theta \in \operatorname{Chr}(B) ; h t(\theta)=0\}
$$

where $h t(\theta)$ is defined as before; so $h t(\theta)=0$ if and only if $\nu(\theta(1))=\nu(|G|)-$ $d(B)$. Let $\operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\operatorname{IBr}^{0}(B)\right)$ be the set of irreducible characters (resp. irreducible Brauer characters) of height 0 in $B$. Let $\operatorname{Bch}(G)$ be the $R$-linear combinations of irreducible Brauer characters of $G$. $\operatorname{Bch}(B)$ and $\operatorname{Bch}^{0}(B)$ are defined in a similar way. For $\theta \in \operatorname{Chr}(G)($ or $\operatorname{Bch}(G))$, put $\theta^{*}=\Sigma \theta\left(x^{-1}\right) x$, where $x$ runs through $G_{p^{\prime}}$. So $\theta^{*} \in Z R G_{p^{\prime}}$.

The following lemma is well-known, cf. Broue [7]. Here we give a direct proof, in this special case.

## Lemma 1.2. We have

$$
\left(Z R G_{p^{\prime}}\right) e_{B}=\left\{\theta^{*} ; \theta \in \operatorname{Chr}(B)\right\}=\left\{\theta^{*} ; \theta \in \operatorname{Bch}(B)\right\}
$$

Proof. It suffices to show the first equality. For $\theta \in \operatorname{Chr}(G)$ and $\chi \in$ $\operatorname{Irr}(G)$, we have $\chi\left(\theta^{*} e_{B}\right)=\chi\left(\theta_{B}^{*}\right)$, where $\chi$ is extended linearly over $R G$ and $\theta_{B}$ denotes the $B$-component of $\theta$. Since $\chi$ is arbitrary, we have $\theta^{*} e_{B}=\theta_{B}^{*}$. Thus the assertion follows, since $Z R G_{p^{\prime}}=\left\{\theta^{*} ; \theta \in \operatorname{Chr}(G)\right\}$.

The following theorem is important for our purpose. (It is a special case of [7, Proposition 3.3.4].) Here we give an alternative proof.

Theorem 1.3. Let $\theta \in \operatorname{Chr}(B)($ or $\operatorname{Bch}(B))$ ). Then $\theta$ is of height 0 if and only if $\theta^{*}$ is of height 0 .

Proof. Let $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B)$ and define the class function $\eta$ as follows:

$$
\eta(x)= \begin{cases}p^{d(B)} \chi(x) & \text { if } x \in G_{p^{\prime}} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We know that $h t(\theta)=0$ if and only if $(\theta, \eta)_{G} \equiv 0(\bmod p)([6])$. On the other hand, $\theta^{*}$ is of height 0 if and only if $\omega_{B}\left(\theta^{*}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod \pi)$. Since $\omega_{B}\left(\theta^{*}\right) \equiv\{|G| /$ $\left.\chi(1) p^{d(B)}\right\}(\theta, \eta)_{G}(\bmod \pi)$, the assertion follows.

## Corollary 1.4. We have

$$
Z_{0}(B)=\left\{\theta^{*} ; \theta \in \operatorname{Chr}^{0}(B)\right\}=\left\{\theta^{*}: \theta \in \operatorname{Bch}^{0}(B)\right\}
$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 1.2 and Theorem 1.3.
For $\theta \in \operatorname{Chr}(\mathrm{G})$ (or $\operatorname{Bch}(G)$ ), we denote by $\theta_{b}$ the $b$-component of $\theta_{H}$. For an $R G$-(or $k G$-) module $V, V_{b}$ is defined in a similar way. Also for $\theta \in \operatorname{Chr}(H)$, we denote by $\theta^{B}$ the $B$-component of $\theta^{G}$.

Corollary 1.5. The following are equivalent.
(i) $B$ and $b$ are linked.
(ii) For every $\theta \in \operatorname{Chr}^{0}(B), \theta_{b} \in \operatorname{Chr}^{\circ}(b)$.
(iii) For every $\theta \in \operatorname{Bch}^{0}(B), \theta_{b} \in \operatorname{Bch}^{0}(b)$.

In particular, if $B$ and $b$ are linked, for every $R G$-(or $k G$-) module $V$ of height 0 in $B, V_{b}$ is of height 0 .

Proof. The equivalences follow from Corollary 1.4 and the fact that $s_{H}\left(\theta^{*}\right) e_{b}=\theta_{b}^{*}$ for every $\theta \in \operatorname{Chr}(G)(\operatorname{resp} . \operatorname{Bch}(G)) . \quad$ Let $\theta$ be the character (resp. Brauer character) afforded by $V$. If $h t(V)=0$, then $h t\left(\theta_{b}\right)=0$ by (ii) (resp. (iii)). This completes the proof.

The following proposition shows, in particular, that there are many examples of linked pair of blocks in block theory.

Proposition 1.6. Assume that $b^{G}$ is defined. Then $B$ and $b$ are linked if and only if $b^{G}=B$.

Proof. Assume $b^{G}=B$. For $a \in Z_{0}(B), \omega_{b}\left(s_{H}(a)\right) \equiv \omega_{B}(a) \neq 0(\bmod \pi)$. So $s_{H}(a) \epsilon_{b} \in Z_{0}(b)$ and "if part" follows. Conversely assume that $B$ and $b$ are linked. We have $\omega_{b}{ }^{\sigma}\left(e_{B}\right) \equiv \omega_{b}\left(s_{H}\left(e_{B}\right) e_{b}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod \pi)$, since $e_{B} \in Z_{0}(B)$. Hence $b^{G}=B$.

For the following, see also [3, Lemma A and Theorem B].
Corollary 1.7. Assume that $b^{G}$ is defined and equal to $B$. Then
(i) For any $R G$-(or $k G$-) module $V$ of height 0 in $B, V_{b}$ is of height 0 , and
(ii) for $\theta \in \operatorname{Chr}(G)($ or $\operatorname{Bch}(G)), h t\left(\theta_{B}\right)=0$ if and only if $h t\left(\theta_{b}\right)=0$.

Proof. (i) follows from Corollary 1.5 and Proposition 1.6. (ii) follows from the fact that $\omega_{B}\left(\theta_{B}^{*}\right) \equiv \omega_{B}\left(\theta^{*}\right) \equiv \omega_{b}\left(s_{H}\left(\theta^{*}\right) e_{b}\right) \equiv \omega_{b}\left(\theta_{b}^{*}\right)(\bmod \pi)$.

Let $T_{H}^{G}$ denote the relative trace map when $R G$ is considered as a $G$ algebra in the usual way. The following will be needed later.

Proposition 1.8. Assume that $B$ and $b$ are linked and $d(b)=d(B)$. We have:
(i) $T_{H}^{G}\left(Z_{0}(b)\right) e_{B} \subseteq Z_{0}(B)$, and
(ii) for any $\xi \in \operatorname{Chr}(b), h t(\xi)=0$ if and only if $h t\left(\xi^{B}\right)=0$.

Proof. Let $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B)$. For $\xi \in \operatorname{Chr}(b),\left(\xi^{B}\right)^{*}=T_{H}^{G}\left(\xi^{*}\right) e_{B}$. From this it follows that

$$
\omega_{B}\left(\left(\xi^{B}\right)^{*}\right) \equiv\{|G| \xi(1) /|H| \chi(1)\} \omega_{b}\left(\left(\chi_{b}\right)^{*}\right)(\bmod \pi)
$$

Since $h t\left(\chi_{b}\right)=0$ by Corollary 1.5 (ii), (ii) follows. Then we get (i) by the above equality and Corollary 1.4.

The following proposition (cf. also [18, Theorem 7]) shows that our terminology is compatible with Brauer's [5]. If $\left(P, b_{P}\right)$ is a Brauer pair (i.e. $P$ is a $p$-subgroup of $G$ and $b_{P}$ is a block of $P C_{G}(P)$ with defect group $P$ ), let $\theta_{P}$ be the unique irreducible Brauer character in $b_{P}$ and $b_{P}^{0}$ the block of $C_{G}(P)$ covered by $b_{p}$.

Proposition 1.9. Let $\left(P, b_{P}\right)$ and $\left(Q, b_{Q}\right)$ be Brauer pairs such that $P \triangleright Q$ and that $b_{Q}$ is $P$-invariant. Then $b_{P}$ and $b_{Q}$ are linked (in the sense of Brauer [5]) if and only if $b_{P}^{0}$ and $b_{Q}^{0}$ are linked in our sense.

Proof. Put $b^{*}=b_{Q}^{P C(Q)}$, where $C(Q)=C_{G}(Q)$. Let $\phi$ be the unique irreducible Brauer character in $b^{*}$. We have $\phi_{b_{P}}=e \theta_{P}$, for some integer $e$. Since $b_{P}^{P C(Q)}$ is defined, Corollary 1.5 (iii) and Proposition 1.6 yield that $b_{P}^{P C(Q)}=b^{*}$ if and only if $e \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. On the other hand, we must have $\left(\theta_{Q}\right)_{b_{P}^{0}}^{0}=e \psi$, where $\psi=\left(\theta_{P}\right)_{C(P)}$ is the unique irreducible Brauer character in $b_{P}^{0}$, since $b_{P}$ is the unique block of $P C(P)$ covering $b_{P}^{0}$. By Corollary 1.5 (iii), $b_{P}^{0}$ and $b_{Q}^{0}$ are linked if and only if $e \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. So the assertion follows.

Now we consider the case where $H$ is normal in $G$. In this case linked pair has a clear meaning, as the following theorem shows; it shows also that the condition that $B$ and $b$ are linked does not always imply that $b^{G}$ is defined (and equal to $B$ ). See also Blau [4, Theorem 2].

Theorem 1.10. Assume that $H$ is normal in $G$. The following conditions are equivalent.
(i) $B$ and $b$ are linked.
(ii) $s_{H}\left(e_{B}\right) e_{b}$ is of height 0 .
(iii) $B$ is weakly regular with respect to $H$ and $B$ covers $b$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): This is obvious.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Put $e_{B}=\theta^{*}, \theta \in \operatorname{Chr}^{0}(B)$. Since $s_{H}\left(e_{B}\right) e_{b}=\theta_{b}^{*}$, we have in particular $\theta_{b} \neq 0$, so $B$ covers $b$. Put $s_{H}\left(e_{B}\right)=\Sigma_{i} a_{i} \hat{K}_{i}, K_{i}$ being conjugacy classes of $G$ (contained in $H$ ). We have $\omega_{b}\left(s_{H}\left(e_{B}\right)\right) \equiv \Sigma_{i} a_{i} \omega_{b}\left(\hat{K}_{i}\right) \equiv \Sigma_{i} a_{i} \omega_{B}\left(\hat{K}_{i}\right)(\bmod \pi)$, since $B$ covers $b$. So we have $a_{i} \omega_{B}\left(\hat{K}_{i}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod \pi)$ for some $i$, which shows that $B$
is weakly regular.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let $\left\{B_{i}\right\}$ be the blocks of $G$ covering $b$. We have $\Sigma e_{B_{i}}=\Sigma e_{b}^{g}(g \in$ $\left.G / T_{b}\right)$, so $\Sigma s_{H}\left(e_{B_{i}}\right) e_{b}=e_{b}$ and $s_{H}\left(e_{B_{i}}\right) e_{b}$ is of height 0 for some $i$. For such $i$, put $e_{B_{i}}=\theta^{*}, \theta \in \operatorname{Chr}^{0}\left(B_{i}\right)$. We have $\theta_{H}=\Sigma \theta_{b}^{g}\left(g \in G / T_{b}\right)$, so we get that $d\left(B_{i}\right)=$ $\nu\left(\left|T_{b}\right|\right)-\nu(|H|)+d(b)$, because $h t\left(\theta_{b}\right)=0$. Let $\eta \in \operatorname{Chr}^{0}(B)$. Similar argument as above shows that $d(B)=\nu\left(\left|T_{b}\right|\right)-\nu(|H|)+d(b)-h t\left(\eta_{b}\right)$. So we have $h t\left(\eta_{b}\right)=$ $d\left(B_{i}\right)-d(B)$. On the other hand, $d(B) \geqq d\left(B_{i}\right)$, since $B$ is weakly regular. This proves that $h t\left(\eta_{b}\right)=0$, so $B$ and $b$ are linked (by Corollary 1.5).

The following is [9, (V.3.15)].
Corollary 1.11. Assume that $H$ is normal in $G$ and that $B$ covers $b$. Let $B$ be weakly regular with respect to $H$. For any $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B)$, we have $\chi_{H}=e \Sigma_{i} \xi_{i}$ with $e\left|T_{b}\right| T_{\xi_{i}} \mid \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and $\xi_{i} \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(b)$, for some $i$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.10, $\chi_{b}$ is of height 0 , so the assertion follows from the equality $\chi_{H}=\Sigma \chi_{\bar{b}}^{g}\left(g \in G / T_{b}\right)$.

By Theorem 1.10 (and Corollary 1.5), we get that when $B$ is weakly regular with respect to the normal subgroup $H$ and $B$ covers $b, V_{b}$ has an indecomposable summand of height 0 for any $R G$-(or $k G$-) module $V$ of height 0 in $B$. It will be proved in Theorem 4.1 that this is the case for arbitrary blocks covering $b$.

The rest of this section is devoted to giving alternative proofs of known facts.

Let $b$ be a block of an arbitrary subgroup $H$ as before. For a group $X$, let $B_{0}(X)$ be the principal block of $X$. The following is the Third Main Theorem (as extended by Okuyama [17]). (The present version is due to Blau [3, Corollary 1].) See also Kawai [20, Corollary 2.2].

Proposition 1.12. Assume that there exists $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B)$ such that $\chi_{H}$ is an irreducible character in $b$. Then
(i) If $b_{0}$ is a block of $H$ for which $b_{0}^{G}$ is defined and equal to $B$, then $b_{0}=b$.
(ii) If $b^{G}$ is defined, then $b^{G}=B$ if and only if $\chi_{H} \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(b)$.

Proof. (i) By Corollary 1.7 (ii), $\chi_{b_{0}}$ is of height 0 , so $b_{0}=b$. (ii) "only if" part follows similarly. "if" part: Since $h t\left(\chi_{b}\right)=0, h t\left(\chi_{b^{\prime}}\right)=0$ by Corollary 1.7 (ii). Hence $b^{G}=B$.

A result similar to the following has appeared in Robinson [19].
Proposition 1.13. Let $u$ be a central p-element of $H$. Assume that $b^{G}=B$. For $\chi, \chi^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{Chr}(B)$, the following are evuivalent.
(i) $h t(\chi)=h t\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)=0$.
(ii) $p^{d(b)}|H|^{-1} \Sigma \chi_{b}(u s) \chi_{b}^{\prime}\left(u^{-1} s^{-1}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod \pi)$,
where in the summation s runs through $H_{p^{\prime}}$.
Proof. Define $\psi, \psi^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Bch}(b)$ by $\psi(s)=\chi_{b}(u s)$ and $\psi^{\prime}(s)=\chi_{b}^{\prime}\left(u^{-1} s\right)$, for $s \in H_{p^{\prime}}$. Put $\chi_{b}=\Sigma_{i} n_{i} \xi_{i}, \xi_{i} \in \operatorname{Irr}(b)$. We have $\xi_{i}(u)=\xi_{i}(1) \varepsilon_{i}$, where $\varepsilon_{i}$ is a $|u|$-th root of unity. Then $\chi_{b}(u)=\Sigma_{i} n_{i}\left(\varepsilon_{i}-1\right) \xi_{i}(1)+\chi_{b}(1)$. Since $\varepsilon_{i}-1 \equiv 0$ $(\bmod \pi), h t(\psi)=0 \Leftrightarrow \nu\left(\chi_{b}(u)\right)=\nu(|H|)-d(b) \Leftrightarrow h t\left(\chi_{b}\right)=0 \Leftrightarrow h t(\chi)=0$. (For the last equivalence, cf. Corollary 1.7 (ii).) The same holds for $\psi^{\prime}\left(\right.$ with $u^{-1}$ in place of $u$ ). On the other hand, the number in (ii) is congruent $(\bmod \pi)$ to $p^{d(b)}|H|^{-1}$ $\psi^{\prime}(1) \omega_{b}\left(\psi^{*}\right)$, so the assertion follows.

## 2. Block induction and normal subgroups

Let $N$ be a normal subgroup of $G$ and $b$ a block of $N$. If $B$ is a block of $G$ covering $b$, then a defect group $D$ of $B$ is said to be an inertial defect group of $B$ if it is a defect group of the Fong-Reynolds correspondent of $B$ in the inertial group $T_{b}$ of $b$ in $G$.

In this section we shall prove the following theorem, which settles, in a special case, a question raised by Blau [2]. It has been obtained also by Fan [8, Theorem 2.3] independently. See also Blau [4, Theorem 3].

Theorem 2.1. Let the notation be as above. The following conditions are equivalent.
(i) $b^{G}$ is defined.
(ii) (iia) There exists a unique weakly regular block of $G$ covering $b$, say $B$, and
(iib) for a defect group $D$ of $B, Z(D)$ is contained in $N$.
We begin with the following lemma, which is due to Berger and Knörr [1, the proof of Corollary], cf. also Fan [8, Proposition 2.1]. Another proof is included here for convenience.

Lemma 2.2. For a block $B$ of $G$ covering $b$, let $D$ be an inertial defect group of $B$ and $\hat{b}$ the unique block of $D N$ covering $b$. Then $D$ is a defect group of $\hat{b}$.

Proof. We may assume that $b$ is $G$-invariant. Put $H=N_{G}(D) N$. Let $\tilde{B}$ be the Brauer correspondent in $H$ of $B$. Take a $k G$-module $U$ in $B$ and a $k H$ module $V$ in $\tilde{B}$ such that $V$ is a direct sumand of $U_{H}$. Since $b$ is $G$-invarnant, any direct summand of $U_{N}$ lies in $b$, so the same is true for $V_{N}$. Hence $\widetilde{B}$ covers $b$. This implies that $\tilde{B}$ covers $\hat{b}$ and a defect group of $\hat{b}$ is by Knorr's theorem (Knörr [13, Proposition 4.2], see also [20, Corollary 2.4]) $D N \cap D=D$, because $D N$ is normal in $H$ and $\hat{b}$ is $H$-invariant.

We also need the following

Lemma 2.3. (Blau [3, Lemma 2.5 (i)]) Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$ and $B$ (resp. b) a block of $G(r e s p . H)$. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Irr}(b)$. Suppose that $\phi^{G}=\tau+\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} \chi_{i}$, where $m_{i}$ is a nonnegative integer, $\chi_{i} \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$ and $\nu\left(m_{i} \chi_{i}(1)\right) \geqq \nu\left(\phi^{G}(1)\right)$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq n$, and $\tau$ is a character of $G$ such that for all $g \in G, \nu(\tau(g))>\nu(\phi(1))+\nu\left(\left|C_{G}(g)\right|\right)-$ $\nu(|H|)(\tau$ may be 0$) . \quad$ Then $b^{G}$ is defined and equals $B$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iia): This is Lemma 2.6 in Blau [3]. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iib): This follows from (V.1.6) (i) in Feit [9]. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): We may assume that $D$ is an inertial defect group of $B$. Let $\hat{b}$ be the unique block of $D N$ covering $b$. Since $D$ is a defect group of $\hat{b}$ by Lemma 2.2, (iib) implies $b^{D N}=\hat{b}$. In fact, assume that $\omega_{b}(\hat{K}) \neq 0(\bmod \pi)$ for a conjugacy class $K$ of $D N$. Let $x \in K$ and let $u$ and $s$ be the $p$-part and $p^{\prime}$-part of $x$, respectively. Since $\hat{b}$ is induced from a root of it, $u \in_{D_{N}} Z(D) \leqq N$. We get $s \in N$, since $D N / N$ is a $p-$ group. So $K \subseteq N$, as required. Now let $\phi$ be an irreducible character of height 0 in $\hat{b}$. Any irreducible constituent $\chi$ of $\phi^{G}$ lies in a block covering $b$. So $\nu(\chi(1)) \geqq \nu\left(\phi^{G}(1)\right)$, and the inequality is strict if $\chi$ does not lie in $B$ by (iia). From this it follows that $\hat{b}^{G}=B$ by Lemma 2.3. So $b^{G}=B$, completing the proof.

## 3. Characterization of modules of height $\mathbf{0}$

In this section we shall characterize $R G$-(or $k G$-) modules of height 0 via their vertices and sources. In the following, let $\mathfrak{v}$ denote either $R$ or $k$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $T$ be a subgroup of $G$ and $N$ a normal subgroup of $T$ such that $T / N$ is a $p^{\prime}$-group. Let $Y$ be an indecomposable oT-module and $W$ an indecomposable $\mathfrak{o} N$-module. If $Y_{N} \cong e W$ for some integer $e$, then $e$ is prime to $p$.

Proof. Since $k$ is algebraically closed, $e$ is equal to the dimension of some projective indecomposable $k^{\alpha}[T / N]$-module for some $\alpha \in Z^{2}\left(T / N, k^{*}\right)$ (cf. Theorem 7.8 in [12]). Since $k$ is algebraically closed and $T / N$ is a $p^{\prime}$-group, $e$ is prime to $p$.

The following theorem generalizes Corollary 4.6 in Knörr [14].
Theorem 3.2. Let $U$ be an indecomposable oG-module lying in a block $B$ with defect group $D$. The following are equivalent.
(i) $h t(U)=0$.
(ii) $\operatorname{vx}(U)={ }_{G} D$ and the rank of a source of $U$ is prime to $p$.

Proof. Since $h t(U)=0$ implies $\mathrm{vx}(U)={ }_{G} D$, it suffices to prove that for an $\mathrm{o} G$-module $U$ with vertex $D, h t(U)=0$ if and only if the rank of a source is prime to $p$. Let $V$ be the Green correspondent of $U$ with respect to $\left(G, N_{G}(D), D\right) . \quad V$ lies in the Brauer correspondent $\tilde{B}$ of $B$ and $h t(V)=0$ if and
only if $h t(U)=0$. Let $W$ be an indecomposable summand of $V_{N}$, where $N=$ $D C_{G}(D) . \quad W$ lies in a block $b$ covered by $\tilde{B}$. Let $T$ be the inertial group of $W$ in $N_{G}(D)$. For some o $T$-module $Y, W \mid Y_{N}$ and $V=Y^{N_{G}(D)}$. Since $Y$ belongs to $b^{T}, h t(V)=0$ if and only if $h t(Y)=0$. Put $Y_{N} \cong e W$. Since $T / N$ is a $p^{\prime}-$ group, $e \neq 0(\bmod p)$ by Lemma 3.1. So $h t(Y)=0$ if and only if $h t(W)=0$. From the explicit Morita equivalence between $b$ and $\mathfrak{o} D(b$ is, as a ring, isomorphic to a full matrix ring over $\mathrm{o} D$ ), it follows that $h t(W)=0$ if and only if the rank of the corresponding $\mathrm{o} D$-module (which is a source of $U$ ) is prime to $p$. This completes the proof.

Remark 3.3. Theorem 2.1 in Kawai [20] follows (in the special case when the residue field $k$ is algebraically closed, as we are assuming) from the above theorem and Corollary 1.7(j).

## 4. Normal subgroups and characters of height 0

Throughout this section, we use the following notation: $N$ is a normal subgroup of $G, B$ is a block of $G$ with defect group $D$, and $b$ is a block of $N$ covered by $B$.

Theorem 4.1. For any indecomposable $\mathrm{D} G$-module $U$ of height 0 lying in $B$, some indecomposable summand of $U_{N}$ is a module of height 0 lying in $b$.

Proof. We may assume that $b$ is $G$-invariant. Let $D$ be a defect group of $B, \tilde{B}$ the Brauer correspondent of $B$ in $N_{G}(D) N$, and $V$ the Green correspondent of $U$ with respect to $\left(G, N_{G}(D) N, D\right)$. Since $V$ lies in $\widetilde{B}, h t(U)=0$ implies $h t(V)=0$. Let $\hat{b}$ be the unique block of $D N$ covering $b$. $D$ is a defect group of $\hat{b}$ by Lemma 2.2. Let $W$ be an indecomposable summand of $V_{D N}$ lying in $\hat{b}$. (Note that $\widetilde{B}$ covers $\hat{b}$, cf. the proof of Lemma 2.2) Since $V$ is $D N$-projective, $V$ and $W$ have vertex and source in common, so $h t(W)=0$ by Theorem 3.2. Since $\nu(|D N|)-d(\hat{b})=\nu(|N|)-d(b)$, some indecomposable summand of $W_{N}$ is of height 0 (in $b$ ). This completes the proof.

## Corollary 4.2.

(i) For any $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B), \xi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(b)$ for some irre.tucible constituent $\xi$ of $\chi_{N}$.
(ii) (Kawai [20, Corollary 2.5]) For any $\phi \in \operatorname{IBr}^{0}(B), \psi \in \operatorname{IBr}^{0}(b)$ for some irreducible constituent $\psi$ of $\phi_{N}$.

Proof. It suffices to prove (i). Let $U$ be an $R$-form of a $K G$-module affording $\chi$. By Theorem 4.1 some indecomposable summand $V$ of $U_{N}$ is of height 0 in $b$, so some irreducible constituent of $K \otimes_{R} V$ is of height 0 .

Let $\operatorname{Irr}^{0}(b \backslash B)$ be the set of irreducible characters in $b$ appearing as an irreducible constituent of $\chi_{N}$ for some $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B)$. We define $\operatorname{IBr}^{0}(b \backslash B)$ in a
similar way. To determine these sets, we need the following
Lemma 4.3. Assume that $b$ is $G$-invariant. Let $D$ and $\delta$ be defect groups of $B$ and $b$, respectively, such that $\delta \leqq D$. If $\xi \in \operatorname{Irr}(b)$ extends to $Q N$ for some subgroup $Q$ with $\delta \leqq Q \leqq D$, then there is $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$ such that $(\chi, \xi)_{N} \neq 0$ and that $h t(\chi) \leqq d(B)-\nu(|Q|)+h t(\xi)$.

Proof. Let $\hat{\xi}$ be an extension of $\xi$ to $Q N$. Let $\hat{b}$ and $\tilde{B}$ be as in the proof of Theorem 4.1. Any irreducible constituent of $\hat{\xi}^{D N}$ belongs to $\hat{b}$. By the degree comparison it follows that there is $\eta \in \operatorname{Irr}(\hat{b})$ such that $\left(\hat{\xi}^{D N}, \eta\right)_{D N} \neq 0$ and that $(\eta(1))_{p} \leqq|D N / Q N|_{p}(\xi(1))_{p}$. There is $\tilde{\chi} \in \operatorname{Irr}(\widetilde{B})$ such that $\left(\tilde{\chi}, \eta^{N_{G}(D) N}\right)_{N_{G}(D) N}$ $\neq 0$. Then we have $(\tilde{\chi}(1))_{p} \leqq\left|N_{G}(D) N / D N\right|_{p}(\eta(1))_{p}$. Since $\tilde{B}$ induces $B$, $\left(\widetilde{\chi}^{B}(1)\right)_{p}=\left(\widetilde{\chi}^{G}(1)\right)_{p}$, cf. [9, (V.1.3)]. Thus there is $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$ such that $(\chi(1))_{p} \leqq$ $\left|G / N_{G}(D) N\right|_{p}(\tilde{\chi}(1))_{p}$ and that $\left(\tilde{\chi}^{G}, \chi\right)_{G} \neq 0$. Since $Q \cap N=\delta$ by Knörr's theorem, this $\chi$ is a required character.

Theorem 4.4. With the notations as above, we have:
(i) $\operatorname{Irr}^{0}(b \backslash B)=\left\{\xi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(b) ; \xi\right.$ extends to $D N$ for some inertial defect group $D$ of $B$.\}.
(ii) $\operatorname{IBr}^{0}(b \backslash B)=\left\{\psi \in \operatorname{IBr}^{0}(b) ; \psi\right.$ is $D$-invariant for some inertial defect group $D$ of $B$.$\} .$

Proof. We may assume that $b$ is $G$-invariant. To prove (i), let $\xi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}$ $(b \backslash B)$ and take $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B)$ with $(\chi, \xi)_{N} \neq 0$. Let $U$ be an $R$-form of a $K G$ module affording $\chi$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, some indecomposable summand of $U_{D N}$ is of height 0 in $\hat{b}$ (with $\hat{b}$ as above). So there is $\eta \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(\hat{b}$ ) with $(\chi, \eta)_{D N} \neq 0$. Put $\eta_{N}=e \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}$. We have $\eta(1)=e n \xi_{1}(1)$. Since $\xi_{1}$ is $G$-conjugate to $\xi, \nu(\eta(1))=\nu(\xi(1))=\nu\left(\xi_{1}(1)\right)$. So $\eta_{N}=\xi_{1}$, because $e$ and $n$ are powers of $p$. If $\xi_{1}=\xi^{x}, x \in G$, then $\xi$ extends to $D^{x-1} N$, as required. The reverse inclusion follows from Lemma 4.3 (with $D$ in place of $Q$ ). (ii) It is proved in a similar way that $\operatorname{IBr}^{0}(b \backslash B)$ is contained in the right side. Assume that $\psi \in \operatorname{IBr}^{\circ}(b)$ is $D$-invariant for a defect group $D$ of $B$. Let $W$ be a $k N$-module affording $\psi$. Let $\hat{b}$ and $\widetilde{B}$ be as in the proof of Theorem 4.1. Then $W$ extends to a $k D N$-module $\hat{W}$. Let $V$ be a $k N_{G}(D) N$-module lying in $\widetilde{B}$ such that $\hat{W} \mid V_{D N}$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, ht $(V)=0$. Let $U$ be the Green correspondent of $V$ as before, so $U$ lies in $B$ and $h t(U)=0$. From the above and Mackey decomposition, $U_{N}$ is a sum of $G$-conjugates of $W$. Some irreducible constituent $M$ of $U$ is of height 0 , because $h t(U)=0$, and we have $W \mid M_{N}$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 4.5. Let $B_{m}$ be a weakly regular block of $G$ covering $b$. Then $\operatorname{Irr}^{0}\left(b \backslash B_{m}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(b \backslash B)$ and $\operatorname{IBr}^{0}\left(b \backslash B_{m}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{IBr}^{0}(b \backslash B)$. In particular, the sets $\operatorname{Irr}^{0}$ $\left(b \backslash B_{m}\right)$ and $\operatorname{IBr}^{0}\left(b \backslash B_{m}\right)$ do not depend on the choice of $B_{m}$.

Proof. We may assume that $b$ is $G$-invariant. Since there is a defect group of $B_{m}$ containing a defect group of $B$, the assertion follows from Theorem 4.4.

Corollary 4.6. Assume that $B$ covers $B_{0}(N)$, then there is $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B)$ such that $N \leqq \operatorname{Ker}(\chi)$.

Proof. Since $1_{N}$ extends to any overgroups, this follows from Theorem 4.4 (or simply from Lemma 4.3).

Remark 4.7. The above corollary is the same as saying that if $B$ covers $B_{0}(N)$, some block of $G / N$ dominated by $B$ has defect group $D N / N$. This fact has been known for special $N$, cf. Chap. $V$, section 4 of Feit [9].

Put mod- $\operatorname{Ker}(B)=\cap \operatorname{Ker}(\phi)$, where $\phi$ runs through $\operatorname{IBr}(B)$. The following corollary gives a characterization of mod- $\operatorname{Ker}(B)$ via the (ordinary) irreducible characters in $B$, which extends Theorem 2.4 in [15]. Let $\Re(B)$ be the set of normal subgroups $N$ of $G$ such that $B_{0}(N)$ is covered by $B$ and that for any $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B), \chi_{N}$ is a sum of linear characters.

Corollary 4.8. mod- $\operatorname{Ker}(B)$ is the unique maximal member of $\operatorname{Nl}(B)$.
Proof. Put $N=\bmod -\operatorname{Ker}(B)$. For any $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B), \chi_{N}$ is a sum of irreducible characters of height 0 in $B_{0}(N)$, by Corollary 4.2. This shows that $N \in \mathscr{N}(B)$, since $N$ is $p$-nilpotent. Now conversely let $N \in \mathscr{N}(B)$. Let $D$ be a defect group of $B$ and $\xi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}\left(B_{0}(N)\right)$ be $D$-invariant and assume that the determinantal order $o(\operatorname{det} \xi)$ is prime to $p$. Then $\xi$ extends to $D N$ (cf. [10]), so by Theorem 4.4 there is $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B)$ with $(\chi, \xi)_{N} \neq 0$. By definition of $\mathcal{N}(B)$, $\xi$ must be linear, and then $o(\operatorname{det} \xi) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ implies that the decomposition number $d\left(\xi, 1_{N}\right)=0$ unless $\xi=1_{N}$. This implies that $N$ is $p$-nilpotent, cf. [15, Lemma 2.1 (ii)]. Since $B$ covers $B_{0}(N), N \leqq \operatorname{Ker}(\chi)$ for some $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$. Then $O_{p^{\prime}}(N) \leqq O_{p^{\prime}}(G) \cap \operatorname{Ker}(\chi)=\operatorname{Ker}(B)$, so $N \leqq \bmod -\operatorname{Ker}(B)$. This completes the proof.

In the rest of this section we prove the following theorem. Put $\delta=$ $D \cap N$ for an inertial defect group $D$ of $B$. (So $\delta$ is a defect group of $b$.)

Theorem 4.9. Assume that $D=C_{D}(\delta) \delta$. Then we have $\operatorname{Irr}^{0}(b \backslash B)=\operatorname{Irr}^{0}(b)$, if one of the following conditions holds.
(i) $C_{D}(\delta)$ is abelian.
(ii) $D$ is abelian.
(iii) There is a complement for $\delta$ in $D$.

The condition (ii) above is quite natural in view of the height zero conjecture.
By Theorem 4.4, we have $\operatorname{Irr}^{0}(b \backslash B)=\operatorname{Irr}^{0}(b)$, if there is an (inertial) defect
group $D$ of $B$ with the following properties.
(I) Every $\xi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(b)$ is $D$-invariant, and
(II) every $D$-invariant $\xi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(b)$ extends to $D N$.

We first consider the condition (II). For this purpose we may assume that $G=D N$, where $D$ is a defect group of $B$ and $b$ is $G$-invariant. We have:

Lemma 4.10. For a suitable root $b_{0}$ in $\delta C_{N}(\delta)$ of $b$, the unique block $B_{0}$ of $D C_{N}(\delta)$ covering $b_{0}$ has defect group $D$ and $b_{0}$ is $D$-invariant.

Proof. Let $\tilde{b}$ be the block of $N_{N}(\delta)$ such that $\tilde{b}^{N}=b . \quad$ Since $N_{G}(D) \subseteq N_{G}(\delta)$, there is a block $\widetilde{B}$ of $N_{G}(\delta)$ such that $\tilde{B}^{G}=B$ and that $D$ is a defect group of $\widetilde{B}$. Since the block idempotents corresponding to $B$ and $b$ are the same, it follows that $\tilde{B}$ covers $\tilde{b}$. By the First Main Theorem, $\tilde{b}$ is $N_{G}(\delta)$-invariant. Put $C=$ $\delta C_{N}(\delta)$ and $H=D C_{N}(\delta)$. Let $b_{1}$ be a block of $C$ covered by $\tilde{b}$ and $B_{1}$ the unique block of $H$ covering $b_{1}$. Let $V$ be an indecomposable $k N_{G}(\delta)$-module in $\tilde{B}$ of height 0 . It is easy to see that $C$ is normal in $N_{G}(\delta)$ and that $\tilde{B}$ is a unique block of $N_{G}(\delta)$ covering $b_{1}$. So $V_{b_{1}}$ is of height 0 by Theorem 1.10 (and Corollary 1.5). Since $V_{b_{1}}=\left(V_{B_{1}}\right)_{c}$ and $\nu(|H|)-d\left(B_{1}\right) \geqq \nu(|C|)-d\left(b_{1}\right)$ (with equality only when $b_{1}$ is $H$-invariant), consideration of dimension shows that $b_{1}$ is $H$ invariant and that some indecomposable summand $W$ of $V_{B_{1}}$ is of height 0 . Hence $\operatorname{vx}(W)$ is a defect group of $B_{1}$ and $|\operatorname{vx}(W)|=|D|$. Since $\mathrm{vx}(W) \leqq_{N_{G}(\delta)}$ $D$, we get that $\operatorname{vx}(W)=D^{n}$ for some $n \in N_{G}(\delta)$. Then $n \in N_{G}(H)$, so $b_{0}=b_{1}^{n-1}$ is the required root of $b$.

The following clarifies the condition (II) completely.
Proposition 4.11. The following conditions are equivalent.
(i) Every $D$-invariant $\xi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{\circ}(b)$ extends to $D N$.
(ii) Every D-invariant linear character of $\delta$ extends to $D$.
(iii) $[D, \delta]=[D, D] \cap \delta$.

Proof. Let $B_{0}$ and $b_{0}$ be chosen as in Lemma 4.10 and $H, C$ be as in the proof of Lemma 4.10. We prove that (i) is equivalent to:
(iv) Every $D$-invariant $\xi_{0} \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}\left(b_{0}\right)$ extends to $H$. (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i): For any $D$-invariant $\xi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(b)$, there is $\xi_{0} \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}\left(b_{0}\right)$ such that $\xi_{0}$ is $D$-invariant and that $\left(\xi, \xi_{0}\right)_{c} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, because $\xi_{b_{0}}$ is $D$-invariant and $h t\left(\xi_{b_{0}}\right)$ $=0$. Now it is easy to see that $\xi$ extends to $G$ if (and only if) $\xi_{0}$ extends to $H$. So (iv) implies (i).
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): For any $D$-invariant $\xi_{0} \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}\left(b_{0}\right), \xi_{0}^{b}$ is $D$-invariant and of height 0 , cf. Proposition 1.8, so similar argument applies.

Next we show that (ii) and (iv) are equivalent. Note that every $D$-invariant $\xi_{0} \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}\left(b_{0}\right)$ is written as $\xi_{0}=\tilde{\zeta}$ for a $D$-invariant linear character $\zeta$ of $\delta$ (and vice versa), where $\tilde{\zeta}$ is defined as in Feit [9, (V.4.7)]. We show that $\xi_{0}$ extends to $H$ if
and only if $\zeta$ extends to $D$. First assume that there is an extension $\eta$ of $\xi_{0}$. Since $h t(\eta)=0,(\eta, \lambda)_{D} \neq 0$ for some linear character $\lambda$ of $D$. (Apply Theorem 3.2). Since $\left(\xi_{0}\right)_{\delta}$ is a multiple of $\zeta$, this implies $\lambda_{\delta}=\zeta$. Conversely let $\lambda$ be an extension of $\zeta$. Let $b_{1}$ be a root of $B_{0}$ in $D C_{H}(D)$. We have $\lambda^{D C_{H}(D)}=\tilde{\lambda}+\theta$ for some character $\theta$, where $\tilde{\lambda} \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}\left(b_{1}\right)$ is defined as above. So $\zeta^{C}=\left(\lambda^{H}\right)_{c}=\left(\tilde{\lambda}^{B_{0}}\right)_{c}$ $+\psi$ for some character $\psi$. Since $\zeta^{c}$ is a sum of a multiple of $\xi_{0}$ and characters lying outside $b_{0}$, it follows that $\left(\tilde{\lambda}^{B_{0}}\right)_{c}$ is a multiple of $\xi_{0}$. Now $h t\left(\tilde{\lambda}^{B_{0}}\right)=0$ by Proposition 1.8, so for some irreducible constituent $\chi$ of $\tilde{\lambda}^{B_{0}}, \chi_{c}=\xi_{0}$.

The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) is obvious.
Remark 4.12. Theorem 8.26 in [10] reads: Let $N$ be a normal subgroup of $G$ with $G / N$ a $p$-group. For a $p$-Sylow subgroup $P$ of $G$, assume (a) $P \cap N$ $\leqq Z(P)$, and (b) every irreducible character of $P \cap N$ extends to $P$. Then every $G$-invariant irreducible character of $N$ extends to $G$.

The above proposition is related to this theorem as follows: Let $\xi \in$ $\operatorname{Inr}(N)$ be $G$-invariant. Let $b$ be the block of $N$ (with defect group $\delta$ ) containing $\xi$. If $h t(\xi)=0$, then $(b)$ implies that $\xi$ extends to $G$ by Proposition 4.11. (On the other hand, $\delta$ is abelian by $(a)$. So $h t(\xi)=0$ would follow from the height zero conjecture.)

To consider the condition (I), we let $T_{b}^{\prime}=\cap I_{G}(\xi)$, where $\xi$ runs through $\operatorname{Irr}(b) . \quad T_{b}^{\prime}$ is normal in $T_{b}$. We first extend Lemma 2.2 as follows:

Lemma 4.13. Assume that $b$ is $G$-invariant. Let $Q$ be a subgroup such that $\delta \leqq Q \leqq D$ and let $b(Q)$ be the block of $Q N$ covering $b$. Then $Q$ is a defect group of $b(Q)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, $D$ is a defect group of $b(D)$. By induction on $|D / Q|$, we may assume $|D / Q|=p$. Since $b(Q)$ is $D N$-invariant and covered by $b(D), D \cap Q N=Q$ is a defect group of $b(Q)$ by Knörr's theorem.

Lemma 4.14. Assume that $b$ is G-invariant. Let $B_{1}$ be a block of $T_{b}^{\prime}$ covered by $B$. Then we have
(i) $B_{1}^{G}=B$.
(ii) $\delta C_{D}(\delta)$ is coniainied in a defect group of a $G$-conjugate of $B_{1}$. In particular, $Z(D) \leqq T_{b}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $\xi_{1} \in \operatorname{Irr}(b)$ and take $\zeta_{1} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \mid \xi_{1}\right)$ such that $\zeta_{1}^{G} \in \operatorname{Irr}(B) \cap$ $\operatorname{Irr}\left(G \mid \xi_{1}\right)$. If $b_{1}$ is the block containing $\zeta_{1}$, then $b_{1}^{G}=B$, cf. [9, (V.1.2)]. Take another $\xi_{2} \in \operatorname{Irr}(b)$, if any, and take $\zeta_{2} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \cap I_{G}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \mid \xi_{2}\right)$ such that $\zeta_{2^{\prime}}^{\left.I^{( } \xi_{1}\right)} \in$ $\operatorname{Irr}\left(b_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{Irr}\left(I_{G}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \mid \xi_{2}\right)$. If $b_{2}$ is the block of $I_{G}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \cap I_{G}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$ containing $\zeta_{2}$, then $\left.b_{2}^{L}{ }^{( } \xi_{1}\right)=b_{1}$. Hence $b_{2}^{G}=B$. Repeating this process, we finally get a block $B^{\prime}$ of $T_{b}^{\prime}$ such that $B^{\prime G}=B$. Then $B^{\prime}$ is $G$-conjugate to $B_{1}$, so $B_{1}^{G}=B$. This implies $Z(D) \leqq T_{b}^{\prime}$, cf. Theorem 2.1. Now for any $x \in C_{D}(\delta)$, put $Q=\langle x, \delta\rangle$ and let
$b(Q)$ be the block of $Q N$ covering $b$. By the above (with $b(Q), Q N$ in place of $B, G)$ and Lemma 4.13, we get that $x \in Z(Q) \leqq T_{b}^{\prime} \cap Q N$, so $C_{D}(\delta) \leqq T_{b}^{\prime}$. Let $D^{x}, x \in G$, be a defect group of the Fong-Reynolds correspondent of $B$ in the inertial group of $B_{1}$ in $G$. Then $\delta C_{D}(\delta) \leqq\left(D^{x} \cap T_{b}^{\prime}\right)^{x-1}$, which is a defect group of $B_{1}^{x^{-1}}$ This completes the proof.

Proposition 4.15. Assume that $b$ is $G$-invariant. Let $A$ be a subgroup of $C_{D}(\delta)$ such that (1) $A$ is abelian, or (2) $\delta$ is complemented in $A \delta$. Then for every $\xi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(b)$,
(i) $\xi$ extends to $A N$, and
(ii) there is $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$ such that $(\chi, \xi)_{N} \neq 0$ and that $h t(\chi) \leqq d(B)-\nu(|A \delta|)$.

Proof. (i) Put $Q=A \delta$ and let $b(Q)$ be as in Lemma 4.13. So $Q$ is a defect group of $b(Q)$. In either case, the condition (ii) in Proposition 4.11 is satisfied (with $Q$ in place of $D$; in case (2), use Wigner's method.) and any $\xi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(b)$ is $Q$-invariant by Lemma 4.14, so the conclusion follows from Proposition 4.11. (ii) follows from (i) and Lemma 4.3.

Proof of Theorem 4.9. Since we may assume that $b$ is $G$-invariant, the assertion follows from Proposition 4.15 (ii) (with $A=C_{D}(\delta)$ ).

## 5. A generalization of a theorem of Isaacs and Smith

In [11] Isaacs and Smith have given a characterization of groups of $p$-length 1 ([11], Theorem 2). Here we prove a generalization of their result.

For a block $B$ of $G$, let $\bmod -\operatorname{Ker}(B)$ be as in section 4 and let $\operatorname{Ker}^{0}(B)=$ $\cap \operatorname{Ker}(\chi)$, where $\chi$ runs through $\operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B)$. Let $\operatorname{Ker}(B)$ be defined in the usual way.

Lemma 5.1. Let $B$ be a block of $G$ with defect group $D$.
(i) If $B$ covers the principal block of a normal subgroup $N$ of $G, D$ is a $p$ Sylow subgroup of $D N$.
(ii) $\operatorname{Ker}^{0}(B) \leqq \operatorname{Ker}(B) D$ and mod-Ker $(B) \leqq \operatorname{Ker}(\boldsymbol{B}) D$.

Proof. If $B$ covers the principal block of $N, D \cap N$ is a $p$-Sylow subgroup of $N$, by Knörr's theorem. So (i) follows. By Corollary 4.8 (or more simply, by [15, Theorem 2.3]), $\operatorname{Ker}^{0}(B) \leqq \bmod -\operatorname{Ker}(B)$. As is well-known, (mod$\operatorname{Ker}(B)) D$ is $p$-nilpotent and its normal $p$-complement is $\operatorname{Ker}(B)$. Since $D$ is a $p$-Sylow subgroup of $(\bmod -\operatorname{Ker}(B)) D$ by (i), $(\bmod -\operatorname{Ker}(B)) D=\operatorname{Ker}(B) D$. This completes the proof.

Let $K$ be a normal subgroup of $G$ such that $B$ covers the principal block of $K$, and put $\bar{G}=G / K$ and let $\left\{\bar{B}_{i} ; 1 \leqq i \leqq s\right\}$ be the blocks of $\bar{G}$ dominated by $B$. Put $\bar{D}=D K / K$. Then we have the following

Proposition 5.2. Assume that there is a defect group $D$ of $B$ such that $\Phi(D)$ (the Frattini subgroup of D) contains a p-Sylow subgroup of $K$. Then for exactly one value of $i, \bar{B}_{i}$ has defect group $\bar{D}$.

Proof. There is a block $\bar{B}_{i}$ with defect group $\bar{D}$ by Remark 4.7. Let $b$ be the Brauer correspondent of $B$ in $N_{G}(D)$. Let $\bar{b}$ be a block of $\overline{N_{G}(D)}$ dominated by $b$. (Since $D$ is a $p$-Sylow subgroup of $D K$ by Lemma 5.1, $\overline{N_{G}(D)}$ $=N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{D})$, by the Frattini argument.) We claim that $\bar{b}$ is unique. Let $Q$ be a $p$-Sylow subgroup of $K$ such that $Q \leqq \Phi(D)$. Put $L=N_{G}(D) \cap K$. Then $N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{D}) \cong N_{G}(D) / L . \quad$ We note that $b$ covers $B_{0}(L)$. In fact, there is $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B)$ such that $\operatorname{Ker}(\chi) \geqq K$ by Corollary 4.6. Since $h t\left(\chi_{b}\right)=0, \chi_{b} \neq 0$. So $b$ covers $B_{0}(L)$. Thus it suffices to show that $b$ does not "decompose" in $N_{G}(D) / L$. We see that $L \subset \bmod -\operatorname{Ker}(b)$ is $p$-nilpotent and that $L / L \cap \bmod -\operatorname{Ker}(b)$ is a $p^{\prime}$-group, since $Q \leqq D \leqq \bmod -\operatorname{Ker}(b)$. So the claim follows from [16, Problem 9 on p . 389], since $Q \leqq \Phi(D)$. Now assume that $\bar{B}_{i}$ has defect group $\bar{D}$. We show that $\bar{B}_{i}=\bar{b}^{\bar{G}}$ with $\bar{b}$ as above, which proves the uniqueness of $i$. Let $\bar{U}$ be a $k \bar{G}-$ module in $\bar{B}_{i}$ with vertex $\bar{D}$ and $\bar{V}$ the Green correspondent of $\bar{U}$ with respect to $\left(\bar{G}, N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{D}), \bar{D}\right)$. Let $U($ resp. $V$ ) be the inflation of $\bar{U}$ (resp. $\bar{V}$ ) to $G$ (resp. $\left.N_{G}(D)\right) . \quad D$ is a vertex of $U$, since $D$ is a $p$-Sylow subgroup of $D K$. Similarly $D$ is a vertex of $V$. So $V$ is the Green correspondent of $U$ with respect to ( $G$, $\left.N_{G}(D), D\right)$. Hence $V$ must lie in $b$. So $\bar{V}$ lies in $\bar{b}$, which shows that $\bar{b}$ induces $\bar{B}_{i}$, as required.

Theorem 5.3. Let $B$ be a block of $G$ with defect group $D$. If every $\chi \in$ $\operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B)$ restricts irreducibly to $N_{G}(D)$, then $G=N_{G}(D) \operatorname{Ker}(B)$.

Proof. We first consider the case where $D$ is abelian. Let $b$ be the Brauer correspondent of $B$ in $N_{G}(D)$. For any $\xi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(b), h t\left(\xi^{B}\right)=0$ by Proposition 1.8, so it follows from the assumption that there is $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B)$ such that $\chi_{N_{G}(D)}=\xi$. Let $I=\left\{\xi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(b) ; D \leqq \operatorname{Ker}(\xi)\right\}$. For each $\xi \in I$, take $\chi(\xi) \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B)$ whose restriction to $N_{G}(D)$ equals $\xi$ and let $K=\cap \operatorname{Ker}\{\chi(\xi)\}$, where $\xi$ runs through $I$. Clearly $K \cap N_{G}(D) \leqq \bmod -\operatorname{Ker}(b)$ and, by Lemma $5.1, \bmod -\operatorname{Ker}(b) \leqq$ $\operatorname{Ker}(b) D$. Since $\operatorname{Ker}(b)$ is a normal $p^{\prime}$-subgroup, $\operatorname{Ker}(b) \leqq C_{G}(D)$. Hence $K \cap N_{G}(D) \leqq C_{G}(D)$. On the other hand, $D$ is a $p$-Sylow subgroup of $K$ by Lemma 5.1. Hence $K$ is $p$-nilpotent, by Burnside's theorem. By the Frattini argument, $G=N_{G}(D) K$. Since $K=O_{p^{\prime}}(K) D \leqq \operatorname{Ker}(B) D$, we get $G=N_{G}(D)$ $\operatorname{Ker}(B)$, as required. For the general case, put $\bar{G}=G / \operatorname{Ker}^{0}(B)$. We claim that $\operatorname{Ker}^{0}(B)$ satisfies the assumption of Proposition 5.2 with $K=\operatorname{Ker}^{0}(B)$. Put $Q=$ $D \cap \operatorname{Ke1}^{0}(B)$. Then $Q$ is a $p$-Sylow subgroup of $\operatorname{Ker}^{0}(B)$, cf. Lemma 5.1. For any linear character $\lambda$ of $D$, define $\tilde{\lambda} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(D C_{G}(D)\right)$ as in the proof of Proposition 4.11. Then $h t\left(\tilde{\lambda}^{B}\right)=0$, so there is $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B)$ such that $\lambda$ is an irreducible constituent of $\chi_{D}$. This shows $Q \leqq \operatorname{Ker}(\lambda)$, and hence $Q \leqq[D, D]$. So the claim
follows. Now let $\bar{B}$ be the block of $\bar{G}$ as in Proposition 5.2. Since every $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B)$ comes then from $\bar{B}, \operatorname{Ker}^{0}(\bar{B})=1$. Since $\overline{N_{G}(D)}=N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{D})$ by the Frattini argument, $\bar{B}$ satisfies the same assumption as $B$. On the other hand, since (by Corollary 1.7 (ii)) $\chi_{N_{G}(D)} \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(b)$ for any $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B)$, it follows that $\chi_{D}$ is a sum of linear characters (by Corollary $4.2(\mathrm{i})$ ). Hence $[D, D] \leqq \operatorname{Ker}^{0}(B)$ and $\bar{D}$ is abelian. So $\bar{G}=N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{D}) \operatorname{Ker}(\bar{B})$, by the above. Thus $\bar{G}=N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{D})$, since $\operatorname{Ker}(\bar{B}) \leqq \operatorname{Ker}^{0}(\bar{B})=1$. Hence we get $G=N_{G}(D) \operatorname{Ker}^{0}(B)=N_{G}(D) \operatorname{Ker}(B) D=$ $N_{G}(D) \operatorname{Ker}(B)$, by Lemma 5.1. This completes the proof.

## 6. The height zero conjecture

The following is a well-known conjecture of Brauer:
${ }^{*}$ ) Blocks with abelian defect groups contain only characters of height 0 . Berger and Knörr [1] have proved the following

Theorem 6.1. If $\left(^{*}\right)$ is true for all quasi-simple groups, it is true for all finite groups.

We prove this theorem by applying some results in section 4 and a theorem of Knörr [14, Corollary 3.7].

Lemma 6.2. If $\left(^{*}\right)$ is true for all quasi-simple groups, it is true for any group $H$ with $H / C$ simple for a central subgroup $C$ of $H$.

Proof. The proof is done by induction on the group order. If $H=[H, H]$, then $H$ is quasi-simple and $\left(^{*}\right)$ is true by assumption. If $H \neq[H, H]$, let $K$ be such that $[H, H] \triangleleft K \triangleleft H$ with $|H| K \mid=q$, a prime. Let $B$ be a block of $H$ with abelian defect group $D$ and let $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$. We consider the case when $q=p$ and $\chi_{K}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \zeta_{i}$, where all $\zeta_{i}$ are distinct. If $b$ is the block of $K$ containing $\zeta_{1}$, then $b^{G}=B$, since $\zeta_{1}^{G}=\chi$. So $D$ is $G$-conjugate to a defect group of $b$, cf. Theorem 2.1. Since $h t\left(\zeta_{1}\right)=0$ by induction, $h t(\chi)=0$. Other cases are treated similarly. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. The proof is done by induction on the group order. Let $B$ be a block of a group $G$ with an abelian defect group $D$ and let $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$. Let $N$ be a maximal normal subgroup of $G$. So $G / N$ is simple. Let $\zeta \in \operatorname{Irr}(N)$ be such that $(\chi, \zeta)_{N} \neq 0$. Let $b$ be the block of $N$ containing $\zeta$ and $\delta$ a defect group of $b$. We may assume that $b$ is $G$-invariant. Let $T$ be the inertial group of $\zeta$ in $G$. If $T \neq G$, let $\eta \in \operatorname{Irr}(T \mid \zeta)$ be such that $\eta^{G}=\chi$ and let $B^{\prime}$ be the block of $T$ to which $\eta$ belongs and $D^{\prime}$ a defect group of $B^{\prime}$. Then $D^{\prime} \leqq{ }_{G} D$, since $B^{\prime G}=B$. On the other hand, $D^{\prime} \geqq_{G} Z(D)=D$. (In fact, the proof of Lemma 4.14 shows that $B^{\prime}$ is induced from a $G$-conjugate of $B_{1}, B_{1}$ being the same as in Lemma 4.14. So the assertion follows.) Hence $D^{\prime}={ }_{G} D$. By induction $h t(\eta)=$ 0 , so $h t(\chi)=0$. So we may assume $\zeta$ is $G$-invariant. Now take a central ex-
tension of $G$,

$$
1 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \hat{G} \xrightarrow{f} G \rightarrow 1
$$

such that $f^{-1}(N)=N_{1} \times Z, N_{1} \triangleleft \hat{G}$ and that $\zeta$ extends to a character of $\hat{G}$, say $\hat{\zeta}$, under the identification of $N_{1}$ with $N$ through $f$, and that $Z$ is a finite cyclic group. Here we note the following. Since $\delta$ is abelian, $h t(\zeta)=0$ by induction. So $\zeta$ extends to $D N$ by Proposition 4.11, since $D$ is abelian. Thus the above central extension may be taken so that

$$
\text { (\#) the subextension } 1 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow f^{-1}(D N) \stackrel{f}{\rightarrow} D N \rightarrow 1 \text { splits. }
$$

Let $\hat{\chi}$ be the inflation of $\chi$ to $\hat{G}$. Let $\hat{B}$ be the block of $\hat{G}$ to which $\hat{\chi}$ belongs. There is a unique irreducible character $\psi$ of $\bar{G}=\hat{G} / N$ such that $\hat{\chi}=\hat{\zeta} \psi$. Let $\bar{B}$ be the block of $\bar{G}$ to which $\psi$ belongs. Let $\hat{D}$ and $\bar{D}$ be defect groups of $\hat{B}$ and $\bar{B}$, respectively. We have
(I) $\hat{D} Z \mid Z={ }_{G} D$.

Proof. Since $B$ is dominated by $\hat{B}$ and $\hat{G}$ is a central extension of $G$, the result follows.
(II) $\hat{D}$ is abelian.

Proof. We have $f^{-1}(D N)=\hat{D} Z N=H \times Z$ for a subgroup $H$ by (\#) and (I). So $\hat{D} Z=K \times Z$ for a subgroup $K$. Then $K \cong \hat{D} Z / Z \cong D$ is abelian, so $\hat{D}$ is abelian.
(III) $\quad \hat{D} N / N={ }_{\bar{G}} \bar{D}$.

Proof. We first show $\hat{D} N / N \geqq{ }_{\bar{c}} \bar{D}$. We have $\omega \hat{x}(\hat{K})=\hat{\zeta}(x) \psi(x)|\hat{G}| / \hat{\zeta}(1)$ $\psi(1)\left|C_{\hat{G}}(x)\right|$, where $x \in \hat{G}$ and $K$ is the conjugacy class of $\hat{G}$ containing $x$. From this we get that $\omega_{\hat{\chi}}(\hat{K})=\omega_{\psi}(\hat{L}) m_{x}\left(\hat{\zeta}(x)|N||\hat{\zeta}(1)| C_{N}(x) \mid\right)$, where $m_{x}=$ $\left|C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}): C_{\hat{G}}(x) N / N\right|$ and $L$ is the conjugacy class of $\bar{G}$ containing $\bar{x}$, the image of $x$ in $\bar{G}$. Here $\hat{\zeta}(x)|N| / \hat{\zeta}(1)\left|C_{N}(x)\right|$ is an integer. In fact, let $A$ be the $\boldsymbol{Z}$ linear combinations of the $N$-conjugacy class sums of $\hat{G}$, where $\boldsymbol{Z}$ is the ring of rational integers. If $T$ is a matrix representation affording $\hat{\zeta}$, then $T(A)$ is a commutative ring (with finite $\boldsymbol{Z}$-rank), since $\zeta_{N}$ is irreducible. If $C$ is the $N$ conjugacy class containing $x, T(\hat{C})=\alpha I$, a scalar matrix; where $\alpha$ equals the number in question. Hence the assertion follows. Hence, if $\omega_{\hat{\chi}}(\hat{K}) \neq 0(\bmod \pi)$, then $m_{x} \omega_{\psi}(\hat{L}) \neq 0(\bmod \pi)$. This implies $\hat{D} N / N \geqq{ }_{\bar{G}} \bar{D}$. Hence $\bar{D}$ is abelian by (II), and $h t(\psi)=0$ by assumption and Lemma 6.2. Let $V$ (resp. $W$ ) be an $R$ form of $\hat{\zeta}$ (resp. $\psi$ ). Thus $V \otimes_{R} \operatorname{Inf} W$ is an $R$-form of $\hat{\chi}$. Since $h t(\psi)=0, \bar{D}$ is a vertex of $W$. So, if we let $\Delta$ be the inverse image of $\bar{D}$ in $\hat{G}, V \otimes_{R} \operatorname{Inf} W$ is $\Delta$-projective. But $\hat{D}$ must be a vertex of it, by Knörr's theorem [14]. Hence $\hat{D} \leqq \hat{G} \Delta$, and $\hat{D} N / N \leqq \bar{G} \bar{D}$. This completes the proof of (III).

Now we show $h t(\chi)=0$. Since $\hat{\chi}=\hat{\zeta} \psi, \hat{\chi}(1)=\chi(1), \hat{\zeta}(1)=\zeta(1)$, and $h t(\zeta)$ $=h t(\psi)=0, h t(\chi)=d(B)-d(b)+\nu(|Z|)-d(\bar{B})$. Since $d(\bar{B})=d(\hat{B})-\nu(\mid \hat{D} \cap$
$N \mid)$ by (III), and $d(\hat{B})=d(B)+\nu(|\hat{D} \cap Z|)$ by (I), it follows that $h t(\chi)=\nu(\mid \hat{D} \cap$ $N \mid)-d(b)+\nu(|Z|)-\nu(|\hat{D} \cap Z|)$. Since $\hat{D} \cap N$ is a defect group of $b$ and a $p-$ Sylow subgroup of $Z$ is contained in $\hat{D}$, we get $h t(\chi)=0$, completing the proof.
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